



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Understanding the impact of power on workplace innovation

A network analysis approach

Topete Quevedo, M.E.

Publication date

2023

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):


Topete Quevedo, M. E. (2023). *Understanding the impact of power on workplace innovation: A network analysis approach*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights


It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



REFERENCES



A

- Abraham, A. (2016). Gender and creativity: an overview of psychological and neuroscientific literature. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-015-9410-8>
- Acar, O. A., Tarakci, M., & van Knippenberg, D. (2019). Creativity and innovation under constraints: A cross-disciplinary integrative review. *Journal of Management*, *45*(1), 96–121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206318805832>
- Adler, P., & Kwon, S. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, *27*(1), 17–40.
- Aime, F., Humphrey, S., DeRue, D. S., & Paul, J. B. (2014). The riddle of heterarchy: Power transitions in cross-functional teams. *The Academy of Management Journal*, *57*(2), 327–352. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0756>
- Amabile, T. M. (1982). Social psychology of creativity: A consensual assesment technique. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *43*(4), 997–1013.
- Amabile, T. M. (1996). *Creativity in context: Update to the social psychology of creativity*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Amabile, T. M., Barsade, S. G., Mueller, J. S., & Staw, B. M. (2005). Affect and creativity at work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *50*(3), 367–403. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.3.367>
- Amabile, T. M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J., & Herron, M. (1996). Assessing the work environment for creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, *39*(5), 1154–1184. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256995>
- Amabile, T. M., & Pillemer, J. (2012). Perspectives on the social psychology of creativity. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, *46*(1), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.001>
- Anderson, C., & Brown, C. E. (2010). The functions and dysfunctions of hierarchy. *Research in Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2010.08.002>
- Anderson, C., John, O. P., & Keltner, D. (2012). The personal sense of power. *Journal of Personality*, *80*(2), 313–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2011.00734.x>

B

- Baas, M., De Dreu, C. K. W., & Nijstad, B. A. (2008). A meta-analysis of 25 years of mood-creativity research: Hedonic tone, activation, or regulatory focus? *Psychological Bulletin*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012815>
- Baer, M. (2012). Putting creativity to work: The implementation of creative ideas in organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, *55*(5), 1102–1119. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.0470>
- Baer, M., Evans, K., Oldham, G. R., & Boasso, A. (2015). The social network side of individual innovation: A meta-analysis and path-analytic integration. *Organizational Psychology Review*, *5*(3), 191–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386614564105>
- Baer, M., Vadera, A. K., Leenders, R. T. A. J., & Oldham, G. R. (2014). Intergroup competition as a double-edged sword: How sex composition regulates the effects of competition on group creativity. *Organization Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2013.0878>

- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, *117*(3), 497–529. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909117.3.497>
- Behfar, K. J., Peterson, R. S., Mannix, E. A., & Trochim, W. M. K. (2008). The critical role of conflict resolution in teams: A close look at the links between conflict type, conflict management strategies, and team outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *93*(1), 170–188. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.1.170>
- Bellis, P., & Verganti, R. (2020). Pairs in innovation: How working in pairs helps organisations to move into a new shared direction. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, *24*(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919620500723>
- Bendersky, C., & Hays, N. A. (2017). The positive effects of status conflicts in teams where members perceive status hierarchies differently. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *8*(2), 124–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506166667614>
- Berdahl, J. L., & Martorana, P. (2006). Effects of power on emotion and expression during a controversial group discussion. In *European Journal of Social Psychology* (Vol. 36, pp. 497–509). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.354>
- Black, A. E., & Deci, E. L. (2000). The effects of instructors' autonomy support and students' autonomous motivation on learning organic chemistry: A self-determination theory perspective. *Science Education*, *84*(6), 740–756. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-237X\(200011\)84:6<740::AID-SCE4>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-237X(200011)84:6<740::AID-SCE4>3.0.CO;2-3)
- Bolino, M. C., & Grant, A. M. (2016). The bright side of being prosocial at work, and the dark side, too: *The Academy of Management Annals*, *10*(1), 1–72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2016.1153260>
- Bombardi, D., Mast, M. S., & Bachmann, M. (2017). Felt power explains the link between position power and experienced emotions. *Emotion*, *17*(1), 55–66. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000207>
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Freeman, L. C. (2002). *Ucinet 6 For Windows: Software for Social Network Analysis*. Harvard, MA: Analytic Technologies.
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Johnson, J. C. (2018). *Analyzing social networks* (Second). Sage Publications.
- Brass, D. J., Galaskiewicz, J., Greve, H. R., & Tsai, W. (2004). Taking stock of networks and organizations: A multilevel perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20159624>
- Bridge, K., & Baxter, L. A. (1992). Blended relationships: Friends as work associates. *Western Journal of Communication*, *56*(3), 200–225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10570319209374414>
- Briñol, P., Petty, R. E., Valle, C., Rucker, D. D., & Becerra, A. (2007). The effects of message recipients' power before and after persuasion: A self-validation analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *93*(6), 1040–1053. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1040>
- Burt, R. S. (1992). *Structural holes: The social structure of competition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Burt, R. S. (2000). The network structure of social capital. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, *22*, 345–423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-3085\(00\)22009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-3085(00)22009-1)
- Burt, R. S. (2001). Bandwidth and echo: trust, information, and gossip in social networks. *Networks and Markets: Contribution from Economics and Sociology*, *60637*, 1–37. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.25.2947>

- Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural holes and good ideas. *American Journal of Sociology*, *110*(2), 349–399. <https://doi.org/10.1086/421787>
- Burt, R. S. (2005). *Brokerage and closure: An introduction to social capital*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Burt, R. S., Kilduff, M., & Tasselli, S. (2013). Social network analysis: Foundations and frontiers on advantage. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *64*(1), 527–547. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143828>

C

- Campbell, D. T. (1960). Blind variation and selective retention in creative thought as in other knowledge processes. *Psychological Review*, *67*(6), 380–400.
- Carnabuci, G., & Diószegi, B. (2015). Social networks, cognitive style, and innovative performance: A contingency perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, *58*(3), 881–905. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2013.1042>
- Carnevale, P. J., & Probst, T. M. (1998). Social values and social conflict in creative problem solving and categorization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *74*(5), 1300–1309. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1300>
- Casciaro, T., Lobo, M. S., Wilhelm, H., & Wittland, M. (2022). The way we make each other feel: Relational affect and joint task performance. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, *8*(1), 15–35. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2018.0095>
- Cattani, G., & Ferriani, S. (2008). A core/periphery perspective on individual creative performance: Social networks and cinematic achievements in the Hollywood film industry. *Organization Science*, *19*(6), 824–844. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1070.0350>
- Ceci, F., Masciarelli, F., & Poledrini, S. (2020). How social capital affects innovation in a cultural network: Exploring the role of bonding and bridging social capital. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *23*(5), 895–918. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-06-2018-0114>
- Chen, G., Liu, C., & Tjosvold, D. (2005). Conflict management for effective top management teams and innovation in China. *Journal of Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2005.00497.x>
- Cho, M., & Keltner, D. (2020). Power, approach, and inhibition: empirical advances of a theory. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.08.013>
- Chua, R., & Jin, M. (2020). Across the great divides: Gender dynamics influence how intercultural conflict helps or hurts creative collaboration. *Academy of Management Journal*, *63*(3), 903–934. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.1319>
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1988). Mood and the mundane: Relations between daily life events and self-reported mood. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *54*(2), 296–308. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.54.2.296>
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Taylor & Francis Ltd.
- Colbert, A. E., Bono, J. E., & Purvanova, R. K. (2016). Flourishing via workplace relationships: Moving beyond instrumental support. *Academy of Management Journal*, *59*(4), 1199–1223. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0506>

- Coleman, J. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 94(Supplement), S95–S120.
- Coleman, J. (1990). *Foundations of social theory*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(3), 471–482. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306983>
- Cooper, W. H., & Withey, M. J. (2009). The strong situation hypothesis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 13(1), 62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868308329378>
- Craig, L., & Kuykendall, L. (2019). Examining the role of friendship for employee well-being. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.06.001>
- D**
- Daniels, M. A., & Greguras, G. J. (2014). Exploring the nature of power distance: Implications for micro-and macro-level theories, processes, and outcomes. *Journal of Management*, 40(5), 1202–1229.
- Davis, M. A. (2009). Understanding the relationship between mood and creativity: A meta-analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2008.04.001>
- De Dreu, C. K. (2006). When too little or too much hurts: Evidence for a curvilinear relationship between task conflict and innovation in teams. *Journal of Management*, 32(1), 83–107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305277795>
- De Dreu, C. K. W., Baas, M., & Giacomantonio, M. (2010). Processing modes and creativity: Why (not)? *Psychological Inquiry*, 21(3), 203–208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2010.498781>
- De Jesus, S. N., Rus, C. L., Lens, W., & Imaginário, S. (2013). Intrinsic motivation and creativity related to product: A meta-analysis of the studies published between 1990–2010. *Creativity Research Journal*, 25(1), 80–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2013.752235>
- De Jong, J., & Den Hartog, D. (2010). Measuring innovative work behaviour. *Creativity and innovation management*, 19(1), 23–36.
- De Wit, F. R. C., Jehn, K. A., & Scheepers, D. (2013). Task conflict, information processing, and decision-making: The damaging effect of relationship conflict. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 122(2), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2013.07.002>
- Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-Determination Theory in Work Organizations: The State of a Science. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113108>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2070638>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: An overview of self-determination theory. In *The Oxford Handbook of Human Motivation*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399820.013.0006>
- Dekker, D., Krackhardt, D., & Snijders, T. A. B. (2007). Sensitivity of MRQAP tests to collinearity and autocorrelation conditions. *Psychometrika*, 72(4), 563–581.

