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Abstract The decay of the primordial isotopes $^{238}$U, $^{235}$U, $^{232}$Th, and $^{40}$K has contributed to the terrestrial heat budget throughout the Earth's history. Hence, the individual abundance of those isotopes are key parameters in reconstructing contemporary Earth models. The geoneutrinos produced by the radioactive decays of uranium and thorium have been observed with the Kamioka Liquid-Scintillator Antineutrino Detector (KamLAND). Those measurements have been improved with more than 18-year observation time, and improvement in detector background levels mainly with an 8-year nearly reactor-free period, which now permit spectroscopy with geoneutrinos. Our results yield the first constraint on both uranium and thorium heat contributions. The KamLAND result is consistent with geochemical estimations based on elemental abundances of chondritic meteorites and mantle peridotites. The High-Q model is disfavored at 99.76% C.L. and a fully radiogenic model is excluded at 5.2 $\sigma$ assuming a homogeneous heat producing element distribution in the mantle.

Plain Language Summary The energy to drive the Earth's engine comes from two different sources: primordial and radiogenic. Primordial energy comes from the added heat by collisions of accreting material and less so by the energy accompanying the sinking of metal to form the core. The radioactive decays of heat producing elements (i.e., potassium, thorium, and uranium) also generate energy and some of these decaying elements produce antineutrinos (geoneutrinos). Geoneutrino measurements provide the Earth's fuel gauge for its radiogenic power supply and insights into the planet's cooling history. The measurement accuracy of the KamLAND experiment has been improved by an 18-year long-term observation and a reduction of the significant background generated by commercial reactors. Consequently, modern geoneutrino measurements have entered an era of distinct spectroscopic contributions coming from uranium and thorium. The KamLAND
result is consistent with compositional models for the bulk silicate Earth (the crust plus the mantle) predicting low to medium radiogenic heat (10–20 TW (10^{12} W)) and disfavor high concentration models (30 TW). This constraint sets the best limit on the permissible radiogenic energy budget in the Earth. Geoneutrino observations now begin to make significant contributions to the understanding of fundamental driving forces powering the Earth dynamic behavior.

1. Introduction

The heat flow inside the Earth’s interior is central to our understanding of geophysical processes. Radiogenic heat from the decay of 238U, 235U, 232Th, and 40K provides a significant fraction of the Earth’s total heat budget. Indirect estimates of the radiogenic heat fraction from geophysics or geochemistry have large systematic uncertainties. Instead, the measurements of electron antineutrinos (νe) produced in beta decays in the decay process of these elements provide a powerful tool for direct investigation. Due to the weakly interacting nature of the neutrino, these neutrinos from radiogenic decay, called “geoneutrinos” represent a unique probe to directly measure the fraction of radiogenic heat in the Earth’s mantle and therefore offer an insight into the convection in this region. New insight into the mantle radiogenic heat opens up a new window into further understanding of mantle convection, plate tectonics, and the geodynamo.

The first step in making neutrino geophysics a reality was taken by the Kamioka Liquid-Scintillator Antineutrino Detector (KamLAND) Collaboration reporting on the initial detection of electron antineutrinos produced by decays within the Earth (Araki et al., 2005). Since then, the Borexino experiment at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso confirmed the detection of geoneutrinos (Bellini et al., 2010), by providing a complementary geological measurement in Italy to that of KamLAND in Japan. The measurements from these two experiments have continued to improve, and in 2011 KamLAND presented the first radiogenic heat estimation from uranium and thorium based on the observed νe flux (Gando et al., 2011).

