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Objective To estimate the prevalence of tuberculosis in Viet Nam with data from a population-based survey, compare it with the prevalence estimated by the World Health Organization, and identify major demographic determinants of tuberculosis prevalence.

Methods A cross-sectional survey with multistage cluster sampling, stratified by urban, rural and remote areas, was done in 2006–2007 in 70 communes. All inhabitants aged ≥ 15 years were invited for cough and chest X-ray examination. Participants with findings suggestive of tuberculosis provided sputum specimens for smear examination and culture. Point prevalence estimates, 95% confidence intervals and design effects were calculated. Confidence intervals and P-values were adjusted for the cluster design.

Findings Of 114 389 adult inhabitants, 94 179 (82.3%) were screened. Of 87 314 (92.7%) screened by both questionnaire and chest X-ray, 3522 (4.0%) had productive cough, 518 (0.6%) had a recent history of tuberculosis and 2972 (3.4%) had chest X-ray abnormalities suggestive of tuberculosis. Sputum tests were done for 7648 participants. Sputum test, bacterial culture or both confirmed 269 tuberculosis cases, 174 of which were smear-positive. The prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis was 145 per 100 000 (95% confidence interval: 110–180) assuming no tuberculosis in persons aged < 15 years. Prevalence was 5.1 times as high in men as in women, increased with age, was higher in rural than in urban or remote areas and showed a north–south gradient.

Conclusion In Viet Nam, the tuberculosis prevalence rate based on positive sputum smear tests was 1.6 times as high as previously estimated. Age and sex patterns were consistent with notification data. Tuberculosis control should remain a high priority in Viet Nam.

Introduction

Tuberculosis is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. In 2006, an estimated 9.2 million new tuberculosis cases occurred, resulting in 1.7 million deaths. The Viet Nam National Tuberculosis Control Programme (NTP) is based on the principles of DOTS, the core control strategy recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). According to WHO estimates, since 1997 Viet Nam has reached and exceeded the global targets for tuberculosis control, i.e. to detect > 70% of new smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases and cure > 85% of these detected cases. If these targets were met, tuberculosis incidence in Viet Nam would predictably decrease over the period 1997–2004 by 44%. Although there was indeed a small decrease in tuberculosis notification rates among women and persons older than 35 years, this was offset by an increase among young men, which led to stabilization in notification rates during this period. In 2006, WHO estimated that Viet Nam ranked 12th among the tuberculosis high-burden countries, with a prevalence of smear-positive tuberculosis of 89 per 100 000 population. (WHO, unpublished data, 2008).

A major question for the Viet Nam NTP is whether these estimates are correct. However, the tuberculosis burden and case detection rate are unknown, because they can only be measured directly if the incidence is known. Tuberculosis incidence is difficult to measure, but tuberculosis prevalence can be assessed by cross-sectional surveys and can be used to estimate the rate at which tuberculosis patients are detected and put on treatment as part of a tuberculosis control programme (i.e. the patient diagnostic rate, PDR). The PDR provides information about the burden of tuberculosis and, if determined at different times, can show the impact of tuberculosis control measures. We aimed to measure the burden of tuberculosis disease, as revealed by a nationwide representative cross-sectional survey, by estimating the tuberculosis prevalence in Viet Nam and comparing this to the tuberculosis prevalence estimated by WHO. We also identified the major demographic determinants of tuberculosis prevalence.

Methods

Study setting and population

We did a population-based cross-sectional survey based on multistage cluster sampling, stratified by urban, rural and remote areas. A sample size of approximately 105 000 adults (15 years or older) was calculated based on 95% confidence interval (CI) boundaries of 71 and 129 per 100 000 for an observed prevalence of 100 per 100 000 population and a design effect factor due to cluster sampling of 1.5.

