



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Communication, contextualization & cognition: Patterns & processes of frames' influence on people's interpretations of the EU constitution

Baden, C.

Publication date
2010

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Baden, C. (2010). *Communication, contextualization & cognition: Patterns & processes of frames' influence on people's interpretations of the EU constitution*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. Eburon.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

X

Bibliography

- Aarts, K., & van der Kolk, H. (2005). Op weg naar 1 juni. In K. Aarts & H. van der Kolk (Eds.), *Nederlanders in Europa: Het referendum over de Europese grondwet* (pp. 158-182). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Uitgeverij Bert Bakker.
- Aarts, K., & van der Kolk, H. (2006). Understanding the Dutch "no": The Euro, the East, and the elite. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 39, 243-246.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (2000). Attitudes and the attitude-behavior relation - reasoned and automatic processes. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 11(1), 1-33.
- van Atteveldt, W. (2008). *Semantic network analysis: Techniques for extracting, representing, and querying media content*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.
- van Atteveldt, W., Ruigrok, N., & Kleinnijenhuis, J. (2006). *Associative framing: A unified method for measuring media frames and the media agenda*. Paper presented at the ICA 56th Annual Conference, Dresden, Germany.
- Axelrod, R. (1973). Schema theory: An information processing model of perception and cognition. *The American Political Science Review*, 67, 1248-1266.
- Axelrod, R. (1976). Results. In R. Axelrod (Ed.), *Structure of decision* (pp. 221-248). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Baden, C. (2008). *Semantic association and weighted consideration: How framing shifts people's information bases in opinion formation*. Paper presented at the ICA Annual Conference, Montreal, Canada.
- Baden, C., & de Vreese, C. H. (2008). Making sense: A reconstruction of people's understandings of the European constitutional referendum in the Netherlands. *Communications*, 33, 117-146.
- Barker, D. C. (2005). Values, frames, and persuasion in presidential nomination campaigns. *Political Behaviour*, 27, 375-394.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173-1182.
- Basinger, S. J., & Lavine, H. (2005). Ambivalence, information, and electoral choice. *American Political Science Review*, 99, 169-184.
- Benford, R. D., & Snow, D. A. (2000). Framing processes and social movements: An overview and assessment. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26, 611-639.
- Bennett, W. L. (1980). The paradox of public discourse: A framework for the analysis of political accounts. *The Journal of Politics*, 42, 792-817.
- Bennett, W. L. (1993). Constructing publics and their opinions. *Political Communication*, 10, 101-120.
- Berinsky, A. J., & Kinder, D. R. (2006). Making sense of issues through media frames: Understanding the Kosovo crisis. *The Journal of Politics*, 68, 640-656.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Beukeboom, C. J., Finkenauer, C., & Wigboldus, D. (2009, 12-13 February 2009). *The negation bias: When people deny their expectancies in describing other people*. Paper presented at the Etmaal van de Communicatiewetenschap, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.
- Bizer, G. Y., & Krosnick, J. A. (2001). Exploring the structure of strength-related attitude features: The relation between attitude importance and attitude accessibility. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 81*, 566-586.
- Bizer, G. Y., & Petty, R. E. (2005). How we conceptualize our attitudes matters: The effects of valence framing on the resistance of political attitudes. *Political Psychology, 26*, 553-568.
- Bonham, G. M., & Shapiro, M. (1976). Explanation of the unexpected: The Syrian intervention in Jordan in 1970. In R. Axelrod (Ed.), *Structure of decision* (pp. 113-141). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Bonito, J. A. (2004). Shared cognition and participation in small groups: Similarity of member prototypes. *Communication Research, 31*, 704-730.
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Freeman, L. C. (2002). *Ucinet for Windows: Software for social network analysis*. Harvard, MA: Analytic Technologies.
- Boudana, S. (2008). *Frames and keywords: On the significance of these related tools of analysis and on a method for determining them*. Paper presented at the ICA Annual Conference, Montreal, Canada.
- Brewer, P. R. (2001). Value words and lizard brains: Do citizens deliberate about appeals to their core values? *Political Psychology, 22*, 45-64.
- Brewer, P. R., Graf, J., & Willnat, L. (2003). Priming or framing: Media influence on attitudes towards foreign countries. *Gazette, 65*, 493-508.
- Brewer, P. R., & Gross, K. (2005). Values, framing, and citizens' thoughts about policy issues: Effects on content and quantity. *Political Psychology, 26*, 929-948.
- Brewer, P. R., & Gross, K. (2010). Studying the effects of issue framing on public opinion about policy issues: Does what we see depend on how we look? In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 159-186). New York: Routledge.
- Burnett, R. (1991). Accounts and Narratives. In B. M. Montgomery & S. Duck (Eds.), *Studying interpersonal interaction* (pp. 121-140). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bütler, M., & Maréchal, M. A. (2007). *Framing effects in political decision making: Evidence from a natural voting experiment*. Munich, Germany: CESifo Center for Economic Studies & Ifo Institute for Economic Research.
- Cacioppo, J. T., Petty, R. E., Feinstein, J. A., & Jarvis, W. B. G. (1996). Dispositional differences in cognitive motivation: The life and times of individuals varying in need for cognition. *Psychological Bulletin, 119*, 197-253.
- Cappella, J. N., & Jamieson, K. H. (1997). *Spiral of cynicism: The press and the public good*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Carley, K. M., & Kaufer, D. S. (1993). Semantic connectivity: An approach for analyzing symbols in semantic networks. *Communication Theory, 3*, 183-213.
- Carragee, K. M., & Roefs, W. (2004). The neglect of power in recent framing research. *Journal of Communication, 54*, 214-233.
- Carrubba, C., & Timpone, R. J. (2005). Explaining vote switching across first- and second-order elections: Evidence from Europe. *Comparative Political Studies, 38*, 260-281.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Chew, F. (1992). Information needs during viewing of serious and routine news. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 36, 453-466.
