UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

System evaluation of archival description and access

Zhang, J.

Publication date
2011

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Zhang, J. (2011). *System evaluation of archival description and access*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. IR Publications.

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Download date:17 Nov 2026

Bibliography

Agichtein, E., Brill, E., and Dumais, S. (2006). Improving Web search ranking by incorporating user behavior information. In *SIGIR '06: Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 19–26, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 116.)

Allen, R. B. (2005). Using information visualization to support access to archival records. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 3(1):37–49. (Cited on page 31.)

Alonso, O., Rose, D. E., and Stewart, B. (2008). Crowdsourcing for relevance evaluation. *SIGIR Forum*, 42:9–15. (Cited on page 138.)

Anderson, I. (2004). Are you being served? historians and the search for primary sources. *Archivaria*, 58(1):81–129. (Cited on page 86.)

Aula, A., Khan, R. M., and Guan, Z. (2010). How does search behavior change as search becomes more difficult? In *Proceedings of the 28th international conference on Human factors in computing systems*, CHI '10, pages 35–44, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 84.)

Baeza-Yates, R. A. and Ribeiro-Neto, B. (1999). *Modern Information Retrieval*. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA. (Cited on page 2.)

Bailey, P., Craswell, N., and Hawking, D. (2003). Engineering a multi-purpose test collection for Web retrieval experiments. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 39(6):853–871. (Cited on page 36.)

Balatsoukas, P. and Demian, P. (2010). Effects of granularity of search results on the relevance judgment behavior of engineers: Building systems for retrieval and understanding of context. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 61:453–467. (Cited on page 3.)

Barrett, A. (2005). The information-seeking habits of graduate student researchers in the humanities. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 31(4):324 – 331. (Cited on page 86.)

Bates, M. J. (1990). Where should the person stop and the information search interface start? *Information Processing & Management*, 26(5):575 – 591. (Cited on pages 104 and 116.)

Bearman, D. (1979). Automated access to archival information: Accessing systems. *American Archivist*, 42(2):179–190. (Cited on pages 2, 10, 14, and 58.)

Bearman, D. (1992). Documenting documentation. *Archivaria*, 34(1):33–49. (Cited on page 2.)

Bearman, D. and Lytle, R. (1985). The power of the principle of provenance. *Archivaria*,

21(1). (Cited on pages 69 and 79.)
Beg, M. S. and Ahmad, N. (2007). Web search enhancement by mining user actions. *Information Sciences*, 177(23):5203 – 5218. (Cited on page 116.)
Belkin, N. J. (1993). Interaction with texts: Information retrieval as information-seeking behavior. In *Information Retrieval'93*, pages 55–66, Konstanz. Universitetsverlag Konstanz. (Cited on pages 81 and 82.)
Belkin, N. J. and Croft, W. B. (1992). Information filtering and information retrieval: two sides of the same coin? *Commun. ACM*, 35:29–38. (Cited on page 44.)
Belkin, N. J., Oddy, R. N., and Brooks, H. M. (1982). ASK for Information Retrieval: Part I. Background and Theory. *Journal of Documentation*, 38(2):61–71. (Cited on page 81.)
Berners-Lee, T., Cailliau, R., Luotonen, A., Nielsen, H. F., and Secret, A. (1994). The world-wide Web. *Commun. ACM*, 37:76–82. (Cited on pages 9 and 10.)
Boag, S., Chamberlin, D., Fernández, M., Florescu, D., Robie, J., and Siméon, J. (2002). XQuery 1.0: An XML query language. W3C Working Draft. `http://www.w3.org/TR/xquery/`. (Cited on page 19.)
Boles, F. (1982). Disrespecting original order. *American Archivist*, 45(1):26–33. (Cited on page 74.)
Boncz, P. A., Grust, T., van Keulen, M., Manegold, S., Rittinger, J., and Teubner, J. (2006). MonetDB/XQuery: A Fast XQuery Processor Powered by a Relational Engine. In *SIGMOD '06*, pages 479–490. ACM. (Cited on page 23.)
Borgman, C. L., Gallagher, A. L., Hirsh, S. G., and Walter, V. A. (1995). Children's searching behavior on browsing and keyword online catalogs: the science library catalog project. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci.*, 46:663–684. (Cited on page 85.)
Bosak, J. and Bray, T. (1999). XML and the Second-Generation Web. *Scientific American*, 280(5):89–93. (Cited on pages 9, 10, and 65.)
Brand-Gruwel, S., Wopereis, I., and Vermetten, Y. (2005). Information problem solving by experts and novices: analysis of a complex cognitive skill. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 21(3):487–508. (Cited on pages 115 and 128.)
Bray, T., Paoli, J., Sperberg-McQueen, C. M., Maler, E., and Yergeau, F. (2008). Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fifth Edition). (Cited on pages 9 and 65.)
Campbell, R. R. (1967). Automation and information retrieval in archives—the broad concepts. *American Archivist*, 30(2):279–286. (Cited on pages 69 and 79.)
Chamberlin, D. (2003). Xquery: a query language for xml. In *Proceedings of the 2003 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data*, SIGMOD '03, pages 682–682, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 19.)
Chandler, R. L. (2002). Museums in the online archive of california (moac): Building digital collections across libraries and museums. *First Monday*, 7(5). (Cited on page 11.)
Chapman, C. N., Love, E., Milham, R. P., ElRif, P., and Alford, J. L. (2008). Quantitative evaluation of personas as information. In *Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting Proceedings*, volume 52, pages 1107–1111. Human Factors and Ergonomics Society. (Cited on page 114.)
Chen, S. F. and Goodman, J. (1996). An empirical study of smoothing techniques for language modeling. In *ACL*, pages 310–318. (Cited on page 44.)
Clark, J. and DeRose, S. (1999). Xml path language (xpath) version 1.0. Technical report,

