Root compression on MRI compared with clinical findings in patients with recent onset cervical radiculopathy
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INTRODUCTION

Root compression without clinical substrate as a coincidental finding on MRI of the cervical spine is well known.1-4 However, the presence of a clinically evident cervical radiculopathy without root compression on MRI also occurs.5-7 Knowledge of the occurrence of this phenomenon is important to make correct treatment decisions.

The most common causes of cervical root compression are narrowing of the foraminar space secondary to spondylarthrosis and herniated discs.8-10 Large studies investigating the relationship between the radiological and clinical findings are lacking.

In this study, we assess the relation between the clinically affected level of root compression and the level of root compression on MRI in a group of patients with recent-onset cervical radiculopathy.

METHODS

Participants
78 patients with symptoms and signs of cervical radiculopathy of less than one month's duration.

Methods
The authors determined the clinically suspected level of root compression in each patient. Two neuroradiologists independently evaluated MRIs, blinded for the clinical findings. For each patient, the level of root compression on MRI was compared with the clinically affected level. The authors also examined the cause of compression: herniated disc, spondylotic foraminal stenosis or both.

Results
In 73% of patients, the clinically affected root was compressed on MRI. In 45%, MRI showed root compression without clinical substrate together with, or to a lesser extent without, the coexistence of compression of the clinically affected root. MRIs were assessed as normal in 13-15% of cases, and in 9-10% only asymptomatic roots were compressed. Herniated discs without spondylosis were more often responsible for root compressions only at the clinically affected level and spondylotic foraminal stenosis for multiple root compression including compression of clinically unaffected roots.

Conclusion
MRI findings in patients with cervical radiculopathy should be interpreted together with the clinical findings, as false-positive and false-negative MRIs occur rather frequently.
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To evaluate the occurrence of symptomatic and asymptomatic root compression caused by herniated discs and spondylotic foraminal stenosis by MRI in patients with recent onset cervical radiculopathy.

Participants
78 patients with symptoms and signs of cervical radiculopathy.

Methods
The authors determined the clinically suspected level of root compression in each patient. Two neuroradiologists independently evaluated MRIs, blinded for the clinical findings. For each patient, the level of root compression on MRI was compared with the clinically affected level. The authors also examined the cause of compression: herniated disc, spondylotic foraminal stenosis or both.

Results
In 73% of patients, the clinically affected root was compressed on MRI. In 45%, MRI showed root compression without clinical substrate together with, or to a lesser extent without, the coexistence of compression of the clinically affected root. MRIs were assessed as normal in 13-15% of cases, and in 9-10% only asymptomatic roots were compressed. Herniated discs without spondylosis were more often responsible for root compressions only at the clinically affected level and spondylotic foraminal stenosis for multiple root compression including compression of clinically unaffected roots.

Conclusion
MRI findings in patients with cervical radiculopathy should be interpreted together with the clinical findings, as false-positive and false-negative MRIs occur rather frequently.
abnormalities such as spinal canal stenosis with or without cord compression, tumours and other findings was recorded but not included in the analysis.

Analysis

Data on root compression were dichotomised as either root compression (possibly and definitely root compression) or no root compression (definitely and possibly no root compression and indeterminate).

For each patient, we compared the level of root compression with that of the clinically affected root. As it is not always feasible to determine with certainty the level of root compression by history and physical examination, we separately recorded the presence of root compression one level higher or lower. We also examined the cause of compression: herniated disc, spondylotic foraminal stenosis or both.

RESULTS

From May 2005 to December 2006, 82 patients with a clinical diagnosis of recent onset unilateral cervical radiculopathy underwent MRI of the cervical spine.

Four scans were of poor quality because of movement artefacts by pain (n=2) and claustrophobia (n=2). Seventy-eight MRIs were fully examined.

