UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Are serological chlamydia-specific markers useful to detect asymptomatic cases of lymphogranuloma venereum proctitis?

Smelov, V.; Morré, S.A.; de Vries, H.J.C.

DOI

[10.1136/sti.2007.029173](https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2007.029173)

Publication date

2008

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Sexually Transmitted Infections

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Smelov, V., Morré, S. A., & de Vries, H. J. C. (2008). Are serological chlamydia-specific markers useful to detect asymptomatic cases of lymphogranuloma venereum proctitis? *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 84(1), 77. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2007.029173>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)

Authors' reply

E M van der Snoek, J M Ossewaarde, W I van der Meijden, P G H Mulder and H B Thio

Sex Transm Inf 2008;84;77-78
doi:10.1136/sti.2007.029165

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://sti.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/84/1/77-a>

These include:

References

This article cites 5 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://sti.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/84/1/77-a#BIBL>

Rapid responses

You can respond to this article at:
<http://sti.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/84/1/77-a>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Sexually Transmitted Infections* go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

LETTERS

Are serological chlamydia-specific markers useful to detect asymptomatic cases of lymphogranuloma venereum proctitis?

Recent reports on lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) proctitis in men who have sex with men (MSM) have highlighted the fact that affordable diagnostic procedures for both symptomatic as well as asymptomatic LGV infections are urgently needed.^{1,2} LGV responds well to extensive antibiotic treatment but when untreated, LGV can cause chronic or irreversible complications as a result of severe inflammation and invasive infection.³

In a recent interesting study, van der Snoek *et al*⁴ concluded that an elevated *Chlamydia trachomatis* IgA antibody response and the age of the infected individual are of possible diagnostic value for the early detection of LGV proctitis. Based on their study of 24 MSM with L2 proctitis and 15 MSM with rectal *C. trachomatis* (non-LGV) infection, the authors suggest that the association between LGV L2 and significantly higher titres of IgA and IgG are caused by more invasive and more chronic inflammation of the proctum caused by the LGV biovar.

As published previously, and also mentioned in the article by van der Snoek *et al*,⁴ a considerable number of the patients with LGV proctitis in the present epidemic are asymptomatic.^{2,5} In a retrospective case-control study² we found that only 47% of LGV cases had signs of proctitis (mucous membrane abnormalities) upon proctoscopic examination. Unfortunately, the paper by van der Snoek *et al*⁴ failed to inform its readers about the patient complaints and/or clinical symptoms found among the patients included. Their recommendation to test IgA levels in high-risk populations to exclude LGV might therefore possibly miss a considerable number of asymptomatic cases of LGV proctitis, with consequences for both the individual patient and the population at risk.

We previously studied MSM patients with any form of chlamydial proctitis and they were designated into two groups on the basis of mucous membrane abnormalities found during proctoscopic examination.⁵ In the group of 44 cases with mucous membrane abnormalities, 32 had LGV proctitis and 12 had non-LGV chlamydial proctitis. In the group of 30 men without mucous membrane abnormalities, 13 had LGV proctitis and 17 had non-LGV proctitis. In the group with mucous membrane abnormalities IgG serology (*C. trachomatis*-IgG pELISA; Medac Diagnostika GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) had a high positive

predictive value for LGV proctitis (0.94) when a cut-off value of less than 1 : 200 was used. In the group without mucous membrane abnormalities, however, both the positive and negative predictive value were low (0.57 and 0.65, respectively). We concluded that IgG species-specific serology could help support the LGV diagnosis when clinical symptoms are present but cannot be used for screening purposes to detect LGV-infected individuals without clinical symptoms.

In a second retrospective case-control study performed by our group in Amsterdam,² proctoscopic examination and anal mucosal smears in MSM with receptive anal sex in the previous six months were found to be helpful to detect LGV proctitis. In that study, 87 men with proctitis based on *C. trachomatis* serovar L2b men were compared with two separate control groups: MSM who had non-LGV chlamydia proctitis (*n* = 377) and MSM who reported having receptive anorectal intercourse but who did not have anorectal chlamydia (*n* = 2677). Apart from HIV seropositivity, either proctitis detected by proctoscopic examination or elevated more than 10 white blood cells per high-power field detected on an anorectal smear specimen were found to be the only clinically relevant predictors of LGV proctitis in MSM.