World Wide Web Consortium. (Cited on page 16.)
Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd Edition)*. Routledge Academic, 2 edition. (Cited on page 99.)
Cook, T. (1997). What is past is prologue: A history of archival ideas since 1898, and the future paradigm shift. *Archivaria*, 43(1):17–63. (Cited on page 58.)
Cox, R. (2008). Revisiting the archival finding aid. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 5(4):134–140. (Cited on pages 59 and 78.)
Crymble, A. (2010). An analysis of Twitter and Facebook use by the archival community. *Archivaria*, 70(2):125–151. (Cited on page 7.)
Daniels, M. G. and Yakel, E. (2010). Seek and you may find: Successful search in online finding aid systems. *American Archivist*, 73(2):535–568. (Cited on pages 37 and 112.)
Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3):pp. 319–340. (Cited on page 115.)
Denoyer, L. and Gallinari, P. (2006). The Wikipedia XML corpus. *SIGIR Forum*, 40:64–69. (Cited on page 15.)
DeRose, S. J. (1997). Navigation, access, and control using structured information. *American Archivist*, 60(3):298–309. (Cited on pages 12, 16, and 63.)
Duff, W. M., Craig, B., and Cherry, J. (2004). Historians' use of archival sources: Promises and pitfalls of the digital age. *The Public Historian*, 26(2):7–22. (Cited on page 2.)
Duff, W. M. and Johnson, C. A. (2002). Accidentally found on purpose: information-seeking behavior of historians in archives. *Library Quarterly*, 72:472–96. (Cited on pages 86, 110, and 140.)
Duff, W. M. and Johnson, C. A. (2003). Where is the list with all the names? information-seeking behavior of genealogists. *American Archivist*, 66(1):79–95. (Cited on pages 110, 112, 114, 117, 118, 122, 128, and 140.)
Duff, W. M. and Stoyanova, P. (1998). Transforming the crazy quilt: Archival displays from a user's point of view. *Archivaria*, 45(1):44–79. (Cited on pages 18, 33, 65, and 112.)
Duff, W. M., Yakel, E., Tibbo, H. R., Cherry, J. M., McKay, A., Krause, M. G., and Sheffield, R. (2010). The development, testing, and evaluation of the Archival Metrics Toolkits. *American Archivist*, 73(2):569–599. (Cited on page 112.)
Dumais, S., Joachims, T., Bharat, K., and Weigend, A. (2003). SIGIR 2003 workshop report: implicit measures of user interests and preferences. *SIGIR Forum*, 37(2):50–54. (Cited on pages 36, 111, 112, and 114.)
Dupret, G. and Liao, C. (2010). A model to estimate intrinsic document relevance from the clickthrough logs of a Web search engine. In *Proceedings of the third ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*, WSDM '10, pages 181–190, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on pages 36 and 138.)
Duranti, L. (1992). Origin and development of the concept of archival description. *Archivaria*, 35(1). (Cited on page 58.)
Duranti, L. (2001). The impact of digital technology on archival science. *Archival Science*, 1:39–55. (Cited on page 8.)
Ellis, D. (1989). A behavioral approach to information retrieval system design. *Journal of Documentation*, 45(3):171–212. (Cited on pages 114, 115, and 117.)
Ellis, D. (1997). Modelling the information seeking patterns of engineers and research

scientists in an industrial environment. *Journal of Documentation*, 53(4):384–403. (Cited on page 115.)

