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ABSTRACT: Herein we report a ligand-centered redox-controlled strategy for the synthesis of an unusual binuclear diradical cobalt(III) complex, [Co^{III}(L^{4−})_{2}] (1), featuring two three-electron reduced trianionic monoradical 2,9-bis(phenyldiazoo)-1,10-phenanthroline ligands (L^{4−}) and two intermediate-spin cobalt(III) centers having a Co-Co bond. Controlled ligand-centered oxidation of 1 afforded two mononuclear complexes, [Co^{II}(L^{−•})(L^{3−})]^+ ([3])^+ and [Co^{II}(L^{3−})]^2+ ([2])^2+), which upon further ligand-centered reduction yielded a di-azo-anion diradical complex, [Co^{II}(L^{−•})_{2}] (4). In complex 1, two three-electron reduced di-azo-anion monoradical ligands (L^{3−}) bridge two intermediate Co(III) centers at a distance of 2.387(2) Å, while upon oxidation, one of the coordinating azo-arms of L becomes pendent, and in complexes [2]^{2+}, [3]^+, and 4, two tetradeutate ligands coordinate a single Co(II) center in a tridentate meridional fashion with one uncoordinated azo-arm from each of the ligands. In the presence of reducing agents, the monomers [2]^{2+}, [3]^+, and 4 undergo ligand-centered reduction to form azo-anion radicals, and the otherwise pendent azo-arms in the presence of cobalt(II)-salts like Co(ClO$_4$)$_2$ or CoCl$_2$ bind the second Co(II)-ion; further internal electron transfer from the cobalt center to the arylazo backbone produces the binuclear complex 1. Spectroscopic analysis, DFT studies, and control experiments were performed to understand the electronic structures and the ligand-centered redox-controlled interconversion. The application of complex 1 as a molecular memory device (memristor) was also explored. Complex 1 showed encouraging results as a memristor with a current ON/OFF ratio > 10^4 and is highly promising for resistive RAM/ROM applications.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of various artificial intelligence (AI) related problem-solving protocols such as real-time voice recognition, pattern recognition, image processing, live health monitoring and supporting system, instantaneous decision-making algorithms, and many more has resulted in the need for huge information processing and storage capabilities. Quantum dots (QDs), nanomorphics computing. Various materials, including organic semiconductors (OSCs), polymers, metal oxides, quantum dots (QDs), and two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have been explored to develop high-performance memristors. Among these, the oxide-based devices produce the most promising results; however, their applications are restricted because of the high voltage/current and large set/reset voltage. On the other hand, organic semiconductors are attracting considerable interest due to their tunable electronic properties and easy solution processability. Despite significant efforts, these devices, however, suffer from reproducibility, slow switching speed, stability, endurance, and scalability.

In this regard, coordination complexes containing organic ligands bound with a metal center offer a promising alternative. The extra stability gained by organic compounds (ligands) upon metal-ion coordination may overcome the short lifetime and thermal instability issues of organic semiconductors for various electronic and optoelectronic applications. Moreover, the redox-active nature of the coordinated metal ions adds an extra advantage over the simple organic semiconductors. Furthermore, if both the coordinated organic compound (ligand) and the metal ions are
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redox-active, the metal and the ligand can cooperate during electron transfer processes.

The chemistry of transition metal complexes of redox-active organic molecules (ligands) is currently undergoing a renaissance because these ligands when coordinated to transition metal ions can play an important role in controlling the overall redox properties of the complexes. Initially, the research in this area was focused on understanding the ambiguous electronic structure description, which later on shifted to catalysis, and very recently, it turned more toward physical applications. In recent years, this class of complexes has also been used for the fabrication of various semiconductor devices.

