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Abstract

Background: Levels of circulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have widely been used as biomarker for angiogenic activity in cancer. For this purpose, non-standardized measurements in plasma and serum were used, without correction for artificial VEGF release by platelets activated ex vivo. We hypothesize that “true” circulating (c)VEGF levels in most cancer patients are low and unrelated to cancer load or tumour angiogenesis.

Methodology: We determined VEGF levels in PECT, a medium that contains platelet activation inhibitors, in citrate plasma, and in isolated platelets in 16 healthy subjects, 18 patients with metastatic non-renal cancer (non-RCC) and 12 patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC). In non-RCC patients, circulating plasma VEGF levels were low and similar to VEGF levels in controls if platelet activation was minimized during the harvest procedure by PECT medium. In citrate plasma, VEGF levels were elevated in non-RCC patients, but this could be explained by a combination of increased platelet activation during blood harvesting, and by a two-fold increase in VEGF content of individual platelets (controls: 3.4 IU/10⁶, non-RCC: 6.2 IU/10⁶ platelets, p = 0.001). In contrast, cVEGF levels in RCC patients were elevated (PECT plasma: 64 pg/ml vs. 21 pg/ml, RCC vs. non-RCC, p < 0.0001), and not related to platelet VEGF concentration.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that “true” freely cVEGF levels are not elevated in the majority of cancer patients. Previously reported elevated plasma VEGF levels in cancer appear to be due to artificial release from activated platelets, which in cancer have an increased VEGF content, during the blood harvest procedure. Only in patients with RCC, which is characterized by excessive VEGF production due to a specific genetic defect, were cVEGF levels elevated. This observation may be related to limited and selective success of anti-VEGF agents, such as bevacizumab and sorafenib, as monotherapy in RCC compared to other forms of cancer.

Introduction

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is the prime regulator of angiogenesis in tumours [1–3]. It is released by cancer cells, hypoxic cells and activated platelets and leukocytes [4–8]. The target cells of VEGF are primarily vascular endothelial cells on which it has powerful mitogenic effects via high affinity receptors Flt-1 and KDR/Flk-1 [9,10]. As VEGF is a soluble diffusible peptide secreted by tumours, its levels in the circulation were proposed to reflect the angiogenic activity of malignancies. Hence in the past years, circulating levels of VEGF and other angiogenic factors have been widely studied as surrogate markers of angiogenic activity and prognosis in cancer patients, for monitoring treatment response and for detection of early relapse [11].

For this purpose, VEGF levels determined in serum or plasma were used. However, serum contains high levels of VEGF due to release by activated platelets during clotting [5–7]. Therefore, VEGF levels in serum, which correlate closely with blood platelet count [12], do not reflect the actual circulating concentration of VEGF in vivo. In citrate or EDTA plasma, where less platelet activation and subsequent VEGF release is expected than in serum, VEGF levels were found to be still higher in cancer patients than in controls and this was interpreted as a reflection of higher levels of VEGF in the circulation and higher angiogenic activity [13–15]. But also by these authors artificial release of VEGF from platelets, or altered behaviour of platelets in cancer patients, was not excluded as a source of increased VEGF levels in the plasma samples.

The aim of this study was to investigate the possibility that artificial VEGF release by platelets is the main source of VEGF in plasma samples, and that circulating levels of VEGF in cancer patients are low and even unrelated to cancer load or angiogenic activity. For this purpose, we determined plasma levels of VEGF with or without inhibition of platelet activation, and quantified VEGF release from platelets in vitro, in control persons without cancer, patients with metastatic non-renal cell carcinoma patients and patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.
**Materials and Methods**

**Patients and volunteers**

We obtained venous blood from 16 healthy volunteers (Controls) and from 30 cancer patients, separated in two patient groups: 18 patients with metastatic non-RCC (Non-RCC) and 12 patients with metastatic RCC (RCC). RCC was characterized by high intra-tumour VEGF production by mutations in the von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor gene with consequent unlimited activity of the hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) causing high VEGF transcription [16–20].