KamLAND was originally designed to measure the νe’s with energies of a few MeV from 56 commercial nuclear reactors, at a mean weighted distance of 180 km. The deficit in the number of measured electron antineutrinos demonstrated that reactor νe oscillate, disappearing (becoming muon and tau neutrinos which are not detected at these energies) and reappearing over three cycles. This measurement confirmed the evidence for the same oscillation mechanism proposed to explain the long-standing deficit in electron neutrinos from the sum (as opposed to electron antineutrinos from power reactors). However, the same high reactor νe flux that was necessary for the discovery of νe oscillation, also creates the largest background and source of uncertainty for the geoneutrino measurement. This situation changed drastically after the Fukushima-I reactor accident in March 2011, and subsequent long-term shutdown of Japanese nuclear reactors. Despite being the result of a great tragedy, this situation provided an opportunity to measure the geoneutrino flux more precisely. In particular, we are able to perform geoneutrino spectroscopy, separating the uranium and thorium contributions, thus probing the abundance of the major heat producing elements. In the following sections, we outline our Earth composition model, provide the details of the analysis and discuss the implications for these results, including new constraints on the radiogenic heat fraction in the mantle.

2. Earth Composition Model and Geoneutrino Flux Prediction

In order to interpret the KamLAND data, a prediction of the geoneutrino flux is needed. This in fact requires a model of the location and abundance of the isotopes of interest: 238U, 232Th, and 40K. In general, these models use a shell structure established by analyses of seismic wave propagation, a bulk chemical composition estimated by compositional analyses of the primitive meteorites which are the remnants of the nebula that formed our solar system, and chemical analysis of rock samples. One of the leading models is the Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) model that provides estimates for the elemental abundances of refractory lithophile elements such as uranium and thorium, based on the studies of measured abundances of CI carbonaceous chondrites and mantle peridotites. The estimate of volatile elements such as potassium have a relatively large uncertainty in this model since they became depleted during Earth’s accretion. The BSE model gives average composition predictions for the primary heat-producing elements 238U (T_{1/2} = 4.47 Ga), 235U (T_{1/2} = 0.71 Ga), 232Th (T_{1/2} = 14.0 Ga), and 40K (T_{1/2} = 1.28 Ga). The BSE heat production estimates are 8 TW from the 238U decay series, 0.3 TW from the 235U decay series, 8 TW from the 232Th decay series, and 4 TW from 40K (Arevalo et al., 2009; Lyubetskaya
& Korenaga, 2007; McDonough & Sun, 1995). This present-day radiogenic heat is nearly half of the Earth's total heat flow (47 ± 2 TW) (Davies & Davies, 2010).

The present work is based on the KamLAND data and a simple BSE-inspired reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007) with minor updates described below to reconstruct the radiogenic element distribution. The crustal composition model used in Enomoto et al. (2007) has been updated by changing the U and Th concentrations in the upper continental crust by −3.6% and −1.9%, respectively, and those in the middle continental crust by −19% and +6.6%, respectively (Rudnick & Gao, 2014). The neutrino flux from those reservoirs has been scaled accordingly.

Due to their high permeability in matter, geoneutrinos provide unique and direct information of the Earth's interior. However, given the terrestrial propagation distance the phenomenon of $\bar{\nu}_e$ oscillation must be included. Neutrino oscillation depends on the $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy and distance traveled. Because of the dispersed $\bar{\nu}_e$ source position, we use an average "survival" probability $P_{\alpha} = 0.554 \pm 0.012$ obtained from the latest neutrino oscillation data Gando et al. (2013).

3. Geoneutrino Detection

KamLAND is located in the Kamioka mine under Mount Ikenoyama at a depth of ~2700 m water-equivalent. The rock overburden effectively shields against cosmic-ray induced atmospheric muons. The central part of the detector housed in a 18-m-diameter stainless steel spherical tank is sensitive to neutrino interactions and consists of 1 kton of ultra-pure liquid scintillator (LS) contained in a 1.3-m-diameter spherical balloon made of 135-μm-thick nylon-based transparent film, which is surrounded by transparent buffer oil (BO). LS is basically a mixture of long chain and aromatic ring hydrocarbons with an H:C proportion of ~2 where hydrogen is the target for $\bar{\nu}_e$ detection. The scintillation photons are viewed through BO by an array of 1325 fast 17-inch-diameter photomultiplier tubes (PMTs), and 554 slow 20-inch PMTs bolted on the inner surface of the stainless steel tank, providing 34% solid-angle coverage in total. This inner detector (ID) is surrounded by a 3.2 kton water-Cherenkov outer detector (OD) that serves as a tag for cosmic-ray muons.

