



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### The place where streams seek ground. Towards a new territorial governmentality: the meaning and usage of the concept of territorial cohesion in the European Union

Hissink Muller, B.M.

**Publication date**  
2013

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Hissink Muller, B. M. (2013). *The place where streams seek ground. Towards a new territorial governmentality: the meaning and usage of the concept of territorial cohesion in the European Union.*

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	9
Introduction	11
<b>Book I The Frameworks</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Part I Substantive framework: the concept of territorial cohesion in the institutional framework of the European Union</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Territorial cohesion topics in the European Union</b>	<b>21</b>
1.1 Territorial cohesion on the informal ESDP stage	21
1.2 Territorial cohesion on the official Treaties stage	22
1.3 Territorial cohesion on the formal Cohesion Policy stage	23
1.4 Table of paradoxes	24
1.5 Conclusion	25
<b>Part II Analytical framework: governmentality</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Chapter 2 Governmentality analytics</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1 Power	29
2.2 Governmentality	33
2.3 Conclusion	36
<b>Chapter 3 European spatial planning and power performances</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Forms of power performativity in two directions	37
3.2 Power in European spatial planning per sphere of action	39
3.3 Conclusion	43
<b>Part III Methodological framework: discourse analysis</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Situating discourse analysis</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1 Placing discourse analysis onto- and epistemologically	49
4.2 Delineate discourse	54
4.3 No discourse analysis of spatial reality	57
4.4 Is this discourse analysis only policy analysis?	59
4.5 Linking practices and language	61
4.6 Round-up: from biological to historical metaphors	64
<b>Chapter 5 Mapping meaning</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1 Language and thought	65
5.2 The triangle of problematic signification	66
5.3 Mapping definitions: from meaning to knowledge	68
5.4 Concluding towards usages	71

<b>Chapter 6 Mapping usage</b>	<b>73</b>
6.1 Reinterpreting (policy) power practices	73
6.2 Not Hajer but Roe	76
6.3 Extending the use of Roe	78
6.4 Concluding towards discourse	83
<b>Chapter 7 Demarcating the <i>Discursive Nodal Point</i></b>	<b>85</b>
7.1 Trace the discursive practices relating meaning and usage	85
7.2 Territorial cohesion as <i>Discursive Nodal Point</i>	88
7.3 Individualising the territorial cohesion discourse	90
7.4 Concluding the discourse analysis	93
<b>Chapter 8 Concluding what this research aims for</b>	<b>95</b>
8.1 Aiming through the methodological framework	95
8.2 The discourse analysis to hit the target with	96
8.3 The upshots	96
<b>Book II The Analysis</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Part I Territorial cohesion meaning and knowledge</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Chapter 9 The common ground of territorial cohesion meaning</b>	<b>105</b>
9.1 The taxonomy of territorial cohesion meaning	105
9.2 The different kinds of territorial cohesion meaning	107
9.3 Starting to draw the common ground of territorial cohesion meaning	109
9.4 An in/consistent system of territorial cohesion meaning	114
9.5 Concluding towards territorial cohesion knowledge	117
<b>Chapter 10 The system of territorial cohesion knowledge</b>	<b>121</b>
10.1 Thinking and measuring territorial cohesion knowledge	121
10.2 Territorial cohesion knowledges: mapping and critique	123
10.3 Conclusion towards the territorial cohesion discourse	148
<b>Part II The usage of the concept of territorial cohesion</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Chapter 11 The Intergovernmental Conferences usage area</b>	<b>155</b>
11.1 Overviewing the straightforward IGCs usage area	155
11.2 The IGCs usage area's un/contested aggregations of the concept's strategic positions	155
<b>Chapter 12 The (post-)ESDP process usage area</b>	<b>157</b>
12.1 Overviewing multiple and close-knitted promotions in the (post-)ESDP process usage area	157
12.2 The nitty-gritty of the aggregated positions for the concept in the (post-)ESDP process usage area	157
12.3 The asymmetric substantive influences between territorial cohesion and European spatial (planning) policy	158
12.4 The two main conclusions on the concept's usage in the (post-)ESDP process usage area	159

<b>Chapter 13 The Regional/Cohesion policy usage area</b>	<b>161</b>
13.1 Overviewing clear-cut and interweaved promotions in the Regional/Cohesion policy usage area	161
13.2 The aggregated settlement of positions for the concept in the Regional/Cohesion policy usage area	162
13.3 Territorial cohesion's influence on the future direction of Regional/Cohesion policy	162
13.4 The two main conclusions of the concept's usage in the Regional/Cohesion policy usage area	163
<b>Chapter 14 The European Funds usage area</b>	<b>165</b>
14.1 Overviewing <i>paxfull</i> and entangled squabbels in the European Funds usage area	165
14.2 The snarled labyrinth of aggregated positions for the concept's disputes in the European Funds usage area	166
14.3 The fuzzy line of in/formality through the European Funds usage area	167
14.4 The guerrilla of territorial cohesion and expansion in the European Funds usage area	168
14.5 Territorial cohesion's fivefold contested channeling of European funds up- and downstream	169
14.6 The three main conclusions of the concept's usage in the European Funds usage area	171
<b>Chapter 15 The whole territorial cohesion usage field</b>	<b>173</b>
15.1 The overlaps of metanarratives from different usage areas	173
15.2 The contested "ownness" of territorial cohesion	175
15.3 The topical order of usage areas for metanarratives	177
15.4 The territorial cohesion battlefield	183
15.5 Implications of the concept's usage in government	186
15.6 Conclusions on the concept's usage towards its discourse	189
<b>Part III Territorial cohesion discourse</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Chapter 16 The network of territorial cohesion pro/positions</b>	<b>193</b>
16.1 The framework, the maps, the pointers	193
16.2 Territorial cohesion's dynamic and complex network of discursive interdependencies	197
16.3 The power and knowledge effects of territorial cohesion	208
16.4 Conclusions on the discursive interdependencies between territorial cohesion pro/positions	216
<b>Chapter 17 The demarcation of the territorial cohesion discourse</b>	<b>219</b>
17.1 Territorial cohesion as <i>Discursive Nodal Point</i> of many discourses	219
17.2 Demarcating the territorial cohesion discourse	228
17.3 Conclusion on the demarcation and structure of the territorial cohesion discourse	236
<b>Part IV Conclusion: research output</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>Chapter 18 Concluding the discourse analysis of the concept of territorial cohesion</b>	<b>241</b>
18.1 The ethereal order of territorial cohesion meanings and knowledges	241
18.2 The earthly chaos of the concept's power practices	241
18.3 The concept's hermeneutic horizon	242
18.4 The territorial cohesion discourse as ivory tower	242
18.5 Critiquing territorial cohesion expertise to create more freedom for thinking (differently)	243
18.6 A reflective questioning of territorial cohesion	244
<b>References</b>	<b>247</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>277</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>285</b>