



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Agents, assumptions and motivations behind REDD+

Lovera-Bilderbeek, A.S.E.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Lovera-Bilderbeek, A. S. E. (2017). Agents, assumptions and motivations behind REDD+.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

References

- Abram, N.K., MacMillan, D. C., Xofis, P., Ancrenaz, M., Tzanopoulos, J., Ong, R., Goossens, B. et al., 2016. Identifying where REDD+ financially out-competes oil palm in floodplain landscapes using a fine-scale approach. *PLoS ONE* 11(6): e0156481, 23pp. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156481.
- Acheampong, E., Insaideo, T.F.G. and Ros-Tonen, M.A.F., 2016. Management of Ghana's modified Taungya system: challenges and strategies for improvement. *Agroforestry Systems* 90(4), 659-674.
- Adekunle, V.A.J., Olagoke, A.O. and Ogundare, L.F., 2010. Logging impacts in tropical lowland humid forest on tree species diversity and environmental conservation, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 29(5), 517-538.
- Agrawal, A. and Gibson, C., 1999. Enchantment and disenchantment: The role of community in natural resource conservation. *World Development* 27(4): 629-649.
- Agrawal, A., 2001. Common property institutions and sustainable governance of resources. *World Development* 29(10), 1649-1672.
- Agrawal, A., 2007. Forests, governance, and sustainability: Common property theory and its contributions. *International Journal of the Commons* 1(1), 111-136.
- Aguilar, S., 2007. Elements for a robust climate regime post-2012: Options for mitigation. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 16(3), 356-367.
- Agung Prasetyo, F., Suwarno, A., Purwanto and Hakim, R., 2009. Making policies work for Payment for Environmental Services (PES): An evaluation of the experience of formulating conservation policies in districts of Indonesia, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 28(3-5), 415-433.
- Aldy, J.E. and Stavins, R.N., 2012. The promise and problems of pricing carbon: Theory and experience. *Journal of Environment & Development* 21(2), 152-180.
- Alix-Garcia, J., de Janvry, A., Sadoulet, E. and Torres, J.M., 2005. An Assessment of Mexico's Payment for Environmental Services program. University of California at Berkeley, USA and CIDE for the FAO Comparative Studies Service Agricultural and Development Economics Division, Rome, Italy. 85 pp.
- Allan, J.I. and Dauvergne, P., 2013. The Global South in environmental negotiations: The politics of coalitions in REDD+, *Third World Quarterly* 34(8), 1307-1322.
- Alter, K.J. and Meunier, S., 2009. The politics of international regime complexity. *Perspectives on Politics* 7(1), 13-24.
- Almeida, F. and Hatcher, J., 2011. What rights? Measuring the depth of indigenous peoples and community forest tenure: Preliminary findings from a legal analysis of 33 forest tenure regimes in 15 countries. Rights and Resources Initiative, Washington, D.C., USA, 8 pp.
- Alvarado, L.X.R. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., 2007. Why are we seeing 'REDD+'? An analysis of the international debate on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Developing Countries. Analyses. Institut du Développement Durable et des Relations Internationales, Paris, France, 28 pp.
- Andam, K.S., Ferraro, P.J., Pfaff, A., Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A.A., 2008. Measuring the effectiveness of protected area networks in reducing deforestation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(42), 16089-16094.
- Andersson, K. and Richards, K.R., 2001. Implementing an international carbon sequestration program: Can the leaky sink be fixed? *Climate Policy* 1, 173-188
- Andersson, K., Lawrence, D., Zavaleta, J. and Guariguata, M.R., 2015. More trees, more poverty? The socioeconomic effects of tree plantations in Chile, 2001–2011. *Environmental Management* DOI 10.1007/s00267-015-0594-x, 14 pp.
- Andresen, S., 2007. Key actors in UN environmental governance: Influence, reform and leadership. *International Environmental Agreements* 7, 457-468.

- Angelsen, A. and Kaimowitz, D. (eds) 2001. *Agricultural technologies and tropical deforestation*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 436 pp.
- Angelsen, A., 2007. *Forest cover change in space and time: Combining the Von Thünen and forest transition theories*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4117. The World Bank, Washington, D.C., 43 pp.
- Angelsen, A., Peskett, L., Brown, and Luttrell, C., 2008. *What is the right scale for REDD+? The implications of national, subnational and nested Approaches*. CIFOR Infobrief no. 15, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 6 pp.
- Angelsen, A., 2008a. *REDD+ models and baselines*. *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 465–275.
- Angelsen, A. (ed.), 2008b. *Moving ahead with REDD+: Issues, options and implications*. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 172 pp.
- Angelsen, A., Brockhous, M., Kanninen, M., Sills, E., Sunderlin, W.D. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. (eds.) 2009. *Realising REDD+: National strategy and policy options*. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 390 pp.
- Angelsen, A., 2009. *Incentives to sustain forest ecosystem services: a review and lessons for REDD+*. Natural Resources Issues No. 16. International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK with CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia and World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., USA, 47 pp.
- Angelsen, A., 2010. *Policies for reduced deforestation and their impact on agricultural production*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0912014107, 6 pp.
- Apgar, M.J., Allen, W., Moore, K. and Ataria, J., 2015. *Understanding adaptation and transformation through indigenous practice: The case of the Guna of Panama*. *Ecology and Society* 20(1): 45, 11 pp.
- Arhin, A.A., 2014. *Safeguards and dangerguards: A framework for unpacking the black box of safeguards for REDD+*. *Forest Policy and Economics* 45, 24-31.
- Arriagada, R.A., Sills, E.O., Pattanayak, S.K. and Ferraro, P.J., 2009. *Combining qualitative and quantitative methods to evaluate participation in Costa Rica's program of payments for environmental services*, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 28(3-5), 343-367.
- Arts, B., 1998. *The political influence of global NGOs. Case Studies on the Climate and Biodiversity Conventions*. International Books, Utrecht, the Netherlands, 300 pp.
- Arts, B., 2006. *Non-State actors in global environmental governance – new arrangements beyond the State*. In: Koenig-Archibugi, M., Zürn, M. (Eds.), *New modes of governance in the global system – exploring publicness, delegation and inclusiveness*. Palgrave Macmillan, Hampshire, USA, 177-200.
- Arts, B. and Buizer, M., 2009. *Forests, discourses, institutions. A discursive-institutional analysis of global forest governance*. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 11, 340-347.
- Arts, B., Appelstrand, M., Kleinschmit, D., Pulzl, H. and Visseren-Hamakers, I., “Discourses, actors and instruments in international forest governance”. In: Rayner, J., Buck, A. and Katila, P. (eds.), 2010. *Embracing complexity: Meeting the challenges of international forest governance. A global assessment report*. Prepared by the Global Forest Expert Panel on the International Forest Regime. IUFRO World Series Volume 28, Vienna, Austria, 172 pp.
- Arts, B. and Visseren-Hamakers, I.J., 2012. *Forest governance: Mainstream and critical views*. *European Tropical Forest Research Network News* 53, 3-10.
- Arts, B., 2012. *Forests policy analysis and theory use: Overview and trends*. *Forest Policy and Economics* 16, 7-13.
- Asquith, N. M., Vargas Ríos, M. T. and Smith, J., 2002. *Can forest- protection carbon projects improve rural livelihoods? Analysis of the Noel Kempff Mercado climate action project, Bolivia*. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 7(4), 323-337.

- Atmadja, S. and Verchot, L., 2012. A review of the state of research, policies and strategies in addressing leakage from reducing emissions^[1] from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+). *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 17, 311-336.
- Aubertin, C., 2015. Deforestation control policies in Brazil: Sovereignty versus the market. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods* 24(3), 147-162.
- Auer, M.R., 2000. Who participates in global environmental governance? Partial answers from international relations theory. *Policy Sciences* 33(2), 155-180.
- Aukland, L., Moura Costa, P. and Brown, S. 2003. A conceptual framework and its application for addressing leakage: The case of avoided deforestation. *Climate Policy* 3, 123-136.
- Aune, J.B., Alemu, A.T. and Gautam, K.P., 2004. Carbon sequestration in rural communities. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 21(1), 69-79.
- Backstrand, K., 2004. Scientisation vs. civic expertise in environmental governance: Eco-feminist, eco-modern and post-modern responses, *Environmental Politics* 13(4), 695-714.
- Backstrand, K and Lovbrand, E., 2006. Planting trees to mitigate climate change: Contested discourses of ecological modernization, green governmentality and civic environmentalism. *Global Environmental Politics* 6(1), 50-75.
- Backstrand, K. and Kylsater, M., 2014. Old wine in new bottles? The legitimation and delegitimation of UN public-private partnerships for sustainable development from the Johannesburg Summit to the Rio+20 Summit, *Globalizations* 11(3), 331-347.
- Baker, J., Milner-Gulland, E.J. and Leader-Williams, N., 2011. Park gazettement and integrated conservation and development as factors in community conflict at Bwindi Impenetrable Forest, Uganda. *Conservation Biology* 26(1), 160-170.
- Balmford, A. and Whitten, T., 2003. Who should pay for tropical conservation, and how could the costs be met? *Oryx* 37(2), 238-250.
- Barr, C.M. and Sayer, J.A., 2012. The political economy of reforestation and forest restoration in Asia-Pacific: Critical issues for REDD+. *Biological Conservation* 154, 9-19.
- Basnet, R, 2009. Carbon ownership in community managed forests. *Journal of Forest and Livelihood* 8(1), 77-83.
- Bauer, S., Biermann, F., Dingwerth, K., and Siebenhüner, B. 2009. Understanding international bureaucracies: Taking stock. In: Biermann, F. and Siebenhüner, B (Eds.) *Managers of global governance. The influence of international environmental bureaucracies*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA / London, UK, 15-36.
- Bausch, C. and Mehling, M., 2006. 'Alive and kicking': The First Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 15(2), 193-201.
- Bekessy, S.A. and Wintle, B.A., 2008. Using carbon investment to grow the biodiversity bank. *Conservation Biology* 22(3), 510-513.
- Benessaiah, K., 2012. Carbon and livelihoods in Post-Kyoto: Assessing voluntary carbon markets. *Ecological Economics* 77, 1-6.
- Benjaminsen, T.A. and Svarstad, H., 2010. 'The death of an elephant: Conservation discourses versus practices in Africa. *Forum for Development Studies* 37(3), 385-408.
- Bennett, M.T., 2008. China's sloping land conversion program: Institutional innovation or business as usual? *Ecological Economics* 65 (2008), 699-711.
- Bernauer, T. and Betzold, C., 2012. Civil society in global environmental governance. *The Journal of Environment & Development*, 2012 21, 62-66.
- Betsill, M.M., and Corell, E., 2001. NGO Influence in international environmental negotiations: A framework for analysis. *Global Environmental Politics* 1(4), 65-85.
- Beymer-Farris, B.A. and Bassett, T.J., 2012. The REDD+ menace: Resurgent protectionism in Tanzania's mangrove forests. *Global Environmental Change* 22, 332-341.
- Biermann, F., 2007. 'Earth System Governance' as a crosscutting theme of global change research. *Global Environmental Change* 17, 326-337.