Clusters had an approximate population size of 2240 inhabitants (1500 adults), and 70 clusters were selected. Districts were selected with sampling probability proportional to the population in the 1999 census (General Statistics Office of Vietnam, unpublished data, 2002). In the selected districts, communes and sub-communes were selected by simple random sampling. Briefly, all communes or sub-communes were assigned a random number and in each district one was selected and used as the starting point. Other sub-communes were added until the required number of inhabitants (2240 people) was obtained by first going north from the selected sub-commune and then adding sub-communes along a clockwise route.

Smear-positive tuberculosis is usually rare in people younger than 15 years, and it is difficult to collect sputum samples.
from children. Therefore the population eligible for the survey included all residents aged ≥ 15 years in the selected clusters who were present during the survey census. A resident was defined as a person who had lived in the household concerned for at least 3 months. To avoid participation bias, short-term guests in households were excluded from the survey.

Measurements
The survey was conducted from September 2006 to July 2007. In each cluster, data were collected during 14-day periods. First, the survey team conducted a house-to-house census based on population lists provided by the local authorities, to see who was present, to inform survey subjects about procedures and to collect household data. Subsequently, persons suspected of having tuberculosis were identified by screening all eligible inhabitants during an interview and by chest X-ray with mobile X-ray units. Individuals with findings suggestive of tuberculosis were interviewed in depth and were asked to submit three sputum specimens. The first and third specimens were examined by smear test by the survey team in the district laboratory. The second (morning) specimen was transported to national and regional reference laboratories for smear examination, culture and species identification. At the reference laboratories, all slides were stored for selection of all (100%) positive smears and a 10% random sample of all negative smears from each sampling unit was used for re-reading. All X-ray images were transported to central X-ray reading units for re-reading of 100% of those scored as abnormal, a 20% random sample of those scored as normal in the field in the first 20 clusters and a 10% random sample of those scored normal in the subsequent 50 clusters. For this purpose, all X-ray images were given a serial number in chronological order, and a serial number of < 10 was randomly chosen. Then every tenth subsequent serial number was chosen for re-reading. For example, if serial number 7 was chosen, the 17th, 27th, etc. images were re-read.

Definitions
A person suspected of having tuberculosis was defined as any survey participant with chest X-ray abnormalities consistent with tuberculosis, productive cough for more than 2 weeks, current tuberculosis treatment or a history of tuberculosis in the preceding 2 years. A smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis case was defined by the presence of either two or more positive smears, one positive smear plus an abnormal chest X-ray result consistent with tuberculosis, or one positive smear plus a positive culture. A culture-positive case was defined as a participant with a positive culture. A bacteriologically-confirmed case was defined as a case with smear-positive or culture-positive pulmonary tuberculosis (or both). A new case was defined as a participant who had never had treatment for tuberculosis or who had taken anti-tuberculosis drugs for < 1 month.6,8 Final classification of each tuberculosis case was done by a consensus panel that included an international expert not involved in the design or implementation of the study.

Data analysis
All data were double-entered in an EpiData Software database (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark); discrepancies were checked against the raw data. Data were analysed with Stata version 9SE software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, United States of America). Our primary analysis calculated overall prevalence rates; additional subgroup analyses were done to estimate stratum-specific prevalence rates by age group, sex and geographic area. In all analyses, population weightings were used to adjust for the stratified sampling design, for differences between clusters in population growth since 1999 and for differences in cluster size. Differences in departure from the cluster sample size of 1500 were found by calculating the overall exact sampling probability as:

$$A \times B \times C$$

where A is the individual sampling probability, calculated as 1 divided by the sampling interval of a given stratum. For example, the sampling interval for urban areas was 746 890; i.e. after ranking districts in decreasing number of inhabitants (largest district first), the district inhabited by every 746 891st person was selected. B is the correction factor for the differential growth in population size between the years 1999 and 2006, calculated by dividing the population of each sampled district in 2006 by the census population of that district in 1999. The correction factor C for differential cluster size was calculated by the number of participants in each cluster divided by the mean cluster size.