The $\bar{\nu}_e$’s are detected by the inverse beta decay reaction, $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$. The detector is sensitive to a small fraction of $\bar{\nu}_e$’s from the decay chains of $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th above the threshold, and insensitive to $^{40}$K decays due to their lower energies. The delayed coincidence of the correlated events from the prompt $e^+$ and the delayed neutron capture by a proton with the 2.2 MeV $\gamma$-ray emission which takes place ~200 μs later than the prompt signal is a powerful tool to reduce backgrounds. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ event-selection is optimized to minimize background effects. Its criteria, the detection efficiencies, and the systematic uncertainties are the same as in the previous study (Gando et al., 2011, 2013). We have been observing $\bar{\nu}_e$’s with KamLAND since 2002. The KamLAND LS was purified in 2007 and 2008, and a $\beta\beta$-decay source was deployed from 2011 to 2015 to search for neutrinoless $\beta\beta$-decay (KamLAND-Zen 400) Gando et al. (2016). The $\beta\beta$-decay source consists of 13 tons of Xe-loaded liquid scintillator (Xe-LS), contained in a 3.08-m-diameter inner balloon (IB) at the center of the detector. In 2018, the KamLAND-Zen experiment was upgraded to KamLAND-Zen 800 by doubling the amount of xenon and installing a larger inner balloon of radius 1.9 m (Gando, 2020; Gando et al., 2021).

The data collected between 9 March 2002 and 31 December 2020, represents a total live-time of 5227 days. The data set is divided into three periods as shown in Figure 1. Period 1 (1486 days live-time) refers to data recorded before a LS purification campaign that started in May 2007 and continued into 2009. Period 2 (1151 days live-time) refers to data taken during and after the LS purification campaign, and Period 3 (2590 days live-time) denotes the data taken after installing the IB. The number of target protons in the spherical fiducial volume of radius 6.0 m is estimated to be $(5.98 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{31}$, resulting in a total exposure of $(6.39 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{32}$ target-proton-years. Data taken during the LS purification activities exhibited increased PMT noise and were excluded from the data set. During the $\beta\beta$-decay source deployment, that is, from August 2011 to December 2015 and from May 2018 to present, the $\bar{\nu}_e$ analysis volume is restricted to a volume outside the IB to avoid backgrounds from the IB material.

The background due to reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ has the largest impact on the geoneutrino measurement because of the shared energy range. Since it is the by-product of the generation of electricity from nuclear reactors, its flux is outside of the experiment’s control. We work with the power companies to calculate the reactor neutrino flux, spectrum.
and time variation from instantaneous thermal power and records of burnup and refueling for all commercial reactors in Japan. We calculate the contributions from Korean and other regional reactors based on electric power records reported by the Korean power companies and IAEA (IAEA, 2021), assuming a 10% error on the $\nu_e$ flux.

A key input is the $\nu_e$ spectral shape per isotope fission. Recent improvements in the fission models introduce a $\sim$3% upward shift (Huber, 2011; Mueller et al., 2011) relative to previous calculations (Hahn et al., 1989; Schreckenbach et al., 1985), leading to a predicted $\nu_e$ flux somewhat higher than that measured in previously reported short-baseline experiments operating within 1 km of reactors. In addition, recent short-baseline experiments see an excess of events in the region of 4–6 MeV by $\sim$10%, indicating the need to revise the reactor $\nu_e$.

