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ABSTRACT

The stellar upper-mass limit is highly uncertain. Some studies have claimed there is a universal upper limit of ~150 M⊙. A factor that is often overlooked is that there might be a significant difference between the present-day and the initial masses of the most massive stars – as a result of mass loss. The upper-mass limit may easily supersede ~200 M⊙. For these reasons, we present new mass-loss predictions from Monte Carlo radiative transfer models for very massive stars (VMS) in the mass range 40–300 M⊙, and with very high luminosities 6.0 ≤ log(L/L⊙) ≤ 7.03, corresponding to large Eddington factors Γ. Using our new dynamical approach, we find an upturn or “kink” in the mass-loss versus Γ dependence, at the point where the model winds become optically thick. This coincides with the location where our wind efficiency numbers surpass the single-scattering limit of η = 1, reaching values up to η ≃ 2.5. In all, our modelling suggests a transition from common O-type winds to Wolf-Rayet characteristics at the point where the winds become optically thick. This transitional behaviour is also revealed with respect to the wind acceleration parameter, β, which starts at values below 1 for the optically thin O-stars, and naturally reaches values as high as 1.5–2 for the optically thick Wolf-Rayet models. An additional finding concerns the transition in spectral morphology of the O and WN characteristic He ii λ4686 Å lines, nor that of the even more extreme Wolf-Rayet characteristics.
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1. Introduction

The prime aim of this paper is to investigate the mass-loss behaviour of very massive stars (VMS) with masses up to 300 M⊙ that approach the Eddington limit. Mass loss from hot massive stars is driven by radiative forces on spectral lines (Lucy & Solomon 1970; Castor et al. 1975; CAK). CAK developed the so-called force multiplier formalism in order to treat all relevant ionic transitions. This enabled them to simultaneously predict the wind mass-loss rate, M, and terminal velocity, v∞, of O-type stars. Although these predictions provided reasonable agreement with observations, they could account for neither the high wind efficiencies η = M v∞/L⊙ of the denser O stars with their strong He ii λ4686 Å lines, nor that of the even more extreme Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars.

This discrepancy had been proposed as due to the neglect of multi-line scattering (Lamers & Leitherer 1993; Puls et al. 1996). Using a global energy Monte Carlo approach (Abbott & Lucy 1985; de Koter et al. 1997) in which the velocity law was adopted – aided by empirical constraints – Abbott & Lucy (1985) and Vink et al. (2000) provided mass-loss predictions for galactic O stars including multi-line scattering. This appeared to solve the wind momentum problem for the denser O-star winds.

Mass-loss rates were obtained that were a factor ~3 higher than for cases in which single scattering was strictly enforced.

Historically, the situation for the WR stars was even more extreme. Here, η values of ~10 had been reported (e.g. Barlow et al. 1981). With the identification of major wind-clumping effects on the empirical mass-loss rates (Hillier 1991; Moffat et al. 1994; Hamann & Koesterke 1998), these numbers should probably be down-revised to values of η ≃ 3. Although it has been argued that WR winds are also driven by radiation pressure (Lucy & Abbott 1993; Springmann 1994; Gayley & Owocki 1995; Nugis & Lamers 2002; Gräfener & Hamann 2005), the prevailing notion is still that these optically thick outflows of WR stars, where the sonic point of the accelerating flow lies within the pseudo or false photosphere, are fundamentally different from the transparent line-driven O-star winds (e.g. Gräfener & Hamann 2008).

For O-type stars Müller & Vink (2008) recently suggested a new parametrization of the line acceleration, expressing it as a function of radius rather than of the velocity gradient (as in CAK theory). The implementation of this formalism improves the local dynamical consistency of Monte Carlo models that originally imposed a velocity law. Not only do we find fairly good agreement with observed terminal velocities (see also Muijres et al. 2011b), but as our method naturally accounts...
for multi-line scattering, it is also applicable to denser winds, such as those of WR stars.

Still adopting a velocity stratification, Vink & de Koter (2002) and Smith et al. (2004) predicted mass-loss rates for luminous blue variables (LBVs), and showed that $M$ is a strong function of the Eddington factor for these objects. They also found that, despite their extremely large radii, even LBV winds may develop pseudo-photospheres under special circumstances: when they find themselves in close proximity to the bi-stability and Eddington limits.

In this paper, our aim is to study the mass-loss behaviour of stars as they approach the Eddington limit (see also Gräfener & Hamann 2008). We do this in a systematic way by targeting VMS in the range 40–300 $M_\odot$. A pilot study was performed by Vink (2006) who found a steep dependence of $M$ on $\Gamma_*$, finding $M \propto \Gamma_*^{5/2}$, but this was obtained using the earlier global energy approach, in which the velocity stratification was adopted, rather than our new dynamically-consistent approach that we explore in the following.

Both approaches have their pros and cons. In the semi-empirical approach, the terminal velocity constraint (where $v_{\infty}/v_{\mathrm{esc}}$ is constant) might aid the modelling at imposing the correct wind structure, and as long as the adopted velocity law is close to the correct one, it provides the most accurate mass-loss rates. The prime advantage of this approach is that any missing physics that could affect the mass-loss rate might be balanced by employing the empirical (and probably close to realistic) terminal-wind velocity. The second approach, however, is theoretically more appealing, as it enforces local dynamical consistency, and one thus no longer needs to rely on free parameters. Ultimately, one would aspire to predict accurate mass-loss rates and terminal velocities simultaneously from first principles via the second approach explored here.

The stellar upper-mass limit is highly controversial. On purely statistical grounds, some investigators have claimed there is a universal upper limit of ~150 $M_\odot$ (e.g. Weidner & Kroupa 2004; Oey & Clarke 2005; Figer 2005). However, a physical factor that is often overlooked concerns the possibility of a significant difference between the present-day and the initial masses of the most massive stars, as a result of strong mass loss. In other words, the initial masses of the most massive stars may be significantly above 150 $M_\odot$, possibly superseding 200 $M_\odot$ (e.g. Figer et al. 1998; Crowther et al. 2010; Bestenlehner et al. 2011). The issue of the upper mass-limit will remain uncertain as long as there is only limited quantitative knowledge of mass loss in close proximity to the Eddington limit.