Ellis, D., Cox, D., and Hall, K. (1993). A comparison of the information seeking patterns of researchers in the physical and social sciences. *Journal of Documentation*, pages 356–369. (Cited on page 115.)

Evans, J. and Rouche, N. (2004). Utilizing Systems Development Methods in Archival Systems Research: Building a Metadata Schema Registry. *Archival Science*, 4(3-4):315–334. (Cited on pages 8 and 136.)

Evans, M. J. (1986). Authority control: An alternative to the record group concept. *American Archivist*, 49(3):249–261. (Cited on pages 78 and 79.)

Fachry, K. N., Kamps, J., and Zhang, J. (2008). Access to archival material in context. In *IIiX '08*, pages 102–109, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 31.)

Fang, W. (2007). Using Google Analytics for improving library Website content and design: A case study. *Library Philosophy and Practice 2007: Special Issue on Google and Libraries*. (Cited on page 114.)

Feeney, K. (1999). Retrieval of archival finding aids using World-Wide-Web search engines. *American Archivist*, 62(2):206–228. (Cited on page 37.)

Forde, H. (2005). Access and preservation in the 21st century: What has changed? *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 26(2):193–200. (Cited on page 10.)

Fox, E. A. and Sornil, O. (1999). Digital libraries. In *Modern Information Retrieval*, pages 415–432. Addison Wesley, 1st edition. (Cited on page 33.)

Fuhr, N., Kamps, J., Lalmas, M., Malik, S., and Trotman, A. (2007). Overview of the INEX 2007 ad hoc track. In *INEX*, pages 1–23. Springer. (Cited on page 15.)

Fuhr, N., Malik, S., and Lalmas, M. (2004). Overview of the initiative for the evaluation of XML retrieval (INEX) 2003. In *INEX*, pages 15–17. (Cited on page 15.)

Gilliland-Swetland, A. J. (2001). Popularizing the finding aid: exploiting EAD to enhance online discovery and retrieval in archival information systems by diverse user groups. *Journal of Internet Cataloging*, 4(3):199–225. (Cited on pages 2, 58, 110, 113, 117, and 140.)

Gilliland-Swetland, A. J. (2005). Electronic records management. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 39(1):219–253. (Cited on pages 30, 58, and 59.)

Gilliland-Swetland, A. J. and Mckemmish, S. (2004). Building an infrastructure for archival research. *Archival Science*, 4(3):149–197. (Cited on pages 8 and 136.)

Goldberg, K. H. (2008). *XML: Visual QuickStart Guide*. Peachpit Press, Berkeley, CA, USA, 2nd edition. (Cited on pages 9 and 10.)

Goldfarb, C. F. (1984). The standard generalized markup language: Basic concepts. In *Offene Multifunktionale Büroarbeitsplätze und Bildschirmtext*, pages 132–140. (Cited on page 9.)

Gövert, N. and Kazai, G. (2002a). Overview of the initiative for the evaluation of XML retrieval (INEX) 2002. In *INEX*, pages 1–17. (Cited on pages 14 and 15.)

Gövert, N. and Kazai, G. (2002b). Overview of the initiative for the evaluation of XML retrieval (INEX) 2002. In *INEX*, pages 1–17. (Cited on page 36.)

