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Abstract

Dental decay and dental treatment are suggested to be related to body growth in children. The aim of this study was first to assess the relation between dental caries and body proportions cross sectionally in a Suriname caries child population, and secondly, to investigate whether dental treatment had a significant influence on body growth of these children in a randomized controlled trial using different treatment strategies.

Materials and methods:
380 6-year old children with untreated dental decay participated in the study. Caries prevalence and presence of dentogenic infections were recorded. All children were randomly assigned to four different treatment groups, ranging from full dental treatment to no invasive treatment at all. Body growth was evaluated by children’s height, weight and body mass index. Participants were evaluated after six months, one, two and three years.

Results:
Cross sectionally, negative correlations were observed between anthropometric measures and the number of untreated carious surfaces and caries experience of the children. Next, no significant differences in growth pattern between the treatment groups were observed.

Conclusion:
Based on these results it is suggested that caries activity is a negative predictor for body growth in children and dental intervention does not show significant improvement within 3 years.
Introduction

For many years it is suggested that oral infections negatively affect general health [Garcia et al., 2000]. Several possible explanations for an oral-systemic relationship have been described [Li et al., 2000]. Synergism is assumed because some general disease conditions can be associated with oral manifestations that increase the risk of oral disease and some oral diseases in turn, can act as risk factors for general health [Petersen et al., 2005]. Particularly from the field of periodontology, an association has been described between periodontal diseases and certain systemic conditions, such as cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, diabetes mellitus, low birth weight and preterm birth [Drangsholt, 1998; Loesche et al., 1998; Beck et al., 2000; Garcia et al., 2000; Li et al., 2000; Glurich et al., 2002; Mojon, 2002].

Possible systemic effects of dental caries have not been investigated as thoroughly as the systemic effects of periodontal diseases. However, similar outcomes may be expected, since dental caries is, like periodontitis, a chronic multifactorial infectious disease. Immune factors have been found to play an essential role in the etiology of chronic multifactorial diseases [Tetley, 2005; Pietruska et al., 2006; Balfour, 2007; de Sa et al., 2007]. De Soet et al. [2003] reported an association between dental caries and systemic parameters of inflammation. Moreover, systemic responses to Streptococcus mutans and infected dental pulps have been described [Kim and Lim, 2002; Hahn et al., 2004; Hahn and Liewehr, 2007]. Based on these findings, it is suggested that dental caries may induce a systemic immune response that may especially occur when caries progresses into pulpal inflammation [Skogedal and Tronstad, 1977; Duggal et al., 2002].

Apart from these immunologic parameters, a reliable clinical diagnostic tool for the measurement of general health is desired, in order to identify adverse health outcomes caused by dental decay. Assessment of children’s height and weight is widely accepted as a valid clinical indicator of general health and well being [Burgemeijer et al., 1998; de Onis and Blössner, 2003]. Body growth is influenced by genetic, constitutional and environmental factors, including malnutrition and the occurrence of infectious diseases [Mata et al., 1972; de Beer, 2001; Bhutta, 2006]. Dental caries is one of the most prevalent infectious diseases worldwide [Petersen et al., 2005] and it can thus be hypothesized that the possible systemic effects of dental caries could be reflected in a deviant growth pattern. Several studies confirmed this hypothesis as they showed that dental decay and dental treatment affected general body growth in children [Elice and Fields, 1990; Acs et al., 1992; Ayhan et al., 1996; Acs et al., 1998; Acs et al., 1999; Nicolau et al., 2005]. For example, Acs et al. [1992] reported that children with nursing caries weighed significantly less compared to their matched controls. They also presented children with early childhood caries that exhibited growth retardation and showed a “catch-up-growth” after dental rehabilitation [Acs et al., 1998; Acs et al., 1999]. Furthermore, Aydan et al. [1996] reported that children with rampant or nursing caries showed significantly less height or lower weight compared to their matched controls. The affluent studies indicate that, with respect to aetiology, it could be that toothache
and infection alter eating and sleeping habits, dietary intake and physiological processes that underlie normal growth [Acs et al., 1992; Ayhan et al., 1996; Thomas and Primosch, 2002].