- Diefenbach, T., & Sillince, J. A. A. (2011). Formal and informal hierarchy in different types of organization. *Organization Studies*, *32*(11), 1515–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840611421254>
- Dokko, G., Kane, A. A., & Tortoriello, M. (2014). One of us or one of my friends: How social identity and tie strength shape the creative generativity of boundary-spanning ties. *Organization Studies*, *35*(5), 703–726. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0170840613508397>
- Dryer, D. C., & Horowitz, L. M. (1997). When Do Opposites Attract? Interpersonal Complementarity Versus Similarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *72*(3), 592–603. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.72.3.592>
- Duguid, M. M., & Goncalo, J. A. (2015). Squeezed in the middle: The middle status trade creativity for focus. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *109*(4), 589–603. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039569>
- E**
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *44*(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Elangovan, A. R., & Lin Xie, J. (2000). Effects of perceived power of supervisor on subordinate work attitudes. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *21*(6), 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730010343095>
- F**
- Farh, J. L., Lee, C., & Farh, C. I. C. (2010). Task Conflict and Team Creativity: A Question of How Much and When. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *95*(6), 1173–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020015>
- Fast, N. J., Gruenfeld, D. H., Sivanathan, N., & Galinsky, A. D. (2011). Illusory Control: A Generative Force Behind Power's Far-Reaching Effects. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1314952>
- Fast, N. J., Halevy, N., & Galinsky, A. D. (2012). The destructive nature of power without status. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *48*(1), 391–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2011.07.013>
- Fast, N. J., Sivanathan, N., Mayer, N. D., & Galinsky, A. D. (2012). Power and overconfident decision-making. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *117*(2), 249–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2011.11.009>
- Ferguson, A. J., & Peterson, R. S. (2015). Sinking slowly: Diversity in propensity to trust predicts downward trust spirals in small groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *100*(4), 1012–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000007>
- Fiske, S. T. (1993). Controlling other people: The impact of power on stereotyping. *American Psychologist*, *48*(6), 621–628. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.48.6.621>
- Fliaster, A., & Schloderer, F. (2010). Dyadic ties among employees: Empirical analysis of creative performance and efficiency. *Human Relations*, *63*(10), 1513–1540. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726710361988>
- Freeman, L. C. (1978). Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, *1*(3), 215–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(78\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(78)90021-7)
- French, J. R. P., & Raven, B. H. (1959). The bases of social power. In *Studies in social power* (Cartwright, pp. 150–167). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

- Friedman, R. S., Fishbach, A., Förster, J., & Werth, L. (2003). Attentional priming effects on creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, *15*(2–3), 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj152&3_18
- Friesen, J. P., Kay, A. C., Eibach, R. P., & Galinsky, A. D. (2014). Seeking structure in social organization: Compensatory control and the psychological advantages of hierarchy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *106*(4), 590–609. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035620>

G

- Galinsky, A. D., Chou, E. Y., Halebv, N., & Van Kleef, G. A. (2012). The far-reaching effects of power: At the individual, dyadic, and group levels. *Research on Managing Groups and Teams*, *15*, 81–113. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1534-0856\(2012\)0000015007](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1534-0856(2012)0000015007)
- Galinsky, A. D., Gruenfeld, D. H., & Magee, J. C. (2003). From power to action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85*(3), 453–466. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.3.453>
- Galinsky, A. D., Magee, J. C., Gruenfeld, D. H., Whitson, J. A., & Liljenquist, K. A. (2008). Power reduces the press of the situation: Implications for creativity, conformity, and dissonance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *95*(6), 1450–1466. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012633>
- George, J. M., & Zhou, J. (2001). When openness to experience and conscientiousness are related to creative behavior: An interactional approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *86*(3), 513–524. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.513>
- Gervais, S. J., Guinote, A., Allen, J., & Slabu, L. (2013). Power increases situated creativity. *Social Influence*, *8*(4), 294–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15534510.2012.742457>
- Gómez-Solórzano, M., Tortoriello, M., & Soda, G. (2019). Instrumental and affective ties within the laboratory: The impact of informal cliques on innovative productivity. *Strategic Management Journal*, *40*(10), 1593–1609. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3045>
- Goncalo, J. A., Polman, E., & Maslach, C. (2010). Can confidence come too soon? Collective efficacy, conflict and group performance over time. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *113*(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2010.05.001>
- Gong, Y., Zhou, J., & Chang, S. (2013). Core knowledge employee creativity and firm performance: The moderating role of riskiness orientation, firm size, and realized absorptive capacity. *Personnel Psychology*, *66*(2), 443–482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12024>
- Gonzaga, G. C., Keltner, D., & Ward, D. (2008). Power in mixed-sex stranger interactions. *Cognition and Emotion*, *22*(8), 1555–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930801921008>
- González-Vallejo, C., & Reid, A. A. (2006). Quantifying persuasion effects on choice with the decision threshold of the stochastic choice model. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *100*(2), 250–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2006.02.001>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, *78*(6), 1360–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1086/225469>
- Grant, A. M., & Berry, J. W. (2011). The necessity of others is the mother of invention: Intrinsic and prosocial motivations, perspective taking, and creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, *54*(1), 73–96. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.59215085>

- Grawitch, M. J., Munz, D. C., & Kramer, T. J. (2003). Effects of member mood states on creative performance in temporary workgroups. *Group Dynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.7.1.41>
- Greer, L. L., & Chu, C. (2020). Power struggles: when and why the benefits of power for individuals paradoxically harm groups. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.040>
- Greer, L. L., Van Bunderen, L., & Yu, S. (2017). The dysfunctions of power in teams: A review and emergent conflict perspective. *Research in Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2017.10.005>
- Greer, L. L., & van Kleef, G. A. (2010). Equality versus differentiation: The effects of power dispersion on group interaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *95*(6), 1032–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020373>
- Grosser, T. J., Venkataramani, V., & Labianca, G. J. (2017). An alter-centric perspective on employee innovation: The importance of alters' creative self-efficacy and network structure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000220>
- Guinote, A. (2007a). Power affects basic cognition: Increased attentional inhibition and flexibility. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *43*(5), 685–697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2006.06.008>
- Guinote, A. (2007b). Power and goal pursuit. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *33*(8), 1076–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167207301011>
- Guinote, A. (2010). The situated focus theory of power. In *The social psychology of power* (pp. 141–173).
- Guinote, A. (2017). How power affects people: Activating, wanting, and goal seeking. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *68*(1), 353–381. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044153>
- Gulati, R., & Puranam, P. (2009). Renewal through reorganization: The value of inconsistencies between formal and informal organization. *Organization Science*, *20*(2), 422–440. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0421>

