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ABSTRACT
Naturally occurring oscillations in glucocorticoids induce a cyclic activation of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), a well-characterized ligand-activated transcription factor. These cycles of GR activation/deactivation result in rapid GR exchange at genomic response elements and GR recycling through the chaperone machinery, ultimately generating pulses of GR-mediated transcriptional activity of target genes. In a recent article we have discussed the implications of circadian and high-frequency (ultradian) glucocorticoid oscillations for the dynamic control of gene expression in hippocampal neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs) (Fitzsimons et al., Front. Neuroendocrinol., 2016). Interestingly, this oscillatory transcriptional activity is common to other transcription factors, many of which regulate key biological functions in NSPCs, such as NF-κB, p53, Wnt and Notch. Here, we discuss the oscillatory behavior of these transcription factors, their role in a biologically accurate target regulation and the potential importance for a dynamic control of transcription activity and gene expression in NSPCs.
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Introduction
The generation of oscillatory transcription factor activity can provide an additional way to encode and transmit information, contained in the amplitude and period of the oscillations, to accurately regulate gene expression. Indeed, oscillations in transcription factor concentration, intracellular localization and activity are considered key components of several signaling pathways. Oscillations in transcription factors: A dynamic way to control gene expression

Many studies aiming to understand transcription factor action assess target gene responses by a steady level of stimulation. However, steady inputs are infrequently observed under natural conditions and, at the cellular level, many signaling pathways have been optimized to result in a dynamic fluctuation in transcription factor activity. This suggests that a biologically accurate regulation of gene expression requires, or at least benefits from, an oscillatory mode of action in many cases. Oscillating signaling pathways and downstream transcription factors may present “circadian” lower-frequency oscillations over the day/night period and/or ‘ultradian’ higher-frequency oscillations in the order of hours. Furthermore, they frequently present a common architecture consisting of negative feedback loops that introduce time delays responsible for their oscillatory activity. Conceptually, this necessary time delay could be obtained by several mechanisms, such as: 1) the inclusion of a biological process that takes a minimum amount of time (e.g. transcription, translation, synthesis); 2) the inclusion of many such intermediate steps, with each step adding to the overall time delay; 3) the inclusion of a threshold concentration that must be reached before a molecule becomes biologically active, resulting in an on/off-like response; 4) the inclusion of a degradation/sequestration step, where the activity of a molecule is delayed by the formation of a saturated complex (Fig. 1). Often, these
Figure 1. (For figure legend, see page 3.)
mechanisms are combined within signaling pathways that induce oscillatory transcriptional activity.

A delayed negative feedback loop is defined as a negative feedback loop with a time delay due to the inclusion of intermediate processes between the product and the repressor. Delayed negative feedback loops are often required to induce oscillations in transcription factor activity. For example, in the circadian clock, longer delayed negative feedback loops are central for the reaction to regular external inputs such as light or feeding. The reaction to faster, more irregular signals, such as cellular stress, frequently requires faster (lower period) ultradian oscillations. These oscillations in activity are observed in well-characterized signaling pathways and downstream transcription factors such as NF-kB, p53, Wnt and Notch signaling and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR). In the following sections we will discuss how the oscillatory behaviors of these transcription factors influence gene expression in neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs).

The NF-kB pathway

The NF-kB pathway is composed of a group of transcription factors that bind to form homo- or hetero-dimers. Once formed, these protein complexes control several cellular functions such as the response to stress and the regulation of growth, cell cycle, survival, apoptosis and differentiation in NSPCs. Oscillations in NF-kB were first observed in embryonic fibroblasts, this observation suggested that temporal control of NF-kB activation is coordinated by the sequential degradation and synthesis of inhibitor kappa B (IkB) proteins.