- Chong, D. (1996). Creating common frames of reference on political issues. In D. C. Mutz, P. M. Sniderman & R. A. Brody (Eds.), *Political persuasion and attitude change* (pp. 195-224). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007a). Framing public opinion in competitive democracies. *American Political Science Review*, 101, 637-655.
- Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007b). Framing theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10, 103-126.
- Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007c). A theory of framing and opinion formation in competitive elite environments. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 99-118.
- Cohen, P. R., & Kjeldsen, R. (1987). Information retrieval by constrained spreading activation in semantic networks. *Information Processing and Management*, 23, 255-268.
- Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). A spreading-activation theory of semantic processing. *Psychological Review*, 82, 407-428.
- Conover, P. J., & Feldman, S. (1984). How people organize the political world: A schematic model. *American Journal of Political Science*, 28(1), 95-126.
- Converse, P. E. (1964). The nature of belief systems in mass publics. In D. E. Apter (Ed.), *Ideology and discontent* (pp. 206-261). London: The Free Press of Glencoe.
- Cortina, J. M., & Nouri, H. (2000). *Effect sizes for ANOVA designs*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Culbertson, H. M., & Stempel, G. H. I. (1986). How media use and reliance affect knowledge level. *Communication Research*, 13, 579-602.
- Czesnik, M. (2003). Subjective vs. objective political knowledge, and its impact on electoral participation: Evidence from Poland. In A. Wolek (Ed.), *The End of Transformation Era? Graduate Seminar in Politics* (pp. 8-25). Nowy Sacz, Poland: Wyzsza Szkola Biznesu - National Louis University.
- D'Angelo, P. (2002). News framing as a multiparadigmatic research program: A response to Entman. *Journal of Communication*, 52, 870-888.
- Dekker, D., Krackhardt, D., & Snijders, T. A. B. (2007). Sensitivity of MRQAP tests to collinearity and autocorrelation conditions. *Psychometrika*, 72, 563-581.
- Delicath, J. W., & DeLuca, K. M. (2003). Image events, the public sphere, and argumentative practice: The case of radical environmental groups. *Argumentation*, 17, 315-333.
- Dervin, B. (1991/2001). *An Example of a sense-making designed focus group: Design for focus groups for phone user constituencies*. Unpublished report.
- Dervin, B. (1997). Given a context by any other name: Methodological tools for taming the unruly beast. In P. Vakkari, R. Savolainen & B. Dervin (Eds.), *Information seeking in context* (pp. 13-38). London: Taylor Graham.
- Dewey, J. (1927). *The public and its problems*. Chicago: The Swallow Press.
- Diesner, J. (2004). *AutoMap 1.2: Extract, analyze, represent, and compare mental models from text*. Pittsburgh, PA: Center for Computational Analysis of Social and Organizational Systems.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1985). Structures of news in the press. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse and communication* (pp. 69-93). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- van Dijk, T. A. (2003). Knowledge in parliamentary debates. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 2, 93-129.
- van Dijk, T. A. (2008). *Discourse and context: A sociocognitive approach*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- van Dijk, T. A., & Kintsch, W. (1983). *Strategies of discourse comprehension*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Doise, W., Clemence, A., & Lorenzi-Cioldi, F. (1993). *The quantitative analysis of social representations*. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Donati, P. R. (1992). Political discourse analysis. In M. Diani & R. Eyerman (Eds.), *Studying collective action*. London: Sage.
- Druckman, J. N. (2001). The implications of framing effects for citizen competence. *Political Behaviour*, 23, 225-256.
- Druckman, J. N. (2003). On the limits of framing effects: Who can frame? *The Journal of Politics*, 63, 1041-1066.
- Druckman, J. N. (2004). Political preference formation: Competition, deliberation, and the (ir)relevance of framing effects. *American Political Science Review*, 98, 671-686.
- Druckman, J. N. (2010). Competing frames in a political campaign. In B. Schaffner & P. Sellers (Eds.), *Winning with words: The origins and impact of political framing* (pp. 101-120). New York: Routledge.
- Druckman, J. N., & Bolsen, T. (2009). *Framing, motivated reasoning, and opinions about emergent technologies*. Paper presented at the Midwest Political Science Association 67th Annual National Conference, Chicago, IL.
- Eco, U. (1979). *The role of the reader: Explorations in the semiotics of texts*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Edelman, M. (1971). *Politics as symbolic action*. New York: Academic Press Inc.
- Edelman, M. (1993). Contestable categories and public opinion. *Political Communication*, 10, 231-242.
- Edy, J. A., & Meirick, P. C. (2007). Wanted, dead or alive: Media frames, frame adoption, and support for the war in Afghanistan. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 119-141.
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51-58.
- Esser, F., & D'Angelo, P. (2003). Framing the press and the publicity process: A content analysis of meta-coverage in campaign 2000 network news. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 46, 617-641.
- Eveland, W. P., Jr. (2001). The cognitive mediation model of learning from the news: Evidence from nonelection, off-year election, and presidential election contexts. *Communication Research*, 28, 571-601.
- Faust, K. (2006). Comparing social networks: Size, density, and local structure. *Metodoloski zvezki*, 3(2), 185-216.
- Feldman, S., & Conover, P. J. (1983). Candidates, issues and voters: The role of inference in political perception. *The Journal of Politics*, 45, 810-839.
- Ferejohn, J. A. (1990). Information and the electoral process. In J. A. Ferejohn & J. H. Kuklinski (Eds.), *Information and democratic process* (pp. 3-19). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Ferrand, L., & New, B. (2003). Semantic and associative priming in the mental lexicon. In P. Bonin (Ed.), *Mental lexicon: Some words to talk about words* (pp. 26-43). Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers.
- Finney, H. C. (1981). Improving the reliability of retrospective survey measures: Results of a longitudinal field survey. *Evaluation Review*, 5, 207-230.
- Fisher, K. (1997). Locating frames in the discursive universe. *Sociological Research Online*, 2(3).
- Fiske, S. T., & Kinder, D. R. (1981). Involvement, expertise, and schema use: Evidence from political cognition. In N. Cantor & J. Kihlstrom (Eds.), *Personality, cognition, and social interaction* (pp. 172-190). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Fiske, S. T., Kinder, D. R., & Larter, W. M. (1983). The novice and the expert: Knowledge-based strategies in political cognition. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 19, 381-400.
- Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). *Social cognition*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fossum, J. E., & Trenz, H.-J. (2006). *When the people come in: Constitution-making and the belated politicisation of the European Union*. Oslo, Norway: ARENA.
- Franklin, M. (2002). Learning from the Danish case: A comment on Palle Svensson's critique of the Franklin thesis. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41, 751-757.
- Franklin, M., Marsh, M., & Wlezien, C. (1994). Attitudes towards Europe and referendum votes: A response to Siune and Svensson. *Electoral Studies*, 13(2), 117-121.
- Früh, W. (1994). *Realitätsvermittlung durch Massenmedien: Die permanente Transformation der Wirklichkeit*. Opladen, Germany: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Gamson, W. A. (1988). The 1987 distinguished lecture: A constructionist approach to mass media and public opinion. *Symbolic Interaction*, 11(2), 161-174.
- Gamson, W. A. (1992). *Talking politics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Gamson, W. A. (1996). Media discourse as a framing resource. In A. N. Crigler (Ed.), *The psychology of political communication* (pp. 111-132). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Gamson, W. A., & Modigliani, A. (1987). The changing culture of affirmative action. In R. G. Braungart & M. M. Braungart (Eds.), *Research in Political Sociology* (Vol. Vol. 3, pp. 137-177). Greenwich, CN: JAI Press.
- Garramone, G. M., & Atkin, C. K. (1986). Mass communication and political socialization: Specifying the effects. *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50(1), 76-86.
- Garry, J., Marsh, M., & Sinnott, R. (2005). 'Second-order' versus 'issue-voting' effects in EU referendums: Evidence from the Irish Nice Treaty referendums. *European Union Politics*, 6, 201-221.
- Gerhards, J., & Rucht, D. (1992). Mesomobilization: Organizing framing in two protest campaigns in West Germany. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 555-595.
- Gilens, M. (2001). Political ignorance and collective policy preferences. *American Political Science Review*, 95, 379-396.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis: An essay on the organization of experience*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- van Gorp, B. (2005). Where is the frame? Victims and intruders in the Belgian press coverage of the asylum issue. *European Journal of Communication*, 20, 484-507.
- van Gorp, B. (2007). The constructionist approach to framing: Bringing culture back in. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 60-78.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- van Gorp, B. (2010). Strategies to take subjectivity out of framing analysis. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 84-109). New York: Routledge.
- van Gorp, B., & van der Goot, M. (2009). *Framing duurzame landbouw in België: Van de strijd tegen Frankenfood tot de stereotiepe keuterboer*. Paper presented at the Etmaal van de Communicatiewetenschap, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.
- Grabe, M. E., Lang, A., & Zhao, X. (2003). News content and form: Implications for memory and audience evaluations. *Communication Research*, 30, 587-413.
- Graber, D. A. (1988). *Processing the news: How people tame the information tide* (2 ed.). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Graber, D. A. (1989). Content and meaning: What's it all about? *American Behavioral Scientist*, 33(2), 144-152.
- Graber, D. A. (2001). *Processing politics: Learning from television in the internet age*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Graesser, A. C., Bertus, E. L., & Magliano, J. P. (1995). Inference generation during the comprehension of narrative text. In R. F. Lorch & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 295-320). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Granberg, D. (1993). Political perception. In S. Iyengar & W. J. McGuire (Eds.), *Explorations in political psychology* (pp. 70-112). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Green, D. W., Muncer, S. J., Heffernan, T., & McManus, I. C. (2003). Eliciting and representing the causal understanding of a social concept: A methodological and statistical comparison of two methods. *Papers on social representations - Textes sur les représentations sociales*, 12, 2.1-2.23.
- Greenwald, A. G. (1968). Cognitive learning, cognitive response to persuasion, and attitude change. In A. G. Greenwald, T. C. Brock & T. M. Ostrom (Eds.), *psychological foundations of attitudes* (pp. 147-170). New York: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics: Vol. 3: Speech acts*. New York: Academic Press.
- Griffiths, T. L., & Steyvers, M. (2002). *A probabilistic approach to semantic representation*. Paper presented at the 24th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society.
- Gross, K. (2000, 27-30 April 2000). *The limits of framing: How framing effects may be limited or enhanced by individual level predispositions*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, IL.
- Gross, K., & D'Ambrosio, L. (2004). Framing emotional response. *Political Psychology*, 25, 1-29.
- Hagen, L. M. (1992). Die opportunen Zeugen. Konstruktionsmechanismen von Bias in der Zeitungsberichterstattung über die Volkszählungsdiskussion. *Publizistik*, 37, 444-460.
- Hardyck, J. A. (1968). Predicting response to a negative evaluation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 9(2), 128-132.
- Harmsen, R. (2007, 17-19 May 2007). *Reframing a national narrative: The shifting contours of the Dutch European debate*. Paper presented at the 10th Biennial International Conference of the European Union Studies Association, Montreal, Canada.
- Haste, H. (1992). The dissolution of the right in the wake of theory. In G. M. Breakwell (Ed.), *Social Psychology of Political and Economic Cognition* (pp. 33-76). London: Surrey University Press.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Hellsten, I., Dawson, J., & Leydesdorff, L. (forthcoming). Implicit media frames: Automated analysis of public debate on artificial sweeteners. *Public Understanding of Science*.
- Hewstone, M. (1986). *Understanding attitudes towards the European Community: A social-psychological study in four member states*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Higgins, E. T. (1996). Knowledge activation: Accessibility, applicability, and salience. In E. T. Higgins & A. W. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 133-168). New York: Guilford Press.
- Himmelweit, H. T., Humphreys, P., Jaeger, M., & Katz, M. (1981). *How voters decide: A longitudinal study of political attitudes and voting extending over fifteen years*. London: Academic Press.
- Hobolt, S. B. (2005). When Europe matters: The impact of political information on voting behavior in EU referendums. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 15, 85-109.