H

- Hall, J. A., Carter, J. D., & Horgan, T. G. (2001). Status roles and recall of nonverbal cues. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010797627793>
- Hall, J. A., Rosip, J. C., LeBeau, L. S., Horgan, T. G., & Carter, J. D. (2006). Attributing the sources of accuracy in unequal-power dyadic communication: Who is better and why? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.01.005>
- Hansen, M. T. (1999). The search-transfer problem: The role of weak ties in sharing knowledge across organization subunits. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *44*(1), 82–111. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667032>
- Harrison, D. A., & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, *32*(4), 1199–1228. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2007.26586096>
- Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, *76*(4), 408–420. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03637750903310360>
- Hayes, A. F. (2017). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-based Approach* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

- Hayes, A. F., & Montoya, A. K. (2017). A Tutorial on Testing, Visualizing, and Probing an Interaction Involving a Multicategorical Variable in Linear Regression Analysis. *Communication Methods and Measures, 11*(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2016.1271116>
- Hiemer, J., & Abele, A. E. (2012). High power=Motivation? Low power=Situation? The impact of power, power stability and power motivation on risk-taking. *Personality and Individual Differences, 53*(4), 486–490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.04.008>
- Hildreth, J. A. D., & Anderson, C. (2016). Failure at the top: How power undermines collaborative performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 110*(2), 261–286. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000045>
- Hinkin, T. R. (1995). A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations. *Journal of Management, 21*(5), 967–988. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639502100509>
- Hoefer, I. J., van Knippenberg, D., van Ginkel, W. P., & Barkema, H. G. (2012). Fostering team creativity: Perspective taking as key to unlocking diversity's potential. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 97*(5), 982–996. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029159>
- Hofstede Insights. (2022). *Country Comparison Tool*. <https://www.hofstede-insights.com/country-comparison-tool?countries=netherlands>
- Howell, J. M., & Higgins, C. A. (1990). Champions of technological innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 35*(2), 317. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393393>
- Howell, J. M., Shea, C. M., & Higgins, C. A. (2005). Champions of product innovations: Defining, developing, and validating a measure of champion behavior. *Journal of Business Venturing, 20*(5), 641–661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2004.06.001>
- Hunter, S. D., Bentzen, H., & Taug, J. (2020). On the “missing link” between formal organization and informal social structure. *Journal of Organization Design, 9*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41469-020-00076-x>
- Iacobucci, D., Posavac, S. S., Kardes, F. R., Schneider, M. J., & Popovich, D. L. (2015). The median split: Robust, refined, and revived. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 25*(4), 690–704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2015.06.014>
- Ibarra, H. (1993). Network centrality, power, and innovation involvement: Determinants of technical and administrative Roles. *Academy of Management Journal, 36*(3), 471–501. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256589>
- Ibarra, H., & Andrews, S. B. (1993). Power, social influence, and sense making: Effects of network centrality and proximity on employee perceptions. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 38*(2), 277–303.
- Ingram, P., & Zou, X. (2008). Business friendships. *Research in Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2008.04.006>
- Iorio, A. (2022). Brokers in disguise: The joint effect of actual brokerage and socially perceived brokerage on network advantage. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 67*(3), 769–820. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.201913356abstract>
- Isen, A. M. (2000). Positive affect decision making. *Handbook of Emotions, 54*(2), 1–720. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2076468>

- Isen, A. M., Daubman, K. A., & Nowicki, G. P. (1987). Positive affect facilitates creative problem solving. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *52*(6), 1122–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.6.1122>
- Isen, A. M., Johnson, M. M., Mertz, E., & Robinson, G. F. (1985). The influence of positive affect on the unusualness of word associations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *48*(6), 1413–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.48.6.1413>

J

- Jehn, K. A., Greer, L., Levine, S., & Szulanski, G. (2008). The effects of conflict types, dimensions, and emergent states on group outcomes. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, *17*(6), 465–495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-008-9107-0>
- Jost, J. T. (2019). A quarter century of system justification theory: Questions, answers, criticisms, and societal applications. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12297>

K

- Keltner, D., Gruenfeld, D. H., & Anderson, C. (2003). Power, approach, and inhibition. *Psychological Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.110.2.265>
- Kessel, M., Kratzer, J., & Schultz, C. (2012). Psychological safety, knowledge sharing, and creative performance in healthcare teams. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, *21*(2), 147–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8691.2012.00635.x>
- Keum, D. D., & See, K. E. (2017). The influence of hierarchy on idea generation and selection in the innovation process. *Organization Science*, *28*(4), 653–669. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2017.1142>
- Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*, *15*(2), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012>
- Krackhardt, D. (1987). QAP partialling as a test of spuriousness. *Social Networks*, *9*(2), 171–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(87\)90012-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(87)90012-8)
- Krackhardt, D. (1988). Predicting with networks: Nonparametric multiple regression analysis of dyadic data. *Social Networks*, *10*(4), 359–381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(88\)90004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(88)90004-4)
- Krackhardt, D. (1998). Simmelian ties: Super strong and sticky. In R. M. Kramer & M. A. Neale (Eds.), *Power and Influence in Organizations* (pp. 21–38). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483345291.n2>
- Krackhardt, D. (1999). The ties that torture: Simmelian tie analysis in organizations. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, Vol. 16.
- Krasikova, D. V., & LeBreton, J. M. (2012). Just the two of us: Misalignment of theory and methods in examining dyadic phenomena. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *97*(4), 739–757.
- Kwaadsteniet, E. W. d., & van Dijk, E. (2010). Social status as a cue for tacit coordination. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *46*(3), 515–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2010.01.005>

