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Rapid escape from preserved cross-reactive neutralizing humoral immunity without loss of viral fitness in HIV-1 infected progressors and long-term non-progressors
**ABSTRACT**

A substantial proportion of HIV-1-infected individuals has cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum, with a similar prevalence in progressors and long-term non-progressors (LTNP).

Here, we studied whether disease progression in the face of cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity is due to fading neutralizing humoral immunity over time or to viral escape. In three LTNP and three progressors, high-titer cross-reactive HIV-1-specific neutralizing activity in serum against a multiclade pseudovirus panel was preserved during the entire clinical course of infection, even after AIDS diagnosis in progressors. However, while early HIV-1 variants from all six individuals could be neutralized by autologous serum, the autologous neutralizing activity declined during chronic infection. This could be attributed to viral escape and the apparent inability of the host to elicit neutralizing antibodies to the newly emerging viral escape variants. Escape from autologous neutralizing activity was not associated with a reduction in the viral replication rate in vitro. Escape from autologous serum with cross-reactive neutralizing activity coincided with an increase in the length of the variable loops and in the number of potential N-linked glycosylation sites in the viral envelope. Positive selection pressure was observed in the variable regions in envelope, suggesting that, at least in these individuals, these regions are targeted by humoral immunity with cross-reactive potential.

Our results may imply that the ability of HIV-1 to rapidly escape cross-reactive autologous NAb responses without the loss of viral fitness is the underlying explanation for the absent effect of potent cross-reactive neutralizing humoral immunity on the clinical course of infection.
Introduction

The need for an effective vaccine to prevent the global spread of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) is well recognized. The ability to elicit broadly neutralizing antibodies (BrNAbs) is believed to be key to a successful vaccine, ideally to acquire protective immunity, or, alternatively, to achieve a non-progressive infection with viral loads sufficiently low to limit HIV-1 transmission 1,2.

During natural infection, antibodies that are able to neutralize autologous virus variants are elicited in the majority of HIV-1 infected individuals. Early in infection, these neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) are mainly type-specific, due to the fact that they are primarily directed against the variable domains in the viral envelope, and allow for the rapid escape of HIV-1 from antibody neutralization 3-9. Escape from type-specific neutralizing humoral immunity has been associated with enormous sequence variation, particularly in variable loops 1 and 2 (V1V2) of the envelope protein where large insertions and deletions are observed, as well as with changes in the number of potential N-linked glycosylation sites (PNGS) in the envelope protein 3-5,9-16. The rapid escape of HIV-1 from autologous type-specific NAbs seems to be the underlying explanation for the absent correlation between autologous humoral immunity and HIV-1 disease course. Furthermore, we recently observed that the changes in envelope that are associated with escape from autologous neutralizing humoral immunity do not coincide with a loss of viral fitness 17, providing an additional explanation for the lack of protection from disease progression by the autologous type-specific NAb response.

In the last couple of years, the focus of research has shifted towards neutralizing humoral immunity with cross-reactive activity, defined as the ability to neutralize a range of heterologous HIV-1 variants from different subtypes. It has become apparent that about one-third of HIV-1 infected individuals develop cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum. However, the prevalence of cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum was similar for HIV-infected individuals with a progressive disease course and long-term non-progressors (LTNP) 18-21.

Here, we studied the underlying explanation for this observation in three LTNP and three progressors who all had high-titer cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum within two to four years post-seroconversion (SC). In all individuals, we observed that the potent and cross-reactive neutralizing immunity was preserved during the entire course of infection. However, the presence of cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum did not prevent rapid viral escape from humoral immunity, which coincided with changes in envelope similar to those described for escape from type-specific autologous humoral immunity. Although broadly neutralizing antibodies are assumed to target the more conserved epitopes that may lie in crucial parts of the viral envelope, escape from cross-reactive neutralizing activity did not coincide with a loss in viral fitness. Our findings underscore that vaccine-elicited cross-reactive neutralizing immunity should protect against HIV-1 acquisition, as protection
from disease progression, even by humoral immunity with strong cross-reactivity, may be an unachievable goal.