- Biermann, F. and Pattberg P., 2008. Global environmental governance: Taking stock and moving forward. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 33, 277-294.
- Biermann, F., Davies, O. and van der Grijp, N., 2009a. Environmental policy integration and the architecture of global environmental governance. *International Environmental Agreements* 9, 351-369.
- Biermann, F., Pattberg, P., van Asselt, H., and Zelli, F., 2009b. The fragmentation of global governance architectures: A framework for analysis. *Global Environmental Politics* 9(4), 14-40.
- Biermann, F. and Siebenhüner, B. (Eds.), 2009. *Managers of global change: The influence of international environmental bureaucracies*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA/London, UK, 14 pp.
- Biermann, F. and Gupta, A., 2011. Accountability and legitimacy in earth system governance: A research framework. *Ecological Economics* 70, 1856-1864.
- Bishop, J., Kapila, S., Hicks, F., Mitchell, P. and Vorhies, F., 2010. New business models for biodiversity conservation, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 285-303.
- Blom, B., Sunderland, T. and Murdiyarto, D., 2010. Getting REDD+ to work locally: Lessons learned from integrated conservation and development projects. *Environmental Science & Policy* 13, 164-172.
- Bluffstone, R., Robinson, W. and Guthiga, P., 2013. REDD+ and community-controlled forests in low-income countries: Any hope for a linkage? *Ecological Economics* 87, 43-52.
- Bodley, R., Donat, L. and Duwe, M., 2016. *The Paris Agreement: Analysis, assessment and outlook*. Background paper for the workshop "Beyond COP21: what does Paris mean for future climate policy?", January 2016, BMUB, Berlin, Germany, 30 pp.
- Bohmelt, T. and Betzold, C. 2012. The impact of environmental interest groups in international negotiations: Do ENGOs induce stronger environmental commitments? *International Environmental Agreements* DOI 10.1007/s10784-012-9180-3, 25 pp.
- Bond, I., Grieg-Gran, M., Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., Hazlewood, P., Wunder, S. and Angelsen, A., 2009. Incentives to sustain forest ecosystem services: A review and lessons for REDD+. *Natural Resources Issues* 16. International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK with CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia and World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., USA, 47pp.
- Bonnie, R., and Schwartzman, S., 2003. Tropical deforestation and reforestation and the Kyoto Protocol. *Conservation Biology* 17(1), 4-5.
- Börner, J. and Wunder, S., 2008. Paying for avoided deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: From cost assessment to scheme design. *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 496-511.
- Börner, J., Wunder, S., Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., Rüginitz Tito, M., Pereira, L. and Nascimento, N., 2010. Direct conservation payments in the Brazilian Amazon: Scope and equity implications. *Ecological Economics* 69(6), 1272-1282 .
- Börner, J., Wunder, S., Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., Hyman, G. and Nascimento, N., 2011. REDD+ sticks and carrots in the Brazilian Amazon: Assessing costs and livelihood implications. *CCAFS Working Paper 8*. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS). Copenhagen, Denmark, 42 pp.
- Borrego, A. and Skutsch, M., 2014. Estimating the opportunity costs of activities that cause degradation in tropical dry forest: Implications for REDD+. *Ecological Economics* 101, 1-9.
- Bottazzi, P., Cattaneo, A., Crespo Rocha, D. and Rist, S., 2013. Assessing sustainable forest management under REDD+: A community-based labour perspective. *Ecological Economics* 93, 94-103.
- Boucher, D., Elias, P., Lininger, K., May-Tobin, C., Roquemore, S., and Saxon, E., 2011. *The root of the problem, what's driving tropical deforestation today?* Union of Concerned Scientists, Cambridge, USA, 126 pp.

- Boucher, D., 2015. The REDD+/carbon market offsets debate: Big argument, small potatoes. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 34(6-7), 547-558.
- Bouteligier, S., 2011. Exploring the agency of global environmental consultancy firms in earth system governance. *International Environmental Agreements* 11, 43-61.
- Boyd, E. and Schipper, E.L., 2002. The Marrakech Accord—At the crossroad to ratification: Seventh Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. *The Journal of Environment Development* 11, 184-190.
- Boyd E., May, P., Chang, M. and Veiga, F.C., 2007. Exploring socioeconomic impacts of forest based mitigation projects: Lessons from Brazil and Bolivia. *Environmental Science & Policy* 10, 419-433.
- Boyd, E., Corbera, E. and Estrada, M., 2008. UNFCCC negotiations (pre-Kyoto to COP-9): What the process says about the politics of CDM-sinks. *International Environmental Agreements* 8, 95-112.
- Boyd, E., 2009. Governing the Clean Development Mechanism: Global rhetoric versus local realities in carbon sequestration projects. *Environment and Planning A* 41, 2380-2395.
- Bozmoski, A. and Hepburn, C., 2009. The interminable politics of forest carbon: an EU outlook. Background paper for forest carbon finance summit 2009: making forest carbon markets work. Washington, DC. 6-8 March 2009
- Bozmoski, A.S., and Hultman, N.E., 2009. Participant perceptions of risk and benefit in carbon forestry: Evidence from central Tanzania. *Journal of Environment & Development* 19(1), 4-27.
- Brockhaus, M. and Angelsen, A., 2012. Seeing REDD+ through 4Is: a political economy framework. In: Angelsen, A., Brockhaus, M., Sunderlin, W.D., Verchot, L. (Eds.), *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and choices*. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 15-30.
- Brockhaus, M., Di Gregorio, M. and Mardiah, S., 2013. Governing the design of national REDD+: An analysis of the power of agency. *Forest Policy and Economics* 49, 23-33.
- Brockhaus, M., Di Gregorio, M. and Carmenta, R., 2014. REDD+ policy networks: Exploring actors and power structures in an emerging policy domain. *Ecology and Society* 19(4): 29, 32 pp.
- Brockington, D., Igoe, J. and Schmidt-Soltau, K., 2006. Conservation, human rights, and poverty reduction. *Conservation Biology* 20(1), 250-252.
- Broughton, E and Pirard, R., 2011. What's in a name? Market-based instruments for biodiversity. *Health and Environment reports* 8, Institut Français des Relations Internationales, Paris, France, 82 pp.
- Brown, K., 2001. Cut and run. Evolving institutions for global forest governance. *Journal of International Development* 13, 893-905 .
- Brown, M., 2010. Limiting Corrupt Incentives in a Global REDD+ Regime. *Ecology Law Quarterly*, Vol. 37, 237 – 268.
- Brown, L.D., Ebrahim, A. and Batliwala, S., 2012. Governing international advocacy NGOs. *World Development* 40(6), 1098-1108.
- Bruce, J., 2012. Identifying and working with beneficiaries when rights are unclear: Insights for REDD+ initiatives. Program on Forests (PROFOR), Washington, D.C, USA, 88 pp.
- Bryan, B.A., 2013. Incentives, land use, and ecosystem services: Synthesizing complex linkages. *Environmental Science & Policy* 27, 124-134.
- Bulkeley, H., 2000. Discourse coalitions and the Australian climate change policy network. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 18, 727-748.
- Cacho, O.J., Wise, R.M. and MacDicken, K.G., 2004. Carbon monitoring costs and their effects on incentives to sequester carbon through forestry. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 15(4), 273-293.
- Cacho, O.J., Marshall, G.R. and Milne, M., 2005. Transaction and abatement costs of carbon-sink projects in developing countries. *Environment and Development Economics* 10, 597-614.

- Cacho, O.J., Milne, S., Gonzalez, R. and Tacconi, L., 2014. Benefits and costs of deforestation by smallholders: Implications for forest conservation and climate policy. *Ecological Economics* 107, 321-332.
- Cadman, T. and Maraseni, T., 2013. More equal than others? A comparative analysis of state and non-state perceptions of interest representation and decision-making in REDD+ negotiations. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 26(3), 214-230.
- Cain, Z. and Lovejoy, S., 2004. History and outlook for Farm Bill Conservation Programs. Choices, 4th Quarter, American Agricultural Economics Association, USA, 37-42.
- Campbell, B.M., 2009. Beyond Copenhagen: REDD+, agriculture, adaptation strategies and poverty. *Global Environmental Change* 19, 397-399.
- Caplow, S., Jagger, P., Lawlor, K. and Sills, E., 2011. Evaluating land use and livelihood impacts of early forest carbon projects: Lessons for learning about REDD+. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14(2), 152-167.
- Cashore, B., Galloway, G., Cubbage, F., Humphreys, D., Katila, P., Levin, K., Maryudi, A., McDermott, C. and McGinley, K., 2010. Ability of institutions to address new challenges. In: Mery, G., Katila, P., Galloway, G., Alfaro, R., Kanninen, M., Lobovikov, M. and Varjo, J. (Eds). *Forests and Society – Responding to Global Drivers of Change*. International Union of Forest Research Organizations World Series 25, Tampere, Finland: 441-485.
- Castillo-Santiago, M.A., Hellier, A., Tipper, R. and de Jong, B.H.J., 2007. Carbon emissions from land-use change: An analysis of causal factors in Chiapas, Mexico. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12, 1213-1235.
- Cattaneo, A., Lubowski, R., Busch, J., Creed, A., Strassburg, B., Boltz, F. and Ashton, R., 2010. On international equity in reducing emissions from deforestation. *Environmental Science & Policy* 13, 742-753.
- Cenamó, M.C. and Carrero, G.C., 2012. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) in Apuí, Southern Amazonas: Challenges and caveats related to land tenure and governance in the Brazilian Amazon, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 31(4-5), 445-468.
- Cerbu, G.A., Swallow, B.M. and Thompson, D.Y., 2011. Locating REDD+: A global survey and analysis of REDD+ readiness and demonstration activities. *Environmental Science and Policy* 14, 168-180
- Chandrasekharan Behr, D., Cunningham, E.M., Kajembe, G., Mbeyale, G., Nsita, S. and Rosenbaum, K.L., 2012. Benefit sharing in practice, insights for REDD+ initiatives. Program on Forests (Profor), Washington D.C., USA, 152 pp.
- Charnley, S., 2005. Industrial plantation forestry, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 21(4), 35-57.
- Chen, X, Lupi, F., Vina, A., He, G and Liu, J., 2010. Using cost-effective targeting to enhance the efficiency of conservation investments in payments for ecosystem services. *Conservation Biology* 24(6), 1469-1478.
- Chester, C.C. and Moomaw, W.R., 2008. A taxonomy of collaborative governance: A guide to understanding the diversity of international and domestic conservation accords. *International Environmental Agreements* 8, 187-206.
- Chhatre, A. and Agrawal, A., 2009. Trade-offs and synergies between carbon storage and livelihood benefits from forest commons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(42), 17667-17670.
- Chomba, S., Kariuki, J., Friis Lund, J. and Sinclair, F., 2016. Roots of inequity: How the implementation of REDD+ reinforces past injustices. *Land Use Policy* 50, 202-213.
- Chomitz, K.M., 2002. Baseline, leakage and measurement issues: How do forestry and energy projects compare? *Climate Policy* 2, 35-49.
- Chomitz, K.M., with Buys, P., De Luca, G., Thomas, T.S. and Wertz- Kanounnikoff, S., 2007. At loggerheads? Agricultural expansion, poverty reduction, and environment in the tropical forests. A World Bank Policy Research Report. The World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA, 284 pp.

