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Search for massive colored scalars in four-jet final states in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV proton–proton collisions with the ATLAS detector
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Abstract A search for pair-produced scalar particles decaying to a four-jet final state is presented. The analysis is performed using an integrated luminosity of 34 pb$^{-1}$ recorded by the ATLAS detector in 2010. No deviation from the Standard Model is observed. For a scalar mass of 100 GeV (190 GeV) the limit on the scalar gluon pair production cross section at 95% confidence level is 1 nb (0.28 nb). When these results are interpreted as mass limits, scalar-gluons (hyperpions) with masses of 100 to 185 GeV (100 to 155 GeV) are excluded at 95% confidence level with the exception of a mass window of width about 5 GeV (15 GeV) around 140 GeV.

At hadron colliders, the search for new phenomena in fully hadronic final states without missing transverse energy or leptons is experimentally challenging because of the large multijet background. Recent studies used the dijet mass spectrum and the dijet angular distribution observed at the LHC [1–3] to search for physics beyond the Standard Model. Some extensions of the Standard Model predict new phenomena in events with higher jet multiplicity. In the six-jet final state CDF [4] and CMS [5] have excluded R-parity violating gluinos, the supersymmetric partners of the gluons, with masses from 200 GeV to 280 GeV using a model-independent search. This letter describes a search for pair-produced scalar particles decaying to two jets, leading to a four-jet final state with two jet–jet resonances and no missing transverse energy.

Two scenarios serve as a guideline and motivation for the analysis: the extension of the Standard Model with a new gauge group called “hypercolor” as described in [6–8], and extended supersymmetric models [9–11].

In the hypercolor model, new colored fermions are bound into mesons of hypercolor: a color octet vector particle, the coloron, and a color octet pseudoscalar, the hyperpion which decays to two gluons.

In supersymmetric models with Dirac gluinos, a scalar gluon (sgluon) extends the QCD sector, which is made up of the gluon/gluino super-multiplet and an additional gluino/sgluon super-multiplet. As the sgluon has positive R-parity [12], light sgluons, i.e. sgluons with masses of the order of 100 GeV, are expected to decay to two gluons with a branching ratio close to 1. Single production of sgluons, loop-induced via supersymmetric particles, is also possible, but these cross sections are several orders of magnitude smaller than those of the studies in Ref. [3]; therefore the previously obtained limit of 1.92 TeV on the color-octet mass does not apply to the models studied in this letter. The pair production cross section does not depend, at leading order, on supersymmetric parameters except the sgluon mass.

In the following, the sgluon pair production will be used as the benchmark process for the production of two heavy objects of equal mass, each decaying into two jets.

ATLAS [13] is a multipurpose detector with nearly $4\pi$ coverage in solid angle. The inner detector, consisting of silicon pixel and microstrip detectors as well as a transition radiation tracker, is immersed in a 2 T solenoidal magnetic field. The finely-segmented, hermetic calorimeter covers $|\eta| < 4.9^1$ and provides three-dimensional reconstruction of particle showers. The electromagnetic calorimeter is a lead liquid-argon sampling calorimeter. In the central region it is surrounded by a hadronic calorimeter made of iron and scintillating tiles. For the region $|\eta| > 1.7$ the sampling

---

1 ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z-axis along the beam pipe. The x-axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates ($r, \phi$) are used in the transverse plane, $\phi$ being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle $\theta$ as $\eta = -\ln\tan(\theta/2)$.
calorimeters consist of copper or tungsten and liquid argon. The calorimeters are surrounded by the muon spectrometer which consists of three large superconducting toroids, a system of precision tracking chambers, and detectors for triggering.

ATLAS uses a three-level trigger system. The first level trigger is implemented in hardware, the other two trigger levels are implemented in software. In the analysis particular emphasis was placed on being sensitive to the low mass region in order to exploit the low trigger thresholds of the data recorded in 2010. Therefore, a first level trigger requiring at least four jets with a transverse momentum \( p_T \) of at least 5 GeV was used. The trigger efficiency increases as a function of the jet \( p_T \) to at least 99% [14] for calibrated jets of \( p_T > 55 \) GeV. An integrated luminosity of 34 pb\(^{-1}\) has been recorded with this trigger, taking into account the prescaling of the trigger at the end of 2010.

The Standard Model (SM) multijet production of four jets with \( p_T > 60 \) GeV and \(|\eta| < 2.8\) has a cross section of approximately 5 nb [15]. Compared to multijet production, even without considering the branching ratios to obtain a final state with four hard jets, other Standard Model backgrounds have much smaller cross sections: \( WW \) with a cross section of 41 pb [16], \( t\bar{t} \) with a cross section of 171 pb [17] and \( W \) production associated with two jets (\( p_T > 20 \) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.8\)) with a cross section of 200 pb [18].

Monte Carlo (MC) samples were used to model the SM multijet background. ALPGEN [19] SM multijet samples were generated with the MLM matching scheme [20], interfaaced to HERWIG [21] for parton shower and fragmentation and to JIMMY [22] for the simulation of the underlying event. As a cross check, PYTHIA [23] SM dijet samples were generated with the MRST LO [24] solution and to JIMMY for the simulation of the underlying process to PYTHIA. Signal samples of 10k events each for the sgluon pair production differential cross section of Ref. [9] was implemented as an external interface to HERWIG for parton shower and fragmentation.