Figure 1. Observed event profiles at KamLAND with prompt energies between 0.9 and 2.6 MeV. (a) Time variation of observed and expected event rates. The colored segments of the reactor $\nu_e$ + other BG are binned as the expected background rate. The vertical bands correspond to data periods that are not used in this analysis due to liquid scintillator purification activities in 2007 and 2008, KamLAND-Zen installation work in 2011, 2016, and 2018, and OD refurbishment work in 2016 (b, c, and d) Prompt energy spectrum for Periods 1, 2, and 3. (e) Comparison of observed rate versus expected background rate. The data are integrated within the each colored segments in (a). The best fit value of the geoneutrino contribution from the whole data set analysis is shown as a black dashed line together with the $\pm 2\sigma$ C. L. (shaded region). (f) Energy-dependent selection efficiency averaged over the whole data set. (g) Background-subtracted observed energy spectrum and the best-fit $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th geo $\nu_e$. 
modeling (Adey et al., 2019). This is an active area of study; however, the origins of these discrepancies are still unknown.

In order to minimize systematic uncertainties from reactor modeling, we use the $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum constructed from the $e^+$ energy spectrum measured by the Daya Bay experiment to normalize the prediction (An et al., 2017). They provide a database containing a set of energy spectra in units of $\bar{\nu}_e$ per total fission, and the corresponding covariance matrix extracted from the unfolding method. The relative fission yield depends on the reactor operation parameters, so we apply a small correction to the spectrum of each reactor,

$$S_{\text{react.}} = S_{DB} + \sum_i \left( a_i^{\text{react.}} - a_i^{DB} \right) S_i, \quad (1)$$

where $S_{DB}$ is the $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum in Daya Bay, $\alpha_i$ and $S_i$ are the fractional fission rate and the $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum of isotope $i$ from the models (Huber, 2011; Mueller et al., 2011). The second term for each reactor is typically small. The contributions to $S_{DB}$ from the non-equilibrium effect and spent nuclear fuel for Daya Bay reactors, which are not appropriate for other reactors, are subtracted based on the estimate provided in An et al. (2017). Instead, we added the evaluated contributions from the long-lived, non-equilibrium fission products $^{90}\text{Sr}$, $^{106}\text{Ru}$, $^{144}\text{Ce}$, $^{97}\text{Zr}$, $^{132}\text{I}$, and $^{90}\text{Y}$ (Kopeikin et al., 2001), based on the history of fission rates for each isotope. The estimated contribution to the total $\bar{\nu}_e$ events is only $(0.7 \pm 0.3)\%$.

Taking into account the effect of neutrino oscillation, the expected number of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ events in the geoneutrino region (defined as $0.9 \text{ MeV} < E_\nu < 2.6 \text{ MeV}$) is $608^{+111}_{-13}$. The prompt event energy, $E_{\nu}^p$, including the positron's kinetic energy and its annihilation energy, is related to the incident antineutrino energy, $E_{\nu}^{-}$, by $E_{\nu} = E_{\nu}^{-} - 0.8 \text{ MeV}$. The relative contribution of long-lived products is $2.4 \pm 1.2\%$. Non-neutrino backgrounds are dominated by radio-impurity in the detector components. The $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ reaction triggered by $\alpha$-decay of $^{210}\text{Po}$ in the LS is a background, which was significantly reduced by two LS purification campaigns. The $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ background is estimated with satisfactory precision, as discussed in previous works (Abe et al., 2008; Araki et al., 2005; Gando et al., 2011, 2013; Harissopulos et al., 2005; McKee et al., 2008). A recent publication reports newly measured $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ cross section for the 2nd excited state (6.13 MeV $\gamma$) (Febbraro et al., 2020); however, this data is not used because of clear disagreement with the event rate of $^{210}\text{Po}$ $^{13}\text{C}$ source calibration data in KamLAND (McKee et al., 2008). Including minor contributions from accidental coincidences originating from radio-impurity in the LS, cosmic-ray-muon-induced radioactive isotopes, fast neutrons, and atmospheric neutrinos, the total number of background events in the geoneutrino region is estimated to be $923^{+35}_{-35}$.