- Choumert, J., Combes Motel, P. and Dakpo, H.K., 2013. Is the Environmental Kuznets Curve for deforestation a threatened theory? A meta-analysis of the literature. *Ecological Economics* 90, 19-28.
- Coad, L., Campbell, A., Miles, L. and Humphries, K., 2008. The costs and benefits of protected areas for local livelihoods: A review of the current literature. Working Paper. UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre, Cambridge, U.K, 45 pp.
- Cock, A.R., 2008. Tropical forests in the global States system. *International Affairs* 84(2), 315-333.
- Colfer, C.J.P., 2011. Marginalized forest peoples' perceptions of the legitimacy of governance: An exploration. *World Development* 39(12), 2147-2164.
- Combes Motel, P., Pirard, R. and Combes, J.-L., 2009. A methodology to estimate impacts of domestic policies on deforestation: Compensated successful efforts for "avoided deforestation" (REDD+). *Ecological Economics* 68, 680-691.
- Contreras-Hermosilla, A., 2000. The underlying causes of forest decline. Occasional Paper 30, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 29 pp.
- Cooley, D.M., Galik, C.S., Holmes, T.P., Kousky, C. and Cooke, R.M., 2012. Managing dependencies in forest offset projects: Toward a more complete evaluation of reversal risk. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 17, 17-24.
- Coomes, O.T., Grimard, F., Potvin, C. and Sima, P., 2008. The fate of the tropical forest: Carbon or cattle? *Ecological Economics* 65(2), 207-212.
- Corbera, E., Kosoy, N. and Martínez Tuna, M., 2007. Equity implications of marketing ecosystem services in protected areas and rural communities: case studies from Meso-America. *Global Environmental Change* 17(3-4), 365-380.
- Corbera, E. and Brown, K., 2008. Building institutions to trade ecosystem services: Marketing forest carbon in Mexico. *World Development* 36(10), 1956-1979.
- Corbera, E., Gonzalez Soberanis, C., and Brown, K., 2009. Institutional dimensions of payments for ecosystem services: An analysis of Mexico's carbon forestry programme. *Ecological Economics* 68, 743-761.
- Corbera, E., Estrada, M. and Brown, K., 2010. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries: Revisiting the assumptions. *Climate Change* 100, 355-388.
- Corbera, E. and Schroeder, H., 2011. Governing and implementing REDD+. *Environmental Science and Policy* 14, 89-99.
- Cotula, L., and Mayers, J., 2009. Tenure in REDD+: Start-point or afterthought? *Natural Resource Issues* 15, International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK, 58 pp.
- Crossman, N.D., Bryan, B.A. and Summers, D.M., 2011. Carbon payments and low-costs conservation. *Conservation Biology* 25(4), 835-845.
- Daniels, A.E., Bagstad, K., Esposito, V., Moulart, A. and Rodriguez, C.M., 2010. Understanding the impacts of Costa Rica's PES: Are we asking the right questions? *Ecological Economics* 69, 2116-2126.
- Dargusch, P., Lawrence, K., Herbohn, J. and Medrilzam, 2010. A small-scale forestry perspective on constraints to including REDD+ in international carbon markets. *Small-scale Forestry* 9, 485-499.
- Daviet, F., 2009. Improving LULUCF offset projects: A carbon performance standard and ecosystem services, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 481-496.
- Den Besten, J.W., Arts, B. and Verkooyen, P., 2014. The evolution of REDD+: An analysis of discursive- institutional dynamics. *Environmental Science & Policy* 35, 40-48.
- De Groot, R.S., Wilson, M.A. and Boumans, R.M.J., 2002. A typology for the classification, description and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods and services. *Ecological Economics* 41, 393-408.
- Dellas, E., Pattberg, P. and Betsill, M., 2011. Agency in earth system governance: Refining a research agenda. *International Environmental Agreements* 11, 85-98.

- De Jong, B.H.J., Hellier, A., Castillo-Santiago, M.A. and Tipper, R., 2005. Application of the 'Climafor' approach to estimate baseline carbon emissions of a forest conservation project in the Selva Lacandona, Chiapas, Mexico. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 10, 265-278.
- De Jong, B.H.J. and Esquivel Bazan, E., 2007. Application of the "Climafor" baseline to determine leakage: the case of Scolec Té. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12, 1153-1168.
- De Koning, F., Aguinaga, M., Bravo, M., Chiu, M., Lascano, M., Lozada, T. and Suarez, L., 2011. Bridging the gap between forest conservation and poverty alleviation: The Ecuadorian Socio Bosque program. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14, 531-542.
- Di Gregorio, M., 2012. Networking in environmental movement organisation coalitions: Interest, values or discourse? *Environmental Politics*, 21(1), 1-25 .
- Dingwerth, K. and Pattberg, P., 2006. Global governance as a perspective on world politics. *Global Governance* 12(2), 185-203.
- Doherty, E. and Schroeder, H., 2011. Forest tenure and multi-level governance in avoiding deforestation under REDD+. *Global Environmental Politics* 11(4), 66-88.
- Dombrowski, K., 2010. Filling the gap? An analysis of non-governmental organizations responses to participation and representation deficits in global climate governance. *International Environmental Agreements* 10, 397-416.
- Dryzek, J.S., 2005. *The politics of the earth*. Environmental Discourses 2. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 270 pp.
- Dudley, R.G., 2005. A generic look at payments for environmental services, plan or scam? Paper prepared for the 23rd International System Dynamics Conference, Bogor, Indonesia and Portland, Oregon, USA, 30 pp.
- Dudley, R.G., 2010. A little REDD+ model to quickly compare possible baseline and policy scenarios for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 15, 53-69.
- Dulal, H.B., Shah, K.U. and Sapkota, C., 2012. Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+) projects: Lessons for future policy design and implementation, *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 19(2), 116-129.
- Dutschke, M. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., with Peskett, L., Luttrell, C., Streck, C. and Brown, J., 2008. *Financing REDD+, Linking country needs and financing sources*. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 6 pp.
- Dutschke, M., Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., Peskett, L., Luttrell, C., Streck, C. and Brown, J., 2008. Mapping potential sources of REDD+ financing to different needs and national circumstances. Draft CIFOR working paper, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, Amazon Environmental Research Institute, Brasilia, Brazil and Overseas Development Institute, London, UK, 34 pp.
- Dutschke, M., 2010. *Forestry, risk and climate policy*. Thesis for the University of Groningen, Cuvillier Verlag, Göttingen, Germany, 218 pp.
- Eagleton-Pierce, M., 2011. Advancing a reflexive international relations. *Millennium Journal of International Studies*, 39(3), 805-823.
- Eisenhardt, K.M., 1989. Agency theory: An assessment and review. *The Academy of Management Review* 14(1), 57-74.
- Ekins, P., Kesicki, F. and Smith, A.Z.P., 2011. *Marginal abatement cost curves: A call for caution*. UCL Energy Institute, University College London, UK, 37 pp.
- Elias, P.E., 2013. How can we incentivize tropical forest restoration? *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 32(7), 694-701.
- Eliasch, J., 2008. *Climate change: financing global forests. The Eliasch review*. Office of Climate Change, London, UK, pp. 250.
- Engel, S., Pagiola, S. and Wunder, S., 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. *Ecological Economics* 65(4), 663-674.

- Eyckmans, J. and Finus, M., 2007. Measures to enhance the success of global climate treaties. *International Environmental Agreements* 7, 73-97.
- Ezzine-de-Blas, D., Börner, J., Violato-Espada, A.L., Nascimento, N., Piketty, M.G., 2011. Forest loss and management in land reform settlements: Implications for REDD+ governance in the Brazilian Amazon. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14, 188-200.
- Faeth, P., Cort, C. and Livernash, R., 1994. Evaluating the carbon sequestration benefits of forestry projects in developing countries. World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., USA, 97 pp.
- FAO, 1998. Forest Resources Assessment (FRA) 2000 terms and definitions. FRA Working Paper 1, FAO, Rome, Italy, 154 pp.
- FAO, 2007. Gender Mainstreaming in Forestry in Africa. Forest Policy Working Paper 18, FAO, Rome, Italy, 61 pp.
- FAO, 2010. State of the World's Forests 2009. FAO, Rome, Italy, 378 pp.
- FAO, 2015. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. FAO, Rome, Italy, 56 pp.
- FAO, 2016. Forty years of community-based forestry, a review of its extent and effectiveness. FAO, Rome, Italy, 168 pp.
- Farley, J. and Costanza, R. 2010. Payments for ecosystem services: From local to global. *Ecological Economics* 69, 2060-2068.
- Farley, J., Aquino, A., Daniels, A., Moulart, A., Lee, D. and Krause, A., 2010. Global mechanisms for sustaining and enhancing PES schemes. *Ecological Economics* 69, 2075-2084.
- Ferraro, P.J., 2011. The future of payments for environmental services. *Conservation Biology* 25(6), 1134-1138.
- Fletcher, R., Dressler, W., Büscher, B. and Anderson, Z.R., 2016. Questioning REDD+ and the future of market-based conservation. *Conservation Biology* 30(3), 673-675.
- Forner, C., Blaser, J., Jotzo, F. and Robledo, C., 2006. Keeping the forest for the climate's sake: Avoiding deforestation in developing countries under the UNFCCC. *Climate Policy* 6, 2-20.
- Forsyth, T. 2007. Are environmental social movements socially exclusive? An historical study from Thailand. *World Development* 35(12), 2110-2130.
- Fosci, M., 2013. Balance sheet in the REDD+ +: Are global estimates measuring the wrong costs? *Ecological Economics* 89, 196-200.
- Fosci, M., 2014. The economic case for prioritizing governance over financial incentives in REDD+. *Climate Policy* 13(2), 170-190.
- Friis Lund, J. and Treue, T., 2008. Are we getting there? Evidence of decentralized forest management from the Tanzanian Miombo woodlands. *World Development* 36(12), 2780-2800.
- Fritzen, S.A., 2007. Can the design of community-driven development reduce the risk of elite capture? Evidence from Indonesia. *World Development* 35(8), 1359-1375.
- Fry, I., 2002. Twists and turns in the jungle: Exploring the evolution of land use, land use change and forestry decisions within the Kyoto Protocol. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 11(2), 159-168.
- Fry, I., 2007. More twists, turns and stumbles in the jungle: A further exploration of the evolution of land use, land use change and forestry decisions within the Kyoto Protocol. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 16(3), 341-355.
- Fry, I., 2008. Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation: Opportunities and pitfalls in developing a new legal regime. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 17(2), 166-182.
- Fry, I., 2011. If a tree falls in a Kyoto Forest and nobody is there to hear it, will it be accounted for? An insider's view of the negotiations surrounding land use, land-use change and forestry for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 20(2), 123-138.