Point prevalence estimates, 95% CIs and design effects were calculated with the Stata “svy” commands. CIs were adjusted for the cluster design by first-order Taylor linearization.10 P-values were adjusted by the second-order correction of Rao & Scott for the Pearson χ² test.11 To test for trends, we used the non-parametric Cuzick test.

The PDR was calculated as the number of newly-reported cases of smear-positive tuberculosis per 100 000 population per year (notification rate) divided by the prevalence of new cases of smear-positive tuberculosis per 100 000.5

Ethical approval
The Research Board of the National Hospital for Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases in Hanoi gave scientific and ethical approval for the study.

Results
The census recorded 114 389 adult residents in the selected population clusters. Of these, 103 924 (91.0%) were present on the day of the census and thus considered eligible to participate in the survey, and 94 179 (82.3%) participated (Fig. 1). With respect to age and sex, the eligible population closely reflected the demographic characteristics of the Vietnamese population; in contrast, non-participants were younger and more often male (Fig. 2).

Of the participants, 6444 (6.8%) had been interviewed to screen for cough, 328 (0.3%) had the results of a chest X-ray examination and 87 314 (92.7%) had both. Of the remaining 93 participants, 81 (0.10%) were screened with a chest X-ray but the results were not recorded and 12 (0.01%) were identified as suspected of having tuberculosis based on history only. Of the 87 314 participants who had both the screening interview and chest X-ray examination, 2972 (3.4%) participants suspected of having tuberculosis were identified based on chest X-ray abnormalities only, 3522 (4.0%) were identified based on productive cough only and 518 (0.6%) were identified based on both chest X-ray abnormalities and productive cough (Table 1).

The proportion of persons suspected of having tuberculosis who had both productive cough and chest X-ray abnormali-
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Prevalence of smear-positive tuberculosis was higher among men (0.9%) than among women (0.3%). This proportion also increased with age, from 0.1% in the group aged 15–24 years to 2.2% in the group aged ≥65 years. Chest X-ray abnormalities, with or without productive cough, were more frequent in the southern (4.6%) than in the northern (3.7%) or the central (3.6%) region of the country, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Smear examination, culture, or both tests done for 7648 participants identified 269 tuberculosis cases, and 174 of these were smear-positive (Fig. 1). Six participants (3.4%) were on tuberculosis treatment, 16 (9.2%) had a history of tuberculosis treatment in the preceding 2 years and three (1.7%) had both current and past tuberculosis treatment. Fourteen participants (8.0%) had received tuberculosis treatment more than 2 years before the survey.

In-depth interview data were available for 264 participants with tuberculosis (98.1%). Productive cough for more than 2 weeks was reported by 131 (49.6%), any cough for more than 2 weeks by 145 (54.9%), any cough of any duration by 178 (67.4%) and any symptom suggestive of tuberculosis by 196 (74.2%). There were no significant differences in the frequency of cough or other symptoms between smear-positive and smear-negative cases (data not shown).

Based on 174 identified cases among 94 179 participants, the weighted prevalence of smear-positive tuberculosis was 196.8 per 100 000. The prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis was higher in men than in women (351.1 versus 69.3 per 100 000; P < 0.001) and increased significantly with age, from 42.2 per 100 000 in the group aged 15–24 years to 429.3 per 100 000 in the group aged ≥65 (Table 2).

The prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis was significantly lower in remote areas (134.3 per 100 000) as compared with urban (203.2 per 100 000) and rural areas (219.4 per 100 000). It was also higher in southern than in central and northern Viet Nam; this difference approached statistical significance (Table 2).

