Morphine induces preconditioning via activation of mitochondrial K(Ca) channels
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Abstract

Purpose Mitochondrial calcium sensitive potassium (mKCa) channels are involved in cardioprotection induced by ischemic preconditioning. In the present study we investigated whether morphine-induced preconditioning also involves activation of mKCa channels.

Methods Isolated rat hearts (six groups; each n = 8) underwent global ischemia for 30 min followed by a 60-min reperfusion. Control animals were not further treated. Morphine preconditioning (MPC) was initiated by two five-minute cycles of morphine 1 µM infusion with one five-minute washout and one final ten-minute washout period before ischemia. The mKCa blocker, paxilline 1 µM, was administered, with and without morphine administration (MPC + Pax and Pax). As a positive control, we added an ischemic preconditioning group (IPC) alone and combined with paxilline (IPC + Pax). At the end of reperfusion, infarct sizes were determined by triphenyltetrazoliumchloride staining.

Results Infarct size was (mean ± SD) 45 ± 9% of the area at risk in the Control group. The infarct size was less in the morphine or ischemic preconditioning groups (MPC: 23 ± 8%, IPC: 20 ± 5%; each P < 0.05 vs Control). Infarct size reduction was abolished by paxilline (MPC + Pax: 37 ± 7%, P < 0.05 vs MPC and IPC + Pax: 36 ± 6%, P < 0.05 vs IPC), whereas paxilline alone had no effect (Pax: 46 ± 7%, not significantly different from Control).

Conclusion Cardioprotection by morphine-induced preconditioning is mediated by activation of mKCa channels.

Résumé

Objectif Les canaux potassiques mitochondriaux calcium-dépendants (mKCa) participent a la cardioprotection induite par le préconditionnement ischémique. Dans la présente étude, nous avons tenté de découvrir si le préconditionnement provoqué par la morphine implique également l’activation des canaux mKCa.

Méthodes Des cœurs isolés de rats (six groupes; chacun de n = 8) ont été soumis à une ischémie globale pendant 30 min, suivie d’une perfusion de 60 min. Les animaux témoins n’ont reçu aucun autre traitement. Le préconditionnement provoqué par la morphine (MPC) a été initié par deux cycles de cinq minutes d’une perfusion de morphine à 1 µM, avec une période de rinçage de cinq minutes et une période de rinçage finale de dix minutes avant l’ischémie. L’inhibiteur des canaux mKCa, la paxilline à 1 µM, a été administré, avec ou sans administration de morphine (MPC + Pax et Pax). À titre de valeur témoin positive, nous avons ajouté un groupe soumis à un préconditionnement ischémique (IPC) seul et combiné à la paxilline (IPC + Pax). À la fin de la reperfusion, la taille de chaque infarctus a été déterminée par la coloration du chlorure de triphenyltetrazolium.

Résultats La taille de l’infarctus couvrait 45 ± 9% (moyenne ± écart type) de la zone à risque dans le groupe témoin. La taille de l’infarctus était moins importante dans les groupes ayant reçu de la morphine ou ayant subi un
préconditionnement ischémique (MPC: 23 ± 8 %, IPC: 20 ± 5 %; chacun de P < 0,05 contre le groupe témoin). L’effet de réduction de la taille de l’infarctus a été éliminé par la paxilline (MPC + Pax: 37 ± 7 %, P < 0,05 contre MPC et IPC + Pax: 36 ± 6 %, P < 0,05 contre IPC), tandis qu’administrée seule, la paxilline n’a eu aucun effet (Pax: 46 ± 7 %, aucune différence notable comparativement au groupe témoin).

**Conclusion**  La cardioprotection par préconditionnement provoqué par la morphine est assistée par l’activation des canaux mKCa.

**Keywords**  Morphine · Preconditioning · mKCa · Infarct size

Cardioprotection by preconditioning can be induced by various stimuli, such as brief cycles of ischemia or pharmacological agents, including volatile anesthetics and morphine. Both ischemic and morphine preconditioning protect the heart by sharing common cellular pathways. Opening of mitochondrial ATP-sensitive potassium (mKATP) channels is involved in regulation of mitochondrial functions, representing a key step in mediating the cardioprotective effects of ischemic- and morphine-induced preconditioning, possibly due to inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP). Besides opening of mKATP channels, activation of the mitochondrial calcium sensitive potassium (mKCa) channel is also involved in preconditioning. Cao et al. demonstrated that activation of mKCa channels plays a crucial role in ischemic preconditioning and that cardioprotection, by activation of mKCa channels, is independent of mKATP channels and vice versa. In another study, the same authors demonstrated that preconditioning by activation of κ-opioid receptors is triggered by mKCa channels. However, the opioid, morphine, is predominantly a μ-receptor agonist and has only a low affinity for κ-opioid receptors. Furthermore, there is no κ-opioid agonist for clinical practice available. It is not known whether activation of mKCa channels is involved in morphine-induced preconditioning. The purpose of the present study was to test the hypothesis that morphine-induced preconditioning is mediated by activation of mKCa channels.