- Gale, F., 1998. Constructing global civil society actors: An anatomy of the environmental coalition contesting the tropical timber trade regime. *Global Society* 12(3), 343-361.
- Garcia-Amado, L.R., Ruiz Perez, M., Reyes Escutia, F., Barasa Garcia, S. and Contreras Mejia, E., 2011. Efficiency of payments for environmental services: Equity and additionality in a case study from a Biosphere Reserve in Chiapas, Mexico. *Ecological Economics* 70, 2361-2368.
- Gerber, J.F., 2011. Conflicts over industrial tree plantations in the South: Who, how and why? *Global Environmental Change* 21, 165-176.
- German, L., Villamor, G., Twine, E., Velarde, S.J. and Kidane, B., 2009. Environmental services and the precautionary principle: Using scenarios to reconcile conservation and livelihood objectives in upper catchments. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 368-394.
- Gibbs, H.K., Brown, S., Niles, J.O., and Foley, J.A., 2007. Monitoring and estimating tropical forest carbon stocks: Making REDD+ a reality. Environmental Research Letters 2, 045023, IOP Publishing, UK, 14 pp.
- Gillespie, A., 2006. Facilitating and controlling civil society in international environmental law. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 15(3), 327-338.
- Gomez-Baggethun, E., de Groot, R., Lomas, P.L. and Montes, C., 2010. The history of ecosystem services in economic theory and practice: From early notions to markets and payment schemes. *Ecological Economics* 69, 1209-1218.
- Graichen, P., 2005. Can forestry gain from emissions trading? Rules governing sinks projects under the UNFCCC and the EU Emissions Trading System. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 14(1), 11-18.
- Gregersen, H., El Lakany, H., Karsenty, A and White, A, 2010. Does the opportunity cost approach indicate the real cost of REDD+? Rights and realities of paying for REDD+. Rights and Resources Initiative, Washington D.C., USA, 30 pp.
- Grieg-Gran, M., Porras, I. and Wunder, S., 2005. How can market mechanisms for forest environmental services help the poor? Preliminary lessons from Latin America. *World Development* 33, 1511-1527.
- Grieg-Gran, M. 2006. The cost of avoiding deforestation: Report prepared for the Stern Review of the economics of climate change: International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK, 26 pp.
- Grondard, N., Loisel, C., Martinet, A. and Routier, J.B. 2008. Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+): Analysis of 7 outstanding issues for the inclusion of tropical forests in the international climate governance. ONF International, Paris, France, 52 pp.
- Grubb, M., Laing, T., Counsell, T. and Willan, C., 2011. Global carbon mechanisms: Lessons and implications. *Climatic Change* 104, 539-573.
- Gsottbauer, E. and van den Bergh, J.C.J.M., 2012. Bounded rationality and social interaction in negotiating a climate agreement. *International Environmental Agreements* 13, 25 pp.
- Gupta, J., 2003. The Role of Non-State actors in international environmental affairs. *Heidelberg Journal of International Law* 63(2), 459-486.
- Gupta, J., 2005. Non-State Actors in international governance and law: A challenge or blessing, *ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law* 11(3), 497-517.
- Gupta, J., 2008. Global change: Analysing scale and scaling in environmental governance. In: Young, O, Schroeder, H. and King, L. (Eds.), *Institutions and environmental change: Principal findings, applications, and research frontiers*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, pp. 225-258.
- Gupta, J. and van der Grijp, N. (Eds.), 2010. *Mainstreaming climate change in development cooperation. theory, practice and implications for the European Union*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 348 pp.
- Gupta, J. and Sanchez, N., 2012. Global green governance: Embedding the green economy in a global green and equitable rule of law polity. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 21(1), 12-22.

- Gupta, J., 2012. Glocal Forest and REDD+ Governance: win-win or lose-lose? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 4, 620-627.
- Gupta, J., Grijp, N.M. van der and Kuik, O.J. (Eds.), 2013. *Climate change, forests and REDD+: Lessons for institutional design*. Routledge, London, UK, 263 pp.
- Gupta, J., 2014. *The history of global climate governance*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 262 pp.
- Gupta, J. and Stec, S., 2014. Strengthening UNEP's legitimacy: Towards greater stakeholder engagement. *UNEP perspectives* 11. [UNEP, 2014](#), 13 pp.
- Haggard, S. and Simmons, B.A., 1987. Theories of international regimes. *International Organization* 41(3), 491-517.
- Hajek, F., Ventresca, M.J., Scriven, J. and Castro, A. 2011. Regime-building for REDD+: Evidence from a cluster of local initiatives in South-Eastern Peru. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14(2), 201-215.
- Hajer, M., and Versteeg, W., 2005. A decade of discourse analysis of environmental politics: Achievements, challenges, perspectives. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning* 7(3), 175-184.
- Hajer, M., 2006. Doing discourse analysis: Coalitions, practices, meaning, In: Van den Brink, M. and Metze, T. (Eds.), 2006. *Words matter in policy and planning - Discourse theory and method in the social sciences*. Netherlands Geographical Studies 33, 65-74.
- Hallegatte, S., Bangalore, M., Bonzanigo, L., Fay, M., Kane, T., Narloch, U., Rozenberg, J., Treguer, D. and Vogt-Schilb, A., 2016. *Shock waves: Managing the impacts of climate change on poverty*. Climate Change and Development Series, World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA, 227 pp.
- Hasenclever, A., Mayer, P and Rittberger, V., 1997. *Theories of international regimes*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 248 pp.
- Hatcher, J., 2009. *Securing tenure rights and Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+)*. Social Development Working Paper 120, World Bank, Washington D.C., USA, 24 pp.
- Haug, C. and Gupta, J., 2013. Global forest governance. In Gupta, J., Van der Grijp, N. and Kuik, O. (Eds.), 2013. *Climate change, forests and REDD: Lessons for institutional design*, Routledge, London, UK, pp. 52-76.
- Hayes, T. and Persha, L., 2010. Nesting local forestry initiatives: Revisiting community forest management in a REDD+ world. *Forest Policy and Economics* 12, 545-553.
- Headon S., 2009. Whose sustainable development? Sustainable Development under the Kyoto protocol, "the Coldplay Effect" and the CDM Gold standard. *Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law and Policy* 20(2), 127-156.
- Heaton, K., 2005. mitigating environmental and social impacts of intensive plantation forestry. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 21(4), 75-96.
- Hein, J., Adiwibowo, S., Dittrich, C., Rosyani, Soetarto, E. and Faust, H., 2015. Rescaling of access and property relations in a frontier landscape: Insights from Jambi, Indonesia. *The Professional Geographer* 68(3), 380-389.
- Herzog, H., Caldeira, K. and Reilly, J., 2003. An issue of permanence: Assessing the effectiveness of temporary carbon storage. *Climatic Change* 59, 293-310.
- Hoehne, N., Wartmann, S., Herold, A. and Freibauer, A., 2007. The rules for land use, land use changes and forestry under the Kyoto Protocol – lessons learned for the future climate negotiations. *Environmental Science and Policy* 10, 353-369.
- Holm Olsen, K., 2007. The clean development mechanism's contribution to sustainable development: A review of the literature. *Climatic Change* 84, 59-73.
- Holm, S.O., 2015. A management strategy for multiple ecosystem services in boreal forests. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 34(4), 358-379.
- Holopainen, J. and Wit, M. (Eds.), 2008. *Financing sustainable forest management*. Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 169 pp.

- Hovani, A. and Fotos, M., 2007. policy alternatives to increase the demand for forest-based carbon sequestration, *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 25(1-2), 99-117.
- Huberman, D., 2009. World Heritage sites and the integration of biodiversity into an international PES framework. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 456-480.
- Huettner, M., 2012. Risks and opportunities of REDD+ implementation for environmental integrity and socio-economic compatibility. *Environmental Science & Policy* 15, 4-12.
- Huitema, D., and S. Meijerink. 2010. Realizing water transitions. the role of policy entrepreneurs in water policy change. *Ecology and Society* 15(2): 26, 10 pp.
- Humphreys, D., 2003. Life protective of carcinogenic challenge? Global forest governance under advanced capitalism. *Global Environmental Politics* 3(2), 40-55.
- Humphreys, D. 2006. *Logjam. Deforestation and the crisis of global governance*, Earthscan, London, UK, 302 pp.
- Humphreys, D., 2008. The politics of 'avoided deforestation': Historical context and contemporary issues. *International Forestry Review*, 10(3), 433--42.
- Hunt, C. and Baum, S., 2009. The 'hidden' social costs of forestry offsets. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 14, 107-120.
- IPCC, 1990. *Climate change: The IPCC scientific assessment*. Report prepared for Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change by Working Group I, Houghton, J.T., Jenkins, G.J. and Ephraums, J.J. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, UK, New York, US and Melbourne, Australia, 410 pp.
- IPCC, 2002. *Climate change and biodiversity*, IPCC Technical Paper V. UNEP, Nairobi, Kenya, 77 pp.
- IPCC, 2006. *IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories 4, Agriculture, forestry and other land use*. Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston, H.S., Buendia L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T. and Tanabe, K. (Eds). IGES, Japan, 20 pp.
- IPCC, 2007. *Climate change 2007: Synthesis report*. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Pachauri, R.K. and Reisinger, A. (Eds.). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, pp 104.
- IPCC, 2013. *Summary for policymakers*. In: Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P. (Eds.), 2013. *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, UK and New York, USA, 1535 pp.
- IPCC, 2014. *Climate change 2014: Synthesis report*. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Pachauri, R.K. and Meyer, L.A. (Eds.). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- IPCCA, 2011. *Analytical background paper on the legal aspects of REDD+ and recent REDD+ policy developments*. Indigenous Peoples Climate Change Assessment, Cuzco, Peru, 50 pp.
- Irawan, S., Tacconi, L. and Ring, I., 2013. Stakeholders' incentives for land-use change and REDD+: The case of Indonesia. *Ecological Economics* 87, 75-83.
- Jacquemont, F. and Caparros, A., 2002. The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Climate Change Convention 10 years after Rio: Towards a synergy of the two regimes? *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 11(2), 169-180.
- James, A., Gaston, K.J. and Balmford, A., 2001. Can we afford to conserve biodiversity? *BioScience*, 51(1), 43-52.
- Jaung, W. and Soo Bae, J., 2012. Evaluating socio-economic equity of REDD+ in a rights-based approach: Rapid equity appraisal matrix. *Environmental Science & Policy* 22, 1-12.
- Jindal, R., Swallow, B. and Kerr, J.M., 2008. Forestry-based carbon sequestration projects in Africa: Potential benefits and challenges. *Natural Resources Forum* 32, 116-130.