- Hobolt, S. B. (2007). Taking cues on Europe: Voter competence and party endorsements in referendums on European integration. *European Journal of Political Research*, 46, 151-182.
- Hobolt, S. B. (2009). *Europe in question: Referendums on European integration*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Höijer, B. (1990). Studying viewers' reception of television programmes: Theoretical and methodological considerations. *European Journal of Communication*, 5, 29-56.
- Holyoak, K. J., & Thagard, P. (1995). *Mental leaps: Analogy in creative thought*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Huang, L.-N. (2000). Examining candidate information search processes: The impact of processing goals and sophistication. *Journal of Communication*, 50, 93-114.
- Huckfeldt, R., & Sprague, J. (1990). Social order and political chaos: The structural setting of political information. In J. A. Ferejohn & J. H. Kuklinski (Eds.), *Information and democratic process* (pp. 23-58). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Huge, M., & Glynn, C. J. (2010). Hostile media and the campaign trail: Perceived media bias in the race for governor. *Journal of Communication*, 60(165-181).
- Iguarta, J.-J., & Cheng, L. (2009). Moderating effect of group cue while processing news on immigration: Is the framing effect a heuristic process? *Journal of Communication*, 59, 726-749.
- Ingwersen, P. (1992). *Information retrieval interaction*. London: Taylor Graham.
- Ivaldi, G. (2006). Beyond France's 2005 referendum on the European Constitutional Treaty: Second-order model, anti-establishment attitudes and the end of the alternative European utopia. *West European Politics*, 29, 47-69.
- Iyengar, S. (1990). Shortcuts to political knowledge: The role of selective attention and accessibility. In J. A. Ferejohn & J. H. Kuklinski (Eds.), *Information and democratic process* (pp. 160-185). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Iyengar, S. (1991). *Is anyone responsible?: How television frames political issues*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Iyengar, S. (2010). Framing: The next steps. In B. Schaffner & P. Sellers (Eds.), *Winning with words: The origins and impact of political framing* (pp. 185-191). New York: Routledge.
- Iyengar, S., & Simon, A. F. (2000). New perspectives and evidence on political communication and campaign effects. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51, 149-169.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Johnson, B. T., Smith-McLallen, A., Killeya, L. A., & Levin, K. D. (2004). Truth or consequences: Overcoming resistance to persuasion with positive thinking. In E. S. Knowles & J. A. Linn (Eds.), *Resistance and persuasion* (pp. 215-233). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Johnston, H. (1995). A methodology for frame analysis: From discourse to cognitive schemata. In H. Johnston & B. Klandermans (Eds.), *Social movements and culture*. London: UCL Press.
- Just, M. R., Crigler, A. N., Alger, D. E., Cook, T. E., Kern, M., & West, D. M. (1996). *Crosstalk: Citizens, candidates, and the media in a presidential campaign*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Kevin, D. (2003). *Europe in the media: A comparison of reporting, representation, and rhetoric in national media systems in Europe*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kim, H. S., & Rhee, J. W. (2009, 22-25 May 2009). *Framing and trans-framing: A mental models approach to news framing effects*. Paper presented at the ICA Annual Conference, Chicago, IL.
- Kinder, D. R. (2007). Curmudgeonly advice. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 155-162.
- Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A construction-integration model. *Psychological Review*, 95, 163-182.
- Kintsch, W. (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kintsch, W., & Franzke, M. (1995). The role of background knowledge in the recall of a news story. In R. F. Lorch & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 321-333). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kitzinger, J. (1994). The methodology of focus groups: The importance of interaction between research participants. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 16(1), 103-122.
- Kleinnijenhuis, J., Takens, J., & van Atteveldt, W. (2005). Toen Europa de dagbladen ging vullen. In K. Aarts & H. van der Kolk (Eds.), *Nederlanders in Europa: Het referendum over de Europese grondwet* (pp. 123-144). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Uitgeverij Bert Bakker.
- Knowles, E. S., & Linn, J. A. (2004). The importance of resistance to persuasion. In E. S. Knowles & J. A. Linn (Eds.), *Resistance and persuasion* (pp. 3-10). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kosicki, G. M., & McLeod, J. M. (1990). Learning from political news: Effects of media images and information-processing strategies. In S. Kraus (Ed.), *Mass communication and political information processing* (pp. 69-83). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Krackhardt, D. (1988). Predicting with networks: Nonparametric multiple regression analysis of dyadic data. *Social Networks*, 10, 359-381.
- Krackhardt, D. (1992). A caveat on the use of the Quadratic Assignment Procedure. *Journal of Quantitative Anthropology*, 3, 279-296.
- Kuhltau, C. C. (1999). Investigating patterns in information seeking: Concepts in contexts. In T. D. Wilson & D. K. Allen (Eds.), *Exploring the contexts of information behaviour* (pp. 10-20). London: Taylor Graham.
- Kuklinski, J. H., & Hurley, N., L. (1996). It's a matter of interpretation. In D. C. Mutz, P. M. Sniderman & R. A. Brody (Eds.), *Political persuasion and attitude change* (pp. 125-144). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Kuklinski, J. H., Luskin, R. C., & Bolland, J. (1992). Where is the schema? Going beyond the 'S' word in political psychology. *American Political Science Review*, 85, 1341-1356.
- Kuklinski, J. H., & Quirk, P. J. (2000). Reconsidering the rational public: Cognition, heuristics, and mass opinion. In A. Lupia, M. D. McCubbins & S. L. Popkin (Eds.), *Elements of reason*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kumlin, S. (2000). *Ideology-Driven Public Opinion Formation in Europe: The Case of Third Sector Attitudes in Sweden* (No. FS III 00-202). Berlin, Germany: WZB (Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung).
- Lane, R. E. (1962). *Political ideology: Why the American common man believes what he does*. New York: Free Press of Glencoe.
- Lang, K., & Lang, G. E. (1990). Collective memory and the news. In S. Kraus (Ed.), *Mass communication and political information processing* (pp. 19-35). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Langacker, R. W. (1998). Conceptualization, symbolization, and grammar. In M. Tomasello (Ed.), *The new psychology of language: Cognitive and functional approaches to language Structure* (Vol. 1, pp. 1-39). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lau, R. R., & Redlawsk, D. P. (2001). Advantages and disadvantages of cognitive heuristics in political decision making. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45, 951-971.