The sgluon pair production differential cross section of Ref. [9] was implemented as an external process to PYTHIA. Signal samples of 10k events each for \( M_{\text{sgluon}} = 100 \) to 200 GeV in steps of 10 GeV and a point at 225 GeV were generated. The cross section for the pair production of sgluons, computed with the MRST LO* PDF, is 7.5 nb at 100 GeV. It decreases to 100 pb at 225 GeV. All signal and SM background samples were passed through a GEANT4 [27] based simulation of the ATLAS detector.

Collision candidates are selected by requiring at least one reconstructed proton–proton interaction vertex with more than four good quality tracks. Jets are reconstructed using the anti-\( k_t \) jet clustering algorithm [28] with a radius parameter of 0.6. The inputs to the jet algorithm are three-dimensional clusters formed from energy deposits in the calorimeter. The jets are calibrated using \( p_T \) and \( \eta \)-dependent correction factors based on MC simulation and validated by test beam and collision data studies [29]. All jets considered in the analysis must have \( p_T > 20 \) GeV and \(|\eta| < 2.8\). Quality selections are applied to the reconstructed jets to eliminate various detector effects and suppress beam and other non-collision backgrounds. Overall, these requirements reduce the sample size by less than 0.1%.

At least four jets are required with a \( p_T \) greater than 55% of the sgluon mass to improve the background rejection. The analysis thus probes sgluon masses greater than 100 GeV due to the trigger. After this requirement, as the system has a non-negligible boost leading to a jet-jet separation of \( \Delta R_{jj} \approx 1 \) (where \( \Delta R_{jj} = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi_{jj})^2 + (\Delta \eta_{jj})^2} \)), the four highest \( p_T \) jets in the event are paired by minimizing \(|\Delta R_{\text{pair1}} - 1| + |\Delta R_{\text{pair2}} - 1| \). Events are rejected if, for the chosen combination, a jet-jet-pairing has \( \Delta R_{jj} > 1.6 \). The corresponding reconstructed masses are denoted \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) in the following and the reconstructed average mass is defined as \((M_1 + M_2)/2\). Finally, to improve further the rejection of the SM multijet background, the relative difference between the two reconstructed masses \(|(M_1 - M_2)/(M_1 + M_2)\)| is required to be less than 7.5%. The scattering angle \(|\cos(\theta^*)|\) of the reconstructed sgluons in the rest frame of the four leading jets is required to be less than 0.5. The SM multijet background is peaked in the forward region, reflecting \( t \)-channel gluon exchange, while the signal is produced centrally due to the scalar nature of the sgluon. The selection efficiency of the signal is 0.62% for a sgluon mass of 100 GeV and 0.32% for a mass of 225 GeV.

The variables used in the analysis are compared to the ALPGEN MC sample (solid line) at different stages of the analysis in Fig. 1, as well as to the simulated signal sample for a sgluon of mass 100 GeV (dashed line). The data to MC ratios are shown below each figure. The solid band corresponds to one standard deviation in the jet energy scale (JES) [29]. Figure 1(a) shows the \( p_T \) of the 4th leading jet ordered by \( p_T \) for events with 4 jets with \( p_T > 50 \) GeV. The ALPGEN MC sample is normalized to the data after this requirement (normalization factor 1.26). The same normalization is used for all the following figures. This factor is similar to the one obtained in [15] for a different event selection. Figure 1(b) shows the \( \Delta R_{jj} \) distribution between the two jets from the sgluon reconstructed with the highest \( p_T \) jet in the event. The 4th leading jet was required to have a \( p_T \) greater than 55 GeV. The relative mass difference is shown in Fig. 1(c) after the application of the selection criteria on the \( p_T \) and the \( \Delta R_{jj} \). The scattering angle is shown in Fig. 1(d) after all other criteria are applied.

The shape of the data is well described by the ALPGEN MC simulations. As the jet energy scale error can be considered to be, at first order, an error on the normalization, it is important to note that the description is good even when considering only the statistical errors in Fig. 1. The cut flow...
Fig. 1  Kinematic variables at different stages of the analysis. Data (dots) are compared to the ALPGEN MC sample (solid line). The solid band corresponds to one standard deviation in the jet energy scale. The ratio data/MC is also shown with its statistical uncertainty, which is dominated by the MC statistics. The dashed line corresponds to a sgluon signal of 100 GeV. (a) The transverse momentum of the 4th jet is shown. (b) The $\Delta R_{jj}$ distribution for the reconstructed sgluon candidate with the highest transverse momentum jet is shown after requiring the transverse momentum to be greater than 55 GeV and pairing the four leading jets into two sgluon candidates. (c) The relative mass difference is shown after the criterias on the $p_T$ and $\Delta R_{jj}$ have been applied. (d) The scattering angle in the 4-jet center-of-mass frame is shown after all other selection criteria have been applied.