Herein, we report a ligand-centered redox-controlled strategy for the synthesis of an unusual binuclear diradical cobalt(III) complex, $[\text{Co}_{2}^{\text{III}}(\text{L}^{3^-})_{2}]$ (1), featuring two three-electron reduced trianionic monoradical 2,9-bis(phenyldiazo)-1,10-phenanthroline ligands ($\text{L}^{3^-}$) and two intermediate-spin cobalt(III) centers having a Co–Co bond. Controlled ligand-centered oxidation of 1 afforded two mononuclear complexes, $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{L}^{*})(\text{L}^{3^-})]^{+}$ (2)$^{2+}$ and $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{L}^{3^-})_{2}]^{2+}$ (2)$^{2+}$, which upon further ligand-centered reduction yielded a di-azo-anion diradical complex, $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{L}^{*})_{2}]$ (4). In complex 1, two three-electron reduced di-azo-anion monoradical ligands ($\text{L}^{3^-}$) bridge two intermediate Co(III) centers at a distance of 2.387(2) Å, while upon oxidation, one of the coordinating azo-arms of L becomes pendent, and in complexes [2]$^{2+}$, [3]$^{+}$, and 4, two tetradentate ligands coordinate a single Co(II) center in a tridentate meridional fashion with one uncoordinated azo-arm from each of the ligands. In the presence of reducing agents, the monomers [2]$^{2+}$, [3]$^{+}$, and 4 undergo ligand-centered reduction, and the otherwise pendent azo-arms in the presence of cobalt(II)-salts like Co(ClO$_4$)$_2$ or CoCl$_2$ bind the second Co(II)-ion; further internal electron transfer from the cobalt center to the arylazo backbone produces the binuclear complex 1. Spectroscopic analysis, DFT studies, and control experiments were performed to understand the electronic structures and the facile ligand-centered redox-controlled interconversion between the mononuclear and the binuclear species. Current–voltage ($I$–$V$) characteristics (in the solid state) were studied to explore the plausible application of 1 and [2](ClO$_4$)$_2$ as a molecular data storage device (memristor) through the novel resistive switching phenomenon.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the Cobalt Complexes
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis, Characterization, and Redox-Induced Interconversion

The reaction of the neutral ligand 2,9-bis(phenyldiazo)-1,10-phenanthroline (L°) with Co₂(CO)₈ under an inert atmosphere in toluene at 110 °C afforded a binuclear reddish-brown color complex, [Co₂(II)(L•−)]₂⁺ (1), in 72% yield (Scheme 1). On the other hand, the reaction of Co(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O with L° produces a six-coordinate dicationic mononuclear Co(II) complex, [Co(II)(L)]₂(ClO₄)₂ ([Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺), in 80% yield (Scheme 1). Complex [Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺ upon one-electron reduction with cobaltocene transforms to a monocationic brown-colored monoradical complex, [Co(II)(L−)(L•−)](ClO₄)²⁻ ([Co(II)(L−)(L•−)])²⁻, while upon two-electron reduction, a diradical species, [Co(II)(L•−)(L•−)]₂ (4), is formed. Complex 4 was also obtained from the stoichiometric reaction of Co₂(CO)₈ with L° in THF at room temperature. Interestingly, in the presence of reducing agents like cobaltocene or KOtBu, the reaction of the preformed monomers [Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺, [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺, or 4 with Co(II)Cl·6H₂O or Co(II)(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O produces the binuclear complex 1 in 42% yield. Notably, the stoichiometric reaction of Co₂(CO)₈ with [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺ and 4 also afforded the binuclear complex 1 (Scheme 2). On the other hand, the oxidation of 1 using ferrocenium cation produces [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺ and [Co(II)(L−)(L•−)]²⁺, respectively.

Elemental analyses support the formulation of these complexes. Complex 1 is diamagnetic and shows ¹H NMR signals in the 6.47−8.54 ppm range. Complexes [Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺, [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺, and 4, on the other hand, are all paramagnetic in nature with room temperature magnetic moment μ_eff values of 4.95, 3.79, and 2.47 μB, respectively.