- Jindal, R., Kerr, J.M. and Carter, S., 2012. reducing poverty through carbon forestry? Impacts of the N'hambita Community Carbon Project in Mozambique. *World Development* 40(10), 2123-2135.
- Johns, T., Merry, F., Stickler, C., Nepstad, D., Laporte, N. and Goetza, S., 2008. A three-fund approach to incorporating government, public and private forest stewards into a REDD+ funding mechanism. *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 458-464.
- Kaimowitz, D. and Angelsen, A., 1998. Economic models of tropical deforestation. A review. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 140 pp.
- Kaimowitz, D. 2008. The prospects for Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+) in Mesoamerica. *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 485-495.
- Kanninen, M., Murdiyarso, D., Seymour, F., Angelsen, A., Wunder, S. and German, L., 2007. Do trees grow on money? The implications of deforestation research for policies to promote REDD+. *Forest Perspectives* 4, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 73 pp.
- Kanowski, P.J., McDermott, C.L. and Cashore, B.W., 2010. Implementing REDD+: Lessons from analysis of forest governance. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14, 111-117.
- Karsenty, A., 2008. The architecture of proposed REDD+ schemes after Bali: Facing critical choices. *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 443-457.
- Karsenty, A., 2009. What the (carbon) market cannot do..., CIRAD, Paris, France, 4 pp.
- Karsenty, A., and Ongolo, S., 2011. Can “fragile States” decide to reduce their deforestation? The inappropriate use of the theory of incentives with respect to the REDD+ mechanism. *Forest Policy and Economics* 18, 38-45.
- Karsenty, A., Vogel, A. and Castell, F., 2012. “Carbon rights”, REDD+ and payments for environmental services. *Environmental Science and Policy* 35, 20-29.
- Karsenty, A. 2012. Financing options to support REDD+ activities. CIRAD, Paris, France, 77 pp.
- Kasa, S., Gullberg, A.T. and Heggelund, G., 2007. The Group of 77 in the international climate negotiations: Recent developments and future directions. *International Environmental Agreements* 8, 113-127.
- Kashwan, P. and Holahan, R., 2014. Nested governance for effective REDD+: Institutional and political arguments. *International Journal of the Commons* 8(2), 554-575.
- Kindermann, G., M. Obersteiner, B. Sohngen J. Sathaye, K. Andrasko, E. Rametsteiner, B. Schlamadinger, S. Wunder, R. Beach., 2008. Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(30), 10302-10307.
- Kiss, A., Castro, G. and Newcombe, K., 2002. The role of multilateral institutions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London A* 360, 1641-1652.
- Kissinger, G., Herold, M., De Sy, V., 2012. Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation: A synthesis report for REDD+ policymakers. Lexeme Consulting, Vancouver, Canada, 48 pp.
- Koellner, T., Sell, J. Gahwiler, M. and Scholz, R.W., 2008. Assessment of the management of organizations supplying ecosystem services from tropical forests. *Global Environmental Change* 18, 746-757.
- Kosoy, N. and Corbera, E., 2010. Payments for ecosystem services as commodity fetishism. *Ecological Economics* 69, 1228-1236.
- Köthke, M., Leischner, B. and Elsasser, P., 2013. Uniform global deforestation patterns — An empirical analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics* 28, 23-37.
- Kothke, M., Schroppel, B. and Elsasser, P., 2014. National REDD+ reference levels deduced from the global deforestation curve. *Forest Policy and Economics* 43, 18-28.
- Krasner, S.D., 1982. Structural causes and regime consequences: Regimes and intervening variables. *International Organization* 36, 185-205.
- Krause, T. and Nielson, T.D., 2014. The legitimacy of incentive-based conservation and a critical account of social safeguards. *Environmental Science & Policy* 41, 44-51.

- Kuik, O.J., 2013. REDD policies, global food, fiber and timber markets, and 'leakage'. In: Gupta, J., Van der Grijp, N.M. and Kuik, O.J. (Eds.), 2013. *Climate change, forests and REDD+: Lessons for institutional design*. Routledge, London, UK, 263 pp.
- Kuik, O.J., 2014. REDD+ and international leakage via food and timber markets: A CGE analysis. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 19, 641-655.
- Kulovesi, K. and Gutierrez, M., 2009. Climate change negotiations update: Process and prospects for a Copenhagen agreed outcome in December 2009. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 18(3), 229-243.
- Lahsen, M., 2005. Technocracy, democracy and US climate politics: The need for demarcations. *Science, Technology and Human Values* 30(1), 137-169.
- Laing, T. and Palmer, C., 2015. Economy-wide impacts of REDD+ when there is political influence. *Resource and Energy Economics* 40, 107-126.
- Landell-Mills, N. and Porras, I.T., 2002. *Silver bullet or fool's gold? A global review of markets for forest environmental services and their impacts on the poor*. International Institute for Environmental and Development, London, UK, 272 pp.
- Larson, A.M. and Ribot, J.C., 2007. The poverty of forestry policy: Double standards on an uneven playing field. *Sustainability Science* 2(2), 189-204.
- Larson, A.M., 2011. Forest tenure reform in the age of climate change: Lessons for REDD+. *Global Environmental Change* 21, 540-549.
- Lasco, R.D., Pulhin, F.B. and Sales, R.F., 2007. Analysis of leakage in carbon sequestration projects in forestry: A case study of upper Magat watershed, Philippines. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12, 1189-1211.
- Lawlor, K., Madeira, E.M., Blockhus, J. and Ganz, D.J., 2013. Community participation and benefits in REDD+: A review of initial outcomes and lessons. *Forests* 4, 296-318.
- Lederer, M., 2011. From CDM to REDD+ – What do we know for setting up effective and legitimate carbon governance? *Ecological Economics* 70, 1900-1907.
- Lederer, M., 2012. The practice of carbon markets. *Environmental Politics* 21(4), 640-656.
- Leggett, M. and Lovell, H., 2012. Community perceptions of REDD+: A case study from Papua New Guinea. *Climate Policy* 12(1), 115-134.
- Leimona, B., Van Noordwijk, M., de Groot, R. and Leemans, R., 2015. Fairly efficient, efficiently fair: Lessons from designing and testing payment schemes for ecosystem services in Asia. *Ecosystem Services* 12, 16-28.
- Lejano, R.P., 2006. The design of environmental regimes: Social construction, contextuality, and improvisation. *International Environmental Agreements* 6, 187-207.
- Lele, U., Kumar, N., Husain, S. A., Zazueta, A. and Kelly, L., 2000. *The World Bank forest strategy: Striking the right balance*. The World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA, 153pp.
- Lemaitre, S., 2011. Indigenous Peoples' land rights and REDD+: A case study. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 20(2), 150-162.
- Lesniewska, F., 2010. REDD+: The Copenhagen effect. *Law Environment and Development Journal*, 102 -111
- Lesniewska, F., 2014. UNFCCC REDD+ COP Decisions: The cumulative effect on forest related law processes. *International Community Law Review* 15(1), 103-121.
- Levin, K., McDermott, C. and Cashore, B., 2008. The climate regime as global forest governance: Can reduced emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+) initiatives pass a 'dual effectiveness' test? *International Forestry Review* 10(3), 538-549.
- Lewis, S.J. and Russell, A.J., 2011. Being embedded: A way forward for ethnographic research. *Ethnography* 12(3), 398-416.
- Liu, J., Li, S., Ouyang, Z., Tam, C. Chen, X., 2008. Ecological and socioeconomic effects of China's policies for ecosystem services. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(28), 9477-9482.

- Livengood, E. and Dixon, A., 2009. REDD+ and the effort to limit global warming to 2 C: Implications for including REDD+ credits in the international carbon market. KEA 3, New Zealand, 33 pp.
- Locatelli, B., Rojas, V. and Salinas, Z., 2008. Impacts of payments for environmental services on local development in northern Costa Rica: A fuzzy multi-criteria analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics* 10, 275-285.
- Lovbrand, E., 2004. Bridging political expectations and scientific limitations in climate risk management – on the uncertain effects of international carbon sink policies. *Climatic Change* 67, 449-460.
- Lovera, S. 2008. REDD+: The pay-the-polluter principle. In Holopainen, J. and Wit, M. (eds). *Financing sustainable forest management*. Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 28–32.
- Lovera, S., 2009. REDD+ realities. In: Brand, U., Bullard, N., Lander, E., Müller, T. (eds.), *Contours of climate justice: Ideas for Shaping New Climate and Energy Politics*. Dag Hammarskjöld Foundation, Sweden, 46-53.
- Luttrell, C., Schreckenberg, K., and Peskett, L., 2007. The implications of carbon financing for pro-poor community forestry. Overseas Development Institute, London, UK, 5 pp.
- Lyster, R., 2011. REDD+, transparency, participation and resource rights: The role of law. *Environmental Science and Policy* 14(2), 118-126.
- Maraseni, T.n., Neupane, P.R., Lopez-Castro, F. and Cadman, T., 2014, An assessment of the impacts of the REDD+ pilot project on community forests user groups (CFUGs) and their community forests in Nepal. *Journal of Environmental Management* 136, 37-46.
- Massai, L., 2010. The long way to the Copenhagen Accord: Climate change negotiations in 2009. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 19(1), 104-121.
- Matthews, R., Van Noordwijk, M, Lambin, E., Meyfroidt, P., Gupta, J., Verschoth, L., Hergoualc'h, K. and Veldkamp, E., 2014. Implementing REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation): Evidence on governance, evaluation and impacts^[1] from the REDD-ALERT project. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 19, 907-925.
- Matz, N., 2005. Financial institutions between effectiveness and legitimacy – A legal analysis of the World Bank, Global Environment Facility and Prototype Carbon Fund. *International Environmental Agreements* 5, 265-302.
- McCarthy, S. and Tacconi, L., 2011. The political economy of tropical deforestation: Assessing models and motives. *Environmental Politics*, 20(1), 115-132.
- McDermott, C.L. with Humphreys, D., Wildburger, C., Wood, P., Marfo, E., Pacheco, P. and Yasmi, Y., 2010. Mapping the core actors and issues defining international forest governance. In: Rayner, J., Buck, A. and Katila, P. (Eds.), 2010. *Embracing complexity: Meeting the challenges of international forest governance. A global assessment report*. Prepared by the Global Forest Expert Panel on the International Forest Regime, IUFRO World Series 28, Vienna, Austria, 19-36.
- McDermott, M., Mahanty, S. and Schreckenberg, K, 2013. Examining equity: A multidimensional framework for assessing equity in payments for ecosystem services. *Environmental Science & Policy* 33, 416-427.
- McDermott, C.L., 2014. REDD+uced: From sustainability to legality to units of carbon—The search for common interests in international forest governance. *Environmental Science & Policy* 35, 12-19.
- McElwee, P.D., 2011. Payments for environmental services as neoliberal market-based forest conservation in Vietnam: Panacea or problem? *Geoforum* 43(3), 412-426.
- McKinsey & Company, 2009. *Pathways to a low-carbon economy*. Version 2.0 of the global greenhouse gas abatement cost curve. McKinsey & Company, 192 pp.

