Damage in porous media due to salt crystallization
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We investigate the origins of salt damage in sandstones for the two most common salts: sodium chloride and sulfate. The results show that the observed difference in damage between the two salts is directly related to the kinetics of crystallization and the interfacial properties of the salt solutions and crystals with respect to the stone. We show that, for sodium sulfate, the existence of hydrated and anhydrous crystals and specifically their dissolution and crystallization kinetics are responsible for the damage. Using magnetic resonance imaging and optical microscopy we show that when water imbibes sodium sulfate contaminated sandstones, followed by drying at room temperature, large damage occurs in regions where pores are fully filled with salts. After partial dissolution, anhydrous sodium sulfate salt present in these regions gives rise to a very rapid growth of the hydrated phase of sulfate in the form of clusters that form on or close to the remaining anhydrous microcrystals. The rapid growth of these clusters generates stresses in excess of the tensile strength of the stone leading to the damage. Sodium chloride only forms anhydrous crystals that consequently do not cause damage in the experiments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Salt weathering is a major cause of deterioration of rocks and building materials. Many ancient structures such as the Valley of the Kings (Egypt) [1] and the Petra monument (Jordania) have been partially destroyed by salt attack [2]; also many modern constructions suffer damage [3]. Salt weathering affects buildings, engineering structures, rock outcrops, and minerals within the soil profile and there is compelling evidence that its influence will increase due to the global climate change and human impacts [2,3]. Since building materials are porous, any increase in soil moisture will result in greater salt mobilization and crystallization during drying, leading to damage. The salts can be naturally present in the stones and get trapped inside the porous material, for instance, by imbibition with salt-containing precipitation (acid rain) or ground water; it can in addition be present in the mortars used for construction.

The action of salts on natural and building materials has attracted a lot of attention over the past few decades but remains incompletely understood [3–16]. Notably, the precise mechanisms of why certain salts (e.g., sodium sulfate) cause more damage than others (e.g., sodium chloride) under the same environmental conditions are still under debate. Several authors have attempted to explain the damage caused by sodium sulfate, based on laboratory tests of constant capillary rise (the stone is in contact at its base with the saline solution and a continuous capillary rise compensates the water evaporation) [4,7] or accelerated durability tests (wetting and drying cycles with saturated salt solutions followed by drying in an oven at around 100 °C) [6]. In these papers, different parameters were studied: the impact of the ion concentration (notably the formation of supersaturated solutions [2,5,9]), the structure of the precipitated salt [14,15], and the effect of the pore size distribution of the stone [7,8]. However, the relevance of these accelerated tests to judge the durability of building stone has been questioned [6,14].

For the specific case of sodium sulfate, two crystalline phases exist and it is not yet clear which phase, hydrated (stable decahydrate and metastable heptahydrate [17]) or anhydrous, is the one responsible for the damage. Rodriguez-Navarro and Doebe [4] argued that the precipitation of thenardite (anhydrous salt) could be responsible for the damage observed after 30 days of constant capillary rise test at low relative humidities (35%). This test imposes a large evaporation rate and there is possible precipitation of both anhydrous and hydrated phases (observed by environmental scanning electron microscopy), which should be compared to experiments done at high relative humidity (60%) for which only the hydrated phase is observed. In their experiments no reduction in porosity of the limestones in the presence of sulfate either at high (60%) or at low (35%) relative humidities for sodium sulfate was observed. Tests with sodium chloride, on the other hand, showed a reduction of the porosity by half, although less damage occurs. In another series of experiments, based on cyclic impregnation with saline solutions and fast drying (at 100 °C), Tsui et al. [6] by changing the temperature of the saline solution for impregnation (under and above mirabilite stability which is 32 °C) showed that damage occurs at 20 °C after several cycles. They concluded that when enough salt is present damage occurs due to the precipitation of mirabilite (the decahydrate) at the wetting step after the dissolution of thenardite which gives highly supersaturated solutions.

From the theoretical side, different explanations for salt weathering have been proposed, with the most popular being
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the crystallization pressure of supersaturated salt solutions [5–11]. Although the supersaturation can account for an excess pressure exerted by the salt crystals against the pore walls [8–11], this does not explain the difference between different salts; notably for the two archetypical examples, sodium chloride and sulfate, the former has a higher crystallization pressure but the latter causes much larger damage [5,9].

