



**UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)**

**Models of language: towards a practice-based account of information in natural language**

Andrade Lotero, E.J.

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Andrade-Lotero, E. J. (2012). Models of language: towards a practice-based account of information in natural language Amsterdam: Institute for Logic, Language and Computation

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Philosophical presuppositions of formal semantics</b>	<b>15</b>
1.1 The status of formal semantics . . . . .	15
1.1.1 Shared assumptions . . . . .	16
1.1.2 The deductive science view . . . . .	17
1.1.3 The empirical science view . . . . .	19
1.1.4 The engineering view . . . . .	20
1.1.5 The instrumentalist view . . . . .	20
1.2 Main tenets of formal semantics . . . . .	21
1.2.1 Semantic rules and compositionality . . . . .	21
1.2.2 Truth and reference . . . . .	27
1.2.3 Intuitions and individual linguistic competence . . . . .	30
1.3 Speaker’s meaning vs. linguistic meaning . . . . .	33
1.3.1 Two notions of linguistic understanding . . . . .	33
1.3.2 Grice’s first program . . . . .	35
1.3.3 Grice’s second program . . . . .	40
1.3.4 Linguistic communication . . . . .	43
1.4 Contextualism vs. Minimalism . . . . .	47
1.4.1 The issue of context dependence . . . . .	48
1.4.2 Two notions of ‘what is said’ . . . . .	52
1.4.3 Psychological reality? . . . . .	57
1.4.4 The contextualist challenge . . . . .	59
1.4.5 The availability approach . . . . .	61

<b>2</b>	<b>Understanding and Communication</b>	<b>67</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	67
2.1.1	Motivating the criteria of adequacy . . . . .	67
2.1.2	Criteria of adequacy . . . . .	70
2.2	Against the compositional structure of linguistic competence . . .	72
2.2.1	Outline of the argument . . . . .	72
2.2.2	Criticism of the formal semanticist's achievement . . . . .	73
2.2.3	Criticism of the formal semanticist's notion of a competent speaker and her epistemic task . . . . .	90
2.3	A descriptive view of communication . . . . .	98
2.3.1	Against the code component of linguistic communication . . . . .	98
2.3.2	Intentions vs. purposes . . . . .	101
2.3.3	Communicative success . . . . .	104
2.3.4	Fulfilling the explanatory task . . . . .	109
<b>3</b>	<b>Alternative accounts of language and information</b>	<b>117</b>
3.1	Tomasello's Constructing a Language . . . . .	118
3.1.1	A usage-based account of language . . . . .	118
3.1.2	Human symbolic communication . . . . .	121
3.1.3	An account of language acquisition . . . . .	122
3.1.4	Remarks on Tomasello's insights . . . . .	127
3.2	Brandom's methodological phenomenalism . . . . .	131
3.2.1	Inferentialism and commitments . . . . .	131
3.2.2	Methodological phenomenalism and incomplete understanding . . . . .	134
3.2.3	The social-perspectival character of concepts . . . . .	137
3.2.4	Remarks on Brandom's insights . . . . .	139
<b>4</b>	<b>Towards a practice-based account of information</b>	<b>147</b>
4.1	The plan for an alternative semantics . . . . .	147
4.1.1	Why practices? . . . . .	147
4.1.2	Practices and information . . . . .	151
4.1.3	Language intelligibility . . . . .	158
4.1.4	Literal meanings and dictionaries . . . . .	164
4.2	Links with cognitive science . . . . .	168
4.2.1	Human- <i>specific</i> or just <i>human</i> abilities? . . . . .	169
4.2.2	Going beyond the individualistic frame of reference . . . . .	172
4.2.3	Marr's levels of explanation adapted . . . . .	177
	<b>Final comments</b>	<b>185</b>
	<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>203</b>
	<b>Abstract</b>	<b>205</b>