If body growth in children is adversely affected by dental decay, problems can be expected especially in those countries and communities where populations are at high risk to develop dental decay due to nutritional deficiencies, high sugar consumption, inadequate exposure to fluorides and limited access to oral health services [Pilot, 1988; Alvarez et al., 1993; Marthaler et al., 1996; Speechley and Johnston, 1996; Miura et al., 1997; Pakhomov, 1999; Diehnelt and Kiyak, 2001; Gray and Davies-Slowik, 2001; Reich, 2001; Haugejorden and Birkeland, 2002; Jamieson et al., 2004]. One of these countries is Suriname. The Interior of Suriname, covering about 80% of the country, is sparsely populated by tribal communities. Children living throughout the Interior, especially in the Creole population, show an increasing percentage of growth retardation that has mainly been attributed to a shortage of protein in the diet [van der Crabben et al., 2004]. However, given the knowledge that these children also have limited access to oral care services and suffer from untreated dental decay [van Gemert-Schriks et al., 2008b], it might be questioned if dental caries and/or concomitant dentogenic infection underlies this growth retardation as well. Insight in this relationship could have important implications for oral health care planning in these countries.

The purpose of the current study was to investigate the relation between oral health and body growth of prepubertal children living throughout the rainforest of Suriname. The study was based on the following hypotheses: dental caries is inversely proportional to body stature in prepubertal children, and, children with untreated dental decay show less body growth compared to children that receive dental treatment of their carious teeth. To test these hypotheses, the study was divided into two parts.

The aim of the first part was to analyse the relation between dental caries and body proportions cross sectionally in a child population with diagnosed dental decay. The aim of the second part of the study was to investigate whether treatment of dental decay had a significant influence on the general health, in terms of body growth, of prepubertal children. Based on a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design, four different dental treatment strategies were applied, in order to distinguish the key components of dental treatment that account for the hypothesised improvement in body growth.

Materials and methods

The study population was 6-yr old school children living in the interior of Suriname, with a non-contributory medical history and with dental decay but without any former dental treatment experience. Seventeen schools, located in two different regions of the rainforest and selected...
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from the database of the Medical Mission, participated in the study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Director of the Surinam Ministry of Health. All schools were informed about the study and its objectives. The teachers were obligated to inform the parents. The parents or the teacher (in case the parents were illiterate) gave their approval for participation of the child by signing an informed consent letter. Without this approval, children were excluded from the study.

Dental examination
All children received dental examination, using a mouth mirror and a dental probe. Dental caries status was recorded individually in terms of dmfs, a numeric expression of the caries prevalence [WHO, 1997]. All children were examined by one of the authors, who was calibrated with a gold standard (Cohen’s kappa 0.89). This standard was developed by consensus between two experienced investigators on scoring 25 pictures of molars with and without dentine carious lesions. For the purpose of this study, caries experience in the primary and the permanent dentition were combined in one parameter: total-dmfs. The combined number of total decayed surfaces (total-ds) in primary and permanent dentition was noted separately in order to be able to identify possible effects of untreated carious lesions.
The presence of pulpal inflammation (pulp) was reported if a carious lesion had reached the pulp and/or when pulpal exposure was expected on excavation. The presence of fistulas and/or abscesses (AbFi) as a result of the dentogenic infection was noted. For the purpose of this study, these variables were dichotomized into ‘one or more present’ or ‘not present’.

Anthropometric measures
Height and weight of all children were measured and from these anthropometric data, the individual Body Mass Index (BMI, weight/height²) was calculated. Height was assessed to the nearest 0.1 cm using a digitally standardized Height Measuring Unit (Soehnle®, Germany). Weight was assessed to the nearest 0.01 kg using a calibrated digital Gamma 7401 scale (Soehnle®, Germany). These body growth measurements were performed by one of the team-members, who was not familiar with the sequence of group allocation of the children.
In order to follow up individual growth, height scores and BMI calculates were transformed into standard deviation scores (SDSh, SDSBMI). In this manner, each child was its own control in the follow up years. The SDS-values in the current study were calculated according to Dutch references [Gerver and de Bruin, 1996; Burgemeijer, 1998; Fredriks et al., 2000; van Buuren and Fredriks, 2001; van Buuren, 2004; van Dommelen et al., 2004; van der Crabben et al., 2004], since there was no growth chart of rainforest children available.

Randomisation and treatment groups
With respect to the RCT part of the study, the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines were followed. A power analysis indicated that for a one-way ANOVA with
four groups, with 80% power, 5% significance level and somewhat less than a medium effect size ($f=0.20$), 69 patients per treatment group were sufficient [Cohen, 1988]. Taking 30% loss-to-follow-up into account, 100 patients per group was recruited at baseline.

The children were collected from their classroom by one of the participating health care workers who were not familiar with the sequence of group allocation of the children. Upon entrance, the children received a number which corresponded with a specific group number on a computerized random list that was in the possession of the dentist who performed the oral examination of the children.

