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We provide new insights into the molecular origin of the asymmetry between uniaxial tensile and compressive deformation of glassy and semicrystalline polymers using molecular dynamics simulations. The difference between the two responses strongly depends on the chain length and is the largest at intermediate chain lengths. Irrespective of chain length, the intra- and interchain organization of polymers under extension and compression are remarkably distinct. The chains align along the tensile axis leading to a global nematic order of the bonds and end-to-end vectors, whereas compression reorganizes polymers to lie in planes perpendicular to the compressive axis resulting in the emergence of an anti-nematic order akin to the electric-field induced anti-nematic order observed in charged platelet structures consisting of randomly oriented crystallites with 2D hexagonal order immersed in a network of amorphous strands.13,14 For instance, molecular simulations investigating the dependence of deformation mode in semicrystalline polymers focused only on a layered semicrystalline morphology as part of a larger spherulite structure.14

Using large-scale molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of a crystallizable coarse-grained polymer model,23 we investigate the conformational and microstructural rearrangements of glassy and semicrystalline polymers of varying chain lengths during uniaxial tensile and compressive deformations. We find that the orientational order of chains under compression is entirely different from that under extension regardless of the chain length and the underlying structure. The chains align themselves under extension leading to a net nematic order. Contrarily, a uniaxial compression constrains the chains to lie within planes perpendicular to the deformation axis leading to the emergence of an anti-nematic order akin to the electric-field induced anti-nematic order observed in charged platelet suspensions.24 To our knowledge, this is the first report of compression-induced anti-nematic order in solid-like polymers.

The crystallizable polymer model is a bead-spring chain with a triple-well bending potential that is obtained from coarse-graining of the atomistic simulation of polyvinyl alcohol, the so-called CG-PVA model.23,25 Upon slow cooling of the melt, chains undergo a crystallization transition and form semicrystalline structures consisting of randomly oriented crystallites with 2D hexagonal order immersed in a network of amorphous strands.26 Short chains transform into polycrystalline structures composed of extended chain conformations, whereas longer ones form chain-folded lamella-like structures23 as shown in Fig. 1. For a rapid quench, polymers undergo a glass transition.17,19 Our prior investigation of tensile response of both amorphous and semicrystalline polymers8 showed that the response of long polymer glasses is dominated by the entanglement network whereas that of long semicrystalline polymers is determined by an interplay of
the two interpenetrated networks of entanglements and tie chains (amorphous strands connecting the crystalline domains). Here, we focus on the underlying origin of asymmetry in tensile and compressive deformation of glassy and semicrystalline polymers.

We perform MD simulations using LAMMPS. Distances in the CG-PVA model are reported in units of \( a = 0.52 \) nm, the bond length is \( b_0 = 0.5 \sigma \). A 6-9 Lennard-Jones potential is used to model the non-bonded interactions with the range and strength \( \sigma_{LJ} = 0.89 \sigma \) and \( \varepsilon_{LJ} = 1.511 k_B T_0 \) where \( T_0 = 550 \) K is the reference temperature. The time unit from the conversion relation of units is \( \tau = 1.3 \) ps. The Lennard-Jones potential is truncated and shifted at \( r_c = 1.6 \sigma \). Temperatures \( T = T_0 \) and pressures \( P = P_0 \) are reported in reduced units. The equilibrated polymer melts are obtained at \( T = 1 \) and \( P = 8 \) equivalent to \( T_0 = 550 \) K and \( P_0 = 1 \) bar in atomistic simulations. Glassy and semicrystalline samples of different chain lengths, \( N = 5, 20, 50, 300 \) and 1000, see ESI.

Fig. 1 Conformations of CG-PVA polymers with different degrees of polymerization \( N \) in the semicrystalline state at \( T = 0.2 \).