- McNeely, J.A., Miller, K.R., Reid, W.V., Mittermeier, R.A.B., 1990. *Conserving the World's Biological Diversity*. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Gland, Switzerland, 193 pp.
- Melick, D., 2010. Credibility of REDD+ and experiences from Papua New Guinea. *Conservation Biology* 24(2), 359-361.
- Mendelsohn, R.O., Dinar, A. and Williams, L., 2006. The distributional impact of climate change on rich and poor countries. *Environment and Development Economics* 11, 159-178.
- Mertz, O., Müller, D., Sikor, T., Hett, C., Heinemann, A., Castella, J.C., Lestrelin, G. et al., 2012. The forgotten D: Challenges of addressing forest degradation in complex mosaic landscapes under REDD+. *Geografisk Tidsskrift, Danish Journal of Geography* 112(1), 63-76.
- Michaelowa, A., and Michaelowa, K., 2010. Climate business for poverty reduction? The role of the World Bank. CIS Working Paper 59, Center for Comparative and International Studies, Zurich, Switzerland, 23 pp.
- Milder, J.C., Scherr, S. and Bracer, C., 2010. Trends and future potential of payment for environmental services to alleviate rural poverty in developing countries. *Ecology and Society* 15(2):4, 19 pp.
- Minang, P.A., McCall, M.K. and Bressers, H.Th.A., 2007. Community capacity for implementing Clean Development Mechanism projects within community forests in Cameroon. *Environmental Management* 39, 615-630.
- Minang, P.A. and van Noordwijk, M., 2013. Design challenges for achieving reduced emissions from deforestation and forest degradation through conservation: Leveraging multiple paradigms at the tropical forest margins. *Land Use Policy* 31, 61-70.
- Minang, P.A., Van Noordwijk, M., Duguma, L.A., Alemagi, D., Trong H.D., Bernard, F., Agung, P. et al., 2014. REDD+ readiness progress across countries: Time for reconsideration. *Climate Policy* 14(6), 685-708.
- Mitlin, D., Hickey, S. and Bebbington, A., 2007. Reclaiming development? NGOs and the challenge of alternatives. *World Development* 35(10), 1699-1720.
- Mohammed, E.Y., 2011. Pro-poor benefit distribution in REDD+: Who gets what and why does it matter? REDD+ Working Paper, International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK, 36 pp.
- Morel, A.C. and Morel, B.F., 2012. How could carbon credits for reducing deforestation compete with returns from palm oil: A proposal for a more flexible REDD+ valuation tool. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 31(1-2), 11-28.
- Moreno, C., Speich Chassé, D. and Fuh, L., 2015. Carbon metrics – Global abstractions and ecological epistemicide, an essay. Publication Series Ecology 42, Heinrich Böll Foundation, Berlin, Germany, 60 pp.
- Morgera, E. and Tsioumani, E., 2010. The evolution of benefit sharing: Linking biodiversity and community livelihoods. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 19(2), 150-173.
- Murray, B.C., 2008. Leakage from avoided deforestation compensation policy: Concepts, empirical evidence, and corrective policy options. Working Paper, Nicolas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions, Duke University, US, 34 pp.
- Mustalahti, I. and Tassa, D.T., 2012. Analysis of three crucial elements of REDD+ in participatory forest management. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 27(2), 200-209.
- Neeff, T. and Ascui, F., 2009. Lessons from carbon markets for effective REDD+ architecture. *Climate Policy* 9, 306-315.
- Neeff, T., Göhler, D. and Ascui, F., 2014. Finding a path for REDD+ between ODA and the CDM. *Climate Policy* 14(2), 149-166.
- Nelson, F., Foley, C., Foley, L.S., Leposo, A., Loure, E., Peterson, D., Peterson, M. et al., 2010. Payments for ecosystem services as a framework for community-based conservation in Northern Tanzania. *Conservation Biology* 24(1), 78-85.

- Nepstad, D.C., Soares-Filho, B., Merry, F., Moutinho, P., Rodrigues, H.O., Bowman, S., Schwartzman, S. et al., 2007. The costs and benefits of reducing carbon emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in the Brazilian Amazon. Woods Hole Research Centre, Falmouth, US, 32 pp.
- Nepstad, D.C., McGrath, D.G. and Soares-Filho, B., 2011. Systemic conservation, REDD+, and the future of the Amazon Basin. *Conservation Biology* 25(6), 1113-1116.
- Newell, P., 2000. *Climate change: Non-State actors and the politics of the greenhouse*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 236 pp.
- Newell, P., 2012. Of markets and madness: Whose clean development will prevail at Rio+20? *The Journal of Environment & Development* 21, 40-43.
- Niles, J. O., Boyd, W., Lawlow, K., Myers Madeira, E. and Olander, L., 2009. Experience on the ground, in the forests. *International Forest Carbon and the Climate Change Challenge Series – Brief 6*, Nicholas Institute, Duke University, Durham, US, 7 pp.
- Nilsson, S. and Schopfhauser, W., 1995. The carbon-sequestration potential of a global afforestation program. *Climatic Change* 30, 267-293.
- Norgaard, R.B., 2010. Ecosystem services: From eye-opening metaphor to complexity blinder. *Ecological Economics* 69, 1219-1227.
- Novotny Couto Pereira, S., 2010. Payment for environmental services in the Amazon forest: How can conservation and development be reconciled? *The Journal of Environment & Development* 19(2), 171-190.
- Nuzunda, E.F. and Mahuve, T.G., 2011. A SWOT analysis of mitigation of climate change through REDD+. In Leal Filho, W. (Ed.), 2011. *Experiences of climate change adaptation in Africa*. 201 Climate Change Management, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 201-216.
- Okafor, O.C., 2006. Poverty, agency and resistance in the future of international law, an African perspective. *Third World Quarterly* 27(5), 799-814.
- Okereke, C., Bulkeley, H. and Schroeder, H., 2009. Conceptualizing climate governance beyond the international regime. *Global Environmental Politics* 9(1), 58-79.
- Okereke, C. and Dooley, K., 2010. Principles of justice in proposals and policy approaches to avoided deforestation: Towards a post-Kyoto climate agreement. *Global Environmental Change* 20, 82-95.
- Olsen, N. and J. Bishop, 2009. *The financial costs of REDD+: Evidence from Brazil and Indonesia*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 77 pp.
- Ostrom, E. and Nagendra, H., 2006. Insights on linking forests, trees, and people from the air, on the ground, and in the laboratory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103(51), 19224-19231.
- Pagiola, S., 2008. Payments for environmental services in Costa Rica. *Environmental Economics* 65, 212-224.
- Palm, M., Ostwald, M. and Reilly, J., 2008. Land use and forestry based CDM in scientific peer-reviewed literature pre-and post-COP 9 in Milan. *International Environmental Agreements* 8, 249-274.
- Palmer, C., 2011. Property rights and liability for deforestation under REDD+: Implications for 'permanence' in policy design. *Ecological Economics* 70, 571-576.
- Partzsch, L. and Ziegler, R., 2011. Social entrepreneurs as change agents: A case study on power and authority in the water sector. *International Environmental Agreements* 11, 63-83.
- Pattberg, P., 2005. What role for private rule-making in global environmental governance? Analysing the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). *International Environmental Agreements* 5, 175-189.
- Pattberg, P., 2006. Private governance and the South: Lessons from global forest policy. *Third World Quarterly* 27(4), 579-593.
- Pedroni, L., Dutschke, M., Streck, C. and Estrada Porrúa, M., 2009. Creating incentives for avoiding further deforestation: The nested approach. *Climate Policy* 9(2), 207-220.

- Pelletier, J., Kirby, K.R. and Potvin, C., 2012. Significance of carbon stock uncertainties on emission reductions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries. *Forest Policy and Economics* 24, 3-11.
- Pelletier, J., Busch, J. and Potvin, C., 2015. Addressing uncertainty upstream or downstream of accounting for emissions reductions from deforestation and forest degradation. *Climatic Change* 130, 635-648.
- Peskett, L. And Harkin, Z., 2007. Risk and responsibility in reduced emissions from deforestation and degradation. Overseas Development Institute, London, UK, 7 pp.
- Peskett, L., Huberman, D., Bowen-Jones, E., Edwards, G. and Brown, J., 2008. Making REDD+ work for the poor. Overseas Development Institute and IUCN, London, UK, 80 pp.
- Peskett, L., Schreckenber, K. and Brown, J., 2011. Institutional approaches for carbon financing in the forest sector: Learning lessons for REDD+ from forest carbon projects in Uganda. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14(2), 216-229.
- Peters-Stanley, M., Hamilton, K., Marcello, T. and Sjardin, M., 2011. Back to the future, state of the voluntary carbon markets 2011. Bloomberg New Energy Finance and Forest Trends Ecosystem Marketplace, Washington, D.C., USA, 93 pp.
- Peterson, A.L., Gallagher, L.A., Huberman, D. and Mulder, I., 2012. Seeing REDD+: Reducing emissions and conserving biodiversity by avoiding deforestation. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 31(1-2), 29-58.
- Pfaff, A., Robalino, J.A. and Sanchez-Azofeifa, A., 2008. Payments for environmental services: Empirical analysis for Costa Rica. Working Papers Series, Terry Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University, US, 27 pp.
- Phelps, J., Guerrero, M.C., Dalabajan, D.A., Young, B. and Webb, E.L., 2010. What makes a REDD+ country? *Global Environmental Change* 20, 322-332.
- Pirard, R. and Karsenty, A., 2009. Climate change mitigation: Should “avoided deforestation” be rewarded? *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 434-455.
- Pirard, R., 2012. Payments for Environmental Services (PES) in the public policy landscape: “Mandatory” spices in the Indonesian recipe. *Forest Policy and Economics* 18, 23-29.
- Pistorius, T., Schmitt, C.B., Benick, D. and Entenmann, S., 2010. Greening REDD+: Challenges and opportunities for forest biodiversity conservation. *Allgemeine Forst- und Jagdzeitung* 182(5/6), 82-98.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y. and Podsakoff, N.P., 2003. Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 88(5), 879-903.
- Pollini, J., 2009. Carbon sequestration for linking conservation and rural development in Madagascar: The case of the Vohidrazana-Mantadia Corridor restoration and conservation carbon project. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 322-342.
- Porrás, I., Barton, D.N., Miranda, M. and Chacon-Cascante, A., 2013. Learning from 20 years of payments for ecosystems services in Costa Rica. International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK, 76 pp.
- Potvin, C., Guay, B. and Pedroni, L., 2008. Is reducing emissions from deforestation financially feasible? A Panamanian case study. *Climate Policy* 8, 23-40.
- Putz, F.E. and Zuidema, P.A., 2008. REDD+ and reduced impact logging. In: Holopainen, J. and Wit, M. (Eds.), 2008. Financing sustainable forest management. Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 155-158.
- Putz, F.E. and Redford, K.H., 2009. Dangers of carbon-based conservation. *Global Environmental Change* 19(4), 400-401.
- PwC (Price Waterhouse Coopers), 2012. Assessing options for effective mechanisms to share benefits: Insights for REDD+ initiatives. Program on Forests (PROFOR), Washington, D.C., USA, 168 pp.
- Rademaekers, K., Eichler, L., Obersteiner, M., Berg, J. and Havlik, P., 2010. Study on the evolution of some deforestation drivers and their potential impacts on the costs of an avoiding