Children in group 1 received full dental treatment of their primary dentition: all cavities that did not show signs of pulpal inflammation, as described earlier, or dentogenic infection, nor gave rise to any pain complaints were restored according to the Atraumatic Restorative Treatment (ART) approach [Frencken et al., 1996]. Teeth with deep carious lesions, where pulpal exposure was likely to be expected in case of excavation, were extracted. Group 2 did not receive any restorative care. Only carious primary teeth with pulpal involvement were extracted. Group 3 only received ART restorative care of cavities that did not show pulpal involvement while deep carious lesions were left untreated. Group 4 received neither restorative treatment nor extraction of any of their carious primary teeth. In all groups, cavities in permanent molars were restored according to the ART approach or extracted when caries had progressed into the dental pulp. When a child reported dental pain, the perpetrating tooth was extracted, irrespective the treatment group. At the end of the study all children were treated with extractions or ART restorations.

All dental treatments at baseline were performed by four Dutch dentists. At the time of the evaluations, dental treatments, according to the initially allocated group, were performed by other Dutch dentists. The examination of the children at baseline and at the follow-up sessions was performed by the same person, not participating in the dental treatments.

**Evaluation**

The children were evaluated at the start of the study, after six months, one year, two years, and three years. At each evaluation, the child’s oral health status was evaluated according to the same standards mentioned above. Caries increment was recorded and height and weight were measured. All children received dental treatment according to their treatment group protocol.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 12.0.1. Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) tests were used to analyse the normality of the distributions of the different continuous parameters. All significant differences were detected at a 95% confidence level. Variables that showed a normal distribution were analysed using parametric tests (Independent samples t-tests, General Linear Model (GLM) for repeated measures). Variables that showed a non normal distribution were analysed using non parametric statistics (Spearman correlation, Wilcoxon Signed Rank Tests). Cross sectional analyses to detect a relationship between dental
caries and body proportions were performed at baseline and at all evaluation time points. Body growth was only evaluated on the long run, between baseline and 3y, rather than between all separate time points.

Results

Part I: Cross sectional analysis

The sample consisted of 380 children (mean age 6.1 years, ±0.5, range 5.1–7.1). The demographic characteristics are presented in table 1. At baseline, the mean total-dmfs was 14.0 (±10.1) and consisted of the decayed factor only because none of the children had received any form of dental treatment before their first visit. This variable showed a skewed distribution (KS, 2-sided p<0.001). The anthropometric data at baseline are summarized in table 1. Height, weight and BMI were normally distributed (KS, 2-sided p>0.05).

Table 1 Demographic characteristics and anthropometric measures at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1 Full treatment</th>
<th>2 Extraction</th>
<th>3 ART</th>
<th>4 No curative treatment</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All combined (n)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n Male (%)</td>
<td>53 (55.2)</td>
<td>50 (54.9)</td>
<td>48 (50)</td>
<td>41 (42.3)</td>
<td>192 (48.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n Female (%)</td>
<td>43 (44.8)</td>
<td>41 (45.1)</td>
<td>48 (50)</td>
<td>56 (57.7)</td>
<td>188 (51.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (SD, range)</td>
<td>6.11 (0.51, 5.12-7.06)</td>
<td>6.15 (0.48, 5.12-7.05)</td>
<td>6.07 (0.45, 5.15-7.09)</td>
<td>6.11 (0.48, 5.11-7.05)</td>
<td>6.09 (0.48, 5.11-7.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (SD, range)</td>
<td>111.3 (6.0, 92.8-127.0)</td>
<td>112.0 (5.5, 99.6-121.0)</td>
<td>112.2 (4.6, 100.3-124.0)</td>
<td>111.6 (5.5, 91.8-121.33)</td>
<td>111.8 (5.4, 91.8-127.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (SD, range)</td>
<td>18.7 (2.7, 13.4-28.0)</td>
<td>18.7 (2.1, 14.7-25.1)</td>
<td>18.5 (1.9, 14.1-23.7)</td>
<td>18.6 (2.2, 11.8-24.4)</td>
<td>18.6 (2.3, 11.8-28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (SD, range)</td>
<td>15.0 (1.3, 12.3-21.7)</td>
<td>15.0 (1.2, 12.8-18.3)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.0, 12.4-17.5)</td>
<td>14.9 (0.9, 12.5-17.8)</td>
<td>14.9 (1.1, 12.3-21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS_t</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS_BMI</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD, range)</td>
<td>(0.9, -2.7-2.7)</td>
<td>(0.8, -2.1-1.4)</td>
<td>(0.8, -2.7-1.1)</td>
<td>(0.8, -2.4-1.2)</td>
<td>(0.8, -2.7-2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = Standard Deviation
SDS_t, SDS_BMI = Standard Deviation Score Height or BMI
A negative correlation was found between caries prevalence (total-dmfs) and SDS_H at baseline ($R = -0.13$, $p=0.01$). This means that children with more decay were more negatively diverging, from the standard mean at their individual growth chart. At the different evaluation time-points during the study, length and weight measures appeared to show various correlations with the number of untreated dental carious surfaces (total-ds) and caries status (total-dmfs) of the children (Table 2). For BMI or SDS_BMI, no significant correlations were found. Independent samples t-tests could not prove any significant relation between dentogenic infections and body proportions.