- Lavine, H. (2001). The electoral consequences of ambivalence towards presidential candidates. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45, 915-929.
- LeBoeuf, R., & Shafir, E. (2003). Deep thoughts and shallow frames: On the susceptibility to framing effects. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 16, 77-92.
- Lecheler, S. K. (2010). *Framing politics*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Lecheler, S. K., & de Vreese, C. H. (2010). *What a difference a day made? The effects of repetitive and competitive news framing over time*. Paper presented at the Etmaal van de Communicatiewetenschap, Ghent, Belgium.
- Lecheler, S. K., de Vreese, C. H., & Slothuus, R. (2009). Issue Importance as a Moderator of Framing Effects. *Communication Research*, 36, 400-425.
- Lenart, S. (1994). *Shaping political attitudes: The impact of interpersonal communication and mass media*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Leydesdorff, L. (2008). On the normalization and visualization of author co-citation data: Salton's cosine versus the Jaccard index. *Journal of the American Society of Information Science and Technology*, 59, 77-85.
- de Liver, Y., van der Pligt, J., & Wigboldus, D. (2007). Positive and negative associations underlying ambivalent attitudes. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 43, 319-326.
- Lodge, J., Tripp, G., & Harte, D. K. (2000). Think-aloud, thought-listing, and video-mediated recall procedures in the assessment of children's self-talk. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 24, 399-418.
- Lodge, M., & Taber, C. (2000). Three steps toward a theory of motivated political reasoning. In A. Lupia, M. D. McCubbins & S. L. Popkin (Eds.), *Elements of reason* (pp. 183-213). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Long, D. L., & Lea, R. B. (2005). Have we been searching for meaning in all the wrong places? Defining the "search after meaning" principle in comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 39, 279-298.

- Lowe, W. (2001). *Towards a theory of semantic space*. Paper presented at the 21st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, Edinburgh, UK.
- Luke, A. (1989). Open and closed texts: The ideological/semantic analysis of textbook narratives. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 13, 53-80.
- Lunt, P., & Livingstone, S. (1996). Rethinking the focus group in media and communications research. *Journal of Communication*, 46(2), 79-98.
- Maheswaran, D., & Meyers-Levy, J. (1990). The influence of message framing and issue involvement. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 27, 361-367.
- Matthes, J. (2007). Beyond accessibility? Toward an on-line and memory-based model of framing effects. *Communications*, 32, 51-78.
- Matthes, J., & Kohring, M. (2004). Die empirische Erfassung von Medien-Frames. *Medien und Kommunikationswissenschaft*, 52(2), 56-75.
- Matthes, J., & Kohring, M. (2008). The content analysis of media frames: Toward improving reliability and validity. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 258-279.
- McCombs, M. E. (2004). *Setting the agenda: The mass media and public opinion*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- McGraw, K., & Pinney, N. (1990). The effects of general and domain-specific expertise on political memory and judgment processes. *Social Cognition*, 8(9), 9-30.
- Medrano, J. D. (2003). *Framing Europe: Attitudes to European integration in Germany, Spain, and the United Kingdom*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Merelman, R. M. (1969). The dramaturgy of politics. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 1, 216-243.
- Merton, R. K., Fiske, M., & Kendall, P. L. (1956). *The focussed interview: A manual of problems and procedures*. Glencoe, IL: The Free Press.
- Miller, J. M., & Peterson, D. A. M. (2004). Theoretical and empirical implications of attitude strength. *The Journal of Politics*, 66, 847-867.
- Milner, H. (2006). 'Yes to the Europe I want; No to this one.': Some reflections on France's rejection of the EU constitution. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 39, 257-258.
- Mishler, E. G. (1986). *Research Interviewing: Context and Narrative*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mitsikopoulou, B. (2008). Introduction: The branding of political entities as discursive practice. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 7, 353-371.
- Moloney, G., & Walker, I. (2000). Messiahs, pariahs, and donors: The development of social representations of organ transplants. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 30, 203-227.
- Moloney, G., & Walker, I. (2002). Talking about transplants: Social representations and the dialectical, dilemmatic nature of organ donation and transplantation. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 41, 299-320.
- Morgan, S. E. (2009). The intersection of conversation, cognitions, and campaigns: The social representation of organ donation. *Communication Theory*, 19, 29-48.
- Morrison, D. E. (1998). *The search for a method: Focus groups and the development of mass communication research*. Luton, UK: University of Luton Press.
- Moscovici, S. (1961). *La psychanalyse, son image et son public*. Paris, France: P.U.F.
- Moscovici, S. (1986). L'ère des représentations sociales. In W. Doise & A. Palmonari (Eds.), *L'étude des représentations sociales* (pp. 34-80). Neuchâtel, France: Delachaux & Niestlé.

- Neijens, P., & van Praag, P. (2006). The dynamics of opinion formation in local popular referendums: Why the Dutch always say no. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 18, 445-462.
- Nelson, T. E., & Oxley, Z. M. (1999). Issue framing effects on belief importance and opinion. *The Journal of Politics*, 61, 1040-1067.
- Nelson, T. E., Oxley, Z. M., & Clawson, R. A. (1997). Toward a psychology of framing effects. *Political Behaviour*, 19, 221-246.
- Nelson, T. E., & Willey, E. A. (2001). Issues that strike a value balance: A political psychology perspective. In S. D. Reese, O. H. Gandy, Jr. & A. E. Grant (Eds.), *Framing public life: Perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world* (pp. 245-266). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Nelson, T. E., Wittmer, D. E., & Shortle, A. F. (2010). Framing and value recruitment in the debate over teaching evolution. In B. Schaffner & P. Sellers (Eds.), *Winning with words: The origins and impact of political framing* (pp. 11-40). New York: Routledge.