Consequently, a detailed understanding of how crystal growth within the porous media leads to the damage still remains elusive. What is clear is that some salts preferably crystallize on the exterior wall of the stones, a phenomenon called efflorescence, whereas others prefer to crystallize within the porous medium, called subfluorescence. It is well known that the latter is far more damaging than the former. However, specifically for sodium chloride and sodium sulfate the situation is not very clear. Some authors have reported more efflorescence in the case of chloride whereas others mention more efflorescence in the case of sulfate contaminated stones [4,7,10,14]. Evaporation of solutions of sodium chloride and sodium sulfate as droplets and in square microcapillaries has shown that the combination of interfacial properties of the different crystalline phases with transport properties of the liquid both governs the crystallization dynamics and determines whether the salt will effloresce or subfluoresce during the drying [16].

Here, we investigate the behavior of salt contaminated stones for sodium chloride and sodium sulfate subject to realistic exposure conditions. In order to simulate realistic exposure conditions and to see how damage will occur at the macroscopic scale we follow the behavior of salt contaminated sandstones (obtained by 1 cycle of impregnation and drying with saturated salt solution) once rewetted with pure water and dried under constant environmental conditions \( T=21 ^\circ C \) and relative humidity (RH) \( \sim 45 \% \). At the macroscopic scale we have followed (re)wetting and drying using optical microscopy. In these experiments, we have followed the dissolution and have measured the speed of crystallization growth during rewetting and drying of dried salt solution droplets on flat hydrophilic surfaces and in salt contaminated square capillary tubes as simple model systems for a single pore within a porous medium contaminated with salt. We find that the observed difference in damage between the two salts is directly related to the kinetics of crystallization and the existence of hydrated and anhydrous crystals. Using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) we show that when water imbibes sodium sulfate contaminated sandstones, large damage occurs in regions where pores are fully filled with salts. After partial dissolution, anhydrous sodium sulfate present in these regions gives rise to a very rapid growth of the hydrated phase of sulfate in the form of clusters that form on or close to the remaining anhydrous microcrystals. The rapid growth of the clusters generates stresses in excess of the tensile strength of the stone and hence leads to the damage. Sodium chloride only forms anhydrous crystals that consequently do not cause damage in the experiments. The direct evidence of the difference in crystallization dynamics between drying and rewetting has not been reported in the literature so far.

II. EXPERIMENT

We investigate crystallization of \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and NaCl during wetting and drying cycles in a Prague sandstone (of porosity \( \phi \sim 25 \% \) and pore diameter \( d_p \sim 30 \mu m \)) both at macroscopic (MRI measurements and weight balance) and microscopic (crystallization growth under the microscope) scales. Sodium sulfate has two stable crystal phases at room temperature: the anhydrous phase \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \), thenardite, saturation concentration of 35 wt \%, or \( C_{\text{sat}}=3.8 \text{ mol} / l (M) \) at \( 21 ^\circ C \) and the dehydrated form \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdot 10 \text{H}_2\text{O} \), mirabilite, 16.5 wt \%, \( C_{\text{sat}}=1.4 \text{ M}, 21 ^\circ C \) [5,16]. In addition, a metastable heptahydrated phase has been reported in some laboratory experiments [11,13,17]. Saturated solutions of sodium sulfate were prepared by dissolving thenardite (anhydrous crystals) at room temperature until mirabilite precipitates in the bottle (16.5 wt \%, \( C_{\text{sat}}=1.4 \text{ M}, 21 ^\circ C \)).

The relative stability of mirabilite and thenardite in open-air depends on temperature and relative humidity. X-ray crystallography experiments on our samples show that even if mirabilite is formed initially, if left in contact with air at RH \( \sim 40 \% \) this will transform into the anhydrous phase (thenardite). So in contact with (dry enough) air, thenardite is the thermodynamically stable state. Sodium chloride has only a stable anhydrous phase (halite, 26.4 wt \%, \( C_{\text{sat}}=6.1 \text{ mol}/l, 21 ^\circ C \)). The surface tensions of the different solutions (\( \gamma_{\text{water}} \sim 71.7 \text{ mN/m}, \gamma_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4} \sim 77 \text{ mN/m}, \gamma_{\text{NaCl}} \sim 84 \text{ mN/m} \)) were measured using the drop weight technique. All experiments were done at 21 \(^\circ C\) and RH \( \sim 45 \% \).

For the microscale experiments, rectangular glass capillaries (100 \times 800 \( \mu m^2 \)) were used as a simple model system for a single pore in a porous medium. To avoid gravity effects, experiments (wetting and drying cycles) were done in horizontal capillaries under a microscope.