Our aim is thus to explore wind models of stars with masses up to 300 $M_\odot$, using a well-established methodology that has been extensively tested against observations for lower mass common O-type stars. VMS have been proposed as leading to the production of intermediate mass (of the order of 100 $M_\odot$) black holes that have been suggested to be at the heart of ultra-luminous X-ray sources (Belkus et al. 2007; Yungelson et al. 2008). Clearly, the success of such theories depends critically on the applied mass-loss rates. The present study may help advance these theories.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we briefly describe the Monte Carlo mass-loss models, before presenting the parameter space considered in this study (Sect. 3). The mass-loss predictions (Sect. 4) are followed by a description of the spectral morphology of the Of-WN transition in terms of the characteristic He II 4686 Å line in Sect. 5. Subsequently, we compare our wind model parameters against empirical values for the most massive stars in the Arches cluster (Sect. 6.1), as well as theoretical models (Sect. 6.2) of CAK and Gräfener & Hamann (2008), before ending with a summary in Sect. 7.

2. Monte Carlo models

Mass-loss rates are calculated with a Monte Carlo method that follows the fate of a large number of photon packets from below the stellar photosphere throughout the wind. The core of our approach is related to the total loss of radiative energy that is coupled to the momentum gain of the outflowing material. Since the absorptions and scatterings of photons in the wind depend on the density in the wind, hence on the mass-loss rate, one can obtain a consistent model where the momentum of the wind material equals the transferred radiative momentum. We have recently improved our dynamical approach (Müller & Vink 2008; Muijres et al. 2011b) and are now able to predict $M$ simultaneously with $v_{\infty}$ and the wind structure parameter $\beta$. The essential ingredients and assumptions of our approach have been discussed more extensively in Abbott & Lucy (1985), de Koter et al. (1997), and Vink et al. (1999). Here we provide a brief summary.

The Monte Carlo code mc-wind uses the density and temperature stratification from a prior model atmosphere calculation performed with ha-wind (de Koter et al. 1993, 1997). These model atmospheres account for a continuity between the photosphere and the stellar wind, and describe the radiative transfer in spectral lines by adopting an improved Sobolev treatment. The chemical species that are explicitly calculated (in non-LTE) are H, He, C, N, O, S, and Si. The iron-group elements, which are crucial for the radiative driving and the $M$ calculations, are treated in a generalized version of the “modified nebular approximation” (e.g. Schmutz 1991). However, we performed a number of test calculations in which we treated Fe explicitly in non-LTE. These tests showed that differences with respect to the assumption of the modified nebular approximation for Fe were small. Therefore, we decided to treat Fe in the approximate way, as was done in our previous studies.

The line list used for the MC calculations consists of over 10^5 of the strongest transitions of the elements H - Zn extracted from the line list constructed by Kurucz & Bell (1995). The wind was divided into 90 concentric shells, with many narrow shells in the supersonic region, and wider shells in supersonic layers. For each set of model parameters, a certain number of photon packets was followed, typically 2 × 10^9.

Other assumptions in our modelling involve wind stationarity and spherical geometry. The latter seems to be a good approximation, as the vast majority of O-type stars show little evidence of significant amounts of linear polarization (Harries et al. 2002; Vink et al. 2009). Nevertheless, asphericity has been found in roughly half the population of LBVs (Davies et al. 2005, 2007), although those polarimetry results have been interpreted as the result of small-scale structure or “clumping” of the wind, rather than of significant wind asymmetry.

With respect to wind clumping, it has been well-established that small-scale clumping of the outflowing gas can have a pronounced effect on the ionization structure of both O-star and Wolf-Rayet atmospheres (e.g. Hillier 1991). This has led to a downward adjustment of empirical mass-loss rates, by factors of up to three (e.g. Moffatt et al. 1994; Hamann & Koesterke 1998; Mokiem et al. 2007; Puls et al. 2008), and possibly even more (Bouret et al. 2003; Fullerton et al. 2006). In addition, clumping may have a direct effect on the radiative driving, therefore on predicted mass-loss rates (e.g. Gräfener & Hamann 2008). The subtle issues of both clumping and porosity on the predicted...
mass-loss rates have recently been investigated by Muijres et al. (2011a). Whilst it was found that the impact on $M$ can be high for certain clumping prescriptions, the overall conclusion was that clumping does not affect the wind properties of O-type dwarfs and supergiants in a dramatic way for moderate clumping factors and porosity. Stars close to the Eddington limit, however, may be much more susceptible to — even modest — clumping (Shaviv 1998, 2000; van Marle et al. 2008). Another relevant factor that might affect the results concerns the interplay of radiation with rotation, as the Eddington factor for a rotating star depends explicitly on the rotation rate (Langer 1997; Maeder & Meynet 2000). As a consequence, the effective Eddington limit could be reduced by rotation, and might even become the dominant factor. In the present set of computations, we do not account for the effects of wind clumping or rotation, but it should be kept in mind that these effects might play a quantitative role.