- Neuman, R. W., Just, M. R., & Crigler, A. N. (1992). *Common knowledge: News and the construction of political meaning*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Newton, K. (1999). Mass media effects: Mobilization or media malaise? *British Journal of Political Science*, 29, 577-599.
- Nijboer, A. (2005). People's vengeance: The Dutch referendum. *European Constitutional Law Review*, 1, 393-405.
- Nisbet, M. C., Brossard, D., & Kroepsch, A. (2003). Framing science: The stem cell controversy in an age of press/politics. *The Harvard Journal of Press/Politics*, 8(2), 36-70.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Wilson, T. D. (1977). Telling more than we can know: Verbal reports on mental processes. *Psychological Review*, 84, 231-260.
- Noakes, J. A., & Johnston, H. (2005). Frames of protest: A road map to a perspective. In J. A. Noakes & H. Johnston (Eds.), *Frames of protest: Social movements and the framing perspective* (pp. 1-32). Oxford, UK: Rowman & Littlefield.
- de Nooy, W., Batagelj, V., & Mrvar, A. (2005). *Exploratory social network analysis with Pajek*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Oliver, P. E., & Johnston, H. (2005). What a good idea! Ideologies and frames in social movement research. In J. A. Noakes & H. Johnston (Eds.), *Frames of protest: Social movements and the framing perspective* (pp. 185-204). Oxford, UK: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Ortony, A., Clore, G. L., & Collins, A. M. (1988). *The cognitive structure of emotions*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Ottati, V. C., & Wyer, R. S. J. (1990). The cognitive mediators of political choice: Toward a comprehensive model of political information processing. In J. A. Ferejohn & J. H. Kuklinski (Eds.), *Information and democratic process* (pp. 186-216). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Pan, Z., & Kosicki, G. M. (1993). Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. *Political Communication*, 10, 55-75.
- Peffley, M., & Hurwitz, J. (2007). Persuasion and resistance: Race and the death penalty in America. *American Journal of Political Science*, 51, 996-1012.
- Pennington, N., & Hastie, R. (1986). Evidence evaluation in complex decision making. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 242-258.
- Pennington, N., & Hastie, R. (1988). Explanation-based decision making: Effects of memory structure on judgment. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 14, 521-533.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Peter, J. (2003). *Why European TV news matters: A cross-national analysis of TV news about the European Union and its effects*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Peter, J., Semetko, H. A., & de Vreese, C. H. (2003). EU politics on television news. *European Union Politics*, 4, 305-327.
- Petersen, M. B., Slothuus, R., & Togeby, L. (forthcoming). Political parties and value consistency in public opinion formation. *Public Opinion Quarterly*.
- Peterson, D. A. M. (2004). Certainty or accessibility: Attitude strength in candidate evaluations. *American Journal of Political Science*, 48, 513-520.
- Petty, R. E., Brinol, P., & DeMarree, K. G. (2007). The meta-cognitive model (MCM) of attitudes: Implications for attitude measurement, change, and strength. *Social Cognition*, 25, 657-686.
- Popkin, S. L. (1991). *The reasoning voter: Communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Popkin, S. L. (1993). Decision making in presidential primaries. In S. Iyengar & W. J. McGuire (Eds.), *Explorations in political psychology* (pp. 361-379). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Price, V., & Tewksbury, D. (1997). News values and public opinion: A theoretical account of media priming and framing. In G. A. Barnett & F. J. Boster (Eds.), *Progress in the communication sciences* (Vol. 13, pp. 173-212). Greenwich, CT: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Price, V., Tewksbury, D., & Powers, E. (1997). Switching trains of thought. *Communication Research*, 24, 481-506.
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1981). Search of associative memory. *Psychological Review*, 88(2), 93-134.
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1992). Models for recall and recognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 43, 205-234.
- Read, S. J., Vanman, E. J., & Miller, L. C. (1997). Connectionism, parallel constraint satisfaction processes, and gestalt principles: (Re)introducing cognitive dynamics to social psychology. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 1, 26-53.
- Reese, S. D. (2007). The framing project: A bridging model for media research revisited. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 148-154.
- Reese, S. D. (2010). Finding frames in a web of culture: The case of the war on terror. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 17-42). New York: Routledge.
- Rhee, J. W. (1997). Strategy and issue frames in election campaign coverage: A social cognitive account of framing effects. *Journal of Communication*, 47, 26-48.
- Robinson, J. P., & Levy, M. R. (1986). *The main source: Learning from television news*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Roseman, I. J. (1991). Appraisal determinants of discrete emotions. *Cognition and Emotion*, 5, 161-200.
- Rucker, D. D., Petty, R. E., & Brinol, P. (2008). What's in a frame anyway? A meta-cognitive analysis of the impact of one versus two sided message framing on attitude certainty. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 18, 137-149.
- Sanjose, V., Vidal-Abarca, E., & Padilla, O. M. (2006). A connectionist extension to Kintsch's Construction-Integration model. *Discourse Processes*, 42, 1-35.

- Sapiro, V., & Soss, J. (1999). Spectacular politics, dramatic interpretations: Multiple meanings in the Thomas/Hill hearings. *Political Communication*, 16, 285-314.
- Saracevic, T. (1996). Relevance reconsidered. In *Information science: Integration in perspectives. Proceedings of the Second Conference on Conceptions of Library and Information Science (CoLIS 2)* (pp. 201-218). Copenhagen, Denmark: CoLIS.
- Schaap, G. (2006). *The structure and complexity of news interpretation and their relation to viewer characteristics and news subject*. Paper presented at the IAMCR Conference, Cairo, Egypt.
- Schaap, G., König, R., Renckstorf, K., & Wester, F. (2005). Measuring the complexity of viewers' television news interpretation: Differentiation. *Communications*, 30, 459-475.
- Schaap, G., Renckstorf, K., & Wester, F. (2005). Conceptualizing television news interpretation by its viewers: The concept of interpretative complexity. *Communications*, 30, 269-291.