Hammer-Aebi, B., Christensen, K. W., Lund, H., and Larsen, B. (2006). Users, structured documents and overlap: interactive searching of elements and the influence of context on search behaviour. In *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Information interaction in context*, IIiX, pages 46–55, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited

on pages 3, 14, 16, and 24.)
Hatcher, E. and Gospodnetic, O. (2004). *Lucene in Action*. Manning Publications Co., Greenwich, CT, USA. (Cited on page 19.)
Haworth, K. M. (2001). Archival description: Content and context in search of structure. *Journal of Internet Cataloging*, 4:1091–1367. (Cited on pages 1, 10, 26, 27, 58, 59, and 65.)
Hedstrom, M. (2002). Archives, memory, and interfaces with the past. *Archival Science*, 2:21–43. 10.1007/BF02435629. (Cited on page 31.)
Hiemstra, D., Rode, H., van Os, R., and Flokstra, J. (2006). PF/Tijah: text search in an XML database system. In *OSIR '06*, pages 12–17. (Cited on pages 23 and 73.)
Hill, A., Stockting, B., and Higgins, S. (2005). Different strokes for different folks: Presenting EAD in three UK online catalogues. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 3(2-3):183–206. (Cited on page 11.)
Hjørland, B. (2000). Documents, memory institutions and information science. *Journal of Documentation*, 56:27–41. (Cited on page 11.)
Hodkinson, C., Kiel, G., and McColl-Kennedy, J. R. (2000). Consumer Web search behaviour: diagrammatic illustration of wayfinding on the Web. *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.*, 52:805–830. (Cited on pages 82 and 87.)
Holscher, C. and Strube, G. (2000). Web search behavior of internet experts and newbies. *Computer Networks*, 33(1-6):337–346. (Cited on pages 115, 127, and 128.)
Horsman, P. (2002). The last dance of the phoenix or the de-discovery of the archival fonds. *Archivaria*, 54(1):1–23. (Cited on page 57.)
Horsman, P., Ketelaar, F., and Thomassen, T. (2005). *Tekst en context van de handleiding voor het ordenen en beschrijven van archieven van 1898*. Verloren. (Cited on page 57.)
Hsieh-Yee, I. (2001). Research on Web search behavior. *Library & Information Science Research*, 23(2):167–185. (Cited on pages 110 and 140.)
Huffman, N. G. (2008). Search features and other characteristics of XML retrieval systems for EAD finding aids: A content analysis. MSc thesis. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. (Cited on page 18.)
Hutchinson, T. (1997). Strategies for searching online finding aids: A retrieval experiment. *Archivaria*, 44(2):72–101. (Cited on pages 36 and 112.)
Ingwersen, P. and Järvelin, K. (2005). *The Turn: Integration of Information Seeking and Retrieval in Context (The Information Retrieval Series)*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., Secaucus, NJ, USA. (Cited on page 82.)
International Council on Archives (1999). ISAD(G): General International Standard Archival Description, Second edition. Technical report, International Council on Archives, Ottawa. (Cited on page 8.)
Islamaj Dogan, R., Murray, G. C., Névéol, A., and Lu, Z. (2009). Understanding PubMed user search behavior through log analysis. *Database : the journal of biological databases and curation*, 2009(0):18. (Cited on page 84.)
Jansen, B. J. (2006). Search log analysis: What it is, what's been done, how to do it. *Library & Information Science Research*, 28(3):407–432. (Cited on pages 35, 84, 111, 112, 114, and 118.)
Jansen, B. J. and Spink, A. (2006). How are we searching the World Wide Web? A comparison of nine search engine transaction logs. *Information Processing & Management*, 42(1):248 – 263. (Cited on page 84.)

Jansen, B. J., Spink, A., Bateman, J., and Saracevic, T. (1998). Real life information retrieval: a study of user queries on the Web. *SIGIR Forum*, 32(1):5–17. (Cited on pages 114, 117, and 118.)

Jansen, B. J., Spink, A., Blakely, C., and Koshman, S. (2007). Defining a session on Web search engines. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 58(6):862–871. (Cited on pages 114, 117, and 121.)

Jansen, B. J., Tapia, A., and Spink, A. (2010). Searching for salvation: An analysis of US religious searching on the World Wide Web. *Religion*, 40(1):39 – 52. (Cited on page 84.)

Jardine, N. and van Rijsbergen, C. (1971). The use of hierarchical clustering in information retrieval. *Information Storage and Retrieval*, 7:217–240. (Cited on page 74.)

Jenkinson, H. (1944). Reflections of an Archivist. *Contemporary Review*, 165:355–361. (Cited on page 74.)

Joachims, T., Granka, L., Pan, B., Hembrooke, H., and Gay, G. (2005). Accurately interpreting clickthrough data as implicit feedback. In *Proceedings of the 28th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '05, pages 154–161, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 36.)