3. Parameter space and model applicability

Stars approach the Eddington limit when gravity is counterbalanced by the radiative forces; i.e. $\Gamma = g_{\text{rad}}/g_{\text{Newton}} = 1$. Photons can exert radiative pressure through bound-free, free-free, electron scattering, and bound-bound interactions. In early-type stars hydrogen, the dominant supplier of free electrons, is fully ionized. Therefore $\Gamma_e = g_e/g_{\text{Newton}}$ is essentially independent of distance and constitutes a fixed number for each model. Because of this useful property, which provides a well-defined and simple quantitative handle, we opt to discuss our results in terms of $\Gamma_e$. We discuss this choice in more detail in Sect. 3.1

The dependence of the mass-loss rate $M$ on $\Gamma_e$ represents a non-trivial matter because $M$ depends on both the mass $M$ and the stellar luminosity $L$. To properly investigate the effect of high $\Gamma_e$ on mass-loss predictions, we first need to establish the relevant part of parameter space in terms of $M$, $L$, and $\Gamma_e$. For a fully ionized1 plasma, $\Gamma_e$ equals

$$\Gamma_e \equiv \frac{g_e}{g_{\text{Newton}}} = \frac{L_e}{4\pi c G M_e} = 10^{-8.13} (1 + X) \left( \frac{M_e}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

The luminosities are chosen in such a way that in combination with the stellar mass $M$ the desired $\Gamma_e$ value is obtained. It is principally the effective temperature that sets the ionization stratification in the atmosphere, and thus determines which lines are most active in driving the wind. As a result, $T_{\text{eff}}$ affects the predicted mass-loss rate. For most parts of this paper, we investigate the influence of $\Gamma_e$ for a fixed stellar temperature of 50,000 K. The $T_{\text{eff}}$ dependence is studied separately in Sect. 4.4. All models are for the solar metallicity from Anders & Grevesse (1989) and with the element-to-element distribution from Allen (1973). The prime reason we use these older abundances rather than the newer (and lower) solar or B-type star abundances is to be able to directly compare the new results to the older Vink et al. (2000) rates. We note however that it is the element of Fe that sets the mass-loss rate, and this element has not changed. The low (CNO) and intermediate-mass elements however dominate the outer wind, where the terminal wind velocity is set. Nonetheless, even a substantial decrease in these abundances (by several tens of percent) is not expected to lower the terminal wind velocities significantly, since the terminal wind velocity has been found to depend only weakly on metallicity (Leitherer et al. 1992).

We divided our model stars into three different groups according to their characteristics. The first group comprises objects that have relatively common O-star masses in the range 40–70 $M_\odot$. The second group of objects are rather high-mass stars within the “observable range” of 70–120 $M_\odot$. They might be close to the Eddington limit already early-on on the main sequence because of their intrinsically high luminosity. The third group involves very massive stars in the mass range 120–300 $M_\odot$. They are near the Eddington limit for the same reason as the second group. So far, there is a lack of compelling observational evidence of any such stars in the present-day universe; however, we note that Crowther et al. (2010) have suggested a revision of the upper-mass limit to $\sim 300 M_\odot$

The bulk of the models in our grid have been chosen such that the behaviour of mass loss as a function of $M$ and $L$ can be studied separately. The grid is presented in Table 1. We note that the $(M, L)$ combinations are intentionally rather extreme to assure high $\Gamma_e$ values. The reason is to specifically map that part of parameter space where physically the most extreme winds are expected to appear.

3.1. Model applicability regime

With respect to the potential limitations of our modelling approach, we make one rather stringent assumption in the manner the (sub-)photospheric density structure is set-up. In the deepest layers of the model atmosphere (with $v \ll 1$ km s$^{-1}$), we assume that the run of density is provided by the equation of motion using $g_{\text{rad}} = g_e$, so we apply $\Gamma_e = \Gamma$. In reality $\Gamma > \Gamma_e$, as well as being depth-dependent as a result of bound-bound, bound-free, and free-free processes. Notably, the opacities from millions of weak iron lines may contribute significantly, but they are largely neglected in the deep layers of our models.

Nugis & Lamers (2002) highlight the importance of the iron peak opacities in deep photospheric layers for the initiation of Wolf-Rayet winds (see also Heger & Langer 1996). This approach was subsequently included in models by Gräfener & Hamann (2005, 2008) for WC and WNL stars. They find that the presence of these opacity bumps may locally cause $\Gamma$ to approach unity, leading to the formation of optically thick winds. In our Monte Carlo approach, we trace the radiative driving of the entire wind, and as most of the energy is transferred in the supersonic part of the outflow, we are less susceptible to the details of the (sub)photospheric region. However, this also means that we do not treat these deep regions self-consistently. This implies that we can (and we will) compute model atmospheres with values of $\Gamma_e$ very close to one. This strategy has the advantage of allowing us to explore the transition from transparent to dense stellar winds. As our models do capture the full physics in the layers around and above the sonic point, we argue that they correctly predict the qualitative behaviour of dense winds, but that $\Gamma_e$ for one of our optically thick wind models would correspond to a model with smaller $\Gamma_e$ if the ionnic contributions were included in the deepest parts of the atmosphere. This “shift” in $\Gamma_e$ is not fixed but would depend on the sonic point temperature and density. From the behaviour of the Rosseland mean opacity, we would expect the size of the shift to increase at higher $\Gamma_e$ and higher temperatures.

If $\Gamma$ exceeds unity at some depth in the subphotospheric part of the atmosphere, a density inversion is expected to occur for the static case, i.e. for increasing radial distance from the centre, the density very near the domain where $\Gamma > 1$ is anticipated to increase. This is encountered in studies of stellar structure and evolution, but it is unclear what really happens in nature. The
potential effects may involve strange-mode pulsations (e.g. Glatzel & Kiriakidou 1993), subsurface convection (Cantiello et al. 2009), or an inflation of the outer stellar envelope (e.g. Ishii et al. 1999). These processes tend to occur only when the Eddington factor \( \Gamma_e \) exceeds 0.95. These results behave rather oddly. Though we show the wind results over the entire Eddington range, we only quantify the mass-loss rates up to this value of \( \Gamma_e \). This boundary is indicated by a vertical dashed line in all relevant figures.

4. Results

4.1. Mass-loss predictions at high \( \Gamma_e \)

Table 1 lists our mass-loss predictions for all three considered mass ranges. Most columns are self-explanatory, but we note that the effective escape velocity \( v_{\text{esc}} \) (7th column) is defined as \( \sqrt{2GM(1-\Theta_e)/R} \). The predicted wind terminal velocities, mass-loss rates, wind efficiency numbers, and wind acceleration parameter \( \beta \) are given in Cols. (8)–(11), respectively. For comparison Col. (12) lists the mass-loss values from the standard mass-loss recipe of Vink et al. (2000) where the parameter \( \beta \) was held fixed at unity.