Fig. 2a and b present the magnitude of stress \( \Sigma \) versus magnitude of strain \( |\varepsilon| \) obtained under compression and extension for glassy and semicrystalline polymers, respectively. For all the samples, the elastic regime at small strains is followed by a plastic flow at larger strains. For the shortest chain length \( N = 5 \) with a gyration radius comparable to the monomer size, we observe a stress plateau beyond the yield point and the compressive and tensile responses of both glassy and semicrystalline polymers are almost identical. For longer polymers, we observe a strain-hardening regime, the slope of which increases with the chain length. The dependence of \( T_0 \) on chain length and finite persistence length \( \ell_p \approx 5 \) are the key factors for the dependence of mechanical behavior of glassy polymers on the chain length even for \( N > N_* \). For both glassy and semicrystalline polymers, the compressive stress is larger than the tensile stress. The compressive elastic moduli and flow stress \( \Sigma_1 \) (roughly estimated as the maximum value of the stress in the overshoot region) of polymers with \( N > 5 \) are about 15–20% higher in agreement with the prior experimental and simulation reports for glassy polymers.

The samples are deformed in the \( y \)-direction with a constant true strain-rate of \( \dot{\varepsilon} = \pm 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1} \) while in the \( x \) and \( z \)-directions we impose the same pressure as in the undeformed samples. We restrict the maximum strain to \( |\varepsilon_{\text{max}}| = 1.8 \) to avoid bond scission monitored by examining the maximum extension on the covalent bonds. \( |\varepsilon_{\text{max}}| = 1.8 \) corresponds to a macroscopic stretch ratio \( \lambda \equiv L/L_0 \) of about 6 (1/6) for extension (Compression) where \( L_0 \) and \( L \) are the undeformed and instantaneous box length in the \( y \) direction parallel to the deformation axis. The volume increase at most 10% for both glassy and semicrystalline polymers at \( |\varepsilon_{\text{max}}| \), see Fig. S2 in ESI.
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Fig. 2 The magnitude of true stress in units of \( \sigma_{\text{LJ}} \) versus true strain magnitude from uniaxial compression (solid lines) and tensile (dashed lines) deformation of (a) glassy and (b) semicrystalline polymers with chain lengths \( N = 5, 20, 50, 300 \) and 1000 as given in the legends.
The degree of conformational anisotropy $A = \sqrt{(R_{21}^2)/(R_{12}^2)}$ for (a) glassy and (b) semicrystalline polymers under tensile deformations as a function of macroscopic stretch $\lambda = L/L_0$ (dashed lines) and 1/$\lambda$ versus 1/$\lambda$ for the same systems under compression (solid lines). The gray dotted lines correspond to the box anisotropy described by $\lambda^{1/2}$. The effective microscopic stretch $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \equiv \sqrt{(R_{21}^2)/(R_{12}^2)}$ versus $\lambda$ for (c) glassy and (d) semicrystalline polymers under extension (dashed lines) and 1/$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ versus 1/$\lambda$ for the same samples under compression (solid lines). The dotted grey lines show the affine limit for which $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = \lambda$.

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Anisotropic intrachain bond–bond orientational correlation functions given by $S^i(n) = \langle b_ib_i^* \rangle$ where $b_i^*$ is the component $z$ of $i$th unit bond vector $b_i$ of a chain and $1 \leq n \leq N - 1$ is the curvilinear distance between any two monomers along the chain backbone. Fig. 4 presents the intrachain bond–bond correlations of glassy and semicrystalline polymers of $N = 1000$ in the directions parallel $S^i(n) \equiv S_p(n)$ and perpendicular $S^i(n) \equiv (S^i(n) + S^i(n))/2$ to the deformation axis at different strain magnitudes. In undeformed isotropic samples $S^i(0) = \langle b_i^2 \rangle = 1/3$. For the undeformed semicrystalline polymers, we observe a minimum at $n \approx 30$ reflecting the average length of chain folds. Under tensile deformation, the parallel correlations $S^i(n)$ increase whereas the perpendicular correlations $S^i(n)$ decrease and the minimum of $S^i(n)$ observed for undeformed semicrystalline polymers disappears at large deformations. The results confirm unfolding of chains and their alignment with the tensile direction. On the contrary, under uniaxial compression the intrachain correlations of both glassy and semicrystalline polymers shrink in the parallel direction and grow in the perpendicular direction. Because the chains can stretches is large when the asymmetry between the two responses is significant. Particularly, we observe a big contrast between compressive and tensile deformation of semicrystalline polymers of $N = 50$. The chains of this sample are mainly in stretched conformations with at most one fold leading to a large persistence segment $s_p \approx 20$, see Fig. S4 and S5 in ESL. Hence, under extension they exhibit a slight degree of unfolding and stretching and their deformation mainly proceeds via reorientation of extended chain conformations with the tensile axis. Under compression, the stretching of the chains in planes perpendicular to the deformation axis leads to buckling of chains and a greater extent of chain unfolding. This leads to a notably different behavior of $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ of $N = 50$ semicrystalline polymers under compression relative to other chain lengths.