More recently, oscillations in the relative nuclear/cytosolic concentration of NF-kB transcription factors have been observed in single cells in vivo, indicating this may be an additional regulatory mechanism to control NF-kB-dependent transcriptional activity. Importantly, the frequency and amplitude of these oscillations changed in a cell-type dependent fashion and differentially affected the dynamics of gene expression, indicating that NF-kB transcription factors may use changes in the frequency and amplitude of their oscillatory dynamics to regulate the transcription of target genes. Thus, the NF-kB pathway provides a well-characterized example of how oscillatory transcription factor activity may encode additional, biologically relevant, information for an accurate control of gene expression.

The p53 pathway

p53 and some of its family members, such as p73 and p63, regulate various functions in NSPCs. In particular, p63 and p73 cooperate to regulate p53 in adult hippocampal NSPCs and p53 negatively regulates proliferation and survival of adult NSPCs, controlling self-renewal and long-term maintenance of adult NSPCs.

Similar to NF-kB, the p53 pathway presents intrinsic oscillatory dynamics. The mechanism behind these oscillations may involve p53 and its negative regulator mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2) also known as E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase. Due to its ubiquitin-protein ligase activity MDM2 induces
poly-ubiquitination and degradation of p53, bringing p53 half-life from the order of hours in the absence of MDM2 to the order of seconds in its presence, and thereby generating an oscillatory, stress-specific response.20,21 Thus, this simple negative feedback loop provides a solid example of oscillatory transcription factor behavior induced by the inclusion of a saturated degradation step.8

The Wnt signaling pathway

The canonical Wnt signaling, or Wnt/β-catenin, pathway is a complex signal transduction system composed of several proteins. It is activated by the binding of Wnt ligands to receptors of the Frizzled family members and leads to cytosolic accumulation and further translocation of β-catenin to the nucleus, where it acts as a coactivator of downstream transcription factors of the TCF/LEF family, which in turn regulate the expression of responsive genes, such as Axin 2. While still in the cytosol, β-catenin is targeted by a multiprotein complex designed to specifically promote β-catenin degradation. This complex includes members of the Axin family (i.e. Axin 2) and the glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK-3β), which constitutively phosphorylates β-catenin in the absence of Wnt ligands, thereby targeting it for degradation. Due to its complexity, the extensive description of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway is beyond the scope of this review and we refer to previous reviews.22-24

The oscillatory activity of the Wnt signaling pathway was first identified in vertebrate somite formation during embryonic development. There, the Wnt signaling interacts with other oscillatory pathways, such as Notch, in the presomatic mesoderm to coordinate somite formation.25,26 The presence of Wnt-dependent oscillatory gene expression in several other developmental processes such as limb outgrowth and NSPCs maintenance, suggests that it plays crucial roles at different stages during embryonic development.27 Although Wnt oscillations have not been studied in great detail in (adult) NSPCs, Wnt signaling is known to regulate adult hippocampal neurogenesis, control Prospero-related homeobox 1 gene (Prox1) expression and mediate activation of NeuroD1 and retro-elements in adult NSPCs.28-30

The central negative feedback loop resulting in oscillations in Wnt activity seems to involve the Wnt ligand-activated low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP) receptor complex, Axin-2 and β-catenin.25 Axin-2 is crucial in this regulatory loop because it can bind both to β-catenin and to the Wnt ligand-activated LRP receptor complex. Binding to β-catenin provides a negative feedback step, as it promotes β-catenin degradation, but this binding is weak. Binding to the Wnt ligand-activated LRP receptor complex is much stronger and results in saturated degradation of Axin2. Thus, the Wnt/β-catenin pathway provides an interesting example of negative feedback loops and saturated degradation steps that can be incorporated as independent components into oscillatory signaling pathways involving transcription factors.8

The Notch signaling pathway

The Notch signaling pathway is perhaps the best characterized oscillatory pathway in NSPCs, it promotes cell proliferation and maintenance, favoring a non-differentiated cellular state in the developing and adult brain.31-33 Proteins of the Notch family are normally activated by cell-to-cell contact and act as transmembrane receptors for specific ligands expressed in neighboring cells, such as Delta-like 1 (Dll1). Upon activation, Notch proteins are proteolytically cleaved and release their Notch intracellular domain (NICD).34 NICD then translocates to the nucleus to form the CSL (or RBP-J in mice) transcriptional complex, which activates the transcription of responsive genes of the Hes/Hey family, such as Hes1. The extensive description of the canonical Notch signaling pathway is beyond the scope of this review and we refer to previous reviews.32,35