Jones, S., Cunningham, S. J., McNab, R. J., and Boddie, S. J. (2000). A transaction log analysis of a digital library. *Int. J. on Digital Libraries*, 3(2):152–169. (Cited on pages 35, 114, 117, 118, 121, and 125.)

Joty, S. and Sadid-Al-Hasan, S. (2007). Advances in focused retrieval: A general review. In *Computer and information technology, 2007. iccit 2007. 10th international conference on*, pages 1–5. (Cited on page 12.)

Kamps, J. and Sigurbjörnsson, B. (2005). What do users think of an XML element retrieval system? In *INEX*, pages 411–421. (Cited on page 3.)

Kazai, G., Lalmas, M., and de Vries, A. P. (2004). The overlap problem in content-oriented XML retrieval evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 27th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '04, pages 72–79, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on pages 16, 24, 27, and 75.)

Kelly, D. (2009). Methods for evaluating interactive information retrieval systems with users. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.*, 3:1–224. (Cited on page 82.)

Kelly, D. and Teevan, J. (2003). Implicit feedback for inferring user preference: a bibliography. *SIGIR Forum*, 37:18–28. (Cited on page 36.)

Ketelaar, E. (1996). Archival theory and the Dutch manual. *Archivaria*, 41(1). (Cited on pages 57, 58, 59, and 74.)

Ketelaar, E. (2008). Archives as spaces of memory. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 29(1):9–27. (Cited on page 1.)

Kieras, D. and Polson, P. G. (1985). An approach to the formal analysis of user complexity. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 22(4):365 – 394. (Cited on page 82.)

Kiesling, K. (1997). EAD as an Archival Descriptive Standard. *American Archivist*, 60(3):344–354. (Cited on page 11.)

Kiesling, K. (2001). Metadata, metadata, everywhere - but where is the hook? *OCLC Systems & Services*, 17:84–88. (Cited on pages 16 and 63.)

Kim, J., Oard, D. W., and Romanik, K. (2001). User modeling for information filtering based on implicit feedback. In *In ISKO-France 2001*. (Cited on page 36.)

Kinsella, J. and Bryant, P. (1987). Online public access catalog research in the united kingdom: An overview. *Library Trends*, 35(4):619–630. (Cited on page 113.)

Knuth, D. E. (1964). backus normal form vs. backus naur form. *Commun. ACM*, 7:735–736. (Cited on page 9.)

Koenemann, J. and Belkin, N. J. (1996). A case for interaction: a study of interactive information retrieval behavior and effectiveness. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems: common ground*, CHI '96, pages 205–212, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 115.)

Kraaij, W. (2004). *Variations on language modeling for information retrieval*. PhD thesis, University of Twente, Enschede. (Cited on page 44.)

Kuhlthau, C. C. (1991). Inside the search process: Information seeking from the user's perspective. *JASIS*, 42(5):361–371. (Cited on page 81.)

Lalmas, M. (2010). XML Information Retrieval. *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences, Third Edition*, pages 5700–5712. (Cited on pages 3, 12, and 36.)

Larsen, B., Tombros, A., and Malik, S. (2006). Is XML retrieval meaningful to users?: searcher preferences for full documents vs. elements. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '06, pages 663–664, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on pages 3 and 14.)

Larson, R. R. and Sanderson, R. (2006). Cheshire3: retrieving from tera-scale grid-based digital libraries. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '06, pages 730–730, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 18.)

Lazonder, A. W., Biemans, H. J. A., and Wopereis, I. G. J. H. (2000). Differences between novice and experienced users in searching information on the World Wide Web. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51:576–581. (Cited on pages 15, 127, and 128.)

Lesk, M. (2004). *Understanding Digital Libraries, Second Edition (The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Multimedia and Information Systems)*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA. (Cited on page 15.)

Lowenthal, D. (2005). Natural and cultural heritage. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 11(1):81–92. (Cited on page 85.)

Lytle, R. H. (1980). Intellectual Access to Archives: I. Provenance and Content Indexing Methods of Subject Retrieval. *American Archivist*, 43(1):64–75. (Cited on pages 14, 36, 74, and 85.)

MacNeil, H. (2008). Archivalterity: Rethinking original order. *Archivaria*, 66(2). (Cited on page 74.)

Malik, S., Kazai, G., Lalmas, M., and Fuhr, N. (2005). Overview of INEX 2005. In *INEX*, pages 1–15. Springer. (Cited on page 15.)