We investigated VEGF levels in citrate plasma, PECT plasma and in platelets. The characteristics of volunteers and patients are as follows: healthy controls (Controls) median age, 53 [48–60]; cancer patients (Non-RCC-group); median age, 62 [57–72]; with cancer of the pancreas (7), cholangio (3), Papilli of Vater (2), esophagus (1), colon and rectum (2), melanoma (1), ovary(1), breast (1). RCC (RCC-group) median age, 60 [56–65]; with the following prognostic groups: 5 poor-risk, 5 intermediate risk and 2 favourable risk, according to Motzer [21]. All cancer patients had metastatic disease. To discriminate between in vivo platelet activation and artificial in vitro platelet activation during plasma harvest, β-TG and PF4 were measured in all samples. Blood samples used in this study were derived from RCC patients included in the BAYER 43-9006 study (approved by the Medical Ethics Committee (METC 05-262), Academic Medical Center, university of Amsterdam), non-RCC patients not included in a separate specific study, and volunteers. From all volunteers and patients separate written informed consent was obtained for blood sample harvest and angiogenesis biomarker determinations.

**Plasma preparations**

For comparison with standard citrate plasma collection, we employed a method to (maximally) avoid artificial ex vivo platelet activation by blood collection without tourniquet, and in a medium containing a mixture of anticoagulants to which prostaglandin E1 and theophylline was added (PECT medium). In addition, we used an important tool to discriminate between in vivo platelet activation and artificial in vitro platelet activation, i.e. measurements of β-thromboglobulin (β-TG) and platelet factor 4 (PF4) levels. Collection in PECT plasma and concomitant use of markers of platelet activation should provide an accurate estimation of the circulating levels of VEGF in vivo.

From each patient or volunteer venous blood was taken with a microperfuser (diameter 1 mm, Microflex, Vycon, Ecouen, France) and divided into different tubes. Plastic (polypropylene) blood collection tubes were filled with 400 μL of a solution containing: prostaglandin E1 (94 nM), Na₂CO₃ (0.63 mM), EDTA (90 mM) and theophyllin (10 mM) (PECT-medium). Blood samples (4 ml) were collected in these PECT tubes in an open reservoir for VEGF, was two-fold higher in both groups of cancer patients compared to healthy controls, but in PECT plasma this was only the case for patients with RCC. The VEGF content of isolated platelets, a well known reservoir for VEGF, was 100–107% in PECT plasma, 73%–107% in citrate plasma respectively. This shows that in both groups of cancer patients, whereas the platelet VEGF content was not different between these groups. VEGF levels in citrate plasma, PECT plasma, and platelets in the patients group are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1C.

In RCC patients, VEGF levels in PECT plasma were significantly higher in both groups of cancer patients compared to healthy controls, but in PECT plasma this was only the case for patients with RCC. The VEGF content of isolated platelets, a well known reservoir for VEGF, was two-fold higher in both cancer patient groups than in controls (Table 1 and Figure 1C).

**Results**

**Plasma and platelet VEGF levels**

In the three patient groups, VEGF levels in citrate plasma (Figure 1A) were significantly higher than in PECT plasma (Figure 1B). VEGF levels in citrate plasma were significantly higher in both groups of cancer patients compared to healthy controls, but in PECT plasma this was only the case for patients with RCC. The VEGF content of isolated platelets, a well known reservoir for VEGF, was two-fold higher in both cancer patient groups than in controls (Table 1 and Figure 1C).

In RCC patients, VEGF levels in PECT plasma were considerably higher than in non-RCC patients, whereas the platelet VEGF content was not different between these groups. VEGF levels in citrate plasma, PECT plasma, and platelets in the patients group are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1C. These measurements were not affected by the type of medium used, as recovery of VEGF was 100–107% in PECT plasma, 73%–107% in citrate plasma and 78–100% in serum.