Levels of Hes1 oscillate in mouse embryonic NSPCs and progenitors, and this oscillatory expression promotes proliferation.7,36 On the contrary, sustained (non-oscillatory) Hes1 expression is associated with inhibition of NSPCs proliferation and neurogenesis in the developing central nervous system.37 Therefore, it has been suggested that oscillatory vs. sustained expression of Notch target genes may distinguish active and quiescent NSC pools.32

In particular, sustained Hes1 expression inhibits the expression of proneural genes, Notch ligands and cell cycle regulators, suggesting that Hes1 oscillations are of key importance for their concerted function in NSPCs, in which Hes1 oscillations coordinate self-renewal and differentiation.7,38 The mechanisms
regulating oscillations in Notch signaling are not fully understood but evidence indicates that Hes1 oscillations are regulated by negative feedback loops with delayed timing.39,40 Hes1 represses its own expression by direct binding to its own promoter, leading to a rapid downregulation of Hes1 mRNA and protein levels and upregulation of Dll1, thereby generating oscillations in Hes1 expression in NSPCs, which regulate their maintenance.7,41 Further studies have demonstrated that Hes1 is engaged in double negative feedback loops involving specific microRNAs as well.42,43 Interestingly, double negative feedback loops are common between transcription factors and microRNAs and have been frequently described in NSPCs.44,45 Specifically, in the case of Notch signaling in NSPCs, miR-9 inputs into the Hes1 ultradian oscillator system to introduce a second negative feedback loops that controls the emergence and timing of alternative cell states in NSPCs.42 Thus, the Notch pathway provides a relevant example demonstrating that different delayed negative feedback loops can be engaged in the generation of oscillatory behavior in transcription factor activity.

**Glucocorticoid receptor signaling**

Glucocorticoid receptor signaling has well-characterized effects on NSPCs. The most commonly reported observation is a marked inhibition of proliferation induced by activation of the GR by its natural or synthetic agonists.46-49 Further research has established that glucocorticoids regulate other cellular functions in NSPCs, such as survival, senescence, cell fate and differentiation.50-53 All in all, the available experimental evidence indicates that glucocorticoids regulate multiple cellular functions in NSPCs through the activation of the GR. For a more extensive discussion of this evidence we refer the reader to a recent review.54 As a central component of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal hormonal axis, signaling mediated by the ligand-activated transcription factor GR is regulated at multiple levels, including: 1) the hormone (ligand) synthesis level in the adrenals; 2) the hormone access, binding and activation of the GR; 3) the receptor translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus; 4) the transcription efficiency of target genes resulting from the interaction with transcriptional coregulators and other transcription factors and 5) the negative feedback regulation of releasing factors at the central hypothalamus and pituitary. A thorough description of GR signaling and its complex regulation is beyond the scope of this review and we refer to previous reviews.55

Glucocorticoid signaling involves circadian and ultradian oscillations that regulate multiple organismal and cellular functions to coordinate energy availability and stress responsivity.56 Ultradian and circadian CORT rhythms are intrinsically linked, as ultradian pulses are a necessary component of circadian oscillations. Ultradian oscillations in glucocorticoids have the highest amplitude around awakening, which then declines, effectively contributing to a phase response curve, characteristic of most circadian rhythms.57-59 Circadian and ultradian glucocorticoid oscillations have likely evolved to help adaptation to predictable changes in environmental factors (i.e., light cycles), while the stress response may have evolved to rapidly adapt to acute unpredictable changes in environmental factors (i.e., stressors).54