The predicted mass-loss rates (Col. 9) are shown in Fig. 1. Different symbols are used to identify the different mass ranges. The figure shows that the mass-loss rates increase with \( \Gamma_e \). This is in qualitative agreement with the luminosity dependence of the standard mass-loss recipe of Vink et al. (2000), derived from a set of models with \( \Gamma_e \leq 0.4 \). Analogous to the results from the standard Vink et al. (2000) recipe, Fig. 1 suggests that there is an additional mass-loss dependence on mass, as for fixed \( \Gamma_e \) the higher mass stars have higher mass-loss rates. This finding confirms that mass-loss rates cannot solely be described by a dependence on luminosity or Eddington factor. This will be discussed further in Sect. 4.2.

When comparing Cols. (9) and (12) from Table 1, it can be noted that our new high \( \Gamma_e \) mass-loss predictions tend to be larger than those determined using the standard Vink et al. (2000) recipe. In order to quantify these differences, we divide the new mass-loss rates over those determined using the
Vink et al. (2000) recipe (using the derived terminal wind velocities as input), and show the results in Fig. 2. For the range $\Gamma_e \lesssim 0.7$, the differences are small. However, for values of $\Gamma_e$ exceeding $\sim 0.7$, the new and the old results diverge sharply. The maximum difference reaches a factor of five, which is similar in magnitude to what was reported previously for LBVs (Vink & de Koter 2002) and WR stars (Vink & de Koter 2005). We note that, although these prior results were based on global energy consistency with fixed $v_{\infty}/v_{\text{esc}}$, where the velocity stratification was adopted, the reason for the differences revealed in Fig. 2 is that we probe a different part of parameter space.

We now turn our attention to the wind velocity structure. We first inspect the associated terminal wind velocity predictions. Figure 3 shows the behaviour of terminal wind velocity versus $\Gamma_e$. The highest values are reached for the highest mass stars and exceed 5000 km s$^{-1}$. As expected $v_{\infty}$ drops with $\Gamma_e$. In the $\Gamma_e$ range 0.4–0.95, the terminal wind velocity divided over the escape velocity is of the order 3–4, which is similar to the values for common O-type stars (Muijres et al. 2011b), where $T_{\text{eff}}$ is in the range 30–40 kK, and the wind velocities are closer to 3000 km s$^{-1}$ (see Sect. 4.4).

We next turn our attention to the other wind velocity structure parameter, $\beta$, which describes how rapidly the wind accelerates. The predicted values of $\beta$ are depicted in Fig. 4, but $\beta$ does not show a significant dependence on stellar mass. For $\Gamma_e$ up to 0.7, $\beta$ values are near unity, in accordance with the dynamical consistent models of Pauldrach et al. (1986), Müller & Vink (2008), and Muijres et al. (2011b). However, when $\Gamma_e$ exceeds 0.7 and approaches unity, $\beta$ steadily rises to values of about 1.7. These higher $\beta$ values are supposedly more commensurate in Wolf-Rayet stars (see e.g. Ignace et al. 2003), and it is reassuring to find that our models naturally predict this transition, without the use of any free parameter.

In all, our results suggest a natural extension from O-type mass loss to more extreme WR behaviour for increasing $\Gamma_e$. An upturn in the $\dot{M}$ behaviour is found at $\Gamma_e \sim 0.7$. Inspection of our models reveals a change from optically thin to optically thick wind models at the position where we obtained the kink in the mass-loss versus $\Gamma_e$ relationship. Closer scrutiny of our model output also revealed that here the character of the Fe line driving changes. Whilst various ionization stages of Fe contribute at all $\Gamma_e$ models, we find that for the optically thin models at the low $\Gamma_e$ end, just one ionization state of Fe dominates the relevant part of the wind driving domain (from just below the sonic point to
about half the terminal velocity). By contrast, for the optically thick models at the high \( \Gamma_e \) end, two or more ionization stages of Fe contribute to the primary driving regime.

### 4.2. \( \Gamma_e \) dependence of mass loss

In order to determine the dependence of the mass-loss rate on \( \Gamma_e \), we could simply fit the datapoints to a power law:

\[
\dot{M} \propto \Gamma_e^p. \tag{2}
\]

Using the semi-empirical approach Vink (2006) found \( p \) to be equal to \(-5\), and our dynamically consistent results provide the same slope here. However, in order to also take the mass dependence into account we divide the mass-loss rates by \( M^{\infty} \), and show the results in Fig. 5. We fit the data with the following power-law

\[
\dot{M} \propto M^{\alpha} \Gamma_e^p. \tag{3}
\]

Below \( \Gamma_e \leq 0.95 \), Fig. 5 shows two mass-loss regimes, divided by a boundary at \( \Gamma_e \sim 0.7 \). This is not only the point where the slope of the mass-loss versus \( \Gamma \) relation changes, but also where the wind efficiency parameter \( \eta \) surpasses the single scattering limit (see below). Upon further inspection of our models, we find that as long as \( \Gamma_e \leq 0.7 \) the winds are optically thin, implying that the sonic point of the outflowing material lies outside the photosphere, whilst the winds become optically thick – with the photosphere moving outside of the sonic point – for \( \Gamma_e \) values above \( \geq 0.7 \).

We derive two independent mass-loss relationships for the two separate \( \Gamma_e \) regimes.