**In vitro platelet activation**

Due to its low half life in vivo, PF4-levels in blood samples are a measure of artificial ex vivo platelet activation. In our study, in both healthy controls and cancer patients PF4 concentrations were 50–100 times higher in standard citrate plasma (collected with...
tourniquet) than in PECT plasma (collected without tourniquet) (Table 2). This demonstrates that the degree of artificial ex-vivo platelet activation is highly dependent on the method of harvest, and that artificial platelet activation in PECT plasma is low and in citrate plasma samples is high.

As platelet activation is associated with VEGF release, PECT plasma VEGF levels probably reflect the circulating levels of VEGF, while the increased levels of VEGF in citrate plasma are mostly caused by ex vivo platelet activation. This possibility is strongly supported by the significant correlation which we observed between PF4 and VEGF levels in individual citrate plasma samples (Figure 2).

In PECT plasma, we found two-fold higher PF4 values in cancer patients compared to healthy controls. This indicates that

![Figure 1. VEGF levels in cancer patients and controls.](image)

**Table 1.** VEGF levels in healthy volunteers and cancer patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Non-RCC</th>
<th>RCC</th>
<th>P value Mann Whitney Co. vs. Non-RCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF–citrate (pg/ml)</td>
<td>30.8 [23.5–44.3]</td>
<td>37.8 [31.2–52.8]</td>
<td>166 [84–238]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF–PECT (pg/ml)</td>
<td>17.4 [15.2–22.2]</td>
<td>21.0 [16.0–31.5]</td>
<td>64 [52–134]</td>
<td>NS (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF in platelets (pg/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>3.4 [2.8–4.4]</td>
<td>6.2 [4.1–7.4]</td>
<td>6.0 [3.8–7.1]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controls; healthy volunteers; Non-RCC; non-renal carcinoma patients; RCC; renal cell carcinoma patients. VEGF levels in median [interquartile range].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.t001
platelets from cancer patients are more prone to become activated. However, an increased PF4 concentration in individual platelets of cancer patients may also have contributed to the increased PF4 concentration in PECT plasma (Figure 3).

A high $\beta$-TG level in combination with a low PF4 level in PECT plasma is an indication of in vivo platelet activation. We were unable to demonstrate such in vivo platelet activation in our patients with cancer, as $\beta$-TG in PECT plasma did not show an increase independent of the increase in PF4.

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates that ‘true’ circulating levels of VEGF, as determined by measurements in PECT plasma, are low in the

---

**Table 2. Platelet and platelet activation parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Non-RCC</th>
<th>P value Mann Whitney Co. vs. Non-RCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of platelets (10^9/l)</td>
<td>259 [237–278]</td>
<td>278 [225–382]</td>
<td>NS (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-PECT (IU/ml)</td>
<td>7.7 [5.6–10.3]</td>
<td>14.2 [11.1–87.5]</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-citrate (IU/ml)</td>
<td>523 [298–738]</td>
<td>657 [283–806]</td>
<td>NS (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4-in platelets (IU/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>11.3 [9.8–12.5]</td>
<td>15.2 [13.3–17.2]</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$-TG-PECT (IU/ml)</td>
<td>37.4 [24.1–46.4]</td>
<td>74.7 [51.4–151.4]</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$-TG-in platelets (IU/10^6 platelets)</td>
<td>34.3 [29.6–39.3]</td>
<td>36.9 [30.6–41.4]</td>
<td>NS (0.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controls; healthy volunteers; Non-RCC; non-renal carcinoma patients. VEGF levels in median [interquartile range].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.t002

---

**Figure 2. Correlation between VEGF and PF4 in citrate plasma in cancer patients and controls.** VEGF and PF4 measured in citrate plasma correlated significantly ($r = 0.457$, $p = 0.008$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019873.g002
A marked increase in plasma VEGF levels has been observed in various types of cancer employing various collection and determination methods [11]. A number of studies reported a correlation between platelet counts and serum VEGF [24,25], and higher serum VEGF levels per platelet in cancer patients [26,27]. This is in line with our findings and the known function of platelets as an important reservoir for VEGF. Platelet-derived VEGF may have an important pathological role in cancer due to thrombin-induced platelet activation and subsequent local release of VEGF, inducing vascular permeability, endothelial cell activation and angiogenesis, promoting coagulation and cancer dissemination [4,28–30].