After applying all the selection cuts, 1178 candidates remain in the geoneutrino region. By interpreting the excess over the expected backgrounds as the geoneutrino signal, we obtain an estimate of $255 \pm 49$ geoneutrinos without energy and time information. We perform geoneutrino spectroscopy to estimate the uranium and thorium individual contributions by the means of an unbinned maximum-likelihood analysis based on the event rate, energy, and time information, and simultaneous fits of geoneutrinos and reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$’s including the effect of neutrino oscillation. Furthermore, the analysis employs the constraint on the oscillation parameters from solar (Abdurashitov et al., 2009; Aharmim et al., 2013; Bellini et al., 2011; Cleveland et al., 1998; Hosaka et al., 2006), accelerator (Abe et al., 2011; Adamson et al., 2011), and reactor (Abe et al., 2012; Ahn et al., 2012; An et al., 2013) neutrino data.

Figure 1a shows the event rate variation in the geoneutrino region; a constant contribution over the other time-varying components is interpreted as the geoneutrino signal. The geoneutrino contribution clearly appears in the correlation plot of the expected background rate versus the observed rate (Figure 1e). The ratios of the geoneutrino signal over background for the Periods 1, 2, and 3 are 0.10, 0.15, and 0.74, respectively, indicating the high geoneutrino detection sensitivity in Period 3. The event time information is highly advantageous for discriminating the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ background which varies with time, as well as the accidental and $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ backgrounds.

The event energy is used to discriminate backgrounds, and for determining the relative contributions of geoneutrinos from $^{235}\text{U}$ and $^{232}\text{Th}$, whose spectral shapes are characterized by the effective end-point of 3.272 and 2.254 MeV in neutrino energy, respectively. Figures 1b–1d show the best-fit energy spectrum for each data-taking period. The $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ that were prominent in Period 1 (Figure 1b) was drastically reduced by the purification campaigns in Period 2 (Figure 1c). As shown in Figure 1d, the energy spectrum for Period 3 shows a clear peak.
at ∼1.3 MeV consistent with the geoneutrino shape, and also shows the low background rate.

Figure 1g shows the live-time-weighted average of the background-subtracted observed energy spectrum overlaid with the best-fit geoneutrino spectrum accompanied with the energy dependent selection efficiency over the whole data set (Figure 1f). The best-fit geoneutrino signals are $174^{+41}_{-39}$ and $58^{+25}_{-24}$ events from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th, respectively. The null hypothesis of no $^{238}$U signals is disfavored at 3.3σ confidence level (C.L.). Based on the fit described above, we obtained $174^{+41}_{-39}$ geoneutrinos in total. Fixing a Th/U mass ratio of 3.9 predicted by compositional analysis of chondrites (McDonough & Sun, 1995), the total number of geoneutrinos is constrained to $183^{+29}_{-28}$. This corresponds to a $\nu_e$ flux of $3.4^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th at the Earth’s surface. The null hypothesis is disfavored at 8.5σ C.L. from the $\Delta \chi^2$-profile (Figure 2b).

The hypothesis that there may exist a natural nuclear fission reactor in the Earth’s interior, a so-called georeactor (Herndon, 2003), can be tested using KamLAND data. The energy spectra are fit by adding a constant flux from the hypothetical georeactor assuming a fission ratio of commercial power reactors with the averaged oscillation effect. The geoneutrinos from U and Th are allowed to vary for the spectrum fitting. The KamLAND data give a limit on the georeactor power of <1.26 TW at 90% C.L. The present result with the recent reactor-free data have improved the previous by KamLAND limit (Gando et al., 2013) by a factor of 2.5.

4. Radiogenic Heat Estimation and Discussion of the Earth Composition Models

The primary motivation of geoneutrino measurements is the estimation of radiogenic heat production in the Earth. Our previous studies concluded that heat from radioactive decay contributes to about half of the Earth’s total heat flow (Gando et al., 2011, 2013), indicating global secular cooling under the assumed simple Earth model. Thanks to the accumulation of the recent reactor-off data, we have gained a significant reduction in the geoneutrino flux uncertainties, permitting both better constraints on radioactive heat and also separation of heat producing elements.