**Materials and methods**

**Participants and viruses**

The six individuals studied here were selected from the Amsterdam Cohort Studies (ACS) on HIV and AIDS in homosexual men. Long-term non-progressors (LTNP) were defined as HIV-1-infected individuals who have ≥10 years of asymptomatic follow-up with stable CD4 counts that are still above 400 cells/µl in the 9th year of follow-up. Typical progressors were defined as HIV-1-infected individuals who progressed to AIDS within 7 years after SC. All individuals were infected with HIV-1 subtype B. Five individuals were seropositive at entry into the cohort studies (seroprevalent cases with an imputed SC date on average 18 months before entry into the cohort 22,23), while participant H18969 seroconverted during active follow-up 5. None of the individuals received combination anti-retroviral therapy during the follow-up period for this study.

Clonal virus variants were obtained as previously described 24,25. For further study, we selected a maximum of 5 virus variants per individual per time point to be tested for autologous neutralization sensitivity. Viruses were selected on the basis of their replication capacities, to get a mix of different virus variants that had coexisted in vivo. To prevent a change in neutralization sensitivity of the virus variants during in vitro culture, the number of virus passages in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) was kept to a minimum 26.

The Amsterdam Cohort Studies are conducted in accordance with the ethical principles set out in the declaration of Helsinki and written consent was obtained prior to data collection. The study was approved by the Academic Medical Center institutional medical ethics committee.

**U87/pseudovirus assay for testing of HIV-1 cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum**

Sera from these six individuals were tested for neutralizing activity in a pseudovirus assay developed by Monogram Biosciences. The tier 2-3 virus panel that we used for determining cross-neutralizing activity in serum consisted of HIV-1 pseudoviruses from subtypes A (n=5), B (n=6), C (n=7), and D (n=5). Viruses were obtained recently after transmission or during the chronic phase of infection, and included both moderately neutralization sensitive and neutralization resistant primary HIV-1 variants, based on previously determined neutralization sensitivities to subtype B sera and monoclonal antibodies b12, 2G12, and 4E10 21,27,28. Not all sera were tested against all viruses of the panel. Pseudotyped viral particles were produced by cotransfecting HEK293 cells with an expression vector carrying the HIV-1 derived gp160 gene (eETV) and an HIV-1 genomic vector carrying a luciferase reporter gene (pRTV1.F-lucPCND0-AU3). Forty-eight hours after transfection, pseudovirus stocks were harvested and small aliquots were tested for infectivity using U87 target cells expressing...
CD4, CCR5, and CXCR4. Pseudovirus stocks were tested and normalized for infectivity prior to testing in the neutralization assay. A recombinant virus assay involving a single round of virus infection was used to measure cross-neutralization activity of the sera. Diluted pseudoviruses were incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C with serial dilutions of serum after which the U87 target cells were added. The ability of participant sera to neutralize viral infection was assessed by measuring luciferase activity 72 hours after viral inoculation in comparison to a control infection with a virus pseudotyped with amphotropic murine leukemia virus envelope proteins gp70SU and p15TM (aMLV). Neutralization titers are expressed as the reciprocal of the plasma dilution that inhibited virus infection by 50% (IC50). Neutralization titers were considered positive if they were 3 times greater than the negative aMLV control and were ≥100. The lowest serum dilution used in the assay was 1:40.

**PBMC-based assay for testing HIV-1 autologous neutralizing activity in serum**

Clonal virus variants of participants were tested for their relative neutralization sensitivities against autologous serum and pooled sera from healthy, uninfected individuals. PBMC were obtained from buffy-coats from 10 healthy seronegative blood donors and pooled prior to use. Cells were isolated by Ficoll-Isopaque density gradient centrifugation and then stimulated for 3 days in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 U/ml), ciproxin (5 μg/ml), and phytohemagglutinin (PHA; 5 μg/ml) at a cell concentration of 5x10⁶/ml. After inoculation, the cells (1x10⁶/ml) were grown in the absence of PHA in medium supplemented with recombinant interleukin-2 (20 U/ml; Chiron Benelux, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and Polybrene (5 μg/ml; hexadimethrine bromide; Sigma, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). To prevent possible complement-mediated antibody inhibition of virus infection, complement in human sera and fetal bovine serum was inactivated by a 30 min incubation at 56 °C. From each virus isolate, an inoculum of 20 50% tissue culture infective doses in a total volume of 50 μl was incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C with decreasing concentrations of the serum (starting concentration 1:50) in 96-well microtiter plates. Subsequently, 1x10⁵ PHA-stimulated PBMC were added to the mixtures of virus with serum. After 4 hours of incubation, PBMC were washed once in 100 μl phosphate-buffered saline after which fresh medium was added. On day 11, virus production in culture supernatants was analyzed in an in-house p24 antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Background measurements were performed using pooled sera from uninfected individuals and neutralization titers were expressed as the reciprocal serum dilution that established 50% inhibition (IC50) of virus infection. Experiments were performed in triplicate. When possible, 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC50) were determined by linear regression. For calculation of IC50 values for viruses that were not inhibited by the 1:50 serum dilution, we assumed that 50% inhibition would have occurred at a 1:25 serum dilution.
Preparation of chimeric viruses