X-ray Single-Crystal Structure. The identity of these complexes was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 1). The X-ray structure of compound 1 confirms the formation of a binuclear complex (Figure 1a). Two cobalt centers are coordinated by two azo-aromatic ligands L. Each ligand is coordinated to the cobalt center in a bidentate fashion using one N-donor atom of the 1,10-phenanthroline moiety and one N-atom of the azo-chromophore; the other N-donor atom of the 1,10-phenanthroline moiety and the second azo-chromophores to bind the second cobalt-ion leading to the formation of 1. The ligand-centered redox-controlled formation of 1 from [Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺ is further supported by the fact that the stoichiometric reaction of Co₂(CO)₈ with the preformed [Co(II)(L)]₂²⁺ under an argon atmosphere in toluene at 110 °C afforded the binuclear complex 1 in 42% yield. Notably, the stoichiometric reaction of Co₂(CO)₈ with [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺ and 4 also afforded the binuclear complex 1 (Scheme 2). On the other hand, the oxidation of 1 using ferrocenium cation produces [Co(II)(L•−)]²⁺ and [Co(II)(L−)(L•−)]²⁺, respectively.
coordinate the second cobalt center. Thus, the ligand acts as a bridge between the two cobalt centers. The Co–Co distance of 2.387(2) Å indicates the presence of a cobalt–cobalt bond. The coordination geometry around each cobalt ion is distorted trigonal bipyramidal showing a τ value of 0.53 and 0.54, respectively.\(^{20}\) Complex \([\text{2}]^{2+}\) was crystallized as the perchlorate salt, and its molecular structure consists of one \([\text{Co}(\text{L})]^{2+}\) cation and two perchlorate anions, leading to the molecular formula \([\text{Co}^{5+}(\text{L})](\text{ClO}_4)^2\). Complex \([\text{3}]\) was crystallized as the PF\(_6\) salt. The molecular structure of \([\text{3}](\text{PF}_6)\) consists of one \([\text{Co}(\text{L})]^{+}\) cation and one hexafluorophosphate anion, leading to the molecular formula \([\text{Co}^{6+}(\text{L})](\text{PF}_6)\), while complex 4 is neutral, having only one \([\text{Co}(\text{L})]^{2}\) unit in its cell. Interestingly, in all these three complexes, two tetradentate ligands L are coordinated to the central Co(II)-ion in a tridentate meridional fashion, yielding a distorted octahedral geometry with one uncoordinated azo-chromophore from each ligand (Figure 1).

The most striking observations in the crystal structures of 1 and \([\text{2}]^{2+}, [\text{3}]\), and 4 are the significantly different N–N bond lengths. The N=N bond lengths are known to be sensitive to the formal oxidation state of the coordinated arylazo scaffolds and are often used as an indicator of the charge and oxidation state of these ligands in a metal-bound state.\(^{15b}\) In complex 1, all the four coordinated N=N bonds were found to be significantly elongated (1.350(1), 1.335(1), 1.343(1), and 1.341(1) Å). Given the diamagnetic nature of 1, the elongated N=N bond leads to several different limiting electronic structure possibilities: \([\text{Co}^{5+}_2(L^2^-)_2](S = 0)\), \([\text{Co}^{3+}_2(L^2^-)_2](S = 0)\), or \([\text{Co}^{3+}_2(L^3^-)_2](S = 0)\). The bond lengths are, in fact, suggestive of the presence of two three-electron reduced ligands \(L^3^-\) (containing net one unpaired electron on each ligand) coordinated to two intermediate spin Co(III) centers with antiferromagnetic coupling between the unpaired electrons (\(S = 0\)). The distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry around each of the cobalt centers, along with the elongated N=N distances, points to either cobalt(III) or cobalt(II), but the Co–N distances (Co1–N1, 1.857(2) Å; Co1–N3, 1.919(2) Å; Co2–N4, 1.919(3) Å; Co2–N6, 1.859(2) Å; Co1–N7, 1.858(3) Å; Co1–N9, 1.911(2) Å; Co2–N10, 1.919(3) Å; and Co2–N12, 1.857(3) Å) are most typical for cobalt(II) systems, thus pointing to a \([\text{Co}^{3+}_2(L^3^-)_2]\) (\(S = 0\)) electronic structure.\(^{15}\)