**Methods**

The investigation conforms to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996) and was performed in accordance with the requirements of the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Duesseldorf, Duesseldorf, Germany.

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany).

**Surgical preparation**

Male Wistar rats were used for these studies. The rats were maintained on a 12:12 light/dark schedule (lights on at 0600 hr) with food and water provided ad libitum. The animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital 90 mg · kg⁻¹ ip. After thoracotomy, the hearts were excised, mounted on a Langendorff system, and perfused at constant pressure (80 mmHg) with Krebs–Henseleit solution containing (in mM) 116 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 1.1 MgSO₄, 1.17 KH₂PO₄, 24.9 NaHCO₃, 2.52 CaCl₂, 8.3 glucose, and 2.2 pyruvate at 37°C. A fluid-filled balloon was inserted into the left ventricle, and end-diastolic pressure was set at 1-4 mmHg. All hearts underwent a stabilization period of 20 min. Heart rate (HR), myocardial function (isovolumetric left ventricular pressure), coronary flow, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure, and rate of left ventricular pressure development (dP/dt max) were measured continuously. The data were digitized using an analogue to digital converter (PowerLab/8SP, ADInstruments Pty Ltd, Castle Hill, Australia) at a sampling rate of 500 Hz, and they were recorded continuously on a personal computer using Chart for Windows v5.0 (ADInstruments). Maximal contracture and time to maximal contracture were noted during ischemia. Arrhythmic intervals were not used for data analysis.

**Experimental protocol for infarct size determination**

The hearts were assigned randomly to one of six experimental groups (Figure 1). The hearts of all groups underwent 30 min of ischemia followed by 60 min of reperfusion. In the control group (Con), the hearts were kept under baseline conditions prior to ischemia. To investigate whether morphine induces preconditioning (MPC), morphine 1 μM was given in two five-minute cycles, separated by one five-minute washout period, and ending with one final ten-minute washout period prior to ischemia. The morphine concentration was chosen because Liang et al. demonstrated that the preconditioning effect of morphine was maximal at 1 μM. Morphine was dissolved in 0.9% NaCl and separately infused into a mixing chamber placed in the perfusion system. As a positive control, the ischemic preconditioning group (IPC) underwent two similar five-minute cycles of ischemia ten minutes prior to ischemia. To test whether mKCa channels are involved in the phenomenon of preconditioning, the mKCa channel inhibitor, paxilline 1 μM, was given over 25 min together with morphine- and...
ischemic-induced preconditioning (MPC + Pax and IPC + Pax). To rule out an effect of paxilline itself, we investigated the effect of paxilline alone (Pax).

After 60 min of reperfusion, the heart was cut into transverse slices, which were then stained with 0.75% triphenyltetrazoliumchloride solution. The infarcted area was determined by planimetry using SigmaScan Pro 5® computer software (SPSS Science Software, Chicago, IL, USA).

Statistical analysis

The sample size was calculated using GraphPad StatMate™ Version 1.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Sample size analysis revealed that a group size of n = 8 was necessary to detect a difference in infarct size of 25% with a power of 80% and an α < 0.05. The estimations of the mean difference of 25% and the standard deviation (SD) of 15% were based on our own data. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Heart rate (in min⁻¹) and mean aortic pressure (in mmHg) were measured during baseline, coronary artery occlusion, and reperfusion period. Comparisons of hemodynamics between groups or between time points in a group were performed (SPSS Science Software, version 12.0.1) using two-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test. A researcher blinded to the experimental groups determined the infarct sizes. The infarcts were analyzed by Student’s t test followed by Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons. Changes within and among groups were considered statistically significant if P < 0.05.

Results

No differences in body or heart weight were observed between the groups (Table 1). In the preconditioning groups, the level of maximal ischemic contracture was significantly lower, and the time of maximal ischemic contracture was significantly higher compared with the Control group (P < 0.05 vs Con) (Table 1).