To exclude an effect of additional mutations in other genes than Env on the viral replication rate, we generated a panel of chimeric NL4-3 viruses, in which the original envelope was replaced with the envelopes of virus variants that were isolated from our participants. For each time point, envelopes from a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 8 viruses were analyzed. Env fragments from HXB2 nucleotides (nt) 5658 to 9171 were amplified by PCR using Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science). Chimeric NL4-3/Env viruses were produced by homologous recombination of the Env PCR products with a pNL4-3 vector (a kind gift from J. Alcami). In short, pNL4-3 was restricted with XbaI (HXB2 nt 6114) and XhoI (HXB2 nt 8898) and was subsequently co-transfected with an env PCR product into 293T cells in a 24-wells plate using the calcium phosphate method. After 2 days, PHA-stimulated PBMC from healthy seronegative blood donors were added to the culture, and the next day the PBMC were transferred to a culture flask. Supernatants were harvested when positive for p24, as determined using an in-house p24 antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The presence of the correct env in NL4-3 was confirmed by sequencing.

Sequence analysis

The HIV envelope gp160 gene was PCR amplified from DNA isolated from PBMC that were infected in vitro with a single clonal HIV-1 variant and subsequently sequenced as described previously. The nucleotide sequences of all virus clones from an individual were aligned using ClustalW in the software package BioEdit and edited manually. The reference sequence HXB2 was included in the alignment to number each aligned residue according to the corresponding position in this reference sequence. Genetic analyses were performed on gp160 sequences starting at nucleotide position 91, which excludes the Env signal peptide. PNGS were identified using N-glycosite at the HIV database website. Net charges of gp160 were calculated by counting all charged amino acid residues per sequence, where residues R and K counted as +1, H as +0.293, and D and E as -1. Nonsynonymous substitution (dN) and synonymous substitution (dS) rates for the different regions of env were calculated using Synonymous Nonsynonymous Analysis Program. dN/dS ratios were calculated between successive time points by averaging the dN/dS ratios between all individual pairs of env sequences from the two time points. Positively selected codons were identified using DataMonkey with the REL, FEL and SLAC method and were assumed to be truly positively selected if two methods were significant (P value <0.05). To ensure a correct calculation of dN/dS ratios and positive selection in the variable loops, the codon alignments of these regions were corrected manually. Codons containing indels were excluded in this method.
The sequences used in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EU744055 to EU744096 and GU455425 to GU455525.

**Characterization of HIV-1 replication kinetics**

Replication kinetics of the clonal virus variants were determined using chimeric NL4-3/Env viruses on pooled PBMC which were obtained and stimulated as described above. 2x10⁶ PHA-stimulated PBMC were inoculated with 500 50% tissue culture infective doses of a given chimeric NL4-3/Env HIV-1 variant in a volume of 2 ml at 37 ºC for 2 hours in a shaking water bath. Subsequently, cells were washed with 10 ml Iscove’s modified Dulbecco medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 U/ml) and resuspended at a concentration of 1x10⁶ cells/ml for culture. Fresh PHA-stimulated PBMC (1x10⁶) in a volume of 1 ml were added at days 5 and 8. Cultures were maintained for 11 days. 75 µl of supernatant for determination of p24 antigen production were harvested each day. The concentration of p24 in all samples was determined at the same time using an in-house p24 antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. P24 production per ml supernatant was determined and corrected for the differences in volume of culture supernatant. Per individual, the period of logarithmic expansion of viral p24 production was determined and only this timeline was used for further analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 16 software package. Changes in replication kinetics were compared using an unpaired two-sample *t* test. Changes in the length and the number of PNGS in Env were assessed using the Kruskall-Wallis analysis of variance.