Kwon, S. W., Rondi, E., Levin, D. Z., De Massis, A., & Brass, D. J. (2020). Network brokerage: An integrative review and future research agenda. *Journal of Management*, *46*(6), 1092–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320914694>

L

Lahiri, A., Pahnke, E. C., Howard, M. D., & Boeker, W. (2019). Collaboration and informal hierarchy in innovation teams: Product introductions in entrepreneurial ventures. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, *13*(3), 326–358. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1331>

Lammers, J., Galinsky, A. D., Gordijn, E. H., & Otten, S. (2008). Illegitimacy moderates the effects of power on approach. *Psychological Science*, *19*(6), 558–564. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02123.x>

Lammers, J., Galinsky, A. D., Gordijn, E. H., & Otten, S. (2012). Power increases social distance. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *3*(3), 282–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611418679>

Landis, B., Kilduff, M., Menges, J. I., & Kilduff, G. J. (2018). The paradox of agency: Feeling powerful reduces brokerage opportunity recognition yet increases willingness to broker. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *103*(8), 929–938. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000299>

Leone, C., & B. Hawkins, L. (2019). Cohabitation vs. marriage: Self-monitoring and self-selection to intimate relationships. *Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Science*, *7*(2). <https://doi.org/10.15640/jpbs.v7n2a2>

Levin, D. Z., & Cross, R. (2004). The strength of weak ties you can trust: The mediating role of trust in effective knowledge transfer. *Management Science*, *50*(11), 1477–1490.

Liu, D., Jiang, K., Shalley, C. E., Keem, S., & Zhou, J. (2016). Motivational mechanisms of employee creativity: A meta-analytic examination and theoretical extension of the creativity literature. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *137*, 236–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2016.08.001>

Lonati, S., Quiroga, B. F., Zehnder, C., & Antonakis, J. (2018). On doing relevant and rigorous experiments: Review and recommendations. *Journal of Operations Management*, *64*, 19–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2018.10.003>

M

Madjar, N., Greenberg, E., & Chen, Z. (2011). Factors for radical creativity, incremental creativity, and routine, noncreative performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *96*(4), 730–743. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022416>

Magee, J. C., & Galinsky, A. D. (2008). Social hierarchy: The self-reinforcing nature of power and status. *The Academy of Management Annals*, *2*(1), 351–398. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520802211628>

Magee, J. C., Galinsky, A. D., & Gruenfeld, D. H. (2007). Personality and social psychology bulletin power propensity to negotiate, and moving first in competitive interactions. *Society*, *33*(2), 200–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167206294413>

Maoret, M., Tortoriello, M., & Lubatti, D. (2020). Big fish, big pond? The joint effect of formal and informal core/periphery positions on the generation of incremental innovations. *Organization Science*, *31*(6), 1538–1559. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ORSC.2020.1365>

- Marineau, J. E., Labianca, G. (Joe), Brass, D. J., Borgatti, S. P., & Vecchi, P. (2018). Individuals' power and their social network accuracy: A situated cognition perspective. *Social Networks*, *54*, 145–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2018.01.006>
- Marsden, P. V. (1990). Network data and measurement. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *16*(1), 435–463.
- Mast, M. S. (2010). Interpersonal behaviour and social perception in a hierarchy: The interpersonal power and behaviour model. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *27*(1), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463283.2010.486942>
- McClelland, G. H., Lynch, J. G., Irwin, J. R., Spiller, S. A., & Fitzsimons, G. J. (2015). Median splits, Type II errors, and false-positive consumer psychology: Don't fight the power. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *25*(4), 679–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2015.05.006>
- McDermott, C. M., & O'Connor, G. C. (2002). Managing radical innovation: An overview of emergent strategy issues. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *19*(6), 424–438. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0737-6782\(02\)00174-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0737-6782(02)00174-1)
- McEvily, B., Soda, G., & Tortoriello, M. (2014). More formally: rediscovering the missing link between formal organization and informal social structure. *Academy of Management Annals*, *8*(1), 299–345. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2014.885252>
- McGrath, L. (2015). When pairing reduces scaring: The effect of dyadic ideation on evaluation apprehension. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, *19*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919615500395>
- McLeod, P. L., Lobel, S. A., & Cox, T. H. (1996). Ethnic diversity and creativity in small groups. *Small Group Research*, *27*(2), 248–263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496496272003>
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Cook, J. M. (2001). Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *27*(1), 415–444.
- Mednick, S. (1962). The associative basis of the creative process. *Psychological Review*, *69*(3), 220–232. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0048850>
- Mehra, A., Kilduff, M., & Brass, D. J. (2001). The social networks of high and low self-monitors: Implications for workplace performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *46*(1), 121. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667127>
- Menon, S. T. (2001). Employee empowerment: An integrative psychological approach. *Applied Psychology*, *50*(1), 153–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1464-0597.00052>
- Methot, J. R., Lepine, J. A., Podsakoff, N. P., & Siegel, J. C. (2016). Are workplace friendships a mixed blessing? Exploring tradeoffs of multiplex relationships and their associations with job performance. *Personnel Psychology*, *69*(2), 311–355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12109>
- Min, D., & Kim, J. H. (2013). Is power powerful? Power, confidence, and goal pursuit. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *30*(3), 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2012.12.001>
- Mischel, W. (1977). The interaction of person and situation. *Personality at the Crossroads: Current Issues in Interactional Psychology*, 333–352.
- Montag, T., Maertz, C. P., & Baer, M. (2012). A critical analysis of the workplace creativity criterion space. *Journal of Management*, *38*(4), 1362–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312441835>
- Moreland, R. L. (2010). Are dyads really groups? *Small Group Research*, *41*(2), 251–267. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496409358618>