In the recently published IUSTI/WHO guideline on sexually transmitted proctitis, it is recommended to perform proctoscopy and anal mucosal smears in all MSM with receptive anal sex in the previous six months and to screen for anal chlamydia and gonorrhoea.⁶ In case inflammatory signs and/or more than 10 leukocytes per high-power field upon microscopic examination of anal mucosal smears are detected, presumptive treatment with doxycycline is advised until the definite diagnosis becomes available. In case anal chlamydia is found, biovar determination of the chlamydia strain is indicated to confirm potential LGV proctitis.

In the ongoing LGV proctitis epidemic there is a great need for simple and affordable diagnostic procedures to screen the (asymptomatic) population at risk. Additional serological markers are required to evaluate as diagnostic tools for LGV proctitis in larger, well-defined and described cohorts. Until those studies are performed, the gold standard for LGV diagnostics (both in symptomatic as well as in asymptomatic patients) remains the molecular determination of chlamydia biovars.

V Smelov,¹ S A Morré,^{2,3,4} H de Vries^{5,6}

¹ Faculty of Medicine, St Petersburg State University, St Petersburg, Russia; ² Department of Pathology, Laboratory of Immunogenetics, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ³ Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ⁴ Department of Infectious Diseases, City of Hope Medical Center and Beckman Research Institute,

Duarte, California, USA; ⁵ STI outpatient clinic, Cluster Infectious Diseases, Health Service Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁶ Department of Dermatology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Henry de Vries, Department of Dermatology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; h.j.devries@amc.nl

Competing interests: None declared.

Accepted 12 November 2007

Sex Transm Infect 2008;**84**:77. doi:10.1136/sti.2007.029173

REFERENCES

1. Ward H, Martin I, Macdonald N, *et al*. Lymphogranuloma venereum in the United Kingdom. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**44**:26–32.
2. Van der Bij AK, Spaargaren J, Morré SA, *et al*. Diagnostic and clinical implications of anorectal lymphogranuloma venereum in men who have sex with men: a retrospective case-control study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;**42**:186–94.
3. Weir E. Lymphogranuloma venereum in the differential diagnosis of proctitis. *Can Med Assoc J* 2005;**172**:185.
4. van der Snoek E, Ossewaarde J, van der Meijden W, *et al*. The use of serologic titers of IgA and IgG in (early) discrimination between rectal infection with non-LGV and LGV serovars of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:330–4.
5. Spaargaren J, Fennema HS, Morré SA, *et al*. New lymphogranuloma venereum *Chlamydia trachomatis* variant, Amsterdam. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;**11**:1090–2.
6. McMillan A, van Voorst Vader PC, de Vries HJ. The 2007 European Guideline (International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infections/World Health Organization) on the management of proctitis, proctocolitis and enteritis caused by sexually transmissible pathogens. *Int J STD AIDS* 2007;**18**:514–20.

Authors' reply

In a recent paper we concluded that an elevated IgA antibody response and the age of the infected individual (a total sum score of 7 times IgA titre plus individual's age ≥ 50.0 years) appeared to be of diagnostic value for (early) detection of lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) proctitis (*Sex Transm Infect* 2007;**83**:330–4). Statistical analyses showed that the use of this total sum score had high diagnostic accuracy. As published previously, over 85% of the Rotterdam population of patients with L2 proctitis reported symptoms (rectal discharge and bleeding) and more than 70% had clinical manifestations (discharge and perianal erythema).¹

