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ABSTRACT

Background
With the availability of infliximab, nowadays recurrent Crohn’s disease, defined as disease refractory to immunomodulatory agents that has been treated with steroids, is generally treated with infliximab. Infliximab is an effective but expensive treatment and once started it is unclear when therapy can be discontinued. Surgical resection has been the golden standard in recurrent Crohn’s disease. Laparoscopic ileocolic resection proved to be safe and is characterized by a quick symptom reduction.

The objective of this study is to compare infliximab treatment with laparoscopic ileocolic resection in patients with recurrent Crohn’s disease of the distal ileum with respect to quality of life and costs.

Methods
The study is designed as a multicenter randomized clinical trial including patients with Crohn’s disease located in the terminal ileum that require infliximab treatment following recent consensus statements on inflammatory bowel disease treatment: moderate to severe disease activity in patients that fail to respond to steroid therapy or immunomodulatory therapy. Patients will be randomized to receive either infliximab or undergo a laparoscopic ileocolic resection. Primary outcomes are quality of life and costs. Secondary outcomes are hospital stay, early and late morbidity, sick leave and surgical recurrence. In order to detect an effect size of 0.5 on the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire at a 5% two sided significance level with a power of 80%, a sample size of 65 patients per treatment group can be calculated. An economic evaluation will be performed by assessing the marginal direct medical, non-medical and time costs and the costs per Quality Adjusted Life Year will be calculated. For both treatment strategies a cost-utility ratio will be calculated. Patients will be included from December 2007.

Discussion
The LIRIC-trial is a randomized multicenter trial that will provide evidence whether infliximab treatment or surgery is the best treatment for recurrent distal ileitis in Crohn’s disease (NTR1150).
BACKGROUND

Crohn’s disease (CD) is an inflammatory bowel disease that affects the entire gut, but mostly the terminal ileum of the small bowel is involved. Due to the chronic inflammation the affected bowel segment is scarred and may become stenotic. Although medical treatment aims to reduce the inflammation, many patients eventually will have surgery because of obstructive complaints.

Primary medical treatment is still considered the preferred treatment because of the potential morbidity associated with surgery. Furthermore, medical treatment might avert surgery. Medical therapy consists of remission induction by a short course of steroids most often followed by maintenance therapy with immunomodulating agents. Recurrence of disease activity is primarily treated with steroids. Frequent disease exacerbations and steroid dependency are an indication for treatment with infliximab (IFX). IFX is a chimeric anti-TNF monoclonal antibody against tumor necrosis factor, an important proinflammatory cytokine in CD. Treatment with this biological is effective in inducing and maintaining response and remission in patients with moderate to severe CD.

IFX therapy once initiated is best continued at 8 weeks intervals, although interval therapy is often used to reduce costs and to avoid the risks of long-term immune suppression. Major drawbacks of medical therapy are long-term use of medication with associated impairment of quality of life (QOL), morbidity and high costs. Furthermore, IFX treatment is an open-ended medical treatment: it is unclear for how long therapy should be continued. Interrupting the treatment is undesirable since it is associated with loss of response due to anti-IFX antibody formation. It remains unclear in how many patients with recurrent CD surgery can eventually be avoided. Thus, patients with recurrent CD encompass a heterogeneous group of patients some of which will respond to (long-term) medical treatment whereas in others surgery cannot be averted by medical treatment.

It is well established that an ileocolic resection is an effective and low morbidity operation resulting in a quick relieve of complaints and fast restoration of quality of life. Most frequent complications requiring reoperation are anastomotic dehiscence and intra-abdominal abscess. In several publications analyzing safety of laparoscopic ileocolic resection, the percentage of complications requiring reoperation varied from 0 to 7.6%. After ileocolic resection, medication can be stopped or limited to prophylactic medication when indicated. The length of loss of small bowel is generally limited and averages 20-25 cm in patients who had surgery for obstructive symptoms refractory to medical treatment. Long-term surgical recurrence occurs in 20-25% over an 8-9 years period in patients refractory to medication.