The weighted prevalence of bacteriologically-positive tuberculosis was 307.2 per 100 000 adult population (95% CI: 248.8–365.6) (Table 2). The prevalence rate of bacteriologically-positive tuberculosis was also significantly higher in men than in women (536.4 versus 117.8 per 100 000; P < 0.001). As with smear-positive tuberculosis, the prevalence rate of bacteriologically confirmed disease was lower in remote (232.3 per 100 000) than in urban (330.0 per 100 000) and rural (316.0 per 100 000) areas.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the study design and data collection in a tuberculosis prevalence survey, Viet Nam, 2006–2007
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Fig. 2. Age and sex distribution of the participating population in prevalence survey versus the general population of Viet Nam, 2006–2007
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A detailed analysis of the age-specific and sex-specific prevalence rates showed male-to-female ratios of 1.9 or higher, irrespective of how a tuberculosis case was defined. A similar pattern was observed for chest X-ray abnormalities consistent with tuberculosis. Overall, the prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis in men was 5.1 times as high as in women, and the prevalence rate of all bacteriologically confirmed tuberculosis was 4.6 times as high in men as in women (Table 3).

A detailed analysis of the age-specific and sex-specific prevalence rates showed male-to-female ratios of 1.9 or higher, irrespective of how a tuberculosis case was defined. A similar pattern was observed for chest X-ray abnormalities consistent with tuberculosis. Overall, the prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis in men was 5.1 times as high as in women, and the prevalence rate of all bacteriologically confirmed tuberculosis was 4.6 times as high in men as in women (Table 3).

The PDR of new smear-positive tuberculosis in the year 2006 was 0.60 (95% CI: 0.49–0.78). This PDR was higher among women (0.86) than among men (0.50), highest in the group aged 25–34 years (0.94) and lowest in the group aged 35–44 years (0.56). The PDR was slightly higher in the southern (0.66) than in the northern and central regions of Viet Nam (0.54), as shown in Table 4.

**Discussion**

The survey showed a weighted prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis among the population aged ≥ 15 years of 196.8 per 100 000. Assuming that there were no smear-positive tuberculosis cases among the population younger than 15 years, the national prevalence rate of smear-positive tuberculosis in the total population (all age groups) would be 145 per 100 000 (95% CI: 110–180). This number is 1.6 times as high as the previous WHO estimate for Viet Nam in 2006 (89 per 100 000). Thus, the burden of tuberculosis control in Viet Nam is probably considerably greater than assumed. Although we did not measure morbidity or mortality in this survey, this prevalence represents substantial morbidity and mortality directly related to tuberculosis and, potentially, to other diseases. WHO estimates, based on tuberculin survey data from the 1990s, are clearly too low, and the difference between the earlier estimate and our figures illustrates that tuberculosis prevalence, and probably incidence, cannot be reliably inferred from such data with Stylbo’s rule.14,15

Of the 174 smear-positive cases detected, 39 (22%) were currently on tuberculosis treatment or had been treated for tuberculosis for ≥ 1 month. Thus, most smear-positive cases were not known to the NTP.

Smear-positive cases accounted for 64% of all bacteriologically-positive cases.
This proportion was relatively high compared to other recent prevalence surveys within the region\(^{16-19}\) and may reflect the dynamics of the tuberculosis epidemic in Viet Nam. Our higher figure may also be explained by the fact that only the second sputum specimen was cultured, a procedure that may be less sensitive than culturing two specimens, as was done in the other surveys. We may therefore have underestimated the prevalence of culture-positive tuberculosis.

Most smear-positive tuberculosis cases (89%) had chest X-ray abnormalities consistent with tuberculosis. This association suggests that, together with direct smear examination, chest X-ray may be an important tool for tuberculosis case-finding. There was a strong and statistically significant correlation with age, both for the prevalence of chest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. of survey participants</th>
<th>Sputum smear-positive cases</th>
<th>Bacteriologically confirmed cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of cases</td>
<td>Weighted prevalence (per 100 000)(^a)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94 179</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>196.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42 603</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>351.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51 567</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>20 935</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>18 682</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>19 794</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>246.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>16 285</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>233.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>8 137</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>328.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 65</td>
<td>10 328</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>429.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area(^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>26 357</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>203.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>27 545</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>134.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>40 277</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>219.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>45 679</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>162.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>14 656</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>152.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>33 844</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>256.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
\(^a\) Weighted for stratification by area, differential population growth between 1999 and 2006 and different cluster size.
\(^b\) Data on sex were missing for 9 cases.
\(^c\) Data on age were missing for 18 cases.
\(^d\) \(P\)-value for trend.
\(^e\) \(P\)-value for the difference between this age group compared with all other age groups combined.
\(^f\) \(P\)-value for the difference between this area compared with the other two areas together.
\(^g\) \(P\)-value for the difference between this region compared with the other two regions together.