- deforestation scheme. Report to the European Commission (Directorate-general for Environment), COWI Consortium, ECORYS-IIASA, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, 74 pp.
- Rayner, J., Buck, A., and Katila, P. (Eds.), 2010. Embracing complexity: Meeting the challenges of international forest governance. A global assessment report prepared by the Global Forest Expert Panel on the International Forest Regime. IUFRO World Series 28, Vienna, Austria, 172 pp.
- Redford, K.H. and Adams, W.A., 2009. Payments for ecosystem services and the challenge of saving nature. *Conservation Biology* 23(4), 785-787.
- Rendón Thompson, O.R., Paavola, J., Healey, J.R., Jones, J.P.G.R., and Torres, J., 2013. Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+): Transaction costs of six Peruvian projects. *Ecology and Society* 18(1):17, 12 pp.
- Resosudarmo, I.A.P., Atmadja, S., Ekaputri, A.D., Intarini, D.Y. and Indriatmoko Pangestuti Astri, Y., 2014. Does tenure security lead to REDD+ project effectiveness? Reflections from five emerging sites in Indonesia. *World Development* 55, 68-83.
- Reynolds, T.W., 2012. Institutional determinants of success among forestry-based carbon sequestration projects in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development* 40(3), 542-554.
- Richards, M., 2000. Can sustainable tropical forestry be made profitable? The potential and limitations of innovative incentive mechanisms. *World Development* 28(6), 1001-1016.
- Richards, K.R. and Stokes, C., 2004. A review of forest carbon sequestration cost studies: A dozen years of research. *Climatic Change* 63, 1-48.
- Richards, M. and Jenkins, M., 2007. Potential and challenges of payments for ecosystem services from tropical forests. Overseas Development Institute, London, UK, 8 pp.
- Robinson, B.E., Holland, M.B. and Naughton-Treves, L., 2014. Does secure land tenure save forests? A meta-analysis of the relationship between land tenure and tropical deforestation. *Global Environmental Change* 29, 281-293.
- Rodriguez, L.C., Pascual, U., Murdian, R., Pazmino, N., and Whitten, S., 2011. Towards a unified scheme for environmental and social protection: Learning from PES and CCT experiences in developing countries. *Ecological Economics* 70, 2163-2174.
- Roessing Neto, E., 2015. REDD+ as a tool of global forest governance. *The International Spectator* 50(1), 60-73.
- Ros-Tonen, M.A.F., Insaído, T. F. and Acheampong, E. 2013. Promising start, bleak outlook: The role of Ghana's modified Taungya system as a social safeguard in timber legality processes. *Forest Policy and Economics* 32, 57-67.
- Ros-Tonen, M.A.F., Derkyi, M. and Insaído, T.F.G., 2014. From co-management to landscape governance: Wither Ghana's modified Taungya system? *Forests* 5, 2996-3021.
- Rosendal, G.K., 2007. Norway in UN environmental policies: Ambitions and influence. *International Environmental Agreements* 7, 439-455.
- Rosendal, G.K. and Schei, P.J., 2014. How may REDD+ affect the practical, legal and institutional framework for 'payment for ecosystem services' in Costa Rica? *Ecosystem Services* 9, 75-82.
- Royo, J., 2012. Agency and international relations, an alternative lens. *E-International Relations*, <http://www.e-ir.info/2012/08/28/agency-and-international-relations-an-alternative-lens/>.
- Rudel, T.K., Defries, R., Asner, G.P. and Laurance, W.F., 2009. Changing drivers of deforestation and new opportunities for conservation. *Conservation Biology* 23(6), 1396-1405.
- Salvini, G., Herold, M., De Sy, V., Kissinger, G., Brockhaus, M. and Skutsch, M., 2014. How countries link REDD+ interventions to drivers in their readiness plans: Implications for monitoring systems. *Environmental Research Letters* 9, 074004, 12 pp.
- Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Pfaff, A., Robalino, J.A. and Boomhower, J.P., 2007. Costa Rica's payment for environmental services program: Intention, implementation and impact. *Conservation Biology* 21(5), 1165-1173.
- Sandbrook, C., Nelson, F., Adams, W. and Agrawal, A., 2010. Carbon forests and the REDD+ paradox. *Fauna & Flora International Oryx* 44(3), 330-334.

- Santilli, M., Moutinho, P., Schwartzman, S., Nepstad, D., Curran, L. and Nobre, C., 2005. Tropical deforestation and the Kyoto Protocol: An editorial essay. *Climatic Change* 71(3), 267-276.
- Saravasi, A., 2012. The human rights dimension of REDD+. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 21(2), 102-113.
- Sasaki, N. and Putz, F.E., 2009. Critical need for new definitions of 'forest' and 'forest degradation' in global climate change agreements. *Conservation Letters* 2(5), 226-232.
- Saunders, J., Ebeling, J. and Nussbaum, R., 2008. *Reduced emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+): Lessons from a governance perspective*. Proforest, Oxford, UK, 19 pp.
- Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Gerten, D., Stich, S., Cramer, W. and Prentice, C.I., 2006. Terrestrial biosphere carbon storage under alternative climate projections. *Climatic Change* 74, 97-122.
- Schipper, E.L.F. and Boyd, E., 2006. UNFCCC COP 11 and COP/MOP 1, at last, some hope? *Journal of Environment & Development* 15(1), 75-90.
- Schlager, E. and Ostrom, E., 1992. Property-rights regimes and natural resources: A conceptual analysis. *Land Economics* 68(3), 249-62.
- Schlosberg, D., 2004. Reconceiving environmental justice: Global movements and political theories. *Environmental Politics* 13(3), 517-540.
- Schroeder, H., 2010. Agency in international climate negotiations: The case of Indigenous Peoples and avoided deforestation. *International Environmental Agreements* 10, 317-332.
- Schwarze, R., Niles, J. O. and Olander, J., 2002. Understanding and managing leakage in forest-based GHG-mitigation projects. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A* 360, 1685-1703.
- Scricciu, S.S., 2007. Can economic causes of tropical deforestation be identified at a global level? *Ecological Economics* 62(3-4), 603-612.
- Scriven, J.N.H., 2012. Preparing for REDD+: Forest governance challenges in Peru's Central Selva. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 31(4-5), 421-444.
- Seymour, F.J. and Dubash, N.K., 2000. *Right conditions: The World Bank, structural adjustment, and forest policy reform*. World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., USA, pp. 156.
- Seymour, F.J., 2008. Forests, climate change, and human rights: Managing risks and trade-offs. In: Humphreys, S. (Ed.). *Human rights and climate change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 207-237.
- Seymour, F.J. and Forwand, E., 2010. Governing sustainable forest management in the new climate regime. *Wires Climate Change* 1, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 803-810.
- Shvidenko, A., Schepaschenko, D., McCallum, I. and Nilsson, S., 2011. Can the uncertainty of full carbon accounting of forest ecosystems be made acceptable to policymakers? *Climate Change* 103, 137-157.
- Sierra, R. and Russman, E., 2006. On the efficiency of environmental service payments: A forest conservation assessment in the Osa Peninsula, Costa Rica. *Ecological Economics* 59(2), 131-141.
- Siikamaki, J. and Newbold, S.C., 2012. Potential biodiversity benefits from international programs to reduce carbon emissions from deforestation. *Ambio* 41, 78-89.
- Sikor, T., 2011. *Financing household tree plantations in Vietnam: Current programmes and future options*. Working Paper 69, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 40 pp.
- Simula, M., 2011. *Analysis of REDD+ financing gaps and overlaps*. REDD+ Partnership, FAO, Rome, Italy, 99 pp.
- Simula, M.A., 2015. *Taking stock of the implementation of TFLET and REDD+ES thematic programmes*, Final report. ITTO, Yokohama, Japan, 127 pp.
- Singh, P.P., 2008. Exploring biodiversity and climate change benefits of community-based forest management. *Global Environmental Change* 18, 468-478.
- Skutsch, M.M., 2005. Reducing carbon transaction costs in community based forestry management. *Climate Policy* 5, 433-443.

- Skutsch, M, Bird, N., Trines, E., Dutschke, M., Frumhoff, P., de Jong, B.H.J., van Laake, P. et al., 2007. Clearing the way for reducing emissions from tropical deforestation. *Environmental Science and Policy* 10, 322-334.
- Skutsch, M.M., Zahabu, E. and Karky, B.S., 2009. Community forest management under REDD+: policy conditions for equitable governance. Paper prepared for the 13th World Forestry Congress, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 9 pp.
- Skutsch, M.M. and McCall, M.K., 2010. Reassessing REDD+: Governance, markets and the hype cycle, an editorial comment. *Climate Change* 100, 395-402.
- Skutsch, M.M., Vickers, B., Georgiadou, Y. and McCall, M.K., 2011. Alternative models for carbon payments to communities under REDD+: A comparison using the Polis model of actor inducements. *Environmental Science and Policy* 14, 140-151.
- Skutsch, M.M., Simon, C., Velazquez, A. and Fernandez, J.C., 2013. Rights to carbon and payments for services rendered under REDD+: Options for the case of Mexico. *Global Environmental Change* 23, 813-825.
- Sloan, S., 2015. The development-driven forest transition and its utility for REDD+. *Ecological Economics* 116, 1-11.
- Smith, S and Scherr, S.J., 2003. Capturing the value of forest carbon for local livelihoods. *World Development* 31(12), 2143-2160.
- Smith, J. and Applegate, G., 2004. Could payments for forest carbon contribute to improved tropical forest management? *Forest Policy and Economics* 6, 153-167.
- Smouts, M.C., 2008. The issue of an international forest regime. *International Forest Policy Review* 10(3), 429-432.
- Sobrevila, C. 2008. The role of Indigenous Peoples in biodiversity conservation, the natural but often forgotten partners. World Bank, Washington D.C., USA, 84 pp.
- Sohnngen, B. and Mendelsohn, R., 2003. An optimal control model of forest carbon sequestration. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 85(2), 448-457.
- Sohnngen, B., 2008. Paying for avoided deforestation – should we do it? *Choices* 23(1), 28-30.
- Sommerville, M., Milner-Gulland, E.J., Rahajaharison, M. and Jones, J.P., 2010. Impact of a community-based payment for environmental services intervention on forest use in Menabe, Madagascar. *Conservation Biology* 24(6), 1488-1498.
- Sotirov, M. and Memmler, M., 2012. The Advocacy Coalition Framework in natural resource policy studies — Recent experiences and further prospects. *Forest Policy and Economics* 16, 51-64.
- Spence, C., Kulovesi, K., Gutierrez, M. and Munoz, M., 2008. Great expectations: Understanding Bali and the climate change negotiations process. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 17(2), 142-153.
- Srivastava, N., 2011. Changing dynamics of forest regulation: Coming full circle? *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 20(2), 113-122.
- Steg, L., De Groot, J.I.M., Dreijerink, L., Abrahamse, W. and Siero, F., 2011. General antecedents of personal norms, policy acceptability, and intentions: The role of values, worldviews, and environmental concern. *Society & Natural Resources* 24(4), 349-367.
- Stephan, B., 2012. Bringing discourse to the market: The commodification of avoided deforestation. *Environmental Politics* 21(4), 621-639.
- Stephan, B. and Paterson, M., 2012. The politics of carbon markets, an introduction. *Environmental Politics* 21(4), 545-562.
- Stephenson, S., 2011. Does ODA grow on trees? A legal analysis of REDD+-ODA finance. *European Journal of Legal Studies* 4(1), 81-101.
- Stern, N.H., 2006. *The Stern review: The economics of climate change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Stern, N.H., 2008. The economics of climate change. *American Economic Review* 98(2), 1-37.
- Stevenson, H. and Dryzek, J.S., 2012. The discursive democratisation of global climate governance. *Environmental Politics* 21(2), 189-210.