- Scheuer, A. (2005). *How Europeans see Europe: Structure and dynamics of European legitimacy beliefs*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Scheufele, B. T. (2003). *Frames - Framing - Framing-Effekte: Theoretische und methodische Grundlegung sowie empirische Befunde zur Nachrichtenproduktion*. Wiesbaden, Germany: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Scheufele, B. T. (2004a). Framing-effects approach: A theoretical and methodological critique. *Communications*, 29, 401-428.
- Scheufele, B. T. (2004b). Framing-Effekte auf dem Prüfstand: Eine theoretische, methodische und empirische Auseinandersetzung mit der Wirkungsperspektive des Framing-Ansatzes. *Medien und Kommunikationswissenschaft*, 52(4), 30-55.
- Scheufele, B. T. (2006). Frames, schemata, and news reporting. *Communications*, 31, 65-83.
- Scheufele, B. T., & Scheufele, D. A. (2010). Of spreading activation, applicability, and schemas: Conceptual distinctions and their operational implications for measuring frames and framing effects. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 110-134). New York: Routledge.
- Scheufele, D. A. (1999). Framing as a theory of media effects. *Journal of Communication*, 49, 103-122.
- Scheufele, D. A., & Tewksbury, D. (2007). Framing, agenda setting, and priming: The evolution of three media effects models. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 9-20.
- Schönbach, K. (1983). *Das unterschätzte Medium: Politische Wirkungen von Presse und Fernsehen im Vergleich* (Vol. 16). München, Germany: K. G. Saur.
- Schuck, A. R. T., & de Vreese, C. H. (2006). Between Risk and Opportunity: News Framing and its Effects on Public Support for EU Enlargement. *European Journal of Communication*, 21, 5-32.
- Schuck, A. R. T., & de Vreese, C. H. (2008). The Dutch no to the EU constitution: Assessing the role of EU skepticism and the campaign. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 18, 101-128.
- Schulz, W. (1976). *Die Konstruktion von Realität in den Nachrichtenmedien*. Freiburg, Germany: Alber.
- Scott, B. (2001). Gordon Pask's conversation theory: A domain independent constructivist model of human knowing. *Foundations of Science*, 6, 343-360.
- Semetko, H. A., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2000). Framing European politics: A content analysis of press and television news. *Journal of Communication*, 50, 93-109.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Shah, D. V., Boyle, M. P., Schmierbach, M., Keum, H., & Armstrong, C. L. (2010). Specificity, complexity, and validity: Rescuing experimental research on framing effects. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 215-232). New York: Routledge.
- Shah, D. V., Domke, D., & Wackman, D. B. (2001). The effects of value-framing on political judgment and reasoning. In S. D. Reese, O. H. Gandy, Jr. & A. E. Grant (Eds.), *Framing public life: Perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world* (pp. 227-243). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Shah, D. V., Kwak, N., Schmierbach, M., & Zubric, J. (2004). The interplay of news frames on cognitive complexity. *Human Communication Research*, 30.
- Shelton, J. R., & Martin, R. C. (1992). How semantic is automatic priming. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 18, 1191-1210.
- Shen, F. (2004). Chronic accessibility and individual cognitions: Examining the effects of message frames in political advertisements. *Journal of Communication*, 54, 123-137.
- Shoemaker, P. J., & Reese, S. D. (1996). *Mediating the message* (2nd ed.). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Shu, M. (2003). *Nonseparable preferences, public opinion and referendums on European integration*. Paper presented at the ECPR General Conference, Marburg, Germany.
- Sibley, C. G., Liu, J. H., & Kirkwood, S. (2006). Toward a social representations theory of attitude change: The effect of message framing on general and specific attitudes toward equality and entitlement. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 35, 3-13.
- Simpson, W. (2003). *QAP: The quadratic assignment procedure*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Siune, K., Svensson, P., & Tonsgaard, O. (1994). The European Union: The Danes said 'No' in 1992 but 'Yes' in 1993: How and why? *Electoral Studies*, 13(2), 107-116.
- Slater, M. D. (2004). Operationalizing and analyzing exposure: the foundation of media effects research. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 81, 168-183.
- Slothuus, R. (2008). More than weighting cognitive importance: A dual process model of issue framing effects. *Political Psychology*, 29, 1-28.
- Slothuus, R. (forthcoming). When can political parties lead public opinion? Evidence from a natural experiment. *Political Communication*.
- Slothuus, R., & de Vreese, C. H. (forthcoming). Political parties, motivated reasoning, and issue framing effects. *The Journal of Politics*.
- Sniderman, P. M. (2000). Taking sides: A fixed choice theory of political reasoning. In A. Lupia, M. D. McCubbins & S. L. Popkin (Eds.), *Elements of reason: Cognition, choice, and the bounds of rationality* (pp. 67-84). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sniderman, P. M., Glaser, J. M., & Griffin, J. (1990). Information and electoral choice. In J. A. Ferejohn & J. H. Kuklinski (Eds.), *Information and democratic process* (pp. 117-135). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Sniderman, P. M., & Theriault, S. M. (2004). The structure of political argument and the logic of issue framing. In W. E. Saris & P. M. Sniderman (Eds.), *Studies in public opinion: Attitudes, nonattitudes, measurement error, and change* (pp. 133-165). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Snijders, T. A. B., van de Bunt, G. G., & Steglich, C. (2010). Introduction to stochastic actor-based models for network dynamics. *Social Networks*, 32, 44-60.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Snow, D. A., & Benford, R. D. (1992). Master frames and cycles of protest. In A. Morris & C. Mueller (Eds.), *Frontiers in social movement theory*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Sotirovic, M. (2003). How individuals explain social problems: The influences of media use. *Journal of Communication*, 53, 122-137.
- Southwell, B. G. (2005). Between messages and people: A multilevel model of memory for television content. *Communication Research*, 32, 112-140.
- Southwell, B. G., & Yzer, M. C. (2009). When (and why) interpersonal talk matters for campaigns. *Communication Theory*, 19, 1-8.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Steyvers, M., & Tenenbaum, J. B. (2005). The large-scale structure of semantic networks: Statistical analyses and a model of semantic growth. *Cognitive Science*, 29, 41-79.
- Svensson, P. (2002). Five Danish referendums on the European Community and European Union: A critical assessment of the Franklin thesis. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41, 733-750.