**RESULTS**

*Longitudinally preserved cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity in three LTNP and three progressors*

We previously demonstrated a similar prevalence of cross-reactive neutralizing activity in sera of LTNP and progressors at time points relatively early in infection. Here, we first wished to study whether a progressive disease course was associated with a more rapid loss of cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity at the later stages of disease. To this end, we selected three LTNP and three progressors from the Amsterdam Cohort Studies on HIV infection and AIDS, for whom we previously established cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum samples that were obtained at around years two and four post-SC. For these patients, we analyzed cross-reactive neutralizing activity in sera that were obtained at multiple time points during the course of infection, up to the moment of clinical AIDS diagnosis or initiation of HAART in the three progressors and in one LTNP who ultimately progressed to AIDS, or until end of follow-up in the other two LTNP (Figure 7.1). HIV-1
specific neutralizing activity was measured in a cell-based infectivity assay using a panel of 23 recombinant viruses pseudotyped with envelope proteins from HIV-1 subtype A, B, C, and D. Due to the limited availability of serum, some sera were only tested against a subset of this virus panel.

HIV-specific cross-reactive neutralizing activity, defined as an IC$_{50}$ ≥ 100 against at least 50% of the viruses from 3 or more subtypes, was observed in sera from all six individuals. For participant H18969, cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity developed as early as 12 months post-SC (Figure 7.2). In contrast, serum from participant H19663 did not show cross-reactive neutralizing activity until 59 months post-SC, although serum obtained 29 months post-SC from this participant was already able to neutralize virus variants from different subtypes (Figure 7.2). In the remaining 4 participants, cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity was observed after approximately 30 months post-SC (Figure 7.2). However, serum samples from earlier time points were not available for these 4 participants, indicating that cross-reactive neutralizing activity could have been present earlier in infection. Without

---

**Figure 7.1:** CD4$^+$ T-cell count, viral RNA load and antiretroviral treatment during the course of infection of three LTNP (top) and three progressors (bottom)

The CD4$^+$ T-cell count are shown in black with the legend on the left y-axis, while the viral RNA load are indicated in gray with the legend on the right y-axis. The detection limit for the measurement of RNA load was 1000 copies/ml plasma, which decreased to 400 copies/ml plasma later in time (for participant H19956 from 200 months onwards). The length and type of antiretroviral therapy are indicated at the top of each diagram.
exception, cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity was conserved longitudinally in both LTNP and progressors. Neutralizing serum titers increased over the course of infection until the end of follow-up in 2 LTNP, or until around the moment of clinical AIDS diagnosis for the 4 participants who developed AIDS. After clinical AIDS diagnosis, cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity declined, although the breadth of neutralization was preserved (Figure 7.1 and 7.2).

**Decreasing neutralizing humoral immunity against autologous HIV-1 during the course of infection**

The observation that cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity was preserved during the course of infection in both LTNP and progressors excludes the possibility that loss of humoral immunity precedes disease progression. To investigate whether viruses from LTNP

---

**Figure 7.2: Breadth and potency of HIV-1 specific neutralizing activity in sera obtained during the course of infection from three LTNP (top) and three progressors (bottom)**

IC₅₀ values, given as the reciprocal serum dilution determined using a U87-based neutralizing assay, are shown for serum samples obtained during the course of infection against a panel of 23 heterologous virus variants. Due to limiting amounts of serum, some sera were only tested against a subset of this virus panel. In the left columns, a description of the virus panel is given; the tier 2-3 virus panel consisted of HIV-1 pseudoviruses from subtype A, B, C and D. The references panel (bottom part) included strains 1196, BaL, JRCSF, NL4-3, and SF162. As a negative control (NC), the amphotropic murine leukemia virus was used. IC₅₀ titers ≥ 1:100 and exceeding three times the background reading for that sample are indicated in gray. n.d., not done.
and progressors showed a difference in their ability to escape from autologous humoral immunity, we next analyzed the efficacy of neutralizing serum activity against autologous virus variants.