Data on the clinical signs of radiculopathy in these 78 patients are listed in table 1. Sensory abnormalities were found in 89.9% of the patients, diminished reflexes in 48.1% and muscle weakness in 29.1%. Two patients (2.6%) only experienced pain. The mean age was 47.4 year (range 24–70). There was no difference in age between patients with herniated discs and spondylotic foraminal stenosis.

Single and multiple root compression assessments are listed in table 2. The clinically affected root was judged as compressed in 73.1/69.2% (radiologist 1/2) of all cases. When we consider compression of the roots adjacent to the clinically affected level as symptomatic root compression, this percentage increased to 78.2/74.4%.

Single-level, one-sided root compression was found in 47.4% of patients. Most of these compressions were of the clinically affected root, according to table 2 in 55.9/34.6% (radiologist 1/2). Multiple root compression was seen in 39.7/37.2% of cases (radiologist 1/2), mostly a combination of clinically affected and unaffected roots. One or more clinically unaffected root compressions were reported in 44.9/46.2% of all patients. MRIs were assessed as normal in 12.8/15.4% of cases, and in 9.0/10.3% only unaffected roots were compressed. We additionally recorded the clinical signs listed in table 1, for the group of patients on whom the two neuroradiologists agreed that the MRI showed no root compression at the clinical level or one level above or below. No difference was found between the clinical data of patients with and without root compression on MRI.

Herniated discs were the single cause of root compression in 41.1/54.6% and spondylotic foraminal stenosis in 35.9/21.7% of our patients (table 5). A combination of herniated disc and spondylotic foraminal stenosis was reported in 10.3/28.2%.

Table 1 Clinical signs and symptoms in 78 patients with suspected cervical radiculopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only pain</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle weakness</td>
<td>23 (29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diminished reflexes</td>
<td>38 (48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory abnormalities</td>
<td>70 (89.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Single and multiple root compression assessed on MRI in 78 patients with suspected cervical radiculopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Radiologist 1</th>
<th>Radiologist 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single root compression on MRI</td>
<td>n=37 (47.4)</td>
<td>n=37 (47.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of clinically affected root only</td>
<td>28 (35.9)</td>
<td>27 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of the root one level higher or lower than that of the clinically affected root</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of the root two levels higher or lower than that of the clinically affected root</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of contralateral root</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td>7 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple root compression on MRI</td>
<td>n=31 (39.7)</td>
<td>n=29 (37.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of clinically affected root together with one level higher or lower and contralateral root compression</td>
<td>18 (23.1)</td>
<td>13 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of clinical affected root together with one level higher or lower and contralateral root compression</td>
<td>9 (11.5)</td>
<td>13 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of root one level higher and lower together with contralateral root compression</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression of multiple contralateral roots</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal MRI</td>
<td>10 (12.8)</td>
<td>12 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Herniated discs were mainly seen in the group with one compressed root, whereas spondylotic foraminal stenosis more often caused multiple root compressions, including compression of clinically unaffected roots (see table 3).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the correlation between clinical signs and symptoms, and the occurrence of root compression on MRI in a well-defined population of patients with recent-onset unilateral cervical radiculopathy, in a setting closely resembling clinical practice.

In almost three-quarters of the 78 patients, the clinical level corresponded with the level of root compression on MRI. Assessment of the level of root compression by neurological examination alone is reported to be difficult. Inclusion of the root compressions one level above or below the clinically suspected level led to an increase in the percentage of matching clinical and MRI levels by only 5% for both radiologists, indicating that the localising value of the neurological examination is quite good.

Strikingly, we found false-positive root compressions in 45% of the cases, defined as compressions on the contralateral, that is, asymptomatic, side or at least two levels higher or lower than the clinically affected level. These asymptomatic root compressions were often present together with root compression at the clinically affected level or adjacent to this. In order to avoid unnecessary treatment of root compressions observed on MRI without clinical substrate, physicians should only interpret MRI results after a careful history and neurological examination.