In the present study, we evaluated two different methods of plasma collection for VEGF measurement in healthy controls and cancer patients. The impact of the use of a tourniquet and collection medium (citrate versus PECT) on VEGF levels was demonstrated in healthy controls and cancer patients. No significant differences were detected in PECT VEGF levels between healthy controls and non-RCC cancer patients.

In RCC patients, PECT VEGF levels were significantly higher compared to controls, suggesting that in these patients VEGF is truly elevated in the circulation. RCC is characterized by high intra-tumour VEGF production by homozygous mutations in the von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor gene with consequent unlimited activity of the hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) causing high VEGF transcription [20–26]. In many of these patients, the other cells in the body are heterozygous for the VHL mutation. These genetic aspects may explain why RCC patients have different circulating VEGF levels than the non-RCC patients studied.

Our findings are in line with the selective success of anti-VEGF approaches in the treatment of RCC compared to other types of cancer [31]. Indeed, bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech, South San Francisco, CA) an antibody that binds to all isoforms of human cVEGF [32] produced a significant prolongation of time to disease progression compared with placebo in patients with RCC [33]. This in contrast with most other tumor types, where bevacizumab is either not effective or had only significantly antitumor efficacy when used in combination with chemotherapy rather than as monotherapy (acting more like chemotherapy-enhancer) [34]. The straightforward explanation for the clinically relevant activity of bevacizumab as a monotherapy in RCC might be the specific roles of defective hypoxic signalling and VEGF overexpression in the pathogenesis of these tumors.

We observed that in vitro platelet activation during the collection procedure contributes to higher citrate plasma VEGF levels. This was demonstrated by the high release of PF4 from platelets in citrate compared to PECT plasma, and the significant positive correlation between PF4 and VEGF levels in individual citrate plasma samples. The two-fold higher levels of PF4 in PECT plasma in cancer patients compared to controls suggest that also with the optimal collection method some platelet activation still occurs, but more importantly this shows that platelets in cancer patients become more easily activated than platelets from healthy controls.

In line with other studies we demonstrated that platelet VEGF content is higher in cancer patients [26,27,35]. In the light of our findings in PECT plasma, this suggests that ex vivo release of VEGF by platelets is altered in cancer patients, due to both an increase in platelet VEGF content as well as to a higher activatability of platelets in cancer patients. Higher platelet VEGF content may originate from increased loading in the bone marrow or result from a VEGF scavenging function of platelets [6,33,36]. Such a scavenging function would serve to remove excess VEGF, produced locally in tumour tissues, from the circulation. It is
remarkable that in both our patient groups the increase in platelet VEGF content was about two fold compared to controls, despite the much higher VEGF levels in PECT plasma from RCC patients. Therefore, if platelets really have a scavenging function for VEGF, in RCC this scavenging function appears to fail due to excessively high VEGF production, leading to circulating VEGF.

In addition, in contrast to circulating free VEGF, VEGF content within platelets may be a meaningful interesting potential biomarker for VEGF and/or angiogenesis activity in cancer patients, which needs further studies.

In conclusion, free VEGF levels are low or absent in the circulation in most cancer patients, with the exception of RCC, a cancer type with excessive VEGF production due to a specific genetic defect. Citrate VEGF levels do not reflect actual circulating VEGF levels but are the result of ex vivo platelet activation and subsequent VEGF release from platelets which have an increased VEGF content in cancer patients. Elevated citrate VEGF levels in cancer patients, which have widely been used as a biomarker of tumour angiogenesis, are caused by artefacts and altered platelet behaviour associated with the systemic disease.
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