Infarct size measurement

In the Control group, infarct size was (mean ± SD) 45 ± 9% of the area at risk (Figure 2). In the ischemic- and morphine-induced preconditioning groups, infarct size was similar and significantly less than in the Control group (IPC: 20 ± 5%, MPC: 23 ± 8%; each P < 0.05 vs Con) (Figure 2). The preconditioning effect of ischemia and morphine was attenuated significantly by the mKCa-channel inhibitor, paxilline. Infarct size was 36 ± 6% and 37 ± 7% in the IPC + Pax and MPC + Pax groups, respectively; each P < 0.05 vs IPC and MPC, respectively (Figure 2). Paxilline alone had no effect on infarct size (Pax: 46 ± 7%; not significantly different from Con). There was no significant difference in infarct size between the preconditioning groups with paxilline compared with the Control group.

Hemodynamic variables

Hemodynamic variables are summarized in Table 2. No significant differences in left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and dP/dt_max were observed between the experimental groups during baseline conditions and at the beginning of ischemia (Table 2). At the end of the experiment, dP/dt_max was higher in the preconditioning groups (Table 2). There was no difference in HR compared with Controls at baseline and during reperfusion, with the exception of the paxilline group at time point final ten-minute washout shortly before index ischemia (Table 2).

Discussion

The main finding of our study is that the opioid, morphine, initiates preconditioning in a similar manner as ischemia, i.e., by activation of mKCa channels.

Ischemic preconditioning (IPC) describes a cardioprotective phenomenon where short periods of myocardial ischemia protect the heart against a subsequent longer period of ischemia and reduce the deleterious consequences of ischemia/reperfusion injury. Besides ischemic stimuli, volatile anesthetics15,16 and morphine can mimic the cardioprotective effect of preconditioning.17 In contrast to volatile anesthetics, morphine can be administered to patients who are subjected to organ ischemia (vascular surgery, organ transplantation, cardiac surgery) or who recently underwent regional ischemia (stroke, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, organ transplantation) without the side effect of being “anesthetized”. The mechanisms by which opioids protect the myocardium
Table 1 Weights and ischemic contracture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Body weight (g)</th>
<th>Heart weight wet (g)</th>
<th>Heart weight dry (mg)</th>
<th>Maximal ischemic contracture (mmHg)</th>
<th>Time of maximal ischemic contracture (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>310 ± 25</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>171 ± 17</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>315 ± 23</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>175 ± 18</td>
<td>20 ± 2*</td>
<td>27 ± 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC + Pax</td>
<td>315 ± 24</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>181 ± 17</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>309 ± 26</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>180 ± 20</td>
<td>20 ± 2*</td>
<td>27 ± 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC + Pax</td>
<td>313 ± 27</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>185 ± 19</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax</td>
<td>312 ± 25</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>184 ± 19</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD
IPC = ischemic preconditioning; MPC = morphine preconditioning; Pax = paxilline; * P < 0.05 vs Control

Fig. 2 Infarct size measurement. Histogram showing the infarct size (IS) as percent of area at risk (AAR) in Controls (Con, n = 8), morphine preconditioning (MPC, n = 8), ischemic preconditioning (IPC, n = 8), morphine preconditioning with paxilline (MPC + Pax, n = 8), ischemic preconditioning with paxilline (IPC + Pax, n = 8), and paxilline alone (Pax, n = 8). Data are mean ± SD; * P < 0.05 vs Con; # P < 0.05 vs IPC; § P < 0.05 vs MPC

The mKCa channel contains a pore forming α-subunit and a regulatory β-subunit.20-22 The β-subunit consists of four accessory β-subunits (β1-4). Both mKATP and mKCa channel activation triggers preconditioning that is independent one from the other and involves the mPTP.8 Cao et al. showed that ischemic preconditioning is triggered by activation of mKCa channels and is abolished by the mKCa channel inhibitor, paxilline.8 Paxilline is a mycotoxin produced by the fungus, Penicillium paxilli. It has the ability to block all subunits23 of mKCa channels and is a selective inhibitor.24 Besides paxilline, there are not many mKCa channel blockers available. Iberiotoxin, for example, is a mKCa channel blocker that is also suitable for in vivo use,13 whereas paxilline is predominantly used for in vitro experiments. However, we did not check whether iberiotoxin also abolishes morphine preconditioning. Besides ischemic preconditioning, our results demonstrate that pharmacological preconditioning with morphine also induces cardioprotection by activation of mKCa channels. Cao et al.8 administered the mKCa channel blocker, paxilline, at the onset of reperfusion after a prolonged period of ischemia. We applied paxilline during the preconditioning period, suggesting that mKCa channel inhibition blocks the infarct size reducing effect of preconditioning during the trigger phase. In a recent study, we could demonstrate that activation of mKCa channels not only reduced infarct size by preconditioning but also caused a significant reduction in the mitochondrial respiratory control index.13 Co-administration of the mKCa channel blocker, iberiotoxin, completely abolished the reduction in the respiratory control index, and we concluded that cardioprotection is mediated by activation of mKCa channels leading to mild mitochondrial uncoupling. Mild mitochondrial uncoupling during the trigger phase of preconditioning may represent a common characteristic of mitochondria in a “conditioned” state.25-27 The involvement of mKCa channels in morphine-induced preconditioning was addressed in our study.