Table 2: Spearman correlation coefficients (R) between caries experience (total-dmfs) and untreated decayed surfaces (total-ds) and body proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>SDSH</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>SDSBMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>dmfs_total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$R = -0.13^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>dmfs_total</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$R = -0.12^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ds_total</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>$R = -0.14^{**}$</td>
<td>$R = -0.11^*$</td>
<td>$R = -0.12^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>dmfs_total</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ds_total</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>$R = -0.11^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>dmfs_total</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ds_total</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>$R = -0.13^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$R = -0.15^{**}$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>dmfs_total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>$R = -0.13^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$R = -0.14^*$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ds_total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>$R = -0.17^{**}$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$R = -0.18^{**}$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s. = not significant, ** = significant at 0.01, * = significant at 0.05

Part II: RCT

Study population

Details about the enrolment and allocation of the children are presented in the flowchart in the Appendix (page 145). At the enrolment, the caries free children were excluded. Moreover, 34 children did not receive the allocated intervention because they appeared to show a contributory medical history or because they had received dental treatment before. The remaining 380 children showed an equal distribution of males (192) and females (188) over the four treatment groups ($X^2 = 4.21$, df = 3, $p=0.24$) and no differences in mean age amongst the groups were observed ($F(3,376) = 0.43$, $p=0.73$). The demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in table 2.
The children were all from Creole origin. According to the records of the Medical Mission, there were no differences with respect to economical status, care services, medical health burdens, nutritional habits or educational level between the two regions. Although all possible efforts were performed to trace the participating children, an increasing drop out was seen during the course of the study, though equally distributed among the different groups at each evaluation as proven by non significant Chi square tests. The main reasons for absence were illness, moving to another district, or work of the parents in the fields. Children that were absent at one evaluation could reappear on the next and were therefore not regarded as lost to follow-up. When a child had missed three or more evaluation visits, he or she was excluded from the study (25 children, 6.6%). At T4, 79 children were absent (20.8%). Therefore, the longitudinal analysis of body growth was performed on 301 children.

**Oral health, longitudinal analysis**

During the course of the study, all children showed caries activity and developed new dental decay as is shown by the increase in total-dmfs presented in figure 1. In this figure, means and Standard Errors are indicated. The caries increment was significant for children in group 3 where the total-dmfs increased from 11.7 (±9.0) to 16.3 (±10.1) (Wilcoxon Signed Rank test (WSR), p<0.001) and in group 4 where the total-dmfs increased from 15.4 (±10.7) to 18.4 (±12.4) (WSR, p=0.035). Attention should be paid to the overall drop that was observed between 2 and 3 yrs and that was most probably caused by exfoliation. Regarding the dentogenic infections, WSR tests showed that during the course of the study, the mean number of carious teeth with suspected pulp involvement decreased significantly in group 1 and 2 (p<0.001). An increase was seen in group 4 (p=0.002). McNemar tests showed that during the course of the study, the number of children that had one or more AbFi decreased in groups 1 and 2 (p<0.001) whereas an increase was observed in group 4 (p=0.031).

![Figure 1 Caries experience (dmfs-total) during the course of the study. Means and Standard Errors.](image-url)
Body growth, longitudinal analysis

Data of body growth during time are presented in figure 2. During the course of the study, all children showed a significant increase in height, weight and BMI (GLM, all p’s<0.001). Girls showed a significantly larger increase compared to boys regarding all three growth parameters (GLM, all p<0.001). All children showed a normal individual growth pattern and no significant changes regarding the SDS-values for heights were observed between baseline and 3yrs. No significant differences in growth patterns between the four treatment groups were observed.