- Stewart-Harawira, M., 2005. *The new imperial order, indigenous responses to globalization*. Huia Publishers, Aotearoa-New Zealand.
- Streck, C., Robert, O. S., Janson-Smith, T., and Tarasofsky, R. (Eds.), 2008. *Climate change and forests: Emerging policy and market opportunities*. Royal Institute of International Affairs, London, UK, 346 pp.
- Streck, C., Gomez-Echeverri, L., Gutman, P., Loisel, C. and Werksman, J. 2009a. *REDD+ institutional options assessment, developing an efficient, effective and equitable institutional framework for REDD+ under the UNFCCC*. Meridian Institute, Washington, D.C., USA, 40 pp.
- Streck, C., Tuerk, A. and Schlamadinger, B., 2009b. *Forestry offsets in emissions trading systems: A link between systems? Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 14, 455-463.
- Sullivan, S., 2009. *Green capitalism, and the cultural poverty of constructing nature as service provider*. *Radical Anthropology* 3, 18-27.
- Sun, B. and Sohngen, B., 2009. *Set-asides for carbon sequestration: Implications for permanence and leakage*. *Climatic Change* 96, 409-419.
- Sun Park, M., Sekyoung Choi, E. and Young, Y., 2013. *REDD+ as an international cooperation strategy under the global climate change regime*. *Forest Science and Technology* 9(4), 213-224.
- Sunderlin, W.D., Hatcher, J. and Liddle, M., 2008. *From exclusion to ownership? Rights and Resources Initiative*, Washington, D.C., USA, 64 pp.
- Sunderlin, W.D., Sills, E.O., Duchelle, A.E., Ekaputri, A.D., Kweka, D., Toniolo, M., Ball, S. et al., 2016. *REDD+ at a critical juncture: Assessing the limits of polycentric governance for achieving climate change mitigation*. *International Forestry Review* 17(4), 400-413.
- Swickard, N. and Carnahan, K., 2010. *Integrating project and national REDD+: The importance of the private sector*. In Zhu, X., Ravnkilde, L. and De Lopez, M. (Eds.), 2010. *Pathways for implementing REDD+, experiences from carbon markets and communities*. UNEP Risoe Centre, Roskilde, Denmark, 71-86.
- Tacconi, L., 2012. *Redefining payments for environmental services*. *Ecological Economics* 73, 29-36.
- Tacconi, L., Mahanty, S. and Suich, H., 2013. *The livelihood impacts of payments for environmental services and implications for REDD+*. *Society & Natural Resources* 26(6), 733-744.
- The Munden Project, 2011. *REDD+ and forest carbon, market critique and recommendations*. The Munden Project, New York, USA, 31 pp.
- Thompson, A., 2006. *Management under anarchy: The international politics of climate change*. *Climate Change* 78, 7-29.
- Thompson, M.C., Baruah, M. and Carr, E.R., 2011. *Seeing REDD+ as a project of environmental governance*. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14(2), 100-110.
- Tomaselli, I., 2006. *Brief study on funding and finance for forestry and forest-based sector, final report*. United Nations Forum on Forest, UN, New York, 63 pp.
- Trivedi, M., Mitchell, A., and Mardas, N., 2008. *The utility value of rainforests*. In Holopainen, J. and Wit, M. (Eds.), 2008. *Financing sustainable forest management*. Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 163-166.
- Underdal, A., 2011. *Strategies in international regime negotiations: Reflecting background conditions or shaping outcomes?* *International Environmental Agreements* 12, 129-144.
- UNEP, 2002. *Global Environmental Outlook 3*. Earthscan, UK, 466 pp.
- Unfcccint. (2017). Unfcccint. Retrieved 11 March, 2017, from <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2010/cop16/eng/07a01.pdf>
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2017. Unfcccint. *Glossary of Climate Change Acronyms and Terms*. Retrieved 26 December 2016 from http://unfccc.int/essential_background/glossary/items/3666.php.

- Unruh, J.D., 2008. Carbon sequestration in Africa: The land tenure problem. *Global Environmental Change* 18, 700-707.
- Van Bodegom, A.J., Savenije, H. and Wit, M. (Eds.), 2009. *Forests and climate change: Adaptation and mitigation*. Tropenbos International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 160 pp.
- Van der Hoff, R., Rajao, R., Leroy, P. and Boezeman, D., 2015. The parallel materialization of REDD+ implementation discourses in Brazil. *Forest Policy and Economics* 55, 37-45.
- Van Kooten, G.C., 2009. Biological carbon sequestration and carbon trading revisited. *Climatic Change* 95, 449-463.
- Vatn, A., Vedeld, P., Petursson, J. G. and Stenslie, E., 2009. The REDD+ direction. The potential for reduced carbon emissions, biodiversity protection and enhanced development. A desk study with focus on Tanzania and Uganda. *Noragric Report 51*, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway, 140pp.
- Vatn, A., 2010. An institutional analysis of payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics* 69, 1245-1252.
- Vatn, A., Barton, D.N., Lindhjem, H., Movik, S., Ring, I and Santos, R., 2011. Can markets protect biodiversity? An evaluation of different financial mechanisms. *Noragric Report 60*. University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway, 105 pp.
- Vatn, A. and Vedeld, P., 2011. Getting ready! A study of national governance structures for REDD+. *Noragric report 59*. Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway, 43 pp.
- Vatn, A. and Vedeld, P., 2013. National governance structures for REDD+. *Global Environmental Change* 23, 422-432.
- Villamor, G.B. and Lasco, R.D., 2009. Rewarding upland people for forest conservation: Experience and lessons learned from case studies in the Philippines. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 304-321.
- Visseren-Hamakers, I.J. and Glasbergen, P., 2006. Partnerships in forest governance. *Global Environmental Change* 17(3-4), 408-419.
- Vogler, J., 2003. Taking institutions seriously: How regimes can be relevant to multi-level environmental governance. *Global Environmental Politics* 3(2), 25-39.
- Von der Goltz, J., 2009. High stakes in a complex game: A snapshot of the climate change negotiating positions of major developing country emitters. *Working Paper 177*, Center for Global Development, Washington, D.C., USA, 35 pp.
- Von Moltke, K., 2002. Governments and international civil society in sustainable development: A framework. *International Environmental Agreements* 2, 341-359.
- Wang, Z. and Lu, Y., 2010. Compensation for the conversion of sloping farmland to forest in China: A feasibility study of payment based on carbon sink. *Journal of Environment & Development* 19(1), 28-41.
- Wang, C., 2010. Lessons learned from global forestry projects in the carbon market. In Zhu, X., Ravnkilde, L. and De Lopez, M. (Eds.), 2010. *Pathways for implementing REDD+, experiences from carbon markets and communities*. Carbon Market Perspectives, UNEP Risoe Centre, Roskilde, Denmark, 17-28.
- Wehkamp, J., Aquino, A., Fuss, S. and Reed, E.W., 2015. Analyzing the perception of deforestation drivers by African policy makers in light of possible REDD+ policy responses. *Forest Policy and Economics* 59, 7-18.
- Weible, C.M., Sabatier, P.A. and Flowers, J., 2008. A guide to the advocacy coalition framework. *Encyclopedia of Public Administration and Public Policy*, Second Edition, 1(1), 1-10.
- Weible, C.M., Heikkila, T., de Leon, P. and Sabatier, P.A., 2012. Understanding and influencing the policy process. *Policy Sciences* 45, 1-21.
- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., 2007. Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries (REDD+): Insights from the UNFCCC COP-13 in Bali. *Iddri – Idées pour le débat* 12, Paris, France, 5 pp.

- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., Kongphan-Apirak, M. and Wunder, S., 2008. Reducing forest emissions in the Amazon Basin, a review of drivers of land-use change and how payments for environmental services can affect them. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 26 pp.
- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. and Kongphan-apirak, M., 2008. Emerging REDD+, a preliminary survey of demonstration and readiness activities. CIFOR Working Paper 46, CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 15 pp.
- Wettestad, J., 2009. Interaction between EU carbon trading and the international climate regime: Synergies and learning. *International Environmental Agreements* 9, 393-408.
- White, A. and Martin, A., 2002. Who owns the world's forests? Forest tenure and public forests in transition. *Forest Trends and Center for International Environmental Law*, Washington, D.C., USA, 32 pp.
- Winkel, G., 2012. Foucault in the forests—A review of the use of 'Foucauldian' concepts in forest policy analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics* 16, 81-92.
- World Bank, 2003. World development report 2003: Sustainable development in a dynamic world: Transforming institutions, growth, and quality of life. The World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA, 273 pp.
- World Bank, 2004. Sustaining forests: A development strategy. The World Bank, Washington D.C., USA, 28 pp.
- World Bank, 2009. Gender in agriculture sourcebook. The World Bank, Washington D.C., USA, 764 pp.
- World Commission on Forests and Sustainable Development, 1999. Our forests, our future, summary report, World Commission on Forests and Sustainable Development, Winnipeg, Canada, 38 pp.
- Wordpresscom, 2010. World People's Conference on Climate Change and the Rights of Mother Earth. Retrieved 11 March, 2017, from <https://pwccc.wordpress.com/2010/04/24/peoples-agreement/>
- Wunder, S., 2005. Payments for environmental services: Some nuts and bolts. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 32 pp.
- Wunder, S., Bui Dung The and Ibarra, E., 2005. Payment is good control is better: Why payments for forest environmental services in Vietnam have so far remained incipient. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, 75 pp.
- Wunder, S., 2006. Are direct payments for environmental services spelling doom for sustainable forest management in the tropics? *Ecology and Society* 11(2): 23, 12 pp.
- Wunder, S., 2007. The efficiency of payments for environmental services in tropical conservation. *In Conservation Biology* 21(1), 48-58.
- Wunder, S. and Albán, M., 2008. Decentralized payments for environmental services: The cases of Pimampiro and PROFAFOR in Ecuador. *Ecological Economics* 65(4), 685-698.
- Wunder, S., Engel, S. and Pagiola, S., 2008. Taking stock: A comparative analysis of payments for environmental services programs in developed and developing countries. *Ecological Economics* 65(4), 834-852.
- Wunder, S. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., 2009. Payments for ecosystem services: A new way of conserving biodiversity in forests. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28(3-5), 576-596.
- Wwwunorg. (2017). Wwwwunorg. Retrieved 11 March, 2017, from http://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/5session_factsheet1.pdf
- Yasmi, Y., Kelley, L., Murdiyarso, D. and Patel, T., 2012. The struggle over Asia's forests: An overview of forest conflict and potential implications for REDD+. *International Forestry Review* 14(1), 99-109.
- Young, O.R., 1991. Political leadership and regime formation: On the development of institutions in international society. *International Organization* 45(3), 281-308.
- Zaballa Romero, M.E., Traerup, S., Wieben, E., Ravnkilde Moller, J. and Koch, A., 2013. Economics of forest and forest carbon projects. Translating lessons learned into national REDD+ implementation. UNEP Risoe, Nairobi, Kenya, 100 pp.

- Zadek, S., 2011. Beyond climate finance: from accountability to productivity in addressing the climate challenge. *Climate Policy* 11, 1058-1068. ^[L]_[SEP]
- Zhu, X., Ravnkilde, L. and De Lopez, M. (Eds.) 2010. Pathways for implementing REDD+, experiences from carbon markets and communities. *Carbon Market Perspectives*, UNEP Riso Centre, Roskilde, Denmark, 156 pp.