We agree with de Vries *et al* and acknowledge the need for “simple and affordable diagnostic procedures to screen the (asymptomatic) population at risk”. Our data show that *Chlamydia*-specific IgA antibody titres can be adequately used for the early discrimination between LGV and non-LGV proctitis—a clear reflection of the more invasive character of the LGV serovars. Therefore, one might postulate that our sum score cannot serologically discriminate between LGV and non-LGV serovars in persons without mucosal abnormalities. After all, there must be at least some degree of tissue invasion (together with visible

mucosal abnormalities, such as erythema or ulceration) before a significant antibody response can be mounted.

de Vries *et al* did not use the same test as we did in our study. Although both tests use synthetic peptides and a similar format, the test we used, the Savyon test, incorporates more LGV-specific epitopes. In theory, the Sayvon test should be more specific for LGV diagnosis. de Vries *et al*'s conclusion that serology in general is of no diagnostic value is premature. The correct conclusion is that the Medac test might not be suitable for LGV diagnostic purposes.

We reanalysed the data of the 24 Rotterdam patients with LGV proctitis. Only one patient reported no symptoms and had no clinical manifestations of proctitis on examination (patient A). Three patients had symptoms although no clinical manifestations were seen in proctoscopic examination (patient B, C and D). One patient had no symptoms while a mucosal ulcer was seen at proctoscopy (patient E). The total sum score in all rectal LGV patients ranged from 47.7 to 96.2 with a median score of 61.7. Two of the LGV patients did not fulfill the criterion of a score ≥ 50.0 as was reported in our paper.

Patient A had a sum score of 63.5—just above the median score. Patient B, C and D had a sum score of 55.8, 71.6 and 87.7,

respectively. Patient E had a sum score of 68.2. The ages of patients A and E did not differ from the other rectal LGV patients ($p = 0.19$). Though numbers are small, there seems to be no relation between self reported symptoms, clinical manifestations on proctoscopy and our sum score in the Rotterdam LGV patients. Other researchers also recommend the use of serology.²⁻⁵

The conclusion of our paper was that an increased IgA antibody response and the age of the infected individual are of possible diagnostic value for (early) detection of LGV proctitis. Simply put, if you find a high titre you have a high chance for LGV. We do not, however, recommend testing for IgA in order "to exclude LGV" as suggested by de Vries *et al*.

In conclusion, a total sum score of seven times IgA titre plus individual's age ≥ 50.0 appeared to be a simple, accurate and affordable diagnostic procedure to discriminate between Chlamydia proctitis with serovar L2 and non-LGV serovars in a high-risk population of men who have sex with men and is of possible diagnostic value for (early) detection of LGV proctitis, even in the absence of symptoms.

E M van der Snoek,¹ J M Ossewaarde,² W I van der Meijden,¹ P G H Mulder,³ H B Thio¹

¹ Department of Dermatology and Venereology; ² Department of Medical and Infectious Diseases; ³ Institute of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Correspondence to: E M van der Snoek, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; e.vandersnoek@erasmusmc.nl

Competing interests: None.

Accepted 12 November 2007

Sex Transm Infect 2008;**84**:77–78.
doi:10.1136/sti.2007.029165

REFERENCES

1. **Waalboer R**, van der Snoek EM, van der Meijden WI, *et al*. Analysis of rectal Chlamydia trachomatis serovar distribution including L2 (lymphogranuloma venereum) at the Erasmus MC STI clinic, Rotterdam. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**:207–11.
2. **Forrester B**, Pawade J, Horner P. The potential role of serology in diagnosing chronic lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV): a case of LGV mimicking Crohn's disease. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**:139–40.
3. **Halioua B**, Bohbot JM, Monfort L, *et al*. Ano-rectal lymphogranuloma venereum: 22 cases reported in a sexually transmitted infections center in Paris. *Eur J Dermatol* 2006;**16**:177–80.
4. **Spornraft-Ragaller P**, Lück C, Straube E, *et al*. Lymphogranuloma venereum. Two cases from Dresden. *Hautarzt* 2006;**57**:1095–100.
5. **Den Hollander JG**, Ossewaarde JM, de Marie S. Anorectal ulcer in HIV patients, don't forget lymphogranuloma venereum! *AIDS* 2004;**18**:1484–5.