Patients are generally young and in the middle of building their socioeconomic life. Disease activity with its associated complaints and long-term therapy have a pronounced effect on QOL characterized by sick leave and non-attendance of social activities. Patients that have a clinical recurrence after medical treatment can be considered as patients having a more severe type of the disease. To date consensus statements offer either treatment with IFX or surgical resection in limited disease, because no comparative studies on the two alternatives exist. It can be hypothesized that surgery may avoid long-term or ineffective medical treatment improving QOL.
and reducing costs. With the implementation of the laparoscopic approach, morbidity and overall costs are further reduced, and body image and cosmesis are maintained. For these reasons time has come to compare laparoscopic ileocolic resection with IFX treatment in terms of QOL, sick leave and costs.

**METHODS**

**Study objectives**

To compare, in a prospective randomized setting, the short-term and medium-term effectiveness and costs of ileocolic resection versus IFX therapy in patients with recurrent CD of the distal ileum. Two research questions can be defined:

1. How does IFX treatment of patients with recurrent CD of the distal ileum compare with laparoscopic ileocolic resection in terms of QOL, hospital stay, morbidity, sick leave and surgical (re)interventions?
2. What are the 12 months cumulative total costs of IFX treatment versus laparoscopic ileocolic resection in patients with recurrent CD of the distal ileum?

**Study design**

The LIRIC-study is a randomized multicenter trial with participation of at least 5 academic and 20 regional hospitals. Patients presenting with recurrent or resistant CD of the distal ileum will be counseled and asked for informed consent if the inclusion and exclusion criteria are met. Randomization will take place after informed consent has been obtained. Patients will be randomized to either a laparoscopic ileocolic resection or to treatment with IFX (see Figure 1).

---

**FIGURE 1: LIRIC-TRIAL FLOWCHART**

- Patients with Crohn’s disease located in the terminal ileum that fail to respond to steroid or immunomodulatory therapy
- Randomization after informed consent (N=130)
  - Laparoscopic ileocolic resection (N=65)
    - Remission induction with prednisolone: 1 week 40 mg/day, 1 week 30 mg/day, 1 week 20 mg/day, 1 week 10 mg/day
    - Laparoscopic ileocolic resection
  - Infliximab (N=65)
    - Remission induction: 3 infusions at week 0, 2 and 6 in a dose of 5 mg/kg in combination with azathioprine / 6 mercaptopurine / methotrexate
    - Maintenance therapy: 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks
- Follow-up for 12 months
- Analysis on intention-to-treat basis: Quality of life and costs
Randomization is performed by an internet randomization module prepared by the Department of Clinical Research and Data management. Biased-coin randomization is used and the randomization is stratified for the randomizing centers and the presence or absence of peri-anal fistulas.

**Primary and secondary endpoints**
The primary endpoints of the LIRIC trial are the disease-specific QOL as measured by the inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire (IBDQ)\(^{16-20}\) and the costs per Quality Adjusted Life Year (QALY). Secondary outcome parameters are general QOL, as measured by the SF-36\(^{6,21}\) and EQ 5D\(^{16-20}\) questionnaires; number of days on sick leave; morbidity (due to either surgery or medical treatment), total in and out hospital medical and non-medical costs and body image and cosmesis as measured by the body image questionnaire (BIQ)\(^{12}\).

**Study population**
The study population consists of patients with recurrent or resistant CD of the distal ileum, not responding to immunomodulating therapy. Inclusion criteria are: age in between 18 and 80 years, recurrent CD of the distal ileum, a completed IBDQ, SF-36 and EQ-5D and BIQ before randomization, informed consent. Exclusion criteria are: prior ileocolic resection for CD, obstructive CD of the distal ileum requiring surgery, diseased small bowel segment longer than 40 cm, abdominal abscesses and abdominal fluid collections, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) III en IV, insufficient understanding of the Dutch language or cognitively inability to complete Dutch questionnaires.

**Participating centers**
Twenty-seven centers will enroll patients. Five of these hospitals are academic hospitals.

**Ethics**
The study is conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and ‘good clinical practice’ guidelines. The protocol has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Academic Medical Center in Amsterdam and the local Ethical Committees of the participating centers. Prior to randomization informed consent will be obtained from patients.

**Study Outline**

**Recruitment**
Patients will be recruited in the outpatients IBD clinics of the participating medical centers. Patients may not want to participate in the study because they reject the principle of randomization, especially since the randomization of this trial will result in two very divergent treatment strategies. A small pilot study in the AMC IBD clinic learned
that most patients would participate as long as careful and clear explanation of the study is offered. In a prior study comparing laparoscopic with open ileocolic resection performed by our institute, only two out of 62 patients refused participation or randomization.