For \( 0.4 < \Gamma_e < 0.7 \) we find

\[
\dot{M} \propto M^{0.68} \Gamma_e^{2.2}. \tag{4}
\]

For \( 0.7 < \Gamma_e < 0.95 \) we determine that

\[
\dot{M} \propto M^{0.78} \Gamma_e^{4.77}. \tag{5}
\]

We first note that we intentionally do not provide equations here, as we expect the absolute values of these mass-loss predictions for the high \( \Gamma \) models to be underpredicted. In Sect. 6.1, we see that our predicted wind terminal velocities are a factor 2–4 higher than found empirically. If we had employed our older semi-empirical approach, and assumed empirical – i.e. a factor 2–4 lower – terminal wind velocities, we would have predicted higher mass-loss rates. Indeed, the pilot study of Vink (2006) that was based on the semi-empirical approach provided such higher mass-loss rates.

Secondly, we note that the exact value of the \( \Gamma_e \) transition value is model-dependent. We have already discussed our potential model deficiencies in the deepest layers in Sect. 3.1, but we should note that several other factors might also play a role; in particular, higher mass-loss rates – as would be obtained from our semi-empirical approach – would shift the kink to lower \( \Gamma_e \) values. We note that the latter could occur in the case the wind is clumped. As long as porosity effects are small, wind clumping is expected to increase the momentum transfer in our MC models (Muijres et al. 2011a). Although future dynamical-consistent modelling of clumped winds is required to test this, wind clumping could potentially increase our predicted mass-loss rates, and subsequently decrease the \( \Gamma_e \) value of the kink.

The above mass-loss relationships can easily be transformed using Eq. (1), leading to the entirely analogous mass-loss relationships \( \dot{M} \propto L^{0.68} \Gamma_e^{1.52} \) and Eq. (4) to \( \dot{M} \propto L^{0.78} \Gamma_e^{3.99} \). Interestingly, if one subsequently applies a mass-luminosity relationship for classical (He-rich) WR stars of Maeder & Meynet (1987) or for very massive H-rich stars such as that of Yungelson et al. (2008), with \( L \propto M^{1.34} \) for both cases, it follows that \( \dot{M} \propto M^{2.4} \). This appears to be in good accord with the radio mass-loss rate relation \( \dot{M} \propto L^{2.3} \) for classical WR stars with measured masses from binaries by Abbott et al. (1986). It also agrees with the \( M \) versus stellar mass relationship of \( M \propto M^{2.5} \) that has been applied in WR evolution models by Langer (1989).

### 4.3. Increased wind efficiency close to the Eddington limit?

In order to learn whether radiation-driven mass-loss rates continue to increase with increasing \( \Gamma_e \) or reach a maximum in \( M \) instead, it is insightful to consider the wind efficiency parameter \( \eta = M_r \rho_{\infty} / (L/c) \). We show the predicted values of \( \eta \) in Fig. 6. As the symbols denote different mass ranges, the small scatter on the datapoints shows that \( \eta \) is not very sensitive to stellar mass. At values of \( \Gamma_e \sim 0.5 \) we find wind efficiency numbers \( \eta \) of order 1, in accordance with standard Vink et al. (2000) models. However, when \( \Gamma_e \) approaches unity, \( \eta \) rises in a curved manner to values as high as \( \eta \approx 2.5 \). Such high \( \eta \) values are more
The predicted mass-loss rates versus effective temperatures for several values of $\Gamma_e$, with from top to bottom $\Gamma_e$ equal to 0.90 (model 29; open square), 0.87 (model 28; open triangle), 0.83 (model 25; open diamond), and 0.58 (model 23; asterisk), respectively.

The maximum mass loss in our models up to $\Gamma_e = 0.95$ is $\log \dot{M}_{\text{max}} = -3.8$. This is the mass-loss rate that is retrieved for the most extreme models in our grid. Owocki et al. (2004) have investigated the mass loss of stars that formally exceed their Eddington limit and show that the expected mass loss falls well below the values required to account for the mass that is lost during LBV giant eruptions, such as that of $\eta$ Carinae in the 1840s. Interestingly, they introduce a porosity-moderated continuum driven mass loss that might account for the huge mass-loss rates associated with LBV eruptions (which may be of the order of $1 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$).

**4.4. Effect of $T_{\text{eff}}$ on high $\Gamma_e$ models**

To establish whether there is an additional temperature dependence on $M$, we varied $T_{\text{eff}}$ over the range 50–30 kK for selected $\Gamma_e$ models, with mass-loss predictions presented in Fig. 7 and terminal wind velocities shown in Fig. 8. As we wish to stay above the temperature of the bi-stability jump (which starts at $T_{\text{eff}}$ values below ~27.5 kK; see Vink et al. 2000), we restrict our $T_{\text{eff}}$ range to a minimum value of 30 kK. We find that for all $\Gamma_e$ values $\dot{M}$ is not a strong function of temperature. In terms of the terminal velocity dependence, Fig. 8 shows a rather steep dependence on temperature, with $v_\infty$ dropping by a factor two. This is merely a reflection of the escape velocity dropping by a similar factor of two over the temperature range under consideration.

$
\log (\text{d}M/\text{d}t) \text{ in } \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$

**Fig. 9.** Same as Fig. 5 but for He models from Table 2 added as open straight squares. The He models form a straight line above the H-rich relationship.

**4.5. Effect of the helium abundance on high $\Gamma_e$ models**

To establish the existence of a potential helium dependence on $M$, we computed additional models across the entire $\Gamma_e$ region, setting the hydrogen abundance to zero and increasing the helium abundance accordingly. The results are listed in Table 2 and shown in Fig. 9. The mass-loss rates are similar to those of H-rich models for objects with the same $\Gamma_e$ (see Table 1). This is not too surprising given that the indirect effects of different continuum energy distributions for H-rich versus H-poor are rather subtle (Vink & de Koter 2002). However, when the He-rich results are plotted in Fig. 9 they lie above the H-rich models. For equal luminosity and $\Gamma_e$ the masses of the He-rich models are lower since $\Gamma_e$ is a function of the chemical composition through $\sigma_e$ (see Eq. (1)); $\sigma_e$ is lower for He-rich models, therefore the mass must be lowered to keep $\Gamma_e$ constant. Similar to the H-rich models, there appears to be an upturn in the mass loss vs. $\Gamma_e$ dependence for models at about $\Gamma_e \sim 0.7$.