In the IR spectrum of 1, only one N=N stretching frequency was observed at 1338 cm\(^{-1}\), indicating that all the azo-chromophores are identical. Moreover, the significantly lower N=N stretching compared to that of the free azo-chromophores in L points to the presence of multiple electrons in the vacant \(\pi^*\) orbital of \(L^9\) as reflected in the significantly elongated N=N bonds in the solid-state X-ray single-crystal data.\(^{15b,16}\) XPS analysis and DFT studies were performed to shed light on the ambiguous electronic structure of 1, which indeed support the \([\text{Co}^{3+}_2(L^3^-)_2]\) (\(S = 0\)) electronic configuration (see below). In complex \([\text{2}]^{2+}\), the coordinated azo-chromophores are slightly elongated (\(N=N = 1.269(5)\) and 1.271(4) Å), whereas the uncoordinated pendant azo-chromophore has \(N=N\) distances of 1.244(5) and 1.259(5) Å, respectively. The slight elongation of the

![Figure 1. ORTEP plots of (a) complex 1, (b) complex \([\text{2}](\text{ClO}_4)_2\), (c) complex \([\text{3}](\text{PF}_6)_2\), and (d) complex 4 drawn at 50% probability (counter anions and hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity).](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c08941)
coordinated azo-chromophores may be attributed to the metal(dπ) → ligand(p*) back-donation. In complex [3]⁺, out of the two coordinated azo-chromophores, one N=N bond is sufficiently elongated (N=N = 1.310(3) Å), whereas the other coordinated azo-chromophore has a N=N distance of 1.270(3) Å. The uncoordinated azo-chromophores have N=N distances of 1.249(3) and 1.261(3) Å, respectively. In comparison, in complex 4, coordinated azo-chromophores are significantly elongated (N=N = 1.330(5) and 1.330(5) Å), and the N=N distances of the pendent azo-chromophores are 1.249(8) Å. These bond length data altogether indicate that in [2]³⁺, the coordinated ligands are unreduced (L) with the electronic configuration of [Co⁵(L)]³⁺ (S = 3/2), while in [3]⁺, one of the coordinated ligand is in one-electron reduced azo-anion radical oxidation state (L⁺) with the electronic configuration of [Co⁵(L⁺)]⁺ (S = 1). In complex 4, both the coordinated ligands are one-electron reduced with the electronic configuration of [Co⁵(L⁺)]⁺ (S = 1/2).

**Cyclic Voltammetry.** Cyclic voltammograms of 1 and [2](ClO₄)₂ were recorded in CH₂CN solutions containing 0.1 M [Bu₄N]ClO₄ at 25 °C; potentials are referenced to the saturated Ag/AgCl electrode. The cyclic voltammograms and the results are summarized in the Supporting Information (SI). Complex 1 undergoes two irreversible oxidations at 0.15 and 0.58 V and one irreversible reduction at −1.25 V. After one or two scans, the color of the solution changes to brown, and the initial voltammogram could not be reproduced. The non-reproducibility of the voltammogram may be attributed to the fact that, upon oxidation, complex 1 transforms to the mononuclear complexes [3]⁺ and [2]³⁺ as observed during chemical oxidation using ferrocenium cation. Complex [2]³⁺, on the other hand, exhibited one reversible oxidation at 0.18 V and multiple reductions in the potential range of −0.2 to −1.5 V. Molecular orbital analysis reveals that, in complex I, both HOMOs and LUMOs are ligand centered, while in complex [2]³⁺, HOMO is metal centered and LUMOs are ligand centered. Therefore, the redox responses observed for complex 1 all seem to be ligand centered, while for complex [2]³⁺, the oxidation seems to involve the Co(II)/Co(III) redox couple and the reductions are ligand centered. Ligand-centered reductions are further supported by the X-ray single-crystal structural data and redox-induced interconversion (see above).