Malik, S., Lalmas, M., and Fuhr, N. (2004). Overview of INEX 2004. In *INEX*, pages 1–15. Springer. (Cited on page 15.)

Malik, S., Trotman, A., Lalmas, M., and Fuhr, N. (2006). Overview of INEX 2006. In *INEX*, pages 1–11. Springer. (Cited on page 15.)

Meehan, J. (2010). Rethinking original order and personal records. *Archivaria*, 70(2). (Cited on page 74.)

Meier, W. (2003). eXist: An open source native XML database. In *Web, Web-Services, and Database Systems*, volume 2593 of *LNCS*, pages 169–183. Springer. (Cited on page

18.)
Menne-Haritz, A. (2001). Access: The reformulation of an archival paradigm. *Archival Science*, 1:57–82. (Cited on page 58.)
Navarro-Prieto, R., Scaife, M., and Rogers, Y. (1999). Cognitive strategies in Web searching. *Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Human Factors the Web*, pages 1–13. (Cited on page 93.)
Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., Jamali, H. R., and Watkinson, A. (2006). The information seeking behaviour of the users of digital scholarly journals. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 42:1345–1365. (Cited on page 83.)
O'Reilly, T. (2007). What is Web 2.0: Design patterns and business models for the next generation of software. MPRA Paper 4578, University Library of Munich, Germany. (Cited on page 7.)
Ottosson, P.-G. (2005). EAC and the development of national and European gateways to archives. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 3(2/3):261–274. (Cited on page 79.)
Pearce-Moses, R. (2005). A glossary of archival and records terminology. Retrieved 2010/05/28 from http://www.archivists.org/glossary/. (Cited on pages 1, 2, 25, and 57.)
Peters, T. (1993). The history and development of transaction log analysis. *Library Hi Tech*, 42(11):41–66. (Cited on pages 35, 112, 113, and 114.)
Pharo, N. (2008). The effect of granularity and order in XML element retrieval. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 44:1732–1740. (Cited on pages 3 and 14.)
Pitti, D. V. (1997). Encoded Archival Description: The development of an encoding standard for archival finding aids. *American Archivist*, 60(3):268–283. (Cited on pages 2, 10, and 77.)
Pitti, D. V. (1999). Encoded Archival Description: An introduction and overview. *D-Lib Magazine*, 5(11). (Cited on pages 11, 22, 36, 63, 65, 67, 69, and 74.)
Ponte, J. M. and Croft, W. B. (1998). A language modeling approach to information retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 21st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '98, pages 275–281, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on pages 23, 44, and 75.)
Prom, C. (2002). The EAD Cookbook: A Survey and Usability Study. *American Archivist*, 65(2):257–275. (Cited on page 19.)
Prom, C. J. (2004). User interactions with electronic finding aids in a controlled setting. *American Archivist*, 67(2):234–268. (Cited on page 82.)
Prom, C. J. (2011). Using web analytics to improve online access to archival resources. *American Archivist*, 74(1):158–184. (Cited on pages 4, 86, and 114.)
Prom, C. J., Rishel, C. A., Schwartz, S. W., and Fox, K. J. (2007). A unified platform for archival description and access. In *JCDL '07*, pages 157–166, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 18.)
Ribeiro, F. (1996). Subject Indexing and Authority Control in Archives: The Need for Subject Indexing in Archives and for an Indexing Policy Using Controlled Language. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 17(1):27–54. (Cited on page 36.)
Rich, E. (1979). User modeling via stereotypes. *Cognitive Science*, 3(4):329–354. (Cited on page 114.)
Robertson, S. E. (2008). On the history of evaluation in IR. *J. Inf. Sci.*, 34(4):439–456. (Cited on pages 33 and 36.)

Robertson, S. E. and Hancock-Beaulieu, M. M. (1992). On the evaluation of IR systems. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 28(4):457–466. (Cited on pages 33, 34, 111, 112, and 113.)

Robertson, S. E., Walker, S., Jones, S., Hancock-Beaulieu, M., and Gatford, M. (1994). Okapi at TREC-3. In *TREC*, pages 109–126. (Cited on page 44.)

Rose, D. E. (2006). Reconciling information-seeking behavior with search user interfaces for the Web. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(6):797–799. (Cited on page 116.)