With respect to the terminal velocity and $\beta$-dependence, we do not find any significant differences between H-rich and He-rich models (see Table 1 versus Table 2).
Table 2. Helium-enriched mass-loss predictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model number</th>
<th>$M_{\text{star}}$ [M$_\odot$]</th>
<th>$M_{\text{wind}}$ [M$_\odot$]</th>
<th>log $L$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_e$</th>
<th>$v_{\text{e}}$ [km s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>log $M$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2He</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>4552</td>
<td>−5.36</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5He</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3141</td>
<td>−4.86</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10He</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4567</td>
<td>−5.04</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14He</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4144</td>
<td>−4.69</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24He</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>−4.70</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26He</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>4759</td>
<td>−4.28</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29He</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4958</td>
<td>−3.99</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30He</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4934</td>
<td>−3.88</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. All parameters that are not listed are the same as in Table 1, and masses have been lowered to keep $\Gamma_e$ fixed.

![Fig. 10. The predicted normalized He II 4686 Å flux versus wavelength for three values of $\Gamma_e$, with top to bottom $\Gamma_e$ equal to 0.93 (model 20; 90 $M_\odot$; $EW \sim -20$ Å), 0.84 (model 15; 100 $M_\odot$; $EW \sim -7$ Å), 0.70 (model 24, 120 $M_\odot$; $EW \sim -2$ Å), respectively. Wind clumping has not been taken into account here.](image)

5. Spectral morphology: the characteristic He 4686 Å Angstrom line

In the previous section, we provided evidence for a natural transition in the mass-loss-$\Gamma_e$ exponent, as well as in the velocity parameter $\beta$ and wind-efficiency $\eta$ from moderate $\Gamma_e$ “optically thin wind” cases to “optically thick wind” cases for objects that find themselves above $\Gamma_e \geq 0.7$. We have inspected our models and confirmed that for $\Gamma_e \leq 0.7$ the sonic velocity is reached outside the photosphere, whilst the stars form a pseudo-photosphere for $\Gamma_e \geq 0.7$.

We expect that the occurrence of a pseudo-photosphere has a consequence for the spectral morphology of the stars in question. We might suspect that the transition $\Gamma_e = 0.7$ is the point where the spectral morphology of normal O stars changes from the common O and Of-types into a WN-type spectrum. The spectral sequence involving the Of/WN stars has a long history (e.g. Conti 1976; Walborn et al. 1992; de Koter et al. 1997; Crowther & Dessart 1998) but it still has to be placed into a theoretical context. Figure 10 shows a sequence for the predicted He II 4686 Å lines for three gradually increasing values of $\Gamma_e$: 0.70 (model 24), 0.84 (model 15), and 0.93 (model 20), respectively. These models have been selected to be objects with a constant luminosity of log($L/L_\odot$) = 6.5, and we simply lowered the mass from 120 $M_\odot$ to 100 $M_\odot$, to 90 $M_\odot$. It is insightful to note that, although the first spectrum below the transition $\Gamma_e$ already shows some emission – characteristic of Of stars – the line-flux is rather modest in comparison to what is found for the next two cases with $\Gamma_e$ values exceeding the critical value of 0.7. These objects show very strong and broad He II 4686 Å emission lines that are more characteristic of Of/WN or “slash” stars, progressing towards the Wolf-Rayet stars of the nitrogen sequence (WN).

These models thus indicate that the observed spectral transition from Of to WN corresponds to a transition from relatively low $\Gamma_e$ to high $\Gamma_e$ values (and larger $\beta$) for WN stars. This assertion is based not only on the higher predicted mass-loss rates themselves, but also on the finding that the mass-loss behaviour (as a function of $\Gamma_e$) changes at $\Gamma_e = 0.7$. We note that the increasing HeII 4686 Å equivalent width ($EW$) amounts to $EW$ values of $-2, -7, -20$ Å, respectively (for these unclumped models).

6. Discussion

6.1. Comparison with empirical mass-loss rates

Comparing our new mass-loss predictions against observed mass-loss rates is a non-trivial undertaking, as high $\Gamma_e$ objects are scarce. The largest sample of such potentially high $\Gamma_e$ objects that involves state-of-the-art modelling analysis is probably that of the Arches cluster by Martins et al. (2008). They provided stellar and wind properties (accounting for wind clumping) of 28 of its brightest members from K-band spectroscopy. Roughly half of their sample comprises O4-6 supergiants whilst the other half includes H-rich WN7-9 stars.

It is not possible to quote direct mass-loss predictions, because the Martins et al. analysis did not yield object masses. However, on the basis of the high stellar luminosities, with log($L/L_\odot$) up to 6.3, these objects were suggested to be consistent with initial masses of up to $\sim 120 M_\odot$. For the O4-6 supergiant population, luminosity values are in the range log($L/L_\odot$) = 5.75–6.05, consistent with initial masses $M \approx 55–95 M_\odot$. For this mass and luminosity range, $\Gamma_e \approx 0.2$ – comfortably within our low-$\Gamma_e$ regime. Assuming the current mass of these objects is about the same as their initial mass, our mass-loss formula yields values of log $M \approx -6.1$, which is in reasonable agreement with the lower end of the Martins et al. mass-loss rates for their O4-O6 I objects.

The second group of Martins et al. objects comprise the WN7-9 objects. If we again assume their current masses can directly be inferred from the observed luminosities, we find $\Gamma_e \approx 0.4$ and mass-loss rates log $M \approx -5.3$. Even if the helium abundances of these objects are increased, these properties would not result in a pronounced emission profile of the He II 4686 Å line, i.e. a profile shape that is typical of late-WN stars. For this to
happen the mass-loss rates need to be higher by at least a factor of a few. This seems to require high $\Gamma$. In the framework of our models, this could be achieved by lowering the mass. However as the non-electron contribution in our models is not self-consistently treated in the high $\Gamma$ regime, we refrain from providing quantitative assessments.