- Taber, C., & Lodge, M. (2006). Motivated skepticism in the evaluation of political beliefs. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50, 755-769.
- Takens, J. (2006). *A negative Campaign? A study of the news coverage of the European Constitution and its effects*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Free University Amsterdam.
- Talja, S., Keso, H., & Pietiläinen, T. (1999). The production of 'context' in information seeking research: A metatheoretical view. *Information Processing and Management*, 35, 751-763.
- Tapiero, I., van den Broek, P., & Quintana, M.-P. (2002). The mental representation of narrative texts as networks: The role of necessity and sufficiency in the detection of different types of causal relations. *Discourse Processes*, 34, 237-258.
- Tewksbury, D., Jones, J., Peske, M. W., Raymond, A., & Vig, W. (2000). The interaction of news and advocate frames: Manipulating audience perceptions of a local public policy issue. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 77, 804-829.
- Tewksbury, D., & Scheufele, D. A. (2009). News framing theory and research. In J. Bryant & M. B. Oliver (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (pp. 17-33). New York: Routledge.
- Thomassen, J. J. A. (2006). Nederlanders in Europa. Een bekoelde liefde? In K. Aarts & H. van der Kolk (Eds.), *Nederlanders in Europa: Het referendum over de Europese grondwet* (pp. 64-86). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Uitgeverij Bert Bakker.
- Tourangeau, R., & Rasinski, K. A. (1988). Cognitive processes underlying context effects in attitude measurement. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 299-314.
- Triandafyllidou, A., & Fotiou, A. (1998). Sustainability and modernity in the European Union: A frame theory approach to policy-making. *Sociological Research Online*, 3(1).
- Triandafyllidou, A., & Kotic, A. (2002). *Nation and Europe in the Italian public discourse: A comparative analysis of the media and political elite debates*. San Domenico di Fiesole, Italy: European University Institute.
- Tsoukalas, I. (2006). A method for studying social representations. *Quality & Quantity*, 40, 959-981.
- Tuchman, G. (1978). *Making news: A study in the construction of reality*. New York: Free Press.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211, 453-458.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Valentino, N. A., Hutchings, V. L., & Williams, D. (2004). The impact of political advertising on knowledge, internet information seeking, and candidate preference. *Journal of Communication*, *54*, 337-354.
- Valkenburg, P. M., Semetko, H. A., & de Vreese, C. H. (1999). The effects of news frames on readers' thoughts and recall. *Communication Research*, *26*, 550-569.
- Veling, A., & van der Weerd, P. (1999). *Conceptual grouping in word co-occurrence networks*. Paper presented at the 16th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI), Stockholm, Sweden.
- Visser, P. S., Holbrook, A., & Krosnick, J. A. (2007). Knowledge and attitudes. In W. Donsbach & M. Traugott (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook on Public Opinion Research* (pp. 127-140). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2004a). The effects of frames in political television news on audience perceptions of routine political news. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, *81*, 36-52.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2004b). The effects of strategic news on political cynicism, issue evaluations, and policy support: A two-wave experiment. *Mass Communication & Society*, *7*, 191-214.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2004c). *Public support for the EU enlargement*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2005). News framing: Theory and typology. *Informational Design Journal + Document Design*, *13*(1), 51-62.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2006). Political parties in dire straits? Consequences of national referendums for political parties. *Party Politics*, *12*, 581-598.
- de Vreese, C. H. (2010). Framing the economy: Effects of journalistic news frames. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing news framing analysis: Empirical and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 187-214). New York: Routledge.
- de Vreese, C. H., & Boomgaarden, H. (2006). News, political knowledge and participation: The differential effects of news media exposure on political knowledge and participation. *Acta Politica*, *41*, 317-341.
- de Vreese, C. H., & Boomgarden, H. (2003). Valenced news frames and public support for the EU. *Communications*, *28*, 361-381.
- de Vreese, C. H., & Boomgarden, H. (2006). Media message flows and interpersonal communication: The conditional nature of effects on public opinion. *Communication Research*, *33*, 19-37.
- de Vreese, C. H., Peter, J., & Semetko, H. A. (2001). Framing politics at the launch of the Euro: A cross-national comparative study of frames in the news. *Political Communication*, *18*(2), 107-122.
- de Vreese, C. H., & Semetko, H. A. (2004). *Political campaigning in referendums: Framing the referendum issue*. London: Routledge.
- Walgrave, S., & van Aelst, P. (2006). The contingency of the mass media's political agenda setting power: Toward a preliminary theory. *Journal of Communication*, *56*, 88-109.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social network analysis: Methods and applications*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

COMMUNICATION, CONTEXTUALIZATION, & COGNITION

- Wegener, D. T., Petty, R. E., Smoak, N. D., & Fabrigar, L. R. (2004). Multiple routes to resisting attitude change. In E. S. Knowles & J. A. Linn (Eds.), *Resistance and persuasion* (pp. 13-38). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Willnat, L. (1997). Agenda setting and priming: Conceptual links and differences. In M. E. McCombs, D. L. Shaw & D. Weaver (Eds.), *Communication and democracy: Exploring the intellectual frontiers in agenda-setting theory* (pp. 51-66). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Wolfe, M. B. W., Schreiner, M. E., Rehder, B., Laham, D., Foltz, P. W., Kintsch, W., et al. (1998). Learning from text: Matching readers and texts by Latent Semantic Analysis. *Discourse Processes*, 25(2&3), 309-336.
- Zaller, J. R. (1992). *The nature and origins of mass opinion*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Zaller, J. R., & Feldman, S. (1992). A simple theory of the survey response: Answering questions versus revealing preferences. *American Journal of Political Science*, 36, 579-616.
- Zeelenberg, R., Pecher, D., Shiffrin, R. M., & Raaijmakers, J. G. W. (2003). Semantic context effects and priming in word association. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 10, 653-660.
- Zhou, Y., & Moy, P. (2007). Parsing framing processes: The interplay between online public opinion and media coverage. *Journal of Communication*, 57, 79-98.