Figure 2. Body growth between baseline and 3 yrs. Parameters have different dimensions: height=cm (from 100 cm), SDSH=m, weight=kg, BMI=kg²/cm

Discussion

In this study, a negative correlation was observed between body proportions and the presence of dental caries. In other words, in this particular Surinam Bush Creole population with untreated dental decay, shorter children and/or children that show lower weight, tended to have more decay or vice versa. This finding is in line with earlier studies [Elice and Fields, 1990; Acs et al., 1992; Alvarez et al., 1993; Ayhan et al., 1996; Acs et al., 1998; Acs et al., 1999; Low et al., 1999; Nicolau et al., 2005]. However, the results can hardly be compared since these studies were conducted retrospectively, concerned case reports, concerned a younger age group or children from heterogeneous backgrounds, or consisted of children with diagnosed non-organic growth retardation. The current study includes children with homogeneous demographic characteristics such as age, race, nutritional status, habitat, economic background and both medical and dental history. As such, this study is considered unique and incomparable to studies that have been undertaken upon this subject so far.
The correlation between weight and dental caries could not be established at all time points in the current study. Children that develop dental decay often exhibit cariogenic dietary habits that are highly caloric and inductive of overweight. When these carious lesions progress, the child might develop dental pain that can lead to a refusal of food, provoke lower weight gain or retarded growth. These two patterns may occur simultaneously in different children of one population with the consequence that an overall effect is levelled out. This same argument might explain the absence of a correlation between BMI and dental caries, whereas within BMI, an internationally accepted measure for growth, weight is represented [WHO, 1997; Fredriks et al., 2000; van Buuren, 2004; van Dommelen et al., 2004].

Considering the longitudinal analyses, it was observed that, with regard to the stable standard deviation scores of height and BMI during the course of the study, all children showed a normal growth pattern in accordance with their own individual growth curves. Although the curves of children with higher caries rates were in lower SDS ranges, they all showed comparable patterns and it could thus be concluded that none of the dental intervention strategies had an affect on the body growth of the children in the course of 3 years. This finding is contradictory to those of other studies where a catch-up growth was observed after dental rehabilitation in children with rampant caries and diagnosed, non organic growth retardation [Elice and Fields, 1990; Acs et al., 1998; Acs et al., 1999]. However, it is not known whether the children in the current study were retarded in their body growth at baseline, since no reference growth charts are available for Surinamese children or for this Bush Creole population in particular.

In the current study, girls showed more growth compared to boys. It has been documented that girls show earlier pubertal growth acceleration than boys [van den Brande et al., 1998]. Creole girls might experience their menarche at an earlier age than Caucasian girls and the early onset of their growth acceleration might thus be visible in the results. At baseline, an age of 6 years was set as an inclusion criterion with regard to the cognitive level of the child to cooperate with the dental treatment. Though it seems to be a rather short period of time to evaluate body growth, three years was chosen as a cut-off in order to minimize the risk for bias from individual variation, both in pubertal onset and in natural tooth exfoliation [Moslemi, 2004].

Dental caries is classified as an infectious disease and it has been well established that body growth is negatively influenced by infectious diseases [Mata et al., 1972; de Beer, 2001; Bhutta, 2006]. With the invasive treatment of dental caries, the symptoms of this infectious disease might be contended, but the disease itself is not eradicated. Apparently, all children remained caries active because they all developed new dental decay during the course of the study. It is therefore suggested that caries activity rather than caries experience may be a negative predictor for body growth in children. This would involve a more prominent role for primary preventive measures within any oral health care program.

However, body growth is influenced by numerous factors, e.g. regular occurring other infectious diseases, such as malaria and worms and malnutrition [van den Brande et al., 1998; Uauy, 2007; Walker et al., 2007; Uauy et al., 2008]. Based on the results of the current study it is therefore
not possible to draw definite conclusions upon an exclusive cause and effect relationship between neither dental decay or caries activity and body growth. Probably, immunity could serve as a possible link [Uauy, 2007; Uauy et al., 2008]. Future studies should include systemic infectious parameters that can possibly identify more specifically the systemic effects of dental decay and trace responsible underlying factors or mechanisms. These studies should also include children with higher caries levels. The children from the current study showed a moderate caries prevalence according to the severity criteria of the WHO [Marthaler et al., 1990; van Gemert-Schricks et al, 2008b]. It could be hypothesized that in children with more dental decay, relations between caries or dental treatment and body growth appear even more evident.

In this study, a negative correlation was found between individual body proportions and dental caries prevalence in a caries active, prepubertal Surinam child population. No significant influence of dental treatment on the body growth of these children could be established. Based on these results it might be suggested that caries activity is a negative predictor for body growth in children. Future studies should focus on child populations with more caries and include systemic parameters for infection before definite conclusions upon a true relation between dental caries and systemic health can be drawn.
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