**Infliximab**
Patients randomly allocated to IFX treatment arm will undergo remission induction consisting of three subsequent infusions at week 0, 2 and 6 in a dose of 5 mg/kg. IFX maintenance therapy, consisting of infusions of 5 mg/kg at 8 to 12 weeks intervals, will be given to patients with active disease after an episode of disease activity that was treated with IFX remission induction. In case of disease recurrence during IFX treatment intervals will be shortened to 6 weeks and/or the dose level increased to 10 mg/kg. Infusion reactions will be treated with 25 mg prednisolone and 2 mg clemastine intravenously prior to subsequent infusions. IFX therapy will be combined with azathioprine immunomodulation in a dose of 2,5 mg/kg daily or 6-mercaptopurine 1,5 mg/kg daily. In the case of intolerance to these immunomodulating agents methotrexate will be given in an intramuscular dose of 15 mg once a week. IFX will be given without any co-medication in case of intolerance to abovementioned immunomodulating drugs or in case of contra-indications for the use of these drugs. Patients total blood counts and liver enzymes will be monitored 2 and 4 weeks after initiation of therapy and subsequently at 3 months intervals.

**(Laparoscopic) ileocolic resection**
Patients randomly allocated to surgery receive a short course of steroids to reduce the inflammation, consisting of prednisolone 40 mg oral dose (OD) for one week, 30 mg OD during one week, 20 mg OD for 1 week, followed by a dose of 10 mg. Once steroid therapy has been tapered to a dose of 20 mg/day ileocolic resection can be performed.

Surgery will be performed under general anesthesia. Patients will receive antibiotics for 24 hours. Ileocolic resection is done preferably laparoscopically. A variety of techniques can be applied performing a laparoscopic ileocolic resection ranging from a facilitated (laparoscopic mobilization of the right colon followed by extracorporeal vascular ligation, bowel transection and reanastomosing) to a total laparoscopic procedure (all steps are done intracorporeally including anastomosis making). Generally, 3 or 4 trocars suffice. The minilaparotomy preferably is done as an up and down transumbilical incision or in case of a large specimen as a Pfannenstiehl incision.

**Statistical analysis**

**Intention to treat**
The analysis will be performed in accordance with the intention to treat principle.
Sample size calculation
The primary outcome of the study is a difference in IBDQ total score between the two randomized groups at one year. In order to assess the sample size for this study, a 0.5 between-group effect size on the IBDQ total score at week 48 was considered to be relevant. A modest effect size of 0.50 is generally considered to be clinically relevant. With a 5% two-sided significance level, 65 patients per study arm will be needed to achieve an 80% power to detect such a difference with a two-sided t-test. Additional mixed-models repeated measures analysis of variance will be used to investigate whether there is a different pattern of change over time between the two study arms in the four IBDQ dimensions and the EQ 5D.

Data collection and monitoring
Patients will be followed for a period of 12 months. Seven times during this follow-up period patients will complete a set of questionnaires (the IBDQ, EQ 5D, SF-36 and BIQ): patients will complete the first set of questionnaires before randomization, the next set at week 2 of their therapy, the third set at week 6 and after that every 3 months (3, 6, 9 and 12 months after start of therapy). The questionnaires will be sent to the patients by post accompanied by a return envelop provided with postage stamps and the address of the hospital. Collection of the questionnaires will be safeguarded by the trial coordinator.

Additional to the questionnaires, disease activity will be assessed by calculating the Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI). For this calculation patients will be asked to keep a diary for 7 days. In total, patients will fill in 7 diaries. During a visit to the gastroenterologist or trial-nurse, haematocrit, presence or absence of an abdominal mass and number of complications will be assessed. CDAI can be calculated from these data combined with data from the CDAI-diaries. These visits will coincide with visits to the outpatient department for conventional patient care. At the end of the study period, after 12 months, patients will undergo an endoscopy to measure the extent of inflammation 12 months after therapy. Patients that received an ileocolic resection will be scored using the Rutgeerts endoscopic score.

Patients will be contacted by telephone every month by a trial nurse to assess complications, additional interventions, re-admissions, duration of hospital and intensive care stay and visits to the outpatient clinic, number of days of sick leave and of social in attendance and to ensure completions of the questionnaires.