To inspect the conformational changes under deformation, we compute the components of intrachain bond–bond correlation functions given by $S^i(n) = \langle b_ib_i^* \rangle$ where $b_i^*$ is the component $z$ of $i$th unit bond vector $b_i$ of a chain and $1 \leq n \leq N - 1$ is the curvilinear distance between any two monomers along the chain backbone. Fig. 4 presents the intrachain bond–bond correlations of glassy and semicrystalline polymers of $N = 1000$ in the directions parallel $S^i(n) \equiv S_p(n)$ and perpendicular $S^i(n) \equiv (S^i(n) + S^i(n))/2$ to the deformation axis at different strain magnitudes. In undeformed isotropic samples $S^i(0) = \langle b_i^2 \rangle = 1/3$. For the undeformed semicrystalline polymers, we observe a minimum at $n \approx 30$ reflecting the average length of chain folds. Under tensile deformation, the parallel correlations $S^i(n)$ increase whereas the perpendicular correlations $S^i(n)$ decrease and the minimum of $S^i(n)$ observed for undeformed semicrystalline polymers disappears at large deformations. The results confirm unfolding of chains and their alignment with the tensile direction. On the contrary, under uniaxial compression the intrachain correlations of both glassy and semicrystalline polymers shrink in the parallel direction and grow in the perpendicular direction. Because the chains can
stretch at any direction perpendicular to the deformation axis, we observe a lesser degree of chain unfolding in comparison to extension-induced chain stretching at equal strain magnitudes.

Fig. 4 shows that not only the correlation lengths of the $S^1(n)$ and $S^{-1}(n)$ change upon deformation but also their starting values $S^0(0)$ evolve. These changes are expected, because the mean squared projections of bond vector components $S^0(0)$ are related to the eigenvalues of the global nematic tensor of bond orientation vectors $Q_{\text{bond}} = 1/2n_{\text{bond}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{bond}}} (3b_i \otimes b_i - I)$ where $n_{\text{bond}}$ is the total number of bonds in the system. Especially, the eigenvalue with the largest magnitude $S_{\text{bond}}$ is given by $S_{\text{bond}} = 1/2(3S^2(0) - 1)$. $S_{\text{bond}}$ varies in the range $-0.5 \leq S_{\text{bond}} \leq 1$. In an isotropic state, the three eigenvalues are null and $S_{\text{bond}} = 0$. The degeneracy is lifted as soon as the structure gets anisotropic. Two extreme cases can be envisaged. When all the bond vectors are aligned in the same direction, $S_{\text{bond}} \to 1$ leading to a perfect uniaxial nematic alignment. On the other hand, when all the bonds are perpendicular to the director, without any preferred azimuthal direction, a perfect uniaxial anti-nematic order described by $S_{\text{bond}} \to -0.5$ emerges. The evolution of $S_{\text{bond}}$ is presented in Fig. 5a and b. Under both compression and extension, the director of the nematic tensor $Q_{\text{bond}}$ is aligned with the deformation axis. Under extension, reorientation of bonds with the tensile axis leads to increase of $S_{\text{bond}}$ with strain and it approaches unity at large $\varepsilon$. Under compression, negative strains, $S_{\text{bond}}$ gradually decreases and becomes more negative. A negative $S_{\text{bond}}$ is an evidence of anti-nematic order reflecting a distinct arrangement of bonds under compression and a notable destruction of initial crystalline order, see Fig. S6 in ESI.† As the polymeric material is uniaxially compressed, the chains elongate isotropically in directions perpendicular to the compressive axis. Consequently, a large fraction of bond vectors are oriented in planes orthogonal to the deformation axis.

