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Corrigendum: The Associations of Dyadic Coping and Relationship Satisfaction Vary between and within Nations: A 35-Nation Study
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Due to an oversight, the name of the author “Ahmad M. Alghraibeh” was incorrectly spelled as “Ahmad M. Aghraibeh.” The correct version is shown above. The authors apologize for this oversight. This error does not affect the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.