An electronic Case Record Form (CRF) will include general patient’s data (sex, age, medical history etc), patient’s response to the questionnaires and data concerning type of intervention, complications, mortality, duration of hospital and intensive care stay.

An independent trial monitor from the IBD trial-department will monitor the study procedure and the data of included patients.
Data analysis

As we do not expect a difference in mortality, data on QOL will be the key outcome measure in the comparison. Differences in QOL and morbidity will be analyzed using mixed-models analysis of variance for repeated measures, accounting for differences in survival between groups. Mortality will be compared using Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank statistics.

To analyze the secondary outcomes (general QOL, number of days on sick leave, morbidity (due to either surgery or medical treatment) and results of the body image questionnaire) the two groups will be compared using the statistical program SPSS 14.0®.

A data and safety monitoring committee will safeguard trial continuation based on safety and effectiveness data. They will perform an interim analysis after 60 included patients have reached a one month follow up.

Economic evaluation

The marginal direct medical, non-medical and time cost, costs per QALY and cost-utility ratio will be calculated for the surgical and medical treatment strategies. Cost items will include costs of hospital admissions and readmissions (operation, nursing days, outpatient visits), institutional care (nursing homes, hospice), home care, medication and other health care providers as well as direct non-medical costs (travel expenses). Costs will be calculated by counting resource use in the diaries, questionnaires and additional 3 month interviews and multiplying these with unit prices. Standard unit prices will be used when available, complemented by results from cost calculations where needed.

The cumulative total costs will be calculated for the 12 month study period. In addition, the cumulative costs for each cost category will be calculated.

The EQ-5D score profiles will be transposed to health utility values following scoring algorithms based on time trade-off elicitation techniques applied in the general population. Both the UK and the Dutch scoring algorithms will be applied and compared in sensitivity analyses. QALY’s are calculated as the product-sum of health utilities and the lengths of the preceding period in-between measurements during follow-up. In the final analysis, a 12 month difference in average QALY’s will be calculated.

Discussion

In the Netherlands IFX treatment is indicated for patients with CD that are either steroid refractory or steroid dependent following treatment with steroids alone or in combination with immunomodulatory drugs such as azathioprine or methotrexate. These guidelines are in concert with the European consensus on IFX treatment. However, the ECCO also recommends in its consensus statement the need for trials comparing IFX and surgery stating that ‘IFX should be considered for steroid or immunomodulatory disease or intolerance, although surgical options should be considered and discussed.’ The LIRIC-trial aims to diminish this discussion and to provide an evidence-based best treatment strategy.
Besides IFX (Remicade®), new biologic agents have been introduced in the past few years. Adalimumab (ADA, Humira®), a human anti-TNF monoclonal antibody, has possible advantages over IFX in administration route and costs. In the future, therefore, ADA might become the preferred biological treatment. Nevertheless, at this moment ADA as therapy for CD is relatively young and still proving itself. Four placebo-controlled trials assessing efficacy in CD have been conducted so far, analyzing 1,400 patients. Conclusions were that ADA is more effective than placebo for remission-induction and maintaining remission 25-28. No trials comparing ADA and IFX head to head have been published yet. Because of lack of sufficient long-term data we chose to not use ADA in this study. Certoluzimab Pegol (Cimzia®), a polyethylene glycolated anti-TNF\(\alpha\) antibody fragment was associated with a modest improvement in response rates but with no significant improvements in remission rates if compared to placebo 29. As maintenance therapy outcomes on response and remission were better compared to placebo-therapy 30. However, it has not been registered in Europe yet for the therapy of CD and therefore it is not included in this trial either.

This trial compares medical therapy with (minimal invasive) surgery for CD of the distal ileum. The first analysis will provide short- and medium-term results up to one year of follow-up. However, since long-term data of this cohort are especially of importance, we aim to develop a follow-up study to continue follow-up after the first year. Considering the drawbacks of IFX treatment, ileocolic resection can be an equivalent alternative treatment, in spite of a small risk on serious surgical complications. Both strategies have not been compared in a clinical trial so far 4. IFX treatment may be less cost-effective when compared to laparoscopic ileocolic resection and may show less effective when assessed by means of QOL. Therefore this study aims to answer the question which treatment is to be preferred for recurrent distal ileitis: medical therapy or early surgery.
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