Mulder, M. (1977). *The daily power game. The daily power game*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Stenfert Kroese.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-6951-6>

N

Nijstad, B. A., De Dreu, C. K. W., Rietzschel, E. F., & Baas, M. (2010). The dual pathway to creativity model: Creative ideation as a function of flexibility and persistence. *European Review of Social Psychology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10463281003765323>

Nijstad, B. A., Diehl, M., & Stroebe, W. (2003). Cognitive stimulation and interference in idea-generating groups. In P. B. Paulus & B. A. Nijstad (Eds.), *Group Creativity: Innovation through collaboration* (pp. 137–159). New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195147308.003.0007>

O

Obhi, S. S., Swiderski, K. M., & Brubacher, S. P. (2012). Induced power changes the sense of agency. *Consciousness and Cognition*, *21*(3), 1547–1550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2012.06.008>

Obstfeld, D. (2005). Social networks, the tertius iungens orientation, and involvement in innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *50*(1), 100–130. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.1.100>

Oldham, G. R., & Cummings, A. (1996). Employee creativity: personal and contextual factors at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, *39*(3), 607–634. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256657>

Overbeck, J., & Park, B. (2001). When power does not corrupt: superior individuation processes among powerful perceivers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *81*(4), 549–565.

P

Pai, J., & Bendersky, C. (2020). Team status conflict. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.001>

Park, G., Spitzmuller, M., & DeShon, R. P. (2013). Advancing our understanding of team motivation: Integrating conceptual approaches and content areas. *Journal of Management*, *39*(5), 1339–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312471389>

Pauksztat, B., Steglich, C., & Wittek, R. (2011). Who speaks up to whom? A relational approach to employee voice. *Social Networks*, *33*(4), 303–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2011.10.001>

Pavey, L., Greitemeyer, T., & Sparks, P. (2012). "I help because I want to, not because you tell me to." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *38*(5), 681–689. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211435940>

Perry-Smith, J. E. (2006). Social yet creative: The role of social relationships in facilitating individual creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, *49*(1), 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2006.20785503>

Perry-Smith, J. E., & Mannucci, P. V. (2017). From creativity to innovation: The social network drivers of the four phases of the idea journey. *Academy of Management Review*, *42*(1), 53–79. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0462>

Perry-Smith, J. E., & Shalley, C. E. (2003). The social side of creativity: A static and dynamic social network perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, *28*(1), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2003.8925236>

- Petkanopoulou, K., Rodríguez-Bailón, R., Willis, G. B., & van Kleef, G. A. (2019). Powerless people don't yell but tell: The effects of social power on direct and indirect expression of anger. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 49*(3), 533–547. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2521>
- Pillemer, J., & Rothbard, N. P. (2018). Friends without benefits: Understanding the dark sides of workplace friendship. *Academy of Management Review, 43*(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2016.0309>
- Pirola-Merlo, A., & Mann, L. (2004). The relationship between individual creativity and team creativity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 25*(2), 235–257.
- Pitesa, M., & Thau, S. (2013). Compliant sinners, obstinate saints: How power and self-focus determine the effectiveness of social influences in ethical decision making. *Academy of Management Journal, 56*(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0891>
- Podsakoff, P., MacKenzie, S., Lee, J., & Podsakoff, N. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(5), 879–903.
- Porter, C. M., Keith, M. G., & Woo, S. E. (2020). A meta-analysis of network positions and creative performance: Differentiating creativity conceptualizations and measurement approaches. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 14*(1), 50–67. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000198>

R

- Reagans, R., & McEvily, B. (2003). Network structure and knowledge transfer: The effects of cohesion and range. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 48*(2), 240–267. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3556658>
- Reeve, J., & Deci, E. L. (1996). Elements of the competitive situation that affect intrinsic motivation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 22*(1), 24–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167296221003>
- Reis, H. T. (2007). Steps toward the ripening of relationship science. *Personal Relationships, 14*(1), 1–23.
- Rhodes, N., Shulman, H. C., & McClaran, N. (2020). Changing norms: A meta-analytic integration of research on social norms appeals. *Human Communication Research, 46*(2–3), 161–191. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hcr/hqz023>
- Robert, C., Probst, T. M., Martocchio, J. J., Drasgow, F., & Lawler, J. J. (2000). Empowerment and continuous improvement in the United States, Mexico, Poland, and India: Predicting fit on the basis of the dimensions of power distance and individualism. *Journal of applied psychology, 85*(5), 643.
- Ronay, R., Maddux, W. W., & Von Hippel, W. (2020). Inequality rules: Resource distribution and the evolution of dominance-and prestige-based leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly, 31*(2), 101246.
- Rouckhout, D., & Schacht, R. (2008). Handleiding Nederlandse Interpersoonlijke Adjectieven Schalen [Manual Dutch Interpersonal Adjectives Scales] (version 1.4).
- Rouse, E. D. (2020). Where you end and i begin: Understanding intimate co-creation. In *Academy of Management Review* (Vol. 45, pp. 181–204). <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2016.0388>
- Rucker, D. D., Preacher, K. J., Tormala, Z. L., & Petty, R. E. (2011). Mediation analysis in social psychology: Current practices and new recommendations. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5*(6), 359–371. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00355.x>

