Prone or PEEP, PEEP and prone
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Dear Editor,

Recently in your journal, Sud et al. [1] reported on a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of mechanical ventilation in the prone position. They found a 16% reduction in relative risk of mortality among patients with baseline PaO2/FiO2 <100 mmHg, which was consistent with their a priori hypothesis that improved oxygenation during prone ventilation would be clinically important in patients at high risk of death from profound hypoxemia. Furthermore, post hoc analysis showed that the statistically significant difference between the relative risks of mortality in the more severely hypoxemic patients versus the less severely hypoxemic patients was robust across several PaO2/FiO2 thresholds up to 140 mmHg. This meta-analysis could help bedside clinicians to forego the use of mechanical ventilation in the prone position.

Of course, it should be noted that the investigators chose to use the baseline PaO2/FiO2 as a threshold in their analysis. Frequently the baseline PaO2/FiO2 is not greatly influenced by PEEP, since the level of PEEP usually is low at the moment it is calculated (i.e., directly after initiation of mechanical ventilation). However, this may not always be true, especially not in intensive care units familiar with use of higher levels of PEEP (i.e., where PEEP is titrated on peripheral oxygenation, frequently before any arterial blood sample is obtained). In addition, patients may develop ARDS during the course of mechanical ventilation [3]. In these patients, higher levels of PEEP are used even more regularly.

Probably it was not possible to obtain sufficient data to calculate the Lung Injury Score [4] or the Oxygenation Index [5] from the trials that were meta-analyzed. Both the Lung Injury Score and the Oxygenation Index take into account the level of PEEP (or mean airway pressure). It can be questioned, however, whether bedside clinicians can readily determine these scores, and thus adequately use them in their decisions to use or forego mechanical ventilation in the prone position.

We developed a more practical approach in our intensive care unit (Fig. 1). In case of acute respiratory distress syndrome with profound hypoxemia, we have implemented the local mechanical ventilation guideline

---

**Fig. 1** Schematic presentation of the local mechanical ventilation guideline; mechanical ventilation in the prone position is used when the level of PEEP is >15 cmH2O, aiming for PaO2/FiO2 >125 mmHg (below the dotted line); prone position is continued for 6–8 h, and is repeated until PaO2/FiO2 stays well above 125 mmHg; in addition, if PaO2/FiO2 remains <125 mmHg in prone position, the level of PEEP is further increased and inversed ratio ventilation is used.
hypoxemia (defined as PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} <125 mmHg) we first raise the level of PEEP stepwise to 15 cmH\textsubscript{2}O. Each step may or may not be preceded by recruitment maneuvers by using sustained inflation. From then, the prone position is used, irrespective of PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2}, with the knowledge that PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} would decline if the level of PEEP would be reduced. Prone ventilation is continued as long as the level of PEEP to be used is ≥15 cmH\textsubscript{2}O. If PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} remains <125 mmHg with prone ventilation, the level of PEEP is further increased and inverse ratio ventilation is used. This approach is not evidence-based, though it is practical and in harmony with the findings of the meta-analysis by Sud et al. [1]. In our center, neither extracorporeal membrane oxygenation nor high-frequency oscillation nor ventilation with nitric oxide is used.
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