



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The exploitation of plant genetic information: Political strategies in crop development

Pistorius, R.J.; van Wijk, J.C.A.C.

Publication date

1999

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Pistorius, R. J., & van Wijk, J. C. A. C. (1999). *The exploitation of plant genetic information: Political strategies in crop development*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Abbreviations | ix |
| Figures | xi |
| Preface | xiii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1 Crop development and agriculture | 7 |
| 1.1 The Plant Genetic Resources (PGR) Conflict | 8 |
| 1.2 'International Regimes' become comfortable objects of analysis | 12 |
| 1.3 Analytical framework of the book | 16 |
| 1.3.1 Industrialization of agriculture | 17 |
| 1.3.2 Rival agricultural production strategies | 19 |
| 1.3.3 Historical Agro-Food Orders | 21 |
| Conclusions | 25 |
| 2 The First Agro-Food Order (1870s-1930s) | |
| Crop development to improve agricultural competitiveness | 29 |
| 2.1 Agricultural competitiveness as a new political issue | 30 |
| 2.2 Using Mendel in improving agricultural competitiveness | 32 |
| 2.2.1 The end of the botanical chess game | 33 |
| 2.2.2 The discovery of the gene | 35 |
| 2.2.3 Public research system increases U.S. competitiveness in agriculture | 37 |
| 2.2.4 Changing interests in Europe: from tropical to temperate agriculture | 38 |
| 2.3 Are plant breeders inventors? | 42 |
| 2.3.1 Colonial botanical monopolies | 43 |
| 2.3.2 The European patent controversy | 44 |
| 2.3.3 Protection of plants under the Paris Convention | 46 |
| 2.3.4 Practical hurdles hamper plant patents in Europe | 48 |
| 2.3.5 The 'try-out' of legal plant protection in the USA | 49 |
| Conclusions | 51 |
| 3 The 1930s: laying the foundations for industrial | |
| crop development | 55 |
| 3.1 Mendelian genetics delinked from Soviet crop development | 56 |
| 3.2 Nazi German agricultural autarky | 60 |
| 3.2.1 Conservation as a scientific-military operation | 61 |
| 3.2.2 Breeders benefit from authoritarian seed market control | 62 |
| 3.3 Stabilization of food production under the American New Deal | 63 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 3.3.1 | Conservation becomes the cornerstone of crop development | 64 |
| 3.3.2 | Hybrids as alternative protection paradigm | 66 |
| | Conclusions | 69 |
| | | |
| 4 | The Second Agro-Food Order (1930s-1980s) | |
| | The emergence of a state-led crop development policy | 73 |
| 4.1 | State-led industrialization of agriculture | 73 |
| 4.2 | Diffusion of the inbred-hybrid breeding method | 77 |
| 4.3 | International recognition of plant breeders' rights | 78 |
| 4.3.1 | European initiatives in protecting plant varieties | 79 |
| 4.3.2 | Industry rejects patent aspirations of European plant breeders | 81 |
| 4.3.3 | The foundation of UPOV | 83 |
| 4.3.4 | Adoption of breeders' rights in the USA | 84 |
| 4.4 | American versus European conservation strategies | 86 |
| 4.4.1 | Central seedbank system for American 'new crops research' | 86 |
| 4.4.2 | Fragmented European seed collections | 88 |
| 4.5 | American crop development policy transferred to developing countries | 91 |
| 4.5.1 | The FAO's seed dissemination and exchange programme | 92 |
| 4.5.2 | Sowing and collecting seed in Latin America | 94 |
| 4.5.3 | FAO and USA battle over global conservation | 96 |
| | Conclusions | 100 |
| | | |
| 5 | The Third Agro-Food Order (1980s -) | |
| | Crop development conglomerates feeding the eight billion | 105 |
| 5.1 | Towards an international division of labour in crop development | 106 |
| 5.1.1 | The emergence of the Third Agro-Food Order | 106 |
| 5.1.2 | The new international division of labour in agriculture | 110 |
| 5.1.3 | The changing organization of crop development | 115 |
| 5.2 | DNA as a new conservation target | 126 |
| 5.2.1 | DNA as an asset in the formation of conglomerates | 127 |
| 5.2.2 | Bioprospecting for DNA data | 129 |
| 5.2.3 | Seedbanks as secondary sources of genetic information | 131 |
| 5.2.4 | A re-nationalization of conservation? | 133 |
| 5.2.5 | A new global conservation regime | 135 |
| 5.3 | Patents protect plant-related inventions | 137 |
| 5.3.1 | Revival of the plant patent debate | 138 |
| 5.3.2 | Patent strategy of genetic engineering companies | 140 |
| 5.3.3 | PVP strategy of European plant breeding firms | 143 |
| 5.3.4 | Legal plant protection 'exported' to developing countries | 144 |
| 5.3.5 | IPR in support of a new international division of labour in crop development | 147 |
| | Conclusions | 151 |

| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 6 | International division of labour in crop development: Implications for Chile and Colombia | 157 |
| 6.1 | Conflicting interests in the organization of agriculture | 158 |
| 6.1.1 | Three agricultural production strategies | 158 |
| 6.1.2 | Agricultural policy in Chile and Colombia | 162 |
| 6.1.3 | Conflicting agricultural production strategies in Chile and Colombia | 165 |
| 6.1.4 | The PGR conflict as a 'spark' between rival agricultural production strategies | 167 |
| 6.2 | The state loses control over national conservation | 168 |
| 6.2.1 | The disconnection of national agriculture and conservation | 169 |
| 6.2.2 | The national controversy over biodiversity conservation | 173 |
| 6.2.3 | National conservation for the transnational crop development industry | 177 |
| 6.3 | Controversy over the intellectual property protection of biological organisms | 179 |
| 6.3.1 | IPR and plant breeding for export crops | 180 |
| 6.3.2 | IPR and plant breeding for national market commodities | 181 |
| 6.3.3 | Opposition to plant-related IPR | 182 |
| 6.3.4 | Rival agricultural production strategies provoke IPR controversy | 186 |
| | Conclusions | 188 |
| | Conclusion | 191 |
| | References | 199 |
| | Appendix i List of persons interviewed in Chile and Colombia | 217 |
| | Appendix ii Note on the interviews | 222 |
| | Summary | 225 |
| | Samenvatting | 233 |
| | Index | 241 |
| | EWI | Latin American Institute (Germany) |
| | LAMP | Latin American Market Program |
| | MAP | Mexican Agricultural Program |
| | MERCOSUR | Mercado Común del Sur |
| | NAFTA | North American Free Trade Agreement |
| | NARS | National agricultural research systems |
| | NBF | New biotechnology law |
| | NIEO | New International Economic Order |
| | NGO | Non-governmental organisations |

