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Artificial Intelligence (AI) is quickly being taken-up across scientific disciplines, medical imaging is no exception. To stimulate development and facilitate the scientific evaluation of new approaches, AI-based research in medical imaging is increasingly organised in a competitive manner through machine-learning development platforms. These platform-based AI competitions are typically organised by consortia that issue dedicated research problems, focusing on specific tasks, such as breast cancer detection. Participating teams can compete to develop and evaluate their machine learning models using a given dataset and achieve the highest performance on a set of predefined tasks. Subsequently, teams are ranked on a platform-controlled central leaderboard by the calculated score of their (best) submission. This paper examines how such platform-based competitions shape power relations in medical image AI research around the globe.

Performance competitions follow a long-standing tradition in AI subfields like Computer Vision or Natural Language Processing. A prime example of how they play a vital role in AI research is the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) (Russakovsky et al., 2015). Associated with the ImageNet dataset (Deng et al., 2009), this competition ran between 2010 and 2017. In 2012, a team from the University of Toronto won the Challenge with a deep neural network-driven model that outperformed all competing teams by a significant margin using GPUs (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). This moment is often marked as the turning point in AI research, shifting the field towards the...
currently dominant deep learning paradigm, which is heavily data-driven and requires large amounts of computing power (Dotan and Milli, 2020).

While many competitions have been organised independently or in conjunction with prestigious AI conferences, the last decade has seen an increase of competitions organised on machine-learning development platforms such as Kaggle and Grand Challenge—two of the leading platforms in AI-based medical imaging. Such platforms provide the infrastructure to run competitions and the necessary tools for teams to participate. In addition, teams are commonly awarded prizes that can be over $1 million.

For medical image analysis, platform-hosted competitions constitute an important research infrastructure, steering global research and development in this dedicated AI subfield. These research infrastructures have been celebrated as "accelerators for medical imaging innovations" (Bulten et al. 2022: 154) and models that perform well in these competitions are more likely to be adapted for clinical practice. Furthermore, they further steer the individual careers of winning participants through the publication of competition research papers (Reinke et al., 2021).

Yet, little is known about how these platform infrastructures shape the conditions for medical image-AI research, including model production and evaluation. It is, however, vital to gain such insights, as there are growing concerns over the power dynamics in (medical) AI research and the development of machine-learning applications that amplify existing inequalities towards historically disadvantaged communities (Benjamin 2019; Thomas, 2022). So far, critical work has primarily focused on power imbalances in the construction of single research components like medical imaging datasets (e.g., Oakden-Rayner, 2020; Rostamzadeh et al., 2022). But while such individual elements are significant, platform-hosted medical imaging competitions operate across the medical AI research pipeline. They structure the definition of research problems and the construction of datasets, as well as how models are produced and evaluated by introducing tasks and metrics. It is therefore critical to understand the organisation of these competitions in their entirety, and the position key actors—platforms, organising institutions, and competition participants—occupy within them.

This paper addresses this issue through a critical empirical case study of 120 medical imaging competitions on Kaggle and Grand Challenge between 2017 and 2022. Combining a critical AI and platform studies research approach, we investigate how competitions on Kaggle and Grand Challenge are organised—under what infrastructural conditions and by whom—and how this shapes processes of model production and evaluation in AI-driven medical imaging.

**Studying Competitions on Kaggle and Grand Challenge**

To understand how competitions on Kaggle and Grand Challenge shape production and evaluation processes in AI-based medical image research, we developed a digital methods approach that draws from various primary materials. The empirical analysis proceeded along three main lines of inquiry. First, we analysed Kaggle and Grand Challenge’s platform documentation to identify the ways in which both platforms regulate the organisation of competitions through their set up guidelines and their
several infrastructural components. Second, we draw from a set of collected competition
descriptions to analyse the institutional backgrounds of competition organisers, the
medical imaging datasets they provide and the ways in which these actors define
research problems and set metrics of model evaluation. Lastly, we analysed information
from leaderboards to map the distribution of competition participants in terms of
geographical location and institutional background. This mapping provided insight into
the geographical context submitted—well-performing—models have been produced, as
well as the types of intellectual backgrounds they build upon.

Examining AI competitions as research infrastructures, we show that Kaggle and Grand
Challenge as well as competition organisers shape power relations in medical AI
research at the level of data and task design, model production and evaluation in
several distinct ways. For example, the platforms exercise infrastructural power by pre-
structuring task design, metrics for model evaluation and use of computer power.
Organising institutions, on the other hand, can be seen to use their powerful position to
direct research focus towards segmentation as they mainly design competitions towards
this task. In addition, the datasets underlying these competitions are mainly constructed
with data from patients in the United States, Western Europe and China, while dataset
diversity is approached technically, and descriptions rarely include information on
patient distribution in terms of gender and race.

Because competitions play such an important role in steering medical image AI
research directions and influence the types of models that are implemented into clinical
settings, these findings highlight the impact these powerful actors ultimately have on
these issues. Therefore, we stress the importance of further considering platform-based
competitions as key AI research infrastructures to locate the power differentials in the
development of machine-learning models, not just in subfields of AI-based medicine, but
also beyond.
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