Clonal HIV-1 variants were isolated from PMBC that were obtained at approximately the same time points at which the sera were collected. Although 1-5 clones per time point were isolated from earlier time points in participant H19956, attempts to isolate clonal HIV-1 variants from PBMC that were obtained at time points after 150 months post-SC were not successful. From participant H19642, both R5 and X4 HIV-1 variants were isolated at the time point just before clinical AIDS diagnosis, while from earlier time points only R5 variants were obtained. From participant H19554, both R5 and X4 HIV-1 variants were isolated at the time points around 5.5 and 7 years after SC, but only R5 HIV-1 variants were isolated at the time point after clinical AIDS diagnosis.

For each individual, autologous neutralizing activity in sera obtained at or close to the time points of virus isolation were measured against a maximum of five randomly selected clonal HIV-1 variants per time point, both R5 and X4 HIV-1 variants when applicable. The number of HIV-1 variants that could be tested was limited by the amount of participant serum that was available. Neutralization of autologous virus variants was observed in all six individuals, although the level of neutralization was diverse (Figure 7.3). In agreement with findings by

Figure 7.3: Development of autologous humoral immune responses during the course of infection in three LTNP (top) and three progressors (bottom)

Average IC_{50} values, determined by linear regression, of \( \leq 5 \) virus variants per time point are indicated. The time points of virus isolation are indicated in the top right corner of each panel. Bars with identical shading represent inhibition of virus isolates from one time point by sera of different time points (as indicated on the x-axis). The dashed lines represent background measurements using pooled sera from healthy uninfected individuals. Note that the maximum value on the y axis in the graph of participant H19642 and H18969 are higher than in the other graphs. IC_{50}, 50% inhibitory concentration; mo, months; SC, seroconversion.
others\textsuperscript{3,9,15,16}, virus variants were poorly neutralized by contemporaneous serum and sera from earlier time points, suggestive of viral escape. In general, the neutralizing titer in serum was highest against the earliest virus variants and was much less potent against virus variants from subsequent time points. Moreover, this limited autologous neutralizing activity against early viruses was lost after AIDS diagnosis in those individuals who ultimately progressed to AIDS. For participant H19956, we observed a different pattern of neutralization, although it should be mentioned that the viruses from this participant were isolated from much earlier time points than the sera that were available for testing. For this reason, titers against all viruses were somewhat higher than what was observed in the other patients, and the highest titer was observed for the last serum sample tested against an earlier virus variant. However, only a single virus variant was obtained from the 123 and 146 months post-SC time points, respectively, not allowing firm conclusions on the effect of humoral immunity in this individual.

Overall, for viruses from the same time point, neutralizing titers in serum varied only minimally. In all six individuals, autologous neutralizing activity was lost already in the asymptomatic phase of infection, before clinical AIDS was diagnosed. Moreover, we did not observe any difference between LTNP and progressors in autologous neutralizing activity. Escape from autologous neutralization did not coincide with changes in plasma viral RNA load and/or CD4\(^+\) T-cell counts (Figure 7.1 and 7.3). We also did not observe a difference in neutralization sensitivity between R5 and X4 HIV-1 variants.

Our data show that autologous neutralizing antibody responses could no longer be mounted later in infection, and that the autologous neutralizing activity that was elicited early in infection diminished over time, while at the same time heterologous responses were preserved.

\textit{Evolution of the envelope protein during the course of infection in individuals with cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum}

Next, we analyzed the molecular changes in the viral envelope during the clinical course of HIV-1 infection that coincided with escape from neutralizing humoral immunity with cross-reactive neutralizing activity. To this end, full-length gp160 sequences were generated from a median of five virus variants (range 1-10) per time point (Table 7.1). Phylogenetic analysis of all sequences using the neighbor-joining method revealed clustering of sequences per individual and excluded superinfection and contamination of samples (data not shown).