The radiogenic heat can be estimated from the observed geoneutrino flux on the basis of the framework of the Earth model. As a first approximation, we assume homogeneous distributions of U and Th in each region of the shell structure. In the history of planetary differentiation, the highly incompatible elements, such as U, Th, and K, have been concentrated near the surface and depleted in deeper regions. The mantle is the region of primary interest. In the differentiation process of the crust and mantle, the repeated melting near the surface continuously extracts U and Th from the mantle material. On the other hand, subduction returns those surface materials enriched in heat-producing elements to the mantle. Hence, the compositional heterogeneity of the mantle depends on the spatial range of the global mantle convection. A representative mantle convection model for the Earth remains an open question, given observations and modeling studies (e.g., seismic tomography (Fukao & Obayashi, 2013), viscosity (Rudolph et al., 2015), and structure (Ballmer et al., 2017). In this work, we focus on the mantle with simple assumptions to constrain the model.

Figure 2. $\Delta \chi^2$-profiles of observed geoneutrino flux with mantle models. (a) C.L. contours and best fit point for $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th geoneutrino flux from KamLAND’s data (blue lines and point) with crustal prediction (brown ellipse), radiogenic heat from the mantle (three red lines and bands) and different mantle models (blue, green, and red as depicted in [c]). (b) Projection on the gray dashed lines rising to the right in (a) of mantle mass ratio Th/U = 3.9 for Middle-Q and High-Q models (blue line) and Th/U = 2.8 for Low-Q model (blue dash-dotted line) starting projection from the center of the crustal contribution. (c) Comparison of the measured data and the mantle models on each mantle mass ratio.
If we assume U and Th are absent in the core, the radiogenic heat from the mantle can be estimated from the observed geoneutrino flux (Φ) after subtracting the crustal contribution in an Earth model. Thus we obtain the total radiogenic heat from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th:

$$Q_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}} = Q_{U,Th}^{\text{crust}} + Q_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}}$$

(2)

$$Q_{U,Th}^{\text{crust}} = \frac{dQ_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}}}{d\Phi_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}}}$$

(3)

where $r_{U,Th} = dQ_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}}/d\Phi_{U,Th}^{\text{mantle}}$ is the ratio of the radiogenic heat to the geoneutrino flux determined by the spatial distributions of U and Th in the mantle. For the crustal contribution, we use the geological reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007), predicting that the radiogenic heat from the crust is $Q_{U}^{\text{crust}} = 3.4$ TW and $Q_{Th}^{\text{crust}} = 3.6$ TW. The uncertainties on the crustal geoneutrino flux from U and Th are assigned for the following calculations on the radiogenic heat. Uncertainties on U and Th abundances in the crust are available for the upper and middle continental crusts in (Rudnick & Gao, 2014), and for the lower continental crust in (Šrámek et al., 2016). The total uncertainty of the crustal geoneutrino flux is estimated to be 24% and 11% for U and Th, respectively, conservatively assuming full correlations between each crustal layer. In addition, the Th/U mass ratio in the continental crust, evaluated by the time-integrated Pb isotopic ratio (3.95$^{+0.19}_{-0.13}$) (Wipperfurth et al., 2018), is used to provide constraints on U and Th abundance in the following radiogenic heat calculations. Assuming the homogeneous distributions of U and Th in the mantle, the radiogenic heat is calculated to be $Q_{U} = 3.3^{+3.2}_{-0.8}$ TW and $Q_{Th} = 12.1^{+8.6}_{-3.5}$ TW, where the 1σ lower limits allow for unphysical solutions ($Q_{\text{mantle}} < 0$). Combining the U and Th contributions with their anti-correlated errors, we obtain a radiogenic heat of $Q_{U} + Q_{Th} = 15.4^{+8.3}_{-7.9}$ TW for the case with Th/U left free. If we fix the Th/U mass ratio at 3.9, the radiogenic heat estimates are improved to $Q_{U} = 5.1^{+4.4}_{-2.0}$ TW, $Q_{Th} = 5.9^{+2.7}_{-2.2}$ TW, and $Q_{U} + Q_{Th} = 10.6^{+5.7}_{-4.2}$ TW, suggesting some excess above the crustal contributions, that is, a positive mantle contribution. Using a geochemical study estimate of the $^{40}$K radiogenic heat to be $\sim 4$ TW (Arevalo et al., 2009), the Earth's total radiogenic heat is estimated to be $\sim 14.6$ TW using the reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007).

