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ABSTRACT

Objectives The detection of autoantibodies to the muscarinic receptor type 3 (M3R) in the serum of patients with Sjögrens syndrome (SS) by ELISA is controversial. A study was undertaken to test whether modification of M3R peptides could enhance the antigenicity and increase the detection of specific antibodies using an ELISA.

Methods A series of controlled ELISAs was performed with serum from 71 patients with SS and 37 healthy volunteers (HV) on linear, citrullinated and/or cyclised multi-antigenic peptides (MAP) of the three extracellular M3R loops to detect specific binding.

Results Significant differences (p<0.05) in optical density (OD) between serum from patients and HV were detected for a cyclised loop 1-derived peptide and the negative control peptide. Furthermore, there were no statistically significant differences between the frequency of positive patients (defined as OD >2SDs above the mean of the HV) and HV on any of the peptides tested.

Conclusions Binding of serum from patients with SS to M3R-derived peptides does not differ from binding to a control peptide in an ELISA and no significant binding to M3R-derived peptides was found in the serum from individual patients compared with HV. These data suggest that peptide-based ELISAs are not sufficiently sensitive and/or specific to detect anti-MR3 autoantibodies.

The frequency of patients positive for muscarinic receptor type 3 (M3R) autoantibodies, thought to be a key pathogenic factor in the exocrine dysfunction in patients with Sjögrens syndrome (SS), is reported to vary from 0% up to nearly 100% when detected by a linear peptide-based ELISA. Low anti-M3R titres, the inability of an antibody to recognise a linear peptide and/or the choice of epitope may all have a role in the variability observed. We tested sera from patients with SS and healthy volunteers (HV) on previously reported M3R peptides, as well as on cyclised, citrullinated and multi-antigenic peptide (MAP) versions. Serum from 79 patients (primary SS, mean age 49.5 years, 89% women) and 37 HV (mean age 45.5, 67% women) was obtained at our clinic and at the NIH blood bank and stored at -80°C until use. Several ELISA conditions were tested and optimised using a MAP-8 Ro peptide (IQEMPLTALLRLNGKMT). Ten M3R-derived peptides (three linear loop 2 peptides, three cyclised loop 1, 2 or 3 peptides, two MAP-8 loop 2 peptides, one citrullinated loop 2 and one citrullinated and cyclised loop 2) and a SGSG control peptide were screened in a series of pilot ELISAs with 15 HV and 37 patients to determine the most antigenic peptides. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) graphs were made and the area under the curve (AUC) was determined for each peptide (data not shown). Four peptides were chosen based on their highest average AUC: KRAI (AUC 0.714), MAP KRAI (AUC 0.662), Kc_c (AUC 0.751) and loop 1 (AUC 0.680) (for sequence see figure 1). These and the control SGSG peptide were reasayed in four tightly controlled ELISAs on four consecutive days with serum from all patients and HV (figure 1A). The analysis of variance results showed that the between-day variation in optical density (OD) scores was significant for all peptides (p≤0.002) except the SGSG peptide (p=0.207). Significant differences between HV and patients were found for the SGSG (p=0.037) and the loop 1 peptide (p=0.025). To determine sensitivity and specificity of each peptide, ROC graphs were made and the AUC was calculated (figure 1B). None of the AUC were statistically significant (p>0.100). Individual autoantibody positivity was defined as an average OD >2SD of the mean of the HV, assuming a normal distribution in this group (table 1).

The present study demonstrates a poor reproducibility of M3R peptide-based ELISAs. The average patient OD of loop 1 was significantly different from the HV, but this was also observed with the SGSG peptide, and there were no differences in the number of positive individuals between the two groups.

There are some technical reasons that may account for these negative results, including microstructural changes in the tested peptides over time and inconsistency in binding of the peptide to the microtitre plate. Other reasons may be that M3R autoantibodies are reactive with other non-tested epitopes, only recognise an epitope in its native form or peptide:antibody interactions may be too weak/weak.

Table 1 Percentage of healthy volunteers (HV) and patients (P) with an average optical density (OD) >2SDs above the mean of the HV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>HV, % of group (n)</th>
<th>P, % of group (n)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGSG</td>
<td>2.7 (1)</td>
<td>9.9 (7)</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAI</td>
<td>5.4 (2)</td>
<td>7.0 (5)</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP KRAI</td>
<td>2.7 (1)</td>
<td>7.0 (5)</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kc_c</td>
<td>2.7 (1)</td>
<td>5.6 (4)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop 1</td>
<td>2.7(1)</td>
<td>4.2 (3)</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The p values represent the significance of the corresponding two-independent sample \( \chi^2 \) test.
the controversies about the detection of anti-M3R antibodies. Be shared among investigators would significantly aid in clarifying should therefore use controls which have tested positive in func-

tional assays using native receptors are more elaborate but may prove more reliable.10 11 Future studies are not suffi ciently sensitive and specific to detect the putative sensitivity and specifi city of the tested peptides. The results of the 4-day average are shown in an overlapping graph.

Figure 1  (A) Dot plots of 4-day average optical density (OD) in peptide ELISAs for each patient (P) and healthy volunteer (HV). Control peptides SSGS (SSGSSGSSGSSGSSGSSGSSG), extracellular (EC) loop 2-derived KRAI (KRTVPQGEFICDQFLSEPITFGTAI), MAP KRAI (MAP-8, sequence KRAI; Facility for Biotechnology Resources at the NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA), Kc_c (CFVGKCitrullineTVPPGEC cyclised; Washington Biotechnology, Columbia, Maryland, USA) and EC loop 1 (TTYIIIMRVALGNLACDC cyclised; NIH), all HPLC purifi ed, were incubated overnight at 10 µg/ml peptide in phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) at 4°C in a 96-well plates (NUNC, Maxisorp). The next day plates were washed (PBS + 0.05% Tween 20) and blocked for 2 h (reagent diluent; R&D, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). After washing, one aliquot of prediluted (1:100 in reagent diluent) frozen (–80°C) serum for each individual was thawed and added to each well in duplicate for 2 h, with the patients and HV randomly placed. After washing, horseradish peroxidase-

conjugated antihuman IgG (GTX 26759 Genetex) was added (1:10 000/100 µl/well) for 1 h. Plates were washed and 100 µl of substrate reagent (R&D, Minnesota, USA) and EC loop 1 (TTYIIMNRVALGNLACDC cyclised; NIH), all HPLC purifi ed, were incubated overnight at 10 µg/ml peptide in phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) at 4°C in a 96-well plates (NUNC, Maxisorp). The next day plates were washed (PBS + 0.05% Tween 20) and blocked for 2 h (reagent diluent; R&D, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). After washing, one aliquot of prediluted (1:100 in reagent diluent) frozen (–80°C) serum for each individual was thawed and added to each well in duplicate for 2 h, with the patients and HV randomly placed. After washing, horseradish peroxidase-

conjugated antihuman IgG (GTX 26759 Genetex) was added (1:10 000/100 µl/well) for 1 h. Plates were washed and 100 µl of substrate reagent (R&D) was added for 10 min and stopped with stop solution (50 per well; R&D). Plates were read at 450 nm on a spectrmax plate reader (maximum OD 4.0). The ELISA was repeated on four consecutive days in exactly the same way. Results are displayed as individual average OD after subtraction of the blank. (B) Receiver operating characteristics curves for the four peptides and the control peptides were made using SAS 9.2 software to determine sensitivity and specificity of the tested peptides. The results of the 4-day average are shown in an overlapping graph.
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