Table 1  Cut flow for data, ALPGEN MC sample and sgluon MC ($M_{\text{sgluon}} = 100$ GeV). The ALPGEN MC sample is normalized to the data after the first requirement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>ALPGEN MC</th>
<th>sgluon MC</th>
<th>sgluon/ALPGEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 jets $p_T &gt; 50$ GeV</td>
<td>568421</td>
<td>568000 ± 8000</td>
<td>27900 ± 800</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 jets $p_T &gt; 55$ GeV</td>
<td>340429</td>
<td>336000 ± 6000</td>
<td>19000 ± 700</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta R_{jj} &lt; 1.6$</td>
<td>56131</td>
<td>55400 ± 1900</td>
<td>4900 ± 350</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>M_1 - M_2</td>
<td>/(M_1 + M_2) &lt; 0.075$</td>
<td>16958</td>
<td>16800 ± 1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\cos(\theta^*)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5$</td>
<td>6937</td>
<td>7700 ± 800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is shown in Table 1 for the data, the ALPGEN MC sample and a sgluon mass of 100 GeV.

While the description of the data by the MC is satisfactory, but limited by the MC statistics, the background is derived from data alone by dividing the data sample into one signal region (A) and three background-dominated regions (B, C, D). The variables used to define the different regions are the sgluon scattering angle and the relative mass difference. The regions, defined in Table 2, are chosen as a compromise between low contamination from the signal and the
statistical error in the regions B, C and D which feeds into the error on the background prediction.

For the average mass distribution, the shape of the background in the signal region is modeled by that in the control region B, parameterized by a fit to 

\[ f(x) = (x - p_1)^{p_2} \cdot e^{-x \cdot p_3 - x^2 \cdot p_4} \]

where \( p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \) are the free parameters and \( x \) is the reconstructed average mass. The parameters \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) describe the rising edge of the distribution; whereas, the \( p_3 \) and \( p_4 \) parameters model its tail. In region B the selection criteria on the scattering angle is inverted with respect to the signal region, but not the one on the relative mass difference, leading to the best description of the background shape in the signal region.

The normalization of the background in the signal region is derived from the number of events in the control regions: 

\[ N_{\text{extrapolation}}^A = N_B \cdot N_C / N_D \]

This is referred to as the ABCD method. The effect of the correlation between the two variables used to define the different regions is neglected since the correlation is less than 0.2%. Performing a closure test on the ALPGEN and PYTHIA MC samples, the number of events in the signal region agreed with the prediction derived from the three other regions within the statistical error.

In Fig. 2 the result obtained with the data is shown in the signal region (region A) for sgluon masses of 100, 140, 160 and 190 GeV. The data in region A is compared to the data in the control region B, and to the fit in region B, where the data and the fit are each normalized using the ABCD method.
of the jet energy resolution uncertainty on the signal mass is a \( \pm 15 \% \). A second effect of the JES uncertainty on the signal is propagated to the signal [29], affecting the selection efficiency by 15\%. A second effect of the JES uncertainty on the signal is a \( \pm 2 \% \) shift of the signal mass peak position. The impact of the jet energy resolution uncertainty on the signal mass peak width is 10\%. The impact of the choice of the PDF for the signal generation was estimated to be less than 2\%.

Finally a systematic error, reflecting the statistics available to check the prediction of the ABCD method in the absence of new physics, is assigned to the background prediction. Gaussian nuisance parameters are implemented in the likelihood corresponding to the errors taking into account the correlations, e.g. the error on the luminosity is common to the ABCD regions. The contamination of the regions B, C and D by the signal is also taken into account in the likelihood.

For each tested mass, the observed and expected median CLs are determined as a function of the signal mass.
Fig. 3 Expected and observed 95% CL upper bounds on the product of the scalar pair production cross sections and of the branching ratio to gluons as a function of the scalar mass. The predictions of the sgluon and hyperion pair production cross section are also shown.

branching ratio of 1 to gluon pairs, using a leading order cross section [9] with CTEQ6L1 [25], sgluons with masses from 100 GeV to 185 GeV are excluded at 95% confidence level with the exception of a mass window of about 5 GeV around 140 GeV. The sgluon cross section used was checked at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with Ref. [9] and was found to agree at the percent level. The centrality of the hyperions compared to the sgluons increases due to the additional contribution of the s-channel coloron exchange. This property should increase the selection efficiency due to the presence of the requirement on the scattering angle. However, the hyperion cross section was scaled down from the sgluon cross section according to Ref. [7], which makes the limits less stringent. Hyperions with masses of 100 GeV to 155 GeV are excluded with the exception of a mass window of 15 GeV around 140 GeV.

To conclude, four-jet events have been analyzed by the ATLAS experiment, searching for the pair production of a new scalar particle decaying to two jets. The data in the signal region is in good agreement with the data-driven background estimation. No evidence for new phenomena was found. Cross section limits as a function of the mass of the scalar particle have been determined. Interpreting the cross section limit, sgluons (hyperions) with masses from 100 GeV to 185 GeV (155 GeV) are excluded at 95% CL. A mass window of about 5 GeV (15 GeV) around 140 GeV remains unexcluded for the sgluons (hyperions).
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