To examine the collective organization of the chains, we calculate the nematic order tensor associated with the chain end-to-end orientation vectors $\hat{R}_i \equiv \hat{R}/R_i$ obtained as $Q_{ee} = 1/2n_{ee} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{ee}} (3\hat{R}_i \otimes \hat{R}_i - I)$ where $n_{ee}$ is the total number of chains. Likewise, we define $S_{ee}$ as the eigenvalue of $Q_{ee}$ with the largest magnitude varying in the range $-0.5 \leq S_{ee} \leq 1$. Fig. 5c and d show the evolution of $S_{ee}$ as a function of strain for glassy and semicrystalline polymers, respectively. We observe a very similar trend to that of $S_{\text{bond}}$ nematic and anti-nematic order under extension and compression, respectively. However, the absolute values of $S_{ee}$ are larger than the corresponding ones for $S_{\text{bond}}$, especially in the compressive regime where $S_{ee} \to -0.5$ already at $\varepsilon \approx -1.5$ for $N > 5$. The emergent anti-nematic order suggests that a local buckling mechanism may be at play under compression as supported by our visual inspections.28 We observe a non-monotonic behavior of both $S_{\text{bond}}$ and $S_{ee}$ as a function of $N$ for semicrystalline polymers which reflects the initial non-monotonic dependence of crystallinity degree on $N$; see Table S1 (ESI†).

We conclude by highlighting our main findings and suggestions for future directions. The degree of asymmetry between the tensile and compressive responses depends on both the underlying structure (degree of crystallinity) and the chain length. The two responses become similar for very short $N \ll N_c$ and long chains $N \gg N_c$. Even when the two responses are similar, the nature of inter- and intra-chain organization under the two deformation modes is very distinct. Alignment of chains along the tensile axis leads to a nematic order of bond and end-to-end vectors, whereas reorganization of polymers under compression results in an anti-nematic order. Deformation mode also affects the crystalline domains differently. During tensile deformation, the crystalline domains of semicrystalline polymers are fragmented and reoriented along the tensile axis17,18 and eventually at large strains the initial hexagonal order of semicrystalline polymers26 is replaced by a nematic order. Under compression the degree of crystallinity is dramatically reduced as a consequence of anti-nematic ordering. Notably, at large strains configurations of semicrystalline and glassy polymers become similar as a result of chain unfolding and reorientation. Thus, the same kind of order appears in both glassy and semicrystalline polymers under identical deformation modes but the degree of ordering at a given strain depends on the chain length and the underlying structure. The convergence towards affine limit also depends on both the underlying structure and deformation mode. The approach to affine limit occurs quicker under compression, leading to a large degree of compressive–tensile asymmetry at intermediate chain lengths. Moreover, the finite persistence length $\ell_P \approx 5b_0$ seems to affect the convergence behavior when we compare our results to those of flexible polymer glasses under compression. The latter exhibits an affine behavior already for $N > N_c^{9,10}$.

Finally, we point out that the observed compressive–tensile asymmetry cannot be captured by affine entropic network models which attribute the strain-hardening to a loss of conformational entropy of polymers.33 The stress in the strain-hardening regime predicted by these theories is given by $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 = G_H(2\ell - 1/2)$, where $G_H$ is the strain-hardening modulus. This leads to a weaker stress response under compression relative to extension at equal strain.
magnitudes. Our findings reinforce the significance of the effective microscopic stretch $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ for the description of the strain-hardening behavior. Nonetheless, the mechanical response is determined by a complex interplay of intra- and interchain organization in conjunction with the relevant length scales, i.e. $\ell_p$ and $N_c$, $L_{\text{sys}}$ and $N_{\text{uc}}$ for semicrystalline polymers. As such, the new insights from our simulations provide the necessary input for development of physics-based constitutive models for solid-like polymers.
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