Droplets (20 \( \mu l \)) of saturated salt solutions (NaCl and \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \)) were evaporated on hydrophilic glass slides (Corning) (cycle 1, C1) and observed under an optical microscope. The salt crystals (cycle 2, C2) obtained after drying were rewetted with pure water (same volume of water as in C1). The direct imaging experiment allows us to assess the kinetics of crystal dissolution and growth during cycles 1 and 2.

For the macroscale experiments, sandstones were saturated by imbibition at ambient temperature (21 \(^\circ C\)). For the first wetting and drying cycle, imbibition was done with the saturated salt solutions and only with pure water for the second cycle. The samples were dried under controlled laboratory conditions (\( T=21 ^\circ C, \text{RH}=45 \pm 4 \% \)). The weight of stones is followed in time during drying on an automated balance with a precision of \( \pm 0.001 \text{ g} \).

Proton MRI was used to follow the dynamics of desaturation within the stone during drying cycles; experiments were performed on a vertical Bruker spectrometer [18]. Water (proton) density profiles were obtained along the z axis (height). The field strength is 0.5 T for the experiments described here, and the MRI sequence is a one-dimensional spin echo, to minimize the echo time and possible differences in relaxation time due to concentration gradients. The measured NMR relaxation times were for pure water \( T_1 =2.541 \text{ s} \) and \( T_2=2.046 \text{ s} \), for a saturated \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution
During a first cycle (C1), sandstone samples were saturated by imbibition with saturated salt solutions (16.5 wt % for Na$_2$SO$_4$ or 26.4 wt % for NaCl) and left to dry. At the end of drying, the amount of salt crystallized at the surface as efflorescence is gently removed with a toothbrush. The efflorescence is approximately 50 ± 5 % of the initial mass of salt in the case of Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 10 ± 2 % for NaCl. This result shows that the saturated sodium sulfate solution clearly gives more efflorescence during drying at room temperature than sodium chloride under the same environmental conditions. For the sodium chloride deposit, as was also found by Lubelli et al. [14], we observe a stronger adhesion to the sandstone. To the contrary, for sodium sulfate, the efflorescence deposit can be removed very easily. No damage (loss of material) is observed neither for the sodium nor for the chloride once the efflorescence part is removed.

In a second cycle (C2), the salt-containing stones (for sodium sulfate 50 ± 5 % and for sodium chloride 90 ± 5 % of the initial mass of the salt remains in the stone) are saturated with pure water and left to dry under the same environmental conditions as in C1. At the end of drying of C2 for sodium sulfate again a large fraction of the salt crystallizes at the surface, as efflorescence, whereas in the case of sodium chloride the amount of salt as efflorescence remains small (Fig. 1). During the gentle removal of the efflorescence, a significant disintegration of the stone can be observed in the case of sodium sulfate. The percentage of damage is assessed by gently removing the efflorescent salt, washing out the remaining salt, drying the stone, and weighing the stone; then $\text{Damage}=\frac{M_{\text{dry stone}} - M_{\text{washed stone}}}{M_{\text{dry stone}} - M_{\text{initial}}}$ × 100%. The damage can be up to 10–12 % of the initial mass of the stone. For sodium chloride, although a larger amount of salt was present in the stone, no measurable damage occurred at this stage [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. These experimental observations imply that the cause of damage cannot simply be due to the crystallization during evaporation of saturated salt solutions in the porous medium, which also happens during C1.

MRI was used to follow the dynamics of drying within the stones for each cycle [19]. The MRI measurement of the amount of water contained in the sulfate-containing stones reveals that there is about 5 % less water that can fit in the porous space at the end of the imbibition for C2 (Fig. 2). This amount corresponds exactly to the volume of sulfate present as subfluorescence in the porous medium and, in addition, it is precisely located where later the damage is observed, i.e., in the upper part of the sample [Fig. 1(b)]. This follows from the amount of sodium sulfate salt left in the porous stone after C1 (M$_{\text{subfluorescence}}$=0.46 g, corresponding to $V_{\text{salt}}=0.17$ cm$^3$ of thenardite $\rho=2.66$ g/cm$^3$), which is 4.6 % of the pore volume of the sample (15 cm$^3$ with a porosity of $\phi=25$ %).