- Runco, M. A., & Jaeger, G. J. (2012). The standard definition of creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24(1), 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2012.650092>
- Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(5), 749–761. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.5.749>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- ## S
- Samila, S., Oettl, A., & Hasan, S. (2021). Helpful behavior and the durability of collaborative ties. *Organization Science*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2021.1511>
- Schaerer, M., du Plessis, C., Yap, A. J., & Thau, S. (2018). Low power individuals in social power research: A quantitative review, theoretical framework, and empirical test. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 149, 73–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2018.08.004>
- Seibert, S. E., Wang, G., & Courtright, S. H. (2011). Antecedents and consequences of psychological and team empowerment in organizations: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(5), 981–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022676>
- Shalley, C. E., Zhou, J., & Oldham, G. R. (2004). The effects of personal and contextual characteristics on creativity: Where should we go from here? *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 933–958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.06.007>
- Shore, L. M., & Thornton, G. C. (1986). Effects of gender on self- and supervisory ratings. *Academy of Management Journal*, 29(1), 115–129. <https://doi.org/10.5465/255863>
- Simmel, G. (1950). Individual and Society. In K. H. Wolff (Ed.), *The sociology of Georg Simmel*. New York: Free Press.
- Simonton, D. K. (2010). Creative thought as blind-variation and selective-retention: Combinatorial models of exceptional creativity. *Physics of Life Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plprev.2010.05.004>
- Simpson, B., Markovsky, B., & Steketee, M. (2011). Power and the perception of social networks. *Social Networks*, 33(2), 166–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2010.10.007>
- Sligte, D. J., de Dreu, C. K. W., & Nijstad, B. A. (2011). Power, stability of power, and creativity. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 47(5), 891–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2011.03.009>
- Smith, P. K., & Trope, Y. (2006). You focus on the forest when you're in charge of the trees: Power priming and abstract information processing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90(4), 578–596. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.4.578>
- Soda, G., Mannucci, P. V., & Burt, R. S. (2021). Networks, creativity, and time: Staying creative through brokerage and network rejuvenation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 64(4), 1164–1190. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2019.1209>
- Soda, G., Stea, D., & Pedersen, T. (2019). Network structure, collaborative context, and individual creativity. *Journal of Management*, 45(4), 1739–1765. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206317724509>

- Sondak, H., & Bazerman, M. H. (1991). Power balance and the rationality of outcomes in matching markets. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(1), 1–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90031-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90031-N)
- Sosa, M. E. (2011). Where do creative interactions come from? The role of tie content and social networks. *Organization Science*, 22(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0519>
- Spataro, S. E., Pettit, N. C., Sauer, S. J., & Lount, R. B. (2014). Interactions among same-status peers: Effects of behavioral style and status level. *Small Group Research*, 45(3), 314–336. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496414532532>
- Spreitzer, G. (2008). Taking stock: A review of more than twenty years of research on empowerment at work. In J. Barling & C. L. Cooper (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Behavior: Volume One: Micro Approaches* (pp. 54–72). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849200448.n4>
- Stea, D., & Pedersen, T. (2017). Not all brokers are alike: Creative implications of brokering networks in different work functions. *Human Relations*, 70(6), 668–693. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726716672921>

T

- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2012). *Using multivariate statistics (6th ed.)*. New York: Harper and Row. <https://doi.org/10.1037/022267>
- Taggar, S. (2002). Individual creativity and group ability to utilize individual creative resources: A multilevel model. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(2), 315–330. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3069349>
- Taras, V., Kirkman, B. L., & Steel, P. (2010). Examining the impact of culture's consequences: A three-decade, multilevel, meta-analytic review of Hofstede's cultural value dimensions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95: 405–439.
- Tasselli, S., & Kilduff, M. (2021). Network agency. *Academy of Management Annals*, 15(1), 68–110. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2019.0037>
- Ter Wal, A. L. J., Alexy, O., Block, J., & Sandner, P. G. (2016). The best of both worlds: The benefits of open-specialized and closed-diverse syndication networks for new ventures' success. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 61(3), 393–432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839216637849>
- Ter Wal, A. L. J., Criscuolo, P., McEvily, B., & Salter, A. (2020). Dual networking: How collaborators network in their quest for innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 65(4), 887–930. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839219893691>
- The World Bank Group (2023a). *Metadata glossary*. DataBank. <https://databank.worldbank.org/metadataglossary/gender-statistics/series/SI.POV.GINI>
- The World Bank Group (2023b). *World development indicators*. DataBank. (Gini index; SI.POV.GINI) [Data set]. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators/Series/SI.POV.GINI#>
- Tiedens, L. Z., & Fragale, A. R. (2003). Power moves: Complementarity in dominant and submissive nonverbal behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(3), 558–568. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.558>