We demonstrated here that ischemic- and morphine-induced preconditioning reduced the infarct size to a similar extent. The mKCa channel blocker, paxilline, abolished both effects, confirming the findings of others that ischemic...
preconditioning involves activation of mKCa channels. Furthermore, it supports our hypothesis that mKCa channels are involved in the trigger phase of morphine-induced preconditioning. Heinen et al. showed that opening of mKCa channels can cause a slight increase in mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation. The mKCa channel agonist, NS1619, requires superoxide radical generation during the preconditioning stimulus to induce a cardioprotective effect. Furthermore, these authors demonstrated that cardioprotection by NS1619 reduces mitochondrial calcium overload and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production during the subsequent period of ischemia and early reperfusion. Such a reduction in mitochondrial calcium overload and reactive oxygen species generation has been suggested to prevent mPTP opening. Evidence suggests that the mKCa channel is located upstream of the mPTP, because cardioprotection induced by activation of mKCa channels was abolished by opening of the mPTP. Vice versa, inhibition of the mKCa channel with paxilline did not block protection induced by inhibition of the mPTP with cyclosporine A (CsA). Whether cardiac preconditioning by morphine is also mediated by regulation of the mPTP due to receptor signalling following opioid receptor activation has been suggested to prevent mPTP opening. 

### Table 2 Hemodynamic variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Washout 2</th>
<th>Time after reperfusion (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart rate (min⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>366 ± 37</td>
<td>359 ± 35</td>
<td>365 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>367 ± 11</td>
<td>360 ± 23</td>
<td>365 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC + Pax</td>
<td>357 ± 31</td>
<td>355 ± 32</td>
<td>276 ± 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>367 ± 30</td>
<td>349 ± 28</td>
<td>383 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC + Pax</td>
<td>346 ± 28</td>
<td>333 ± 34</td>
<td>248 ± 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax</td>
<td>336 ± 25</td>
<td>280 ± 29</td>
<td>236 ± 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEDP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12 ± 32</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
<td>53 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 4</td>
<td>52 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC + Pax</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 11</td>
<td>62 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>12 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>51 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC + Pax</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>57 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
<td>43 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dP/dtmax (mmHg - sec⁻¹ 1,000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC + Pax</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC + Pax</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CF (ml - min⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>9 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC + Pax</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC + Pax</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pax</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD

IPC = ischemic preconditioning; MPC = morphine preconditioning; Pax = paxilline

LVEDP = left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; dP/dtmax = rate of left ventricular pressure development; CF = coronary flow; * P < 0.05 vs Control; † P < 0.05 vs Washout 2
confers preconditioning via intracellular pathways leading to activation of mK\textsubscript{Ca} channels. However, the means by which morphine regulates mK\textsubscript{Ca} channel activation to induce preconditioning is unknown. It has been shown that mK\textsubscript{Ca} channel activation is involved in desflurane preconditioning and that protein kinase A (PKA) is located upstream of the mK\textsubscript{Ca} channel.\textsuperscript{32} The activity of PKA as a possible upstream activator of K\textsubscript{Ca} channels depends on the cellular level of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)—PKA is known as cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Gross et al. demonstrated that morphine-induced cardioprotection involves glycogen synthase kinase-3beta (GSK3beta) and Akt (also called PKB).\textsuperscript{33} However, we did not investigate in the present study whether these enzymes are related to mK\textsubscript{Ca} channels.

Another limitation of our study is that we did not determine the effect of ischemic and morphine preconditioning on the mitochondria. Xi et al. could show that morphine prevents mPTP opening by inactivation of GSK3beta.\textsuperscript{34} Our results showing that morphine confers preconditioning through activation of mK\textsubscript{Ca} channels and the fact that enzymes like PKA regulate mK\textsubscript{Ca} channel activation suggest future directions for investigating the underlying mechanism of morphine-induced cardioprotection. Clinically, morphine might be administered to patients who are subjected to organ ischemia (vascular surgery, organ transplantation, cardiac surgery) or who recently underwent regional ischemia (stroke, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, organ transplantation) without the side-effect of being “anesthetized”. Furthermore, there is no \(\mu\)-opioid agonist for clinical practice available. Therefore, unravelling the exact mechanisms of morphine-induced cardioprotection might have clinical consequences.

In summary, our results demonstrate that, besides ischemic preconditioning, morphine also initiates cardiac preconditioning via activation of mK\textsubscript{Ca} channels.
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