Ruth, J. E. (1997). Encoded Archival Description: A structural overview. *American Archivist*, 60(3):310–329. (Cited on pages 11, 16, and 65.)

Samouelian, M. (2009). Embracing Web 2.0: Archives and the Newest Generation of Web Applications. *American Archivist*, 72(1):42–71. (Cited on page 7.)

Saracevic, T. (1975). Relevance: a review of and a framework for the thinking on the notion in information science. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 26(6):321–343. (Cited on pages 114 and 131.)

Saving, T. R. (1970). Concentration ratios and the degree of monopoly. *International Economic Review*, 11(1):139–46. (Cited on page 69.)

Savoy, J. (2003). Report on CLEF 2002 experiments: Combining multiple sources of evidence. In *Advances in Cross-Language Information Retrieval*, volume 2785 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 66–90. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. (Cited on page 49.)

Schellenberg, T. R. (1965). *The management of archives*. Columbia University Press, New York,. (Cited on page 68.)

Schwartz, S. W., Prom, C. J., Rishel, C. A., and Fox, K. J. (2007). Archon: A unified information storage and retrieval system for lone archivists, special collections librarians and curators. *Canadian Journal of Library and Information Practice and Research*, 2(2). (Cited on page 18.)

Scott, P. J. (1966). The record group concept: A case for abandonment. *American Archivist*, 29(4):493–504. (Cited on page 78.)

Sévigny, M. and Clavaud, F. (2003). Pleade: EAD for the Web. *DigitCULT.Info*, 6:16–18. (Cited on page 18.)

Shaw, W. M., Wood, J. B., Wood, R. E., and Tibbo, H. R. (1991). The cystic fibrosis database: content and research opportunities. *Library and Information Science Research*, 13:347–366. (Cited on page 36.)

Sigurbjörnsson, B. (2006). *Focused Information Access Using XML Element Retrieval*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Amsterdam. (Cited on page 3.)

Skov, M. and Ingwersen, P. (2008). Exploring information seeking behaviour in a digital museum context. In *Proceedings of the second international symposium on Information interaction in context*, IIiX '08, pages 110–115, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 85.)

Spärck Jones, K. (1981). The cranfield tests. In Spärck Jones, K., editor, *Information Retrieval Experiment*, pages 256–284. Butterworth. (Cited on pages 81, 111, and 113.)

Spärck Jones, K. and van Rijsbergen, C. J. (1976). Information retrieval test collections. *J. of Documentation*, 32(1):59–75. (Cited on pages 36 and 130.)

Stibbe, H. L. P. (1992). Implementing the concept of fonds: Primary access point, multilevel description and authority control. *Archivaria*, 34(1):109–137. (Cited on page 60.)

Szary, R. V. (2005). Encoded Archival Context (EAC) and archival description : Rationale and background. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 3(2/3):217–227. (Cited on page 79.)

Tague-Sutcliffe, J. (1992). The pragmatics of information retrieval experimentation, revisited. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 28:467–490. (Cited on page 34.)

Talja, S. and Maula, H. (2003). Reasons for the use and non-use of electronic journals and databases - A domain analytic study in four scholarly disciplines. *Journal of Documentation*, 59(6):673–691. (Cited on page 115.)

Thomassen, T. (2001). A first introduction to archival science. *Archival Science*, 1(4):373–385. (Cited on pages 30 and 58.)

Tibbo, H. R. (2003). Primarily History in America: How U. S. historians search for primary materials at the dawn of the digital age: Users and archival research. *American Archivist*, 66(1):9–50. (Cited on page 86.)

Tibbo, H. R. and Meho, L. I. (2001). Finding finding aids on the World Wide Web. *American Archivist*, 64(1):61–77. (Cited on page 37.)

Tolle, J. E. (1983). Transaction log analysis online catalogs. In *Proceedings of the 6th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '83, pages 147–160, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 113.)

Trotman, A., Geva, S., and Kamps, J. (2007). Report on the SIGIR 2007 workshop on focused retrieval. *SIGIR Forum*, 41(2):97–103. (Cited on pages 12 and 15.)

Trotman, A. and Sigurbjörnsson, B. (2004). Narrowed Extended XPath I (NEXI). In *INEX*, pages 16–40. Springer. (Cited on page 24.)

