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Abstract

Background: Levels of circulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have widely been used as biomarker for angiogenic activity in cancer. For this purpose, non-standardized measurements in plasma and serum were used, without correction for artificial VEGF release by platelets activated ex vivo. We hypothesize that “true” circulating (c)VEGF levels in most cancer patients are low and unrelated to cancer load or tumour angiogenesis.

Methodology: We determined VEGF levels in PECT, a medium that contains platelet activation inhibitors, in citrate plasma, and in isolated platelets in 16 healthy subjects, 18 patients with metastatic non-renal cancer (non-RCC) and 12 patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC). In non-RCC patients, circulating plasma VEGF levels were low and similar to VEGF levels in controls if platelet activation was minimized during the harvest procedure by PECT medium. In citrate plasma, VEGF levels were elevated in non-RCC patients, but this could be explained by a combination of increased platelet activation during blood harvesting, and by a two-fold increase in VEGF content of individual platelets (controls: 3.4 IU/10⁶, non-RCC: 6.2 IU/10⁶ platelets, p = 0.001). In contrast, cVEGF levels in RCC patients were elevated (PECT plasma: 64 pg/ml vs. 21 pg/ml, RCC vs. non-RCC, p < 0.0001), and not related to platelet VEGF concentration.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that “true” freely cVEGF levels are not elevated in the majority of cancer patients. Previously reported elevated plasma VEGF levels in cancer appear to be due to artificial release from activated platelets, which in cancer have an increased VEGF content, during the blood harvest procedure. Only in patients with RCC, which is characterized by excessive VEGF production due to a specific genetic defect, were cVEGF levels elevated. This observation may be related to limited and selective success of anti-VEGF agents, such as bevacizumab and sorafenib, as monotherapy in RCC compared to other forms of cancer.

Introduction

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is the prime regulator of angiogenesis in tumours [1–3]. It is released by cancer cells, hypoxic cells and activated platelets and leukocytes [4–8]. The target cells of VEGF are primarily vascular endothelial cells on which it has powerful mitogenic effects via high affinity receptors Flt-1 and KDR/Flk-1 [9,10]. As VEGF is a soluble diffusible peptide secreted by tumours, its levels in the circulation were proposed to reflect the angiogenic activity of malignancies. Hence in the past years, circulating levels of VEGF and other angiogenic factors have been widely studied as surrogate markers of angiogenic activity and prognosis in cancer patients, for monitoring treatment response and for detection of early relapse [11].

For this purpose, VEGF levels determined in serum or plasma were used. However, serum contains high levels of VEGF due to release by activated platelets during clotting [5–7]. Therefore, VEGF levels in serum, which correlate closely with blood platelet count [12], do not reflect the actual circulating concentration of VEGF in vivo. In citrate or EDTA plasma, where less platelet activation and subsequent VEGF release is expected than in serum, VEGF levels were found to be still higher in cancer patients than in controls and this was interpreted as a reflection of higher levels of VEGF in the circulation and higher angiogenic activity [13–15]. But also by these authors artificial release of VEGF from platelets, or altered behaviour of platelets in cancer patients, was not excluded as a source of increased VEGF levels in the plasma samples.

The aim of this study was to investigate the possibility that artificial VEGF release by platelets is the main source of VEGF in plasma samples, and that circulating levels of VEGF in cancer patients are low and even unrelated to cancer load or angiogenic activity. For this purpose, we determined plasma levels of VEGF with or without inhibition of platelet activation, and quantified VEGF release from platelets in vitro, in control persons without cancer, patients with metastatic non-renal cell carcinoma patients and patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.
**Materials and Methods**

**Patients and volunteers**

We obtained venous blood from 16 healthy volunteers (Controls) and from 30 cancer patients, separated in two patient groups: 18 patients with metastatic non-RCC (Non-RCC) and 12 patients with metastatic RCC (RCC). RCC was characterized by high intra-tumour VEGF production by mutations in the von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor gene with consequent unlimited activity of the hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) causing high VEGF transcription [16–20].

We investigated VEGF levels in citrate plasma, PECT plasma and in platelets. The characteristics of volunteers and patients are as follows: healthy controls (Controls) median age, 53 [48–60]; cancer patients (Non-RCC-group), median age, 62 [57–72]; with cancer of the pancreas (7), cholangio (3), Papilli of Vater (2), esophagus (1), colon and rectum (2), melanoma (1), ovary (1), breast (1). RCC (RCC-group) median age, 60 [56–65]; with the following prognostic groups: 5 poor-risk, 5 intermediate risk and 2 favourable risk, according to Motzer [21]. All cancer patients had metastatic disease. To discriminate between in vivo platelet activation and artificial in vitro platelet activation during plasma harvest, β-TG and PF4 were measured in all samples. Blood samples used in this study were derived from RCC patients included in the BAYER 43-9006 study (approved by the Medical Ethics Committee (METC 05-262), Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam), non-RCC patients not included in a separate written informed consent was obtained for blood sample harvest and angiogenesis biomarker determinations.