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>New smear-positive tuberculosis</th>
<th>Smear-positive tuberculosis</th>
<th>Culture-positive tuberculosis</th>
<th>Bacteriologically confirmed tuberculosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>(P)-value(^a)</td>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>(P)-value(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 65</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M:F, male to female ratio.
\(^a\) Weighted for stratification by area, differential population growth between 1999 and 2006, and different cluster size.
X-ray abnormalities and persistent productive cough, and for the prevalence of bacteriologically-confirmed tuberculosis. Tuberculosis notification rates in Viet Nam also tend to increase with age.

Interestingly, the increase in prevalence occurred at a much earlier age in men than in women, which was reflected in very high male-to-female ratios in the group aged 45–64 years. The overall male-to-female ratios for the prevalence rate of tuberculosis were 4.5 to 5.1, depending on the type of tuberculosis (Table 3). This is 1.7 times as high as the notification rate of new smear-positive tuberculosis (2.8) in the NTP in 2006. Our higher ratio strongly suggests that the sex difference in tuberculosis notification in Viet Nam reflects a true difference in disease occurrence rather than a difference in access to diagnosis and treatment, as some studies have suggested.16,20–22 A nationwide study carried out in 2002 in the same 70 clusters we used showed longer delays in diagnosis among women than among men (although the difference was small),16 but an analysis of tuberculosis laboratory data in the north of Viet Nam suggested that women were more likely than men to have or request a sputum smear examination.18

In Viet Nam, the considerably higher PDR in women (0.86) compared with men (0.50) suggests that the case detection rate is higher in the former. Although the PDR is not the same as the case detection rate, comparing the PDR between populations or subpopulations can provide information about the relative completeness of case detection. The PDR was lowest in the groups aged 35–44 (0.56) and ≥ 65 years (0.66) and lower among men than among women. This result suggests that the NTP should increase efforts to find male tuberculosis patients and focus on these two age groups. Because the PDR relates to cases found and treated by the NTP only, this raises the question of whether men, especially in the group aged 35–44 years, are diagnosed more often by private physicians than by the publicly-operated NTP.

Our survey confirmed that other characteristics of the tuberculosis notification pattern reflect features of the underlying tuberculosis epidemic in Viet Nam. Specifically, our data reflect the north–south gradient1 and the relatively low prevalence rates in remote, mountainous areas compared to the urban and low-lying rural areas.8

Although prevalence surveys such as ours are useful to evaluate the performance of tuberculosis programmes, they are logistically difficult to perform, time-consuming and expensive. Practical preparations for our survey began in mid-2005; recruitment started in September 2006 and lasted 9 months. Data entry, cleaning, analysis and reporting lasted another one-and-a-half years. Total survey costs, including the purchase of mobile X-ray units, were estimated to be 1 000 000 United States dollars.