Another key result from the MRI data is that in the presence of sodium sulfate, the water loss during the second cycle 

$$T_1=1.94 \text{ s and } T_2=1.64 \text{ s, and for saturated Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ solution in equilibrium with hydrated crystals } T_1=1.94 \text{ s and } T_2=98.42 \text{ ms. The typical reproducibility of such measurements was found to be better than 5%. The weight of the sample is measured prior to each MRI measurement.}$$

III. RESULTS

FIG. 1. (a) Prague (Mšeně) sandstone sample (2×2×4 cm$^3$) ($\phi\sim25$ % and $d_p=30$ μm) before the experiments. (b) and (c) After 2 cycles of wetting and drying, imbibing with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and NaCl, respectively, in the first cycle and imbibition with pure water in the second cycle. (b) Severe damage (loss of material) in the presence of Na$_2$SO$_4$. (c) Strong adhered efflorescence but no damage in the presence of NaCl. Experimental conditions: $T=21$ °C, RH =45 ± 5 %.

$\frac{T}{T_1}=\frac{45}{21}=2.14$ s and $\frac{T}{T_2}=\frac{98.42}{1.64}=59.48$ ms. The typical reproducibility of such measurements was found to be better than 5%. The weight of the sample is measured prior to each MRI measurement.
cycle of drying appears to happen faster than in C1 (see profiles after 5 h in Fig. 2), although experiments were done under the exact same environmental conditions. In addition, there is a serious discrepancy between the rate of evaporation obtained from the MRI profiles and weight measurements done simultaneously on the same sample [Fig. 2(b)]. Such a discrepancy is not observed for sodium chloride. At first sight, these observations are puzzling, but they can be explained by noting that the “missing” water does not disappear, but rather goes into hydrated crystals that are not visible with the MRI. Indeed, an independent MRI measurement of hydrated crystals of sodium sulfate in a beaker shows that the water in those crystals does not contribute to the measured proton density, because their NMR relaxation time becomes very short; they do of course contribute to the measured weight. Thus, the hydrated phase of sodium sulfate starts to grow in the porous network and the difference between the MRI and weight measurements allows us to calculate the amount of hydrated crystals.

Here, we consider only the stable decahydrated phase [11,13] although a metastable heptahydrate phase may form; however, this phase has been reported not to provoke damage [11] and thus cannot be responsible for the disintegration of the stone. Experiments on whether a heptahydrate may form during the processes described here are in progress, but are beyond the scope of this paper.

From the measurements, we find that after 5 h during C1, hydrated crystals constitute about 64% of the sulfate present; however, no damage is observed. Afterward, these hydrated crystals lose their water (e.g., the amount of hydrated phase decreases to 42% after 22 h, and C2 is started only after all of the water has disappeared) and transform into anhydrous crystals at the end of drying.

The MRI data show that in cycle 2, the anhydrous sulfate is again transformed into its hydrated form (about 80% of the sulfate present after 5 h is hydrated) which thereafter leads to severe damage. Although the formation of the hydrated crystals (mirabilite) can be considered as responsible for the damage (as proposed already in [5,6]), it cannot explain why damage only occurs in C2 in these experiments, i.e., when pure water is added to salt contaminated stone. It should be noted that the bulk quantity of salt present as subfluorescence at this stage is roughly half that initially present. Consequently, the key must lie in understanding how the anhydrous crystals are transformed into the hydrated phase when put in contact with water in C2.

To investigate this, the dynamics of crystallization for the two salts were followed at the microscopic scale. The crystallization was studied in rectangular (100×800 μm²) glass capillaries, as a simple model for a pore in the sandstone. Following the same procedure as for the macroscale experiments, capillaries were saturated with salt solutions (C1) and after drying, the salt-containing capillaries were rewetted with pure water (C2) followed by a second drying step.

During C1 and for sodium sulfate solutions, we observe the direct formation of hydrated crystals in the capillary [Fig. 3(a)] as is evident from their crystal shape [15,16]. At the end of drying, the hydrated crystals transform into anhydrous ones, and thus lose their water, as confirmed by weight measurements. However the macroscopically observed form of the crystals remains the same, so that it is likely that they transform into small anhydrous microcrystals. For C2, the latter are rewetted with the same volume of water that was initially present. Upon rewetting, we observe an only partial dissolution of the anhydrous crystals. Due to the lower solubility of the hydrated phase compared to the anhydrous one, a region of highly concentrated solution (with respect to hydrated phase) is developed once part of the crystallites start to dissolve. Subsequently, the small thenardite crystallites that remain present in the solution are observed to act as seeds (nucleation sites) for the rapid growth of large amounts of hydrated crystals, giving rise to structures that bear a similarity to a bunch of grapes (Fig. 3); these clusters are observed to expand much more rapidly than the growth of hydrated crystals during C1. As far as the resolution of the microscope allows us to see, the newly formed hydrated crystals indeed form onto the existing thenardite seeds. It may however also be that they form in the immediate vicinity of the thenardite microcrystals since the supersaturation is higher there.