**Plasma preparations**

For comparison with standard citrate plasma collection, we employed a method to (maximally) avoid artificial ex vivo platelet activation by blood collection without tourniquet, and harvest in a medium containing a mixture of anticoagulants to which prostaglandin E1 and theophylline was added (PECT medium). In addition, we used an important tool to discriminate between in vivo platelet activation and artificial in vitro platelet activation, i.e. measurements of β-thromboglobulin (β-TG) and platelet factor 4 (PF4) levels. Collection in PECT plasma and concomitant use of markers of platelet activation should provide an accurate estimation of the circulating levels of VEGF in vivo.

From each patient or volunteer venous blood was taken with a microfuser (diameter 1 mm, Microflex, Vycon, Ecouen, France) and divided into different tubes. Plastic (polypropylene) blood collection tubes were filled with 400 µL of a solution containing: prostaglandin E1 (94 nM), Na2CO3 (0.63 mM), EDTA (90 mM) and theophyllin (10 mM) (PECT medium). Blood samples (4 ml) were collected in these PECT tubes in an open system, drop by drop without using a tourniquet to (maximally) avoid platelet activation ex vivo. Blood collected in the PECT tubes was immediately placed on ice (in contrast to the citrate and EDTA blood samples which were kept at room temperature). Platelet-depleted PECT plasma was prepared by spinning for 60 min at 1700 g at 4°C within 1 hour after collection.

Blood was also collected in tubes filled with citrate and in tubes filled with EDTA using a tourniquet (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, Breda, The Netherlands). The citrate blood samples were centrifuged within 30 minutes for 15 minutes at 1000 g to obtain plasma. EDTA blood drawn in the same manner was used to measure total number of platelets. To measure VEGF, PF4 and β-TG within the platelets, EDTA blood was used in which platelets were destroyed by a combination of Triton (2% Triton X-100), sonication during 15 seconds on ice (microtip, Branson, amplitude 50%) and centrifuging during 5 minutes at 14.000 rpm in a micro-centrifuge. Platelet-depleted PECT plasma was used to measure VEGF, PF4 and β-TG levels. Citrate plasma was used to measure VEGF and PF4 levels.

**Measurements of platelet activation markers and VEGF**

PF4 and β-TG concentrations within platelets are similar and upon platelet activation they are released in similar quantities [22],[23]. Because PF4 clearance from plasma is much faster than β-TG clearance (t½ for PF4 is several minutes and for β-TG>100 minutes) [22],[23] a normal or only slightly elevated PF4 level and high β-TG level suggest in vivo platelet activation, whereas a high β-TG level in combination with a high PF4 level suggests in vitro (ex-vivo) platelet activation. All samples were therefore tested for VEGF, β-TG and PF4 using commercially available sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) from Roche (Asserachrom β-TG and Asserachrom PF4; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and R&D Systems (Quantikine VEGF; R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK).

**Recovery of VEGF**

To exclude the possibility that PECT or citrate medium affects the measurement of VEGF levels by ELISA, known concentrations of recombinant human VEGF (standard provided in the assay kit) were added to serum, PECT plasma and citrate plasma samples to produce VEGF concentrations of 250, 62.5, 31.2, 7.8 and 3.9 pg/ml. The samples were diluted with assay buffer and the concentration of VEGF was determined by ELISA.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the computer program SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS, Gorinchem, The Netherlands) and with GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, CA, USA). VEGF, PF4 and β-TG levels in healthy volunteers and cancer patients were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test (unpaired, non-normally distributed groups). Values are presented as median and interquartile range [25–75].

**Results**

**Plasma and platelet VEGF levels**

In the three patient groups, VEGF levels in citrate plasma (Figure 1A) were significantly higher than in PECT plasma (Figure 1B). VEGF levels in citrate plasma were significantly higher in both groups of cancer patients compared to healthy controls, but in PECT plasma this was only the case for patients with RCC. The VEGF content of isolated platelets, a well known reservoir for VEGF, was two-fold higher in both cancer patient groups than in controls (Table 1 and Figure 1C).