Several mechanisms may underlie the generation of oscillations in GR-mediated transcriptional activity. At the organism level, the glucocorticoid lipophilicity prevents it from being stored in membrane vesicles. Thereby, glucocorticoids need to be synthesized de novo in the adrenals, in response to ACTH stimulation. This crucial step introduces a built-in time-delay in the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal negative feedback loop, which then presents intrinsic oscillatory activity.54,59 Due to the presence of ultradian oscillation, all the tissues tested, including the hippocampus where adult NSPCs reside, are exposed to a pulsatile GR activation, which has considerable consequences for the activation of responsive genes.60-62 At the cellular level, other mechanisms take place that contribute to GR-dependent oscillatory activation of target genes: 1) NSPCs express specialized microtubule-associated proteins that tightly control GR cytosol-to-nucleus translocation.63 This translocation step possibly introduces another time-delay within GR-mediated transcription of target genes, that may favor oscillatory behavior; 2) Ultradian glucocorticoid oscillations induce cyclic GR-mediated transcriptional regulation, both in cultured cells and in animal models. This “responsive gene pulsing” is driven by rapid GR exchange at DNA response elements and by intranuclear GR recycling through the chaperone machinery, which promotes GR activation/
reactivation in response to the ultradian hormone release. This GR recycling introduces another built-in time-delay, which may favor oscillatory behavior, and serve pulsatile and constant hormone stimulations to induce unique, treatment-specific patterns of gene and regulatory element activation. 3) The GR is targeted by microRNAs such as miR-124 and miR-433, with the latter dampening GR signaling and impacting on circadian rhythms. In turn regulated by glucocorticoids through GR-binding elements in its promoter region, thereby generating a miR-124/GR negative feedback loop. Thus, the GR signaling pathway presents a well-characterized example of oscillatory transcriptional regulation in which several processes interact with each other, i.e., the inclusion of several negative feedback loops, many intermediate steps, a threshold concentration that must be reached before the GR biologically active (ligand affinity), and saturated sequestration/degradation steps. Together, this provides built-in time delays that characterize model oscillatory systems.

**Conclusion and future perspectives**

We have discussed first some molecular mechanisms involved in the oscillatory behavior of specific signaling pathways that control key cellular functions in NSPCs, and second the importance that such oscillations may have in terms of transcriptional activity and the dynamic control of gene expression. We have further extended our discussion by providing 5 examples of well-characterized transcription factors that display oscillatory activity. In most cases the importance of this oscillatory activity has been characterized and clear differences between sustained and oscillatory activity have been observed. These data suggest that oscillations in transcription factor activity in NSPCs, and their functional consequences, may be much more widespread than hitherto demonstrated. Indicatively, a recent study has demonstrated that 43% of all coding genes show transcriptional oscillations in mice. We propose that one of the reasons why a further characterization of transcription factor oscillations in NSPCs has not been fully achieved yet, may lie in the technical limitations associated with measuring and mimicking oscillatory activity in an appropriate manner, which require real-time imaging of individual cells and/or complex incubations with the adequate ligand, in the case of ligand-induced transcription factors such as the GR.

Studies of transcription factor action frequently measure gene responses after long-term stimulation or exogenous (over)expression. However, the mechanisms and examples we discuss here suggest that such treatments may not provide a complete and accurate view of their physiological activity. This concern may have relevance not only for studies assessing physiological transcription factor activity but also for the treatment of common human conditions such as chronic inflammatory diseases and neoplasias. In these cases, for example, patients are treated with high doses of synthetic GR ligands, ignoring the importance of dynamic oscillatory activity. Furthermore, chronic sustained treatment with the GR agonist prednisolone represses the circadian oscillation of clock gene expression in mouse and prenatal exposure to excess glucocorticoids induces depression-like behavior and impaired adult hippocampal neurogenesis in old mice, which correlates with the absence of circadian oscillations in hippocampal clock gene expression. As we discussed here, glucocorticoids regulate multiple functions in hippocampal NSPCs and adult neurogenesis. However, the effects of circadian and ultradian glucocorticoid oscillations on hippocampal NSPCs remains poorly characterized. Ongoing experiments in our lab are aimed to address this question experimentally.
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