As the O4-O6 supergiants from the Martins et al. (2008) analysis have effective temperatures in the range 32–40 kK, we expect $v_{\infty}$ to fall in the range 2500–3500 km s$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 8), which reasonably agrees with the upper end of the Arches O4–O6 supergiant stars. However, for the late WN stars, the terminal velocities presented by Martins et al. drop to values as low as 800–1600 km s$^{-1}$, which is a factor 2–4 lower than we predict. We identify a number of possible reasons for this discrepancy. One option could be that the $K$-band spectral fits of Martins et al. (2008) yield terminal velocities that are too low (note that no ultra violet P Cygni blue edges are available for these obscured objects), but a more plausible reason is that as a result of our modelling assumptions (no rotation, smooth winds, etc.), we overpredict the wind terminal velocity. As the overprediction in terminal velocity is a factor 2–4, this would naturally translate in a mass-loss underestimate of a factor 3–5.

Although the effects of rotation on our mass-loss predictions are beyond the scope of this investigation, rotation may become relevant once one wishes to compare the non-rotating predictions to observations of objects that might rotate at a relevant rate. In particular, given that Gräfener et al. (2011) discuss the possibility that the WNH stars in the Arches cluster may evolve close to being chemically homogeneous. To first order, one might expect the effect of rotation to lower the effective gravity; i.e. one could replace the mass by the effective mass $M (1 - \Gamma)(1 - \Omega^2)$, in which case one would anticipate $M$ to scale as $M(\Omega) \sim M(0)(1 - \Omega^2)^{1/2}$. In a similar vein, one might expect the terminal velocity to scale with the escape velocity, i.e. $v_{\infty} \propto v_{\infty}(0) \sqrt{(1 - \Omega^2)/\alpha}$ (see e.g. Gayley 2000; Puls et al. 2008 and references therein). In Sect. 4.5, we computed some test models in which we lowered the stellar mass, hence the effective gravity, so as to keep $\Gamma$ constant (in order to study a potential helium dependence on $M$). These models indeed showed higher mass-loss rates for lower effective gravity, but can we quantify the effective-gravity effect?

If we were to attribute the offset between the observed and predicted wind-terminal velocity of a factor $~2$ to stellar rotation, we should have an $\Omega$ of $\sim 0.85$ to “match” theory to observations. In that case, the mass-loss rate would be enhanced by a factor of 2.5–4 for $a'$ values in the range 0.5–0.6. Nevertheless, this could significantly change for lower temperatures. These high $\Omega$ values of the order of 0.85 seem to be rather high when we consider recent stellar evolution models (Brott et al. 2011; Friedrich et al., in prep.), but they are not out of line with respect to spectral observations of LBVs (Groh et al. 2006), objects that are presumably in close proximity to the Eddington limit.

In all, we interpret our high wind terminal velocities as a sign that some physics is missing in our high $\Gamma$ models. Therefore, we refrain from using our dynamically determined mass-loss rates in a quantitative way at the optically-thick high $\Gamma$ end. For normal O-type stars, for low and moderate $\Gamma$ values, we achieve much better agreement between observed and predicted wind terminal velocities (Muijres et al. 2011b), and for this regime we have a much higher confidence in the accuracy of the absolute mass-loss rates, as long as O-type winds are not extremely porous, in which case mass-loss rates could drop significantly (Muijres et al. 2011a).

### 6.2. Comparison to other models

#### 6.2.1. Comparison to CAK and other O-type star mass-loss models

We now wish to compare our results with previous model predictions. In this paper, we have investigated the mass-loss behaviour at high $\Gamma$ for an extensive grid of models, and we revealed the existence of two mass-loss regimes. Moreover, we have found that mass-loss rates are dependent on both $\Gamma$ and stellar mass (or stellar luminosity) and that the shape of these dependencies is well-described by a power law.

We remind the reader of classical CAK theory, where the mass-loss rate is found to be proportional to

$$M \propto L \left( \frac{\Gamma}{1 - \Gamma} \right)^{\alpha}$$

where $\alpha$ is a force multiplier parameter expressing the importance of optical thin lines to the total ensemble of lines. It is generally found that $\alpha$ is $\sim 2/3$ for galactic O-type stars (Puls et al. 2008) and assumed to be constant throughout the atmosphere. In reality, however, $\alpha$ is depth-dependent (Vink 2000; Kudritzki 2002; Gräfener & Hamann 2005; Muijres et al. 2011b), which is captured better by an alternative representation of the line acceleration (Müller & Vink 2008). Nevertheless, the classical CAK formalism – as described by Eq. (4) – already shows a dependence on both $L$ and $\Gamma$, and one could rewrite this mass-loss dependence as a function of $M$ and $\Gamma$, using a mass-luminosity relation.

In the standard Vink et al. (2000) mass-loss parametrization $M \propto L^{2.2} \Gamma^{-0.8} \left(\tau_{\infty}/\tau_{\text{esc}}\right)^{1.2}$, which can be reorganized to $M \propto L^{1.2} \Gamma^{0.7}$. This is the type of mass-loss parametrization that is currently employed in modern evolutionary computations (see e.g. Meynet & Maeder 2003; Palacios et al. 2005; Limongi & Chieffi 2006; Eldridge & Vink 2006; Vink et al. 2010; and Brott et al. 2011).

In this paper, we obtain much steeper $M$ vs. $\Gamma$ dependencies, in agreement with our previous models for constant-luminosity LBVs (Vink & de Koter 2002; Smith et al. 2004). For the “low” $\Gamma$ range considered here we obtained “modest” dependencies of $M \propto L_{0.7} \Gamma_{1.5}$, but for the “high” $\Gamma$ regime, we found $M \propto L_{1.78} \Gamma_{3.90}$ which involves a much steeper dependence on $\Gamma$ than any CAK-type mass-loss relationship provides. We note that such a steep dependence on the Eddington limit agrees with radiation-driven wind models of Vink (2006) and Gräfener & Hamann (2008), whilst Gräfener et al. (in prep.) also provide empirical evidence for such a strong mass-loss dependence on the Eddington parameter.