In RCC patients, VEGF levels in PECT plasma were considerably higher than in non-RCC patients, whereas the platelet VEGF content was not different between these groups. VEGF levels in citrate plasma, PECT plasma, and platelets in the patients group are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. These measurements were not affected by the type of medium used, as recovery of VEGF was 100–107% in PECT plasma, 73%–107% in citrate plasma and 78–100% in serum.

**In vitro platelet activation**

Due to its low half life in vivo, PF4 levels in blood samples are a measure of artificial ex vivo platelet activation. In our study, in both healthy controls and cancer patients PF4 concentrations were 50–100 times higher in standard citrate plasma (collected with
tourniquet) than in PECT plasma (collected without tourniquet) (Table 2). This demonstrates that the degree of artificial ex-vivo platelet activation is highly dependent on the method of harvest, and that artificial platelet activation in PECT plasma is low and in citrate plasma samples is high.

As platelet activation is associated with VEGF release, PECT plasma VEGF levels probably reflect the circulating levels of VEGF, while the increased levels of VEGF in citrate plasma are mostly caused by ex vivo platelet activation. This possibility is strongly supported by the significant correlation which we observed between PF4 and VEGF levels in individual citrate plasma samples (Figure 2).

In PECT plasma, we found two-fold higher PF4 values in cancer patients compared to healthy controls. This indicates that

### Table 1. VEGF levels in healthy volunteers and cancer patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Non-RCC</th>
<th>RCC</th>
<th>P value Mann Whitney Co. vs. Non-RCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF–citrate (pg/ml)</td>
<td>30.8 [23.5–44.3]</td>
<td>37.8 [31.2–52.8]</td>
<td>166 [84–238]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF–PECT (pg/ml)</td>
<td>17.4 [15.2–22.2]</td>
<td>21.0 [16.0–31.5]</td>
<td>64 [52–134]</td>
<td>NS (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF in platelets (pg/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>3.4 [2.8–4.4]</td>
<td>6.2 [4.1–7.4]</td>
<td>6.0 [3.8–7.1]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controls; healthy volunteers; Non-RCC; non-renal carcinoma patients; RCC; renal cell carcinoma patients. VEGF levels in median [interquartile range].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.t001
platelets from cancer patients are more prone to become activated. However, an increased PF4 concentration in individual platelets of cancer patients may also have contributed to the increased PF4 concentration in PECT plasma (Figure 3).

A high β-TG level in combination with a low PF4 level in PECT plasma is an indication of in vivo platelet activation. We were unable to demonstrate such in vivo platelet activation in our patients with cancer, as β-TG in PECT plasma did not show an increase independent of the increase in PF4.

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates that ‘true’ circulating levels of VEGF, as determined by measurements in PECT plasma, are low in the

---

**Table 2. Platelet and platelet activation parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Non-RCC</th>
<th>P value Mann Whitney Co. vs. Non-RCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of platelets (10^9/l)</td>
<td>259 [237–278]</td>
<td>278 [225–382]</td>
<td>NS (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-PECT (IU/ml)</td>
<td>7.7 [5.6–10.3]</td>
<td>14.2 [11.1–87.5]</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-citrate (IU/ml)</td>
<td>523 [298–738]</td>
<td>657 [283–806]</td>
<td>NS (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-in platelets (IU/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>11.3 [9.8–12.5]</td>
<td>15.2 [13.3–17.2]</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-TG-PECT (IU/ml)</td>
<td>37.4 [24.1–46.4]</td>
<td>74.7 [51.4–151.4]</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-TG-in platelets (IU/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>34.3 [29.6–39.3]</td>
<td>36.9 [30.6–41.4]</td>
<td>NS (0.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controls: healthy volunteers; Non-RCC: non-renal carcinoma patients. VEGF levels in median [interquartile range].
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.t002

---

**Figure 2. Correlation between VEGF and PF4 in citrate plasma in cancer patients and controls.** VEGF and PF4 measured in citrate plasma correlated significantly (r = 0.457, p = 0.008).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.g002
Patients compared to controls. Bars represent the medians. B) 
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remarkable that in both our patient groups the increase in platelet VEGF content was about two fold compared to controls, despite the much higher VEGF levels in PECT plasma from RCC patients. Therefore, if platelets really have a scavenging function for VEGF, in RCC this scavenging function appears to fail due to a genetic defect. Citrate VEGF levels do not reflect actual circulating VEGF levels but are the result of ex vivo platelet activation and subsequent VEGF release from platelets which have an increased VEGF content in cancer patients. Elevated citrate VEGF levels in cancer patients, which have widely been used as a biomarker of tumour angiogenesis, are caused by artefacts and altered platelet behaviour associated with the systemic disease.
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