**XPS Analysis.** XPS analysis was performed on polycrystalline samples of complex 1 and compared with the XPS spectrum of the well-defined Co(II) complexes [2](ClO₄)₂, [3]PF₆, and 4 to get information about the oxidation state of the two Co centers present in complex 1 (Figure 2). Complex [2](ClO₄)₂, [3]PF₆, and 4 showed typical high-spin Co(II) type XPS spectra with a Co 3d₃/₂ peak at 779.7, 779.9, and 779.99 eV and an intense and well-resolved satellite peak at 784.1, 784.7, and 783.9 eV, respectively.²¹ A significantly different XPS spectrum was observed for complex 1. Complex 1 showed a typical low-spin Co(III) type XPS spectrum with a prominent peak for the Co 3d₃/₂ state at 780.4 eV and no satellite peak in the 785 eV region, as expected for high/low-spin Co(II) systems. Notably, Co(III) systems usually exhibit a prominent main peak in the Co 3d₃/₂ region with a little evidence of the satellite peak at the high binding energy side of this peak, while low-spin Co(II) systems show, along with the prominent 3d₃/₂ peak, a less intense and less well-resolved satellite peak compared to that observed for high-spin Co(II) systems. Therefore, from these experimental evidences, complexes [2]³⁺, [3]⁺, and 4 seem to have a high-spin Co(II) electronic configuration, while in complex 1, the two cobalt centers possess an intermediate-spin Co(III) electronic configuration. The X-ray single-crystal structure data, room
temperature magnetic data, and DFT studies are in agreement with an intermediate-spin Co(III) electronic structure description in 1.

**DFT Studies.** To elucidate the electronic structure of 1, a broken-symmetry (BS) hybrid density functional theory (DFT) calculation was conducted at the B3LYP level. The electronic structure of compound 1 is complex. The DFT optimized geometries (B3LYP, def2-TZVP), computed at the $S = 1$, $S = 2$, and broken symmetry $S = 0$ spin states are pretty close in energy (Table 1). In agreement with the experimentally determined diamagnetic ground state of complex 1, the broken symmetry $S = 0$ singlet solution is computed to be the lowest in energy when correcting for spin contamination.

Comparison of the intraligand bond distances between the DFT optimized structure of $L_2Co_2$ (in the $S = 0$, open-shell singlet ground state) and the optimized structures of the ligand $L_0$ and its one-, two-, and three-electron reduced forms $L^-$, $L_2^-$, and $L_3^-$ reveals that the binuclear complex $L_2Co_2$ contains two $L_3^-$ ligands (Table 2). This implies that each cobalt center must have a Co(III) oxidation state.

The spin density plot of complex 1 reveals the presence of an $\alpha$ spin on each of the cobalt centers and a delocalized $\beta$ spin on each of the ligands antiferromagnetically coupled to the cobalt spins (Figure 3). Unrestricted corresponding orbital analysis of the broken symmetry $S = 0$ solution of 1 confirms the presence of a total of four unpaired electrons, one on each cobalt atom and one on each ligand $L_3^-$. The latter is in agreement with the $S = 1/2$ spin state of the free tris-anionic ligand $L_3^-$ (orbitals A and A'; Figure 4). The presence of one unpaired electron on each cobalt(III) center is a result of a bonding interaction between the two half-filled $d_{z^2}$ orbitals of the intermediate-spin cobalt(III) centers in the distorted coordination geometry of 1 leading to a doubly occupied $\sigma$-bond between the two cobalt(III) atoms (orbital B in Figures 3).