The growth velocity of crystals was determined from the microscopy images during each cycle since it is directly related to the supersaturation C/C_s. For C1, the growth speed is about 0.022 μm/s, in the fastest growing crystalline direction (Fig. 4). However, during C2 the growth velocity of the hydrated crystals in the grape structure is found to be more than an order of magnitude larger: 0.26 μm/s (Fig. 4, inset).

It was shown experimentally [20] that the growth rate of crystals increases linearly with increasing supersaturation for sodium sulfate. The slope was found to be 1.2 μm s⁻¹/(mol l⁻¹), which allows us to calculate the supersaturation in our experiments from the growth rate. We find supersaturations of 0.018 mol/l in C1 and 0.21 mol/l in C2. Are these supersaturations sufficient to generate stresses that exceed the tensile strength of our material? We have determined the tensile strength of the sandstone using a three-point flexion experiment [21], measuring the maximum force the sample can withstand without breaking. We find ~0.9 MPa, in good agreement with previous measurements done on the same material [22].

The crystallization pressure as a function of the supersaturation can be calculated as \( \Delta P = RT/V_m \ln a/a_0 \), with \( V_m \) as...
and efflorescence for the two salts shed some light on the difference between subfluorescence and nighttime light is observed under the microscope, as the droplet evaporates at the same time. For NaCl a pattern similar to that under (a) is observed. However, the sulfate spreads out tremendously after rewetting, leaving a much larger area covered after drying.

The large damage that crystallization of sodium sulfate causes has been known for centuries and has even been used in the past to evaluate the strength of building materials. It was also believed that the large difference between sodium sulfate and a less damaging salt such as sodium chloride was due to the fact that the sulfate can form hydrated crystals [5,6,9,12,25]. The detailed experiments [4,5,9] even provided evidence that the larger damage caused by the sulfates was due to a larger supersaturation in the sulfate. Such a larger supersaturation leads to a higher crystallization pressure than that under (a) is observed. However, the sulfate spreads out tremendously after rewetting, leaving a much larger area covered after drying.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The large damage that crystallization of sodium sulfate causes has been known for centuries and has even been used in the past to evaluate the strength of building materials. It was also believed that the large difference between sodium sulfate and a less damaging salt such as sodium chloride was due to the fact that the sulfate can form hydrated crystals [5,6,9,12,25]. The detailed experiments [4,5,9] even provided evidence that the larger damage caused by the sulfates was due to a larger supersaturation in the sulfate. Such a larger supersaturation leads to a higher crystallization pressure than that under (a) is observed. However, the sulfate spreads out tremendously after rewetting, leaving a much larger area covered after drying.
paramount importance if one wants to prevent the damage. What we show here is that salts such as sodium sulfate with hydrated and anhydrous phases can lead to severe damage in porous materials during rewetting and drying cycles because of the only partial dissolution of anhydrous crystals in regions (pores) that are highly concentrated in salt. The then leads to the damage. All these effects are related to the stresses larger than the tensile strength of the stone, which with chloride. Subsequently, the clusters of crystals generate stresses larger than the tensile strength of the stone, which then leads to the damage. All these effects are related to the existence of both hydrated and anhydrous forms and the large difference of saturation concentration of the salt with respect to the hydrated and anhydrous crystals. Consequently, they are absent in salts such as sodium chloride. The supersaturation was inferred directly the in situ measurement of the growth rate of the crystals, allowing for the measurement of the actual supersaturation in the vicinity of the growing crystals.

Comparing to the measurements of [5,6,11], the insight from our MRI experiments is that although hydrated crystals form during the first cycle, no damage is observed. We thus find that there is no direct relation between mirabilite formation and damage, but that the way the mirabilite forms is of key importance. We also provide evidence that during wetting and drying of sodium sulfate contaminated stone, the formation of the hydrated sulfate crystals enhances the spreading power of the salt solution on the pore network of the sandstone explaining why more efflorescence is observed compared to sodium chloride.

The better understanding of the mechanisms involved in damaging developed here can significantly improve the methods used to prevent damage. For example, the use of water-based poulticing methods for desalination on stones highly contaminated by sodium sulfate is counterindicated since it requires rewetting of the stones.