We emphasize that, through the use of the Vink et al. (2000) theoretical mass-loss recipe, most current stellar models already include the effect of positive mass-loss feedback (contrary to recent claims by Smith & Conti 2008). This effect describes how the mass-loss rate increases with the Eddington parameter. However, as we here obtain much steeper $M$ vs. $\Gamma$ dependencies, it is likely that the mass-loss feedback effect that is currently employed in the stellar evolution models may not be sufficient.
for certain areas of the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram. We thus concur with the notion of Smith & Conti (2008) that new stellar evolution computations that take this effect into account properly are desirable.

6.2.2. Comparison to alternative Wolf-Rayet mass-loss models

We also compare our models to the optically thick wind models for Wolf-Rayet stars, such as the critical-point analysis by Nugis & Lamers (2002) and the hydrodynamical model atmosphere analysis of Gräfener & Hamann (2008). As there is a significant qualitative difference between our Monte Carlo approach and the optically thick wind approaches, a meaningful quantitative comparison is a non-trivial undertaking (see Sect. 3.1).

First, we quantitatively compare our $M$ versus $\Gamma_e$ dependence to the WNL star mass-loss dependence suggested by Gräfener & Hamann (2008). For the models in our grid at $T_{\text{eff}} = 50$ kK, we find very good agreement with the Gräfener & Hamann (2008) mass-loss rates and also find that the power-law slope of our dependence is very similar. However, the onset of WR-type behaviour occurs earlier, i.e. for lower $\Gamma_e$, in the models by Gräfener and Hamann.

In Sect. 3, we discussed the possibility of such a shift in $\Gamma_e$, because the actual Eddington parameter $\Gamma$ is expected to be affected by free-free and bound-free contributions and peaks in the iron opacity. By comparison with OPAL opacity tables (Iglesias & Rogers 1996), we estimate an increase of $\Gamma$ by $\sim 20\%$ in the region of the sonic point, assuming the location of the sonic point remains unaffected. This value corresponds roughly to a $\sim 25\%$ shift in $\Gamma_e$ between our relation and that by Gräfener & Hamann for typical parameters of Galactic WNL stars ($T_{\text{eff}} = 45$ kK, $\log(L/L_\odot) = 6.3$). This could be considered a maximum shift as we may slightly overestimate the line force near the sonic point by applying the Sobolev approximation (Pauldrach et al. 1986). However, a change in $\Gamma$ affects the atmospheric structure and therefore the location of the sonic point, consequently the effect on $M$ is hard to establish.

The Gräfener & Hamann mass-loss rates also display a strong temperature dependence, with $M \propto T_{\text{eff}}^{-3.5}$. The actual size of the shift in $\Gamma_e$ is thus strongly dependent on the specific stellar parameters. Our Monte Carlo models suggest a much smoother dependence on $T_{\text{eff}}$ (see Fig. 7) as long as we stay above the extreme Wolf-Rayet behaviour when $\Gamma_e < 1$.

What we wish to emphasize is that both modelling approaches show an $M$ versus $\Gamma_e$ dependence that is much stronger than any additional mass or luminosity dependence. Where the two distinct mass-loss prescriptions differ is in the treatment of wind clumping, as well as the value of $\Gamma$ for the onset of WR-type mass-loss behaviour. The exact location of this transition is of paramount importance for the evolution of the most massive stars. Ultimately, this should be testable with comparisons to observational data when sufficient objects are available in the appropriate $\Gamma_e$ range. This will be a crucial aim of the VLT Flames Tarantula Survey (Evans et al. 2011; Bestenlehner et al. 2011).

7. Summary

We presented mass-loss predictions from Monte Carlo radiative transfer models for very massive stars in the mass range $40$–$300 M_\odot$ and with Eddington factors $\Gamma_e$ in the range $0.4$–$1.0$. An important outcome is that when winds become optically thick the spectral and mass-loss properties change. This transitional behaviour can be summarized as follows:

- we find a transition from common O-type stars to more extreme Wolf-Rayet behaviour when $\Gamma_e$ exceeds a critical value;
- the way in which the mass-loss rate depends on $\Gamma_e$ in the range $0.4 \leq \Gamma_e \leq 0.7$ is $M \propto M_\odot^{0.68 \pm 0.22}$, where rates are found to be consistent with the standard Vink et al. (2000) mass-loss rates;
- at $\Gamma_e = 0.7$ the $M$ dependence shows a “kink”; i.e. the slope is steeper for objects closer to the Eddington limit. Here the slope becomes $M \propto M_\odot^{0.78 \pm 0.77}$. This slope agrees with WNL models by Gräfener & Hamann (2008);
- when $\Gamma_e$ approaches unity, the wind efficiency number $\eta$ rises in a curved manner to values as high as $\eta \approx 2.5$. Such high $\eta$ values are more commensurate with Wolf-Rayet winds than with common O stars winds, and these results thus confirm a natural extension from common O-type mass loss to more extreme WR behaviour;
- this transitional behaviour is also found in terms of the wind acceleration parameter $\beta$, which naturally reaches values as high as $1.5$;
- the spectral morphology of the He line at 4686 Å changes gradually as a function of $\Gamma_e$. This links the spectral sequence O-Of-Of/WN-WN to a transition of optically thin to optically thick winds;
- the mass-loss rate is found to be only modestly dependent on the effective temperature for the range of 30 to 50 kK;
- last but not least, we highlight the fact that for fixed $\Gamma_e$ the He abundance only has a minor effect on the predicted rate of mass loss (cf. Vink & de Koter 2002 for LBVs). This contradicts how O-type, to LBV-type, to WR-type mass-loss transitions are employed in massive star evolution models. We thus call for fundamental changes in the way mass loss is included in stellar evolution models for objects in close proximity to the Eddington limit.
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