Tsikrika, T. (2009). Aggregation-based structured text retrieval. In *Encyclopedia of Database Systems*, pages 63–71. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. (Cited on page 16.)

Vakkari, P., Pennanen, M., and Serola, S. (2003). Changes of search terms and tactics while writing a research proposal: A longitudinal case study. *Information Processing & Management*, 39(3):445 – 463. (Cited on page 85.)

Vitali, S. (2005). What are the boundaries of archival context? : The SIASFI Project and the Online Guide to the Florence State Archives, Italy. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 3(2/3):243–260. (Cited on page 79.)

Voorhees, E. M. and Harman, D. K. (2005). *TREC: Experiment and Evaluation in Information Retrieval*. Digital Libraries and Electronic Publishing. MIT Press. (Cited on page 36.)

Westbrook, B. D., Mandell, L., Shepherd, K., Stevens, B., and Varghese, J. (2007). The Archivists' Toolkit: Another Step Toward Streamlined Archival Processing. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 4(1):229–253. (Cited on page 19.)

White, R. W. and Drucker, S. M. (2007). Investigating behavioral variability in Web search. In *Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '07, pages 21–30, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 84.)

White, R. W., Dumais, S., and Teevan, J. (2008). How medical expertise influences Web search interaction. In *Proceedings of the 31st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '08, pages 791–792, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 15.)

White, R. W. and Dumais, S. T. (2009). Characterizing and predicting search engine switching behavior. In *Proceeding of the 18th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management*, CIKM '09, pages 87–96, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page

84.)
White, R. W. and Morris, D. (2007). Investigating the querying and browsing behavior of advanced search engine users. In *Proceedings of the 30th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '07, pages 255–262, New York, NY, USA. ACM. (Cited on page 35.)
Wilbur, W. J. (1998). A comparison of group and individual performance among subject experts and untrained workers at the document retrieval task. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci.*, 49:517–529. (Cited on page 115.)
Wilkinson, R. (1994). Effective retrieval of structured documents. In *Proceedings of the 17th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '94, pages 311–317, New York, NY, USA. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (Cited on page 12.)
Wilson, M. L., schraefel, M. C., and White, R. W. (2009). Evaluating advanced search interfaces using established information-seeking models. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 60:1407–1422. (Cited on page 116.)
Wilson, T. D. (1999). Models in information behaviour research. *Journal of Documentation*, 55(3):249–270. (Cited on page 81.)
Wirth, N. (1977). What can we do about the unnecessary diversity of notation for syntactic definitions? *Commun. ACM*, 20:822–823. (Cited on page 10.)
Wolfram, D. (2008). Search characteristics in different types of Web-based IR environments: Are they the same? *Information Processing & Management*, 44(3):1279 – 1292. (Cited on page 84.)
Yaco, S. (2008). It's Complicated: Barriers to EAD Implementation. *American Archivist*, 71(2):456–475. (Cited on page 18.)
Yakel, E. (2004). Encoded Archival Description: Are finding aids boundary spanners or barriers for users? *Journal of Archival Organization*, 2(1):63–77. (Cited on pages 15, 33, and 112.)
Yakel, E. and Kim, J. (2005). Adoption and diffusion of Encoded Archival Description. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 56:1427–1437. (Cited on page 18.)
Yakel, E., Shaw, S., and Reynolds, P. (2007). Creating the next generation of archival finding aids. *D-Lib Magazine*, 13(5/6). (Cited on page 7.)
Yakel, E. and Torres, D. A. (2003). AI: Archival intelligence and user expertise. *American Archivist*, 66(1):51–78. (Cited on pages 60, 112, 114, 122, 126, and 127.)
Yuan, X. and Belkin, N. J. (2010a). Evaluating an integrated system supporting multiple information-seeking strategies. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 61:1987–2010. (Cited on page 116.)
Yuan, X. and Belkin, N. J. (2010b). Investigating information retrieval support techniques for different information-seeking strategies. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 61:1543–1563. (Cited on page 116.)
Zach, L. (2005). When is "enough" enough? Modeling the information-seeking and stopping behavior of senior arts administrators: Research articles. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 56:23–35. (Cited on page 85.)
Zhou, X. (2007). Examining search functions of EAD finding aids Web sites. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 4(3):99–118. (Cited on page 18.)
Zipf, G. K. (1949). *Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort*. Addison-Wesley (Reading MA). (Cited on pages 12 and 83.)