Our survey had limitations. First, the eligible population did not include adults who were present in the sampled clusters for less than 3 months, who were incarcerated or who lived in military barracks (i.e. the mobile population) during the survey period. This is a potential drawback because it is not known how well the survey sample population represented the mobile population and thereby the total Vietnamese population. Because the mobile population probably contains a higher proportion of young men than the survey population, our survey may have either underestimated or overestimated the prevalence of tuberculosis. Young men, who were under-represented in the survey sample, tended to have a higher prevalence rate of tuberculosis than women of the same age, but a lower prevalence rate than older men. Second, rather than any cough we used persistent productive cough as a screening criterion. This might have decreased the sensitivity of our case-finding method. In fact, among the smear-positive tuberculosis cases we identified, persistent cough was more commonly mentioned in the in-depth interview (by 145 persons) than persistent productive cough (by 131 persons). Finally, this survey did not collect data on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Further studies are needed to identify the prevalence of HIV and tuberculosis co-infection in the population and its significance for the total burden of tuberculosis in Viet Nam, because the increasing prevalence of HIV infection in parts of Viet Nam may have increased the burden of HIV and tuberculosis co-infection.24

The results of this first national prevalence survey showed a prevalence of tuberculosis in Viet Nam that was 1.6 times as high as previously estimated. A significant number of tuberculosis smear-positive and smear-negative cases remain undiagnosed and present a potential source of transmission in the community. The NTP should develop, implement and evaluate additional approaches to tuberculosis control, including special efforts to find boys and men with tuberculosis. Approaches that should be considered include: (i) the introduction of active case-

### Table 4. Estimated patient diagnosis rates for the Viet Nam National Tuberculosis Programme, by sex, age group and geographic region, 2006–2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Annual notification rate (per 100 000)</th>
<th>Annual prevalence rate (per 100 000)</th>
<th>PDR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.49–0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40–0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.59–1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.44–2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.55–3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.37–1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.53–1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.50–1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 65</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.45–1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.40–0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39–0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.48–1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; PDR, patient diagnostic rate. Data are weighted for stratification by area, differential population growth from 1999 to 2006 and differential cluster size. Source: WHO 2008.1
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Resumen
Encuesta nacional sobre la prevalencia de tuberculosis en Viet Nam

Objetivo Estimar la prevalencia de tuberculosis en Viet Nam a partir de los datos de una encuesta poblacional, compararla con la prevalencia estimada por la Organización Mundial de la Salud, e identificar los principales determinantes demográficos de la prevalencia de tuberculosis.

Métodos En 2006-2007 se llevó a cabo en 70 comunas un estudio transversal mediante muestreo polietápico por conglomeradores, estratificado por zonas urbanas, rurales y remotas. Se invitó a todos los habitantes ≥ 15 años a someterse a un cribado de tos y una radiografía torácica. Los participantes con resultados indicativos de tuberculosis proporcionaron muestras de esputo para baciloscopia y cultivo. Se hicieron estimaciones de la prevalencia momentánea y se calcularon los intervalos de confianza del 95% y los efectos de diseño. Los intervalos de confianza y los valores de Pse ajustaron en función del diseño de los conglomeradores.

Resultados De un total de 114 389 habitantes adultos, se cribó a 94 179 (82,3%). De los 87 314 (92,7%) que se sometieron tanto al cuestionario como a la radiografía de tórax, 3522 (4,0%) presentaban tos con expectoración, 518 (0,6%) tenían antecedentes recientes de tuberculosis, y 2972 (3,4%) presentaban signos radiológicos indicativos de la enfermedad. Se analizó el esputo de 7648 participantes. El análisis de esputo, el cultivo bacteriano o ambas cosas confirmaron 269 casos de tuberculosis, 174 de los cuales eran bacilíferos. La prevalencia de tuberculosis bacilífera fue de 145 por 100 000 (intervalo de confianza del 95%: 110-180), suponiendo que no hubiera casos de tuberculosis entre los menores de 15 años. La prevalencia fue 5,1 veces mayor en los hombres que en las mujeres, aumentaba con la edad, era mayor en las zonas rurales que en las zonas urbanas o remotas, y mostraba un gradiente norte-sur.

Conclusión En Viet Nam, la prevalencia de tuberculosis deducida de los casos con esputo positivo fue 1,6 veces mayor que la estimada anteriormente. La distribución por edad y sexo fue congruente con los datos de notificación. El control de la tuberculosis debería seguir siendo de la máxima prioridad en Viet Nam.
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