Table 1. Relative SCF Energies of Complex 1 Optimized in Different Spin States (b3-lyp, def2-TZVP, disp3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>spin state</th>
<th>$&lt;S^2&gt;$</th>
<th>unpaired electrons</th>
<th>rel. energy (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S = 0$, closed shell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>= 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S = 0$, broken symm.</td>
<td>3.3474</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-13.1$ (spin corrected) (uncorrected: $-8.5$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S = 1$</td>
<td>4.3741</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-11.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S = 2$</td>
<td>7.4724</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$-11.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S = 3$</td>
<td>12.1924</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$+7.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S = 4$</td>
<td>20.1404</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$+4.7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Selected Ligand Bond Distances of the Free Ligand $L$ in Four Different Oxidation States ($L_0$, $L^-$, $L_2^-$, and $L_3^-$) and in Complex $L_2Co_2$

| L$^0$ ($S = 0$) | 1.245 | 1.422 | 1.315 |
| L$^-$ ($S = 1/2$) | 1.275 | 1.386 | 1.335 |
| L$^{2-}$ ($S = 1$) | 1.312 | 1.369 | 1.344 |
| L$^{3-}$ ($S = 1/2$) | 1.337 | 1.348 | 1.365 |
| 1 ($L_2Co_2$, $S = 0$, BS) | 1.320 | 1.341 | 1.358 |
| 1 ($L_2Co_2$, X-ray structure) | 1.346 | 1.351 | 1.361 |

Figure 3. Simplified representation of the computed electronic structure (top) and spin density plot (bottom) of complex 1 (broken symmetry $S = 0$ solution).

Figure 4. Selected UCO orbitals in 1 (labels chosen as shown in Figure 3).
The remaining half-filled d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals on both cobalt centers interact via weak π-bonding (orbital C) and π*-antibonding interactions (orbital D) with a small orbital splitting between them, leaving both orbitals half-filled with a single α-electron. The two half-filled ligand-centered orbitals A and A' each contain a β-electron, which is antiferromagnetically coupled to the two cobalt-centered α-electrons in orbitals D and C, respectively (net DFT calculated AF coupling constant: $J = -1344.82$ cm$^{-1}$) (Figures 3 and 4).

While this representation is in agreement with the experimental data, the "real" electronic structure of 1 is undoubtedly more complex, and likely, multiple electronic states contribute to the ground state of this unusual molecule. A more accurate description of the unusual electronic ground state of complex 1 would require the use of a multireference (post-HF) method such as CASSCF-NEVPT2, but such calculations are currently out of reach considering the large active space required for this molecule. Geometry optimization of complex [2]$^{2+}$ reveals that a high-spin Co(II)-ion ($S = 3/2$) is coordinated to two one-electron reduced azo-aromatic ligands $\cdot$Co. Spin density analysis shows that the Co(II) center possesses +2.687 (α spin) and +2.751 (β spin) spin populations, respectively (net DFT calculated AF coupling constant: $J = -1344.82$ cm$^{-1}$) (Figures 3 and 4).

The current-voltage ($I$-$V$) characteristics of complex 1 and [2]$^{2+}$ recorded in a loop are shown in Figure 5a. The high-spin Co(II) center gives rise to the experimentally observed $S = 1$ ground state as observed from X-ray single-crystal data and XPS analysis. Complex 4 is found to be a diradical species with a [Co$(L^*)^2$] electronic structure. Broken symmetry calculations reveal that a high-spin Co(II) ion is coordinated to two one-electron reduced azo-anion radical ligands ($L^-$). Spin density analysis reveals that the cobalt center possesses +2.751 (α) spin population and that each of the coordinated ligands L possesses $-0.836$ (β) spin population, particularly localized on the azo-chromophore.