If the reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007) is replaced with a modern model (Wipperfurth et al., 2020), which predicts larger crustal contributions by 6% and 9% for $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th, respectively, the radiogenic heat estimates decreased to $Q_{U} = 4.3^{+4.1}_{-2.2}$ TW, $Q_{Th} = 4.8^{+2.8}_{-1.4}$ TW, and $Q_{U} + Q_{Th} = 9.1^{+4.0}_{-4.6}$ TW. Given such a variation of crustal contribution in the reference models, the discussion on the Earth's radiogenic heat based on geoneutrino measurements can strongly depend on the accuracy of crust representation, especially in the area close around the detector (<500 km). Our reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007) treats the local nonuniformity as the uncertainty to global modeling. A recent study reported that a stochastic modeling method of 3-D compositional distribution in the crust was developed and applied to the Japan arc for a better understanding of the local crustal contribution (Takeuchi et al., 2019). Insights from geological perspectives provide the alternative descriptions of the near field lithospheres surrounding the detector, which is providing more accurate models for interpreting the geoneutrino flux measurement (Sammon & McDonough, 2022).

There are three groups of competing estimates for the BSE composition, Low-Q, Middle-Q, and High-Q models, categorized in Šrámek et al. (2013, 2016). These models have different predictions of the radiogenic heat in the Earth, for example, Low-Q (10–15 TW), Middle-Q (17–22 TW), and High-Q models (>25 TW). The High-Q model (Turcotte & Schubert, 2002) is motivated by Earth's heat budget leading to realistic mantle convection, requiring high radiogenic abundances. The Middle-Q model (McDonough & Sun, 1995) uses compositional estimates based on the analyses of CI carbonaceous chondrites and terrestrial samples in consideration of elemental enrichment by planetary differentiation. The Low-Q model (Javoy et al., 2010) assumes a mantle composition similar to that of enstatite chondrites, resulting in low radiogenic abundances. In addition to the amount of the radiogenic heat, there has been a controversy regarding the distribution of the U and Th in the mantle. Two types of hypothesis are assumed with the aim of testing the models using the measured geoneutrino flux: the “homogeneous hypothesis” introducing U and Th uniformly throughout the mantle ($1/r_{U,Th} = 1.14 \times 10^5$), and the “sunken-layer hypothesis” putting all of the U and Th at the mantle-core interface ($1/r_{U,Th} = 8.5 \times 10^5$). The measured geoneutrino flux at KamLAND is compared with the expectations from the BSE compositional models through radiogenic heat.
Figure 2a shows the $\Delta \chi^2$-contours for the observed geoneutrino flux from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th along with the Mantle Radiogenic Heat panel. The red lines downward to the right on the Mantle Radiogenic Heat panel correspond to the selected mantle radiogenic heat from $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th with the homogeneous hypothesis, and the accompanying red band indicates the crustal uncertainty. The fully radiogenic model value on the Mantle Radiogenic Heat panel is obtained by subtracting the crust and $^{40}$K contributions from the surface heat flow. The color-filled bars represent the expected mantle radiogenic heat from the different mantle models, High-Q (red), Middle-Q (green), and Low-Q (blue), with the uncertainties of heat-producing element abundances (Šrámek et al., 2013) reinterpreted with our reference crust model (Enomoto, 2006). They are on the gray dashed lines rising to the right which represent the expected Th/U mass ratio in the mantle of 3.9 (High-Q and Middle-Q models) and 2.8 (Low-Q model) (Šrámek et al., 2013). The brown ellipse indicates the crustal uncertainties which are estimated using the uncertainties in $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th concentrations (Rudnick & Gao, 2014; Šrámek et al., 2016) with the constraint on the crustal Th/U mass ratio estimated by the time-integrated Pb isotopic ratio (3.9$^{+0.19}_{-0.13}$) (Wipperfurth et al., 2018). The center of the brown ellipse is equal to the predicted crustal geoneutrino contribution of our reference model (Enomoto, 2006). It is connected to the brown dashed line which describes Th/U ratio (3.9) in the crust estimated by the reference model (Enomoto et al., 2007).

