The associations of Dyadic coping and relationship satisfaction vary between and within nations

a 35-nation study


Published in:
Frontiers in Psychology

DOI:
10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01106
10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01404

Link to publication

Creative Commons License (see https://creativecommons.org/use-remix/cc-licenses):
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it, unless the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use; unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).
Corrigendum: The Associations of Dyadic Coping and Relationship Satisfaction Vary between and within Nations: A 35-Nation Study


1 Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, DC, USA, 2 Department of Psychology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 3 Counseling and Counseling Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA, 4 Institute of Psychology, University of Wrocław, Wroclaw, Poland, 5 Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, 6 Department of Psychology, College of Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 7 School of Public Health, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana, 8 Department of Psychology, Catholic University of Milan, Milan, Italy, 9 Department of Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, 10 Graduate Program in Morphological Sciences, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 11 Cognitive and Behavioral Neuroscience Unit, D’Or Institute for Research and Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 12 Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, 13 Laboratory of Evolutionary Human Behavior, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil, 14 Department of Psychology, Faculty of Languages History and Geography, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey, 15 Faculty of Psychology and Education Sciences, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, 16 Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Babes-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 17 Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 18 Department of Psychology, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 19 Department of Psychology, Universidad Iberoamericana, Ciudad de México, Mexico, 20 Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Izmir University of Economics, Izmir, Turkey, 21 Department of Psychology, Saint Mary’s University, Halifax, NS, Canada, 22 Department of Psychology, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey, 23 Department of Anthropology, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey, 24 Faculty of Psychology, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland, 25 Department of Public Health, Medical School, Federal University of Uberlândia, Uberlândia, Brazil, 26 Department of Psychology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, 27 Department of Clinical Services, Federal Neuro-Psychiatric Hospital, Benin-City, Nigeria, 28 Department of Organization and Human Resources Management, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China, 29 Department of Psychology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya, 30 Department of Psychology, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada, 31 Department of Anatomy, Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey, 32 Department of Social Psychology, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, 33 Institute of Psychology, University of Pecs, Pecs, Hungary, 34 Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, Raz University, Kermanshah, Iran, 35 Institute of Psychology, University of Science and Culture, Tehran, Iran, 36 Department of Psychology, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 37 Faculty of Computing and Management Science, Makerere University Business School, Kampala, Uganda, 38 Department of Pure & Applied Psychology, Adebukun Ayasun University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria, 39 School of Education and Modern Languages, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Malaysia, 40 Department of Psychology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. 41 Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychology, University of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland, 42 Department of Psychology, Catholic University of Milan, Milan, Italy, 43 Department of Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, 44 Graduate Program in Morphological Sciences, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 45 Cognitive and Behavioral Neuroscience Unit, D’Or Institute for Research and Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 46 Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, 47 Laboratory of Evolutionary Human Behavior, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil, 48 Department of Psychology, Faculty of Languages History and Geography, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey, 49 Faculty of Psychology and Education Sciences, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, 50 Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Babes-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 51 Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 52 Department of Psychology, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 53 Department of Psychology, Universidad Iberoamericana, Ciudad de México, Mexico, 54 Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Izmir University of Economics, Izmir, Turkey, 55 Department of Psychology, Saint Mary’s University, Halifax, NS, Canada, 56 Department of Psychology, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey, 57 Department of Anthropology, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey, 58 Faculty of Psychology, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland, 59 Department of Public Health, Medical School, Federal University of Uberlândia, Uberlândia, Brazil, 60 Department of Psychology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, 61 Department of Clinical Services, Federal Neuro-Psychiatric Hospital, Benin-City, Nigeria, 62 Department of Organization and Human Resources Management, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China, 63 Department of Psychology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya, 64 Department of Psychology, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada, 65 Department of Anatomy, Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey, 66 Department of Social Psychology, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, 67 Institute of Psychology, University of Pecs, Pecs, Hungary, 68 Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, Raz University, Kermanshah, Iran, 69 Institute of Psychology, University of Science and Culture, Tehran, Iran, 70 Department of Psychology, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 71 Faculty of Computing and Management Science, Makerere University Business School, Kampala, Uganda, 72 Department of Pure & Applied Psychology, Adebukun Ayasun University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria, 73 School of Education and Modern Languages, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Malaysia, 74 Department of Psychology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:
Koeki Ishii,
Kobe University, Japan

*Correspondence:
Peter Hilpert
hilpert@uw.edu

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to Cultural Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 26 August 2016
Accepted: 01 September 2016
Published: 27 September 2016

Citation:
Corrigendum: The Associations of Dyadic Coping and Relationship Satisfaction Vary between and within Nations: A 35-Nation Study.
Front. Psychol. 7:1404.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01404

Frontiers in Psychology | www.frontiersin.org 1
September 2016 | Volume 7 | Article 1404
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Due to an oversight, the name of the author “Ahmad M. Alghraibeh” was incorrectly spelled as “Ahmad M. Aghraibeh.” The correct version is shown above. The authors apologize for this oversight. This error does not affect the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.
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