- Tiedens, L. Z., Unzueta, M. M., & Young, M. J. (2007). An unconscious desire for hierarchy? The motivated perception of dominance complementarity in task partners. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 93*(3), 402–414. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.3.402>
- Tjosvold, D., Wong, A. S. H., & Feng Chen, N. Y. (2014). Constructively managing conflicts in organizations. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091306>
- Tortoriello, M., & Krackhardt, D. (2010). Activating cross-boundary knowledge: the role of simmelian ties in the generation of innovations. *Academy of Management Journal, 53*(1), 167–181. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.48037420>
- Tortoriello, M., McEvily, B., & Krackhardt, D. (2015). Being a catalyst of innovation: The role of knowledge diversity and network closure. *Organization Science, 26*(2), 423–438. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2014.0942>

V

- Van Bunderen, L., Greer, L. L., & Van Knippenberg, D. (2018). When interteam conflict spirals into intrateam power struggles: The pivotal role of team power structures. *Academy of Management Journal, 61*(3), 1100–1130. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.0182>
- Van de Ven, A. H. (1986). Central problems in the management of innovation. *Management Science, 32*(5), 590–607. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2631848>
- Van Kleef, G. A., & Lange, J. (2020). How hierarchy shapes our emotional lives: effects of power and status on emotional experience, expression, and responsiveness. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.009>
- Van Knippenberg, D. (2017). Team innovation. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113240>
- Van Knippenberg, D., & Hirst, G. (2015). A cross-level perspective on creativity at work: Person-in-situation interactions. In *Oxford Handbook of Creativity, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 225–244). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199927678.013.0013>
- Van Knippenberg, D., & Hoever, I. J. (2017). Team diversity and team creativity: A categorization-elaboration perspective. In *Team Creativity and Innovation* (pp. 41–60). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190222093.003.0003>
- Venkataramani, V., Richter, A. W., & Clarke, R. (2014). Creative benefits from well-connected leaders: Leader social network ties as facilitators of employee radical creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 99*(5), 966–975. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037088>

W

- Walton, R. E. (1969). *Interpersonal peacemaking: Confrontations and third party consultation*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- Wang, X. H., Kim, T. Y., & Lee, D. R. (2016). Cognitive diversity and team creativity: Effects of team intrinsic motivation and transformational leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(9), 3231–3239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.02.026>
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social network analysis: Methods and applications*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Weinstein, N., Hodgins, H. S., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). Autonomy and control in dyads: Effects on interaction quality and joint creative performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *36*(12), 1603–1617. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210386385>
- Weinstein, N., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). When helping helps: Autonomous motivation for prosocial behavior and its influence on well-being for the helper and recipient. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *98*(2), 222–244. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016984>
- Welbourne, T. M., Johnson, D. E., & Erez, A. (1998). The role-based performance scale: Validity analysis of a theory-based measure. *Academy of Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256941>
- Willis, G. B., Guinote, A., & Rodríguez-Bailón, R. (2010). Illegitimacy improves goal pursuit in powerless individuals. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *46*(2), 416–419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.10.009>
- Wiltermuth, S. S., & Flynn, F. J. (2013). Power, moral clarity, and punishment in the workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, *56*(4), 1002–1023. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0960>
- Wiltermuth, S., Tiedens, L. Z., & Neale, M. (2015). The benefits of dominance complementarity in negotiations. *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research*, *8*(3), 194–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ncmr.12052>
- Woodman, R. W., Sawyer, J. E., & Griffin, R. W. (1993). Toward a theory of organizational creativity. *Academy of Management Review*, *18*(2), 293–321. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1993.3997517>
- Wu, Y., & Chang, K. (2013). Network diversity and social cohesion in creative performance: a view of communication media mix. In *Thirty Fourth International Conference on Information Systems*. Milan.
- Wu, Y., Chang, K., & Sha, X. (2016). Creative performance in the workplace: The roles of Simmelian ties and communication media. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *63*, 575–583.

Y

- Yang, J., & Mossholder, K. W. (2004). Decoupling task and relationship conflict: The role of intragroup emotional processing. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.258>
- Yap, A. J., Madan, N., & Puranam, P. (2022). Formal hierarchy as a source of upward status disagreement? A theoretical perspective. *Organization Science*, *33*(1), 464–482.
- Yu, M., & Choi, J. N. (2022). How do feedback seekers think? Disparate cognitive pathways towards incremental and radical creativity. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, *31*(3), 470–483. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2021.1991914>

Z

- Zhao, E. Y., & Greer, L. L. (2017). When the powerful is paranoid: Effects on power struggles, and performance. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, *2017*(1), 17571. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2017.161>

- Zhou, J., & Hoever, I. J. (2014). Research on workplace creativity: A review and redirection. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1(1), 333–359. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091226>
- Zhou, J., & Hoever, I. J. (2023). Understanding the dynamic interplay between actor and context for creativity: progress and desirable directions. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 10, 109-135.
- Zhou, J., & Oldham, G. R. (2001). Enhancing creative performance: Effects of expected developmental assessment strategies and creative personality. *Journal of Creative Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2001.tb01044.x>
- Zhou, J., & Shalley, C. E. (2011). Deepening our understanding of creativity in the workplace: A review of different approaches to creativity research. In *APA handbook of industrial and organizational psychology, Vol 1: Building and developing the organization*. (pp. 275–302). <https://doi.org/10.1037/12169-009>
- Zhou, J., Shin, S. J., Brass, D. J., Choi, J., & Zhang, Z. X. (2009). Social networks, personal values, and creativity: Evidence for curvilinear and interaction effects. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(6), 1544–1552. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016285>
- Zlatev, J. J., Halevy, N., & Tiedens, L. Z. (2016). Roles and ranks: The importance of hierarchy for group functioning. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 39, e166. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X15001545>