Furthermore, Figure 2b shows the $\Delta \chi^2$-profiles of geoneutrino flux projected to the gray lines in Figure 2a corresponding to Mantle Th/U = 2.8 (for Low-Q model) and Th/U = 3.9 (for Middle-Q and High-Q models). Figure 2c illustrates the comparison of the measured data and the different mantle models. The KamLAND data is consistent with the expectations from the chondrite-based (Low-Q and Middle-Q) models, but indicates some incompatibility with the High-Q model. Assuming Gaussian errors for the crustal contribution and for the BSE abundances on the gray tilted line to the right of the Th/U mass ratio at 3.9, we find that the High-Q model's prediction is disfavored at 99.76% C.L. with the homogeneous hypothesis, and at 97.9% C.L. with the sunken-layer hypothesis.

The incompatibility between the High-Q model and the chondrite-based (Low-Q and Middle-Q) models favored by the KamLAND data may indicate the need to modify the conventionally parameterized convection model, as discussed in Korenaga (2006). In such thermal evolution models, the fraction of the global heat production from radioactive decays, the so-called “Urey ratio,” is an important parameter. The mantle contribution alone is referred to as the “convective Urey ratio” (Korenaga, 2008). Assuming extra mantle heat contributions of 3.0 TW from other heat producing elements ($^{40}$K and $^{235}$U) (Arevalo et al., 2009; Enomoto, 2006), we find that the convective Urey ratio is 0.13$^{+0.15}_{-0.06}$ with the homogeneous hypothesis. The fully radiogenic model (Urey ratio of 1) is disfavored at 5.2$\sigma$ with the homogeneous hypothesis, and at 4.0$\sigma$ even with the sunken-layer hypothesis, so the indication that there exists a substantial contribution from the Earth’s primordial heat supply in Gando et al. (2011) is strengthened. The present-day contributions from individual heat producing elements determine the past radiogenic heat through the history of the Earth, depending on their lifetimes. In future experiments, geoneutrino spectroscopy including $^{40}$K will provide a very important missing piece of information for geochemical and geophysical studies.

5. Summary

Based on the updated KamLAND data including the recent reactor-off period, we have employed geoneutrino spectroscopy to measure the U and Th geoneutrino contributions. We have demonstrated the capability to spectroscopically identify heat producing elements, and provide the best constraint to date on the radioactive heat contribution to the bulk Earth. The determination of the mantle radiogenic heat is a matter of primary interest for geoscience. For the case of existing detectors in crustal locations, the experimental uncertainty on the mantle geoneutrino flux is mainly caused by the statistical subtraction of the crustal contribution, depending on the geological model. In the future, if a detector in an oceanic location with small crustal contribution is realized, multi-site flux data will better determine the individual geoneutrino contributions from the crust and mantle. Although $^{40}$K is one of the primary heat producing elements, albeit minor, in the thermal history of the Earth, existing detectors are insensitive to $^{40}$K. New detection techniques need to be pursued to tighten neutrino constraints on the total geoneutrino heat production.
Data Availability Statement

Our numerical data on this paper's figures are available in a repository at Tohoku University "https://www.awa.tohoku.ac.jp/KamLAND/geonu2022/data-release.html." These include the estimated energy spectra for backgrounds and \( \Delta \nu \) candidate events, and \( \Delta \chi^2 \) map of geoneutrino flux from \(^{238}\text{U}\) and \(^{232}\text{Th}\).
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