Memristor Property. With the above results in hand, next we explored the resistive switching (RS) property of 1 and [2]$^{2+}$ as a memristor and in related applications. Accordingly, thin film devices were fabricated as a sandwiched layer of thickness about 660 nm in between two orthogonal electrodes, ITO and copper (Cu), as illustrated in Figure 5a. The current-voltage ($I$-$V$) characteristics in a loop were recorded for both the devices of 1 and [2]$^{2+}$ by sweeping bias voltage starting from 0 to +1.0 to −1.0 V and back to 0 V again in step of 10 mV at NPLC 1 as illustrated in Figure 5b,c. Interestingly, a robust nonvolatile RS is observed for 1 with a current ON/OFF ratio > 10$^4$, which remains absent for [2]$^{2+}$ and (L)$^3$ (see SI Figure S12). This observed conductivity jump from a low (OFF) to a high (ON) state is an exciting feature for molecular memristor devices.$^{11}$ Our present work represents a remarkable achievement toward the requirement of desired metrics for nonvolatile RS-based molecular memristor devices.$^{11}$ The robustness of our molecular memristor device is further emphasized by testing for resistive...
random access memory (ReRAM) application in the form of endurance and retention. In a control test, the RS property of the thin film device fabricated using the free ligand L was also investigated using similar parameters, which shows only the semiconducting nature without the signature of any RS (see SI). Hence, it is strongly evident that complex 1 is a promising material for the further development of memristors with the desired metrics and related applications. The exact mechanism for the promising RS property of 1 is not completely clear to us, and it requires further studies. However, literature reports on RS properties of a few similar azo-based transition metal complexes indicate that the ligand-centered redox events in 1 play a key role in the observed resistive switching of 1.

The promising and consistent RS behavior of the device fabricated from 1 prompted us to do the testing for memristor-based data storage application. Figure 5d shows the ReRAM application of the device based on 1 for 22 W/R/E/R cycles in which a write (W) voltage pulse of −1 V for 1 s was applied followed by five read (R) pulses of −0.1 V for 0.1 s and then an erase (E) pulse of +1 V for 2 s followed by five read (R) pulses as earlier for a “W/R/E/R” cycle. This result confirms the highly reversible nature of our molecular memory device between the ON and OFF conducting states. Resembling the opulent I−V characteristic of RS property, a distinct and stable retention for both the ON and OFF states is observed. Endurance and retention are two very important parameters for a nonvolatile RS device, and those are recorded for the RS device based on 1 as shown in Figure 5e,f. The endurance test for 150 “W/R/E/R” cycles (Figure 5e) clearly shows a stable and distinct switching between the ON and OFF conducting states. Then, we probed the retention of both the ON and OFF states as depicted in Figure 5f, which is recorded for more than 1000 s by repeating the read pulses of +0.2 V for 0.1 s at an interval of 1 s after applying three consecutive W pulses of −1 V for 3 s with 1 s intervals to induce the ON state and 10 consecutive E pulses of +1 V for 2 s with 1 s intervals to return back to the OFF state. Our device using complex 1 shows a fairly stable retention of states without any trace of conductivity fluctuation, which is highly desirable as a potential candidate in the existing library of molecular materials for robust memristor application.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have reported a ligand-centered redox-controlled strategy for the synthesis of an unusual binuclear singlet-diradical cobalt(III) complex, [Co_{II}(L^*-\text{)}_2] (1), featuring two three-electron reduced tetratetradentate diazao-anion diradical ligands. Upon controlled ligand-centered oxidation, the dimeric complex 1 transforms to the mononuclear species [3]^+ and [2]^{2+}, which upon ligand-centered reductions produce the mononuclear diradical species 4. The monomers [2]^{2+}, [3]^{+}, and 4 in the presence of reducing agents undergo facile ligand-centered reduction to form azo-anion radicals, and the otherwise pendant azo-arm in the presence of cobalt(II)-salts like Co(ClO_4)_2 or CoCl_2 binds the second Co(II)-ion; further internal electron transfer from the cobalt center to the arylazo backbone produces the dimer 1. The diradical complex 1 exhibits a pinched hysteresis loop in the I−V curve featuring its superior RS property for memristor and related data storage applications. The device is suitable for ReRAM application with a current ON/OFF ratio > 10^4 at a low operating voltage. We believe that complex 1 has the potential to show excellent nonvolatile resistive switching properties and will become a promising material for thin film memristors and related applications in the near future, including neuromorphic computations and broadly the field of artificial intelligence (AI) as an energy-efficient alternative. Our studies in this area are ongoing and will be reported in due course.
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