Table 3 Causes of root compression in 78 patients with suspected cervical radiculopathy who underwent MRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Radiologist 1</th>
<th>Radiologist 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single root compression</td>
<td>n=37 (47.4)</td>
<td>n=37 (47.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By herniated disc</td>
<td>25 (32.1)</td>
<td>24 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By spondylotic foraminal stenosis</td>
<td>10 (12.8)</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By both</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>9 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple root compression</td>
<td>n=31 (39.7)</td>
<td>n=29 (37.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By herniated disc(s)</td>
<td>7 (9.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By spondylotic foraminal stenosis</td>
<td>18 (23.1)</td>
<td>13 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By both</td>
<td>6 (7.7)</td>
<td>13 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal MRI</td>
<td>10 (12.8)</td>
<td>12 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Earlier studies reported a high percentage of cervical degenerative disease on MRI in asymptomatic patients, with a higher prevalence in older age. Teresi et al studied 100 MRIs of the cervical spine of patients who were investigated for laryngeal disease. Twenty per cent of patients aged 45–54 years and 57% of patients older than 64 years had cervical disc protrusion without clinical symptoms.\(^5\) Another study on asymptomatic subjects showed ‘major’ abnormalities (herniated disc, foraminal stenosis, disc space narrowing) in 28% of people over 40 years of age.\(^4\) However, these studies only investigated asymptomatic patients, whereas our study included patients based on the presence of cervical radiculopathy at one level.

We also found a fair number of false-negative MRIs. MRIs were assessed as normal in 12.8/15.4% of cases, and in 9.0/10.3% only asymptomatic roots were compressed. So, together we had 21.8/25.6% of false-negative MRIs.

Patients were eligible for our study if they fulfilled strict inclusion criteria. We feel confident that they had indeed cervical radiculopathy because all patients except two had neurological deficits corresponding with radicular pain, and no other conditions emerged during a 6-month follow-up period. We had low percentages of surgery so we could not confirm our diagnosis surgically in most cases.\(^1\)

The cause of the root compression was most often a herniated disc, particularly in those patients with unilateral MRI abnormalities only at the clinically affected level. This is noteworthy, since it is often assumed that spondylotic foraminal stenosis is the most common cause of root compression in cervical radiculopathy.\(^5\)\(^\text{2–10}\)\(^\text{12}\) Our study shows that foraminal stenosis was more frequently asymptomatic. The occurrence of herniated discs and spondylotic changes was not related to age.

We previously found a high interobserver agreement of 91% for MRI evaluation of root compression with a \(k\) score of 0.67. This agreement was less for the cause of the compression, that is, herniated disc (81%) and spondylotic foramens stenosis (82%) (unpublished data, manuscript accepted for publication in Clinical Radiology). In the present study, the two neuroradiologists also disagreed more on assessment of herniated discs and foraminal stenoses than on the presence of root compression. In particular, the reported percentages of spondylotic foraminal stenosis differed (table 3). It is known that on MRI, spondylotic foraminal stenosis is often more difficult to detect, and CT-myelography techniques are probably more accurate.\(^5\)\(^\text{7–7}\)\(^\text{20}\) Our neuroradiologists may have under-reported spondylotic foraminal stenosis, although the 55 and 63% of patients in whom stenosis was found by radiologists 1 and 2 suggest otherwise (unpublished data, manuscript accepted for publication in Clinical Radiology).

Another limitation is that the neuroradiologists knew that all patients in the study had a clinical diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy. They may have been more aware of the possibility of root compression in general, resulting in higher percentages of abnormal MRIs. Because the radiologists had no information on the level and side of the radiculopathy, the main results of our study on the relation between clinical and MRI findings seem reliable.

**Conclusion and clinical implications**

It is evident from this study that MRI findings in patients with cervical radiculopathy are meaningful only in a clinical context. False-negative MRI results were encountered in almost one-quarter, and false-positive results in half of the patients. Therefore, cervical MRI is useful only when there is a clear picture of cervical radiculopathy.
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