Escape of HIV-1 from type-specific NAbs has been associated with increases in the length of the viral envelope and the number of potential N-linked glycosylation sites in Env\textsuperscript{4,9,10,16}. For the virus variants that were isolated from the six individuals in our present study, we observed an increase in length of gp160 during the course of infection. For viruses of participants H19642 and H18969, this extension of the length of the envelope protein reached a plateau, while the envelope length of viruses from participants H19289 and
Table 7.1: Envelope characteristics of the isolated virus variants per individual per time point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>months post-SC</th>
<th>n virus</th>
<th>Length gp160</th>
<th>PNGS gp160</th>
<th>PNGS C region</th>
<th>PNGS V region</th>
<th>dN/dS C region gp120</th>
<th>dN/dS V region gp120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LTNP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19642</td>
<td>P = 0.008</td>
<td>P = 0.003</td>
<td>P = 0.009</td>
<td>P = 0.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>828.4 (2.61)</td>
<td>29.6 (2.30)</td>
<td>12.8 (0.84)</td>
<td>12.0 (1.23)</td>
<td>0.573 (0.195)</td>
<td>1.074 (0.447)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>834.8 (2.23)</td>
<td>34.2 (1.84)</td>
<td>15.0 (1.55)</td>
<td>14.2 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.512 (0.206)</td>
<td>0.996 (0.305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>833.7 (3.56)</td>
<td>34.2 (0.75)</td>
<td>15.2 (0.41)</td>
<td>14.5 (1.05)</td>
<td>0.377 (0.114)</td>
<td>0.984 (0.292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>836.4 (6.80)</td>
<td>34.4 (1.52)</td>
<td>15.2 (0.45)</td>
<td>13.8 (1.30)</td>
<td>0.422 (0.175)</td>
<td>1.316 (0.365)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>840.0 (1.63)</td>
<td>31.8 (1.89)</td>
<td>14.3 (0.50)</td>
<td>13.0 (1.41)</td>
<td>0.321 (0.132)</td>
<td>0.773 (0.405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>839.2 (3.56)</td>
<td>31.8 (0.84)</td>
<td>14.4 (0.55)</td>
<td>13.4 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.512 (0.206)</td>
<td>0.996 (0.305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.174</td>
<td>P = 0.100</td>
<td>P = 0.166</td>
<td>P = 0.076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>829.8 (3.49)</td>
<td>30.6 (1.52)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.71)</td>
<td>13.6 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.952 (0.246)</td>
<td>1.186 (0.446)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>826.3 (1.16)</td>
<td>28.3 (0.58)</td>
<td>12.3 (0.58)</td>
<td>12.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.602 (0.135)</td>
<td>1.042 (0.297)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>828.5 (0.71)</td>
<td>29.5 (0.71)</td>
<td>12.5 (0.71)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.617 (0.048)</td>
<td>1.240 (0.721)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>832.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.512 (0.206)</td>
<td>0.996 (0.305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>841.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.003</td>
<td>P = 0.500</td>
<td>P = 0.021</td>
<td>P = 0.061</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>831.6 (3.21)</td>
<td>29.6 (1.52)</td>
<td>13.2 (0.84)</td>
<td>12.2 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.852 (0.333)</td>
<td>2.483 (1.722)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>844.3 (8.62)</td>
<td>30.2 (0.98)</td>
<td>11.7 (0.52)</td>
<td>14.2 (1.47)</td>
<td>0.890 (0.338)</td>
<td>1.371 (0.527)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>850.0 (8.37)</td>
<td>31.0 (1.55)</td>
<td>12.5 (0.84)</td>
<td>14.5 (1.38)</td>
<td>0.952 (0.246)</td>
<td>1.186 (0.446)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>857.5 (0.58)</td>
<td>29.8 (0.50)</td>
<td>12.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>13.8 (0.50)</td>
<td>1.012 (0.460)</td>
<td>1.284 (0.355)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.005</td>
<td>P = 0.044</td>
<td>P = 0.006</td>
<td>P = 0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>836.0 (2.83)</td>
<td>31.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>14.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.788 (0.202)</td>
<td>1.085 (0.390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>846.7 (4.46)</td>
<td>32.7 (1.03)</td>
<td>13.2 (0.41)</td>
<td>15.2 (1.17)</td>
<td>0.557 (0.211)</td>
<td>0.641 (0.184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>843.6 (1.52)</td>
<td>32.8 (0.84)</td>
<td>14.2 (0.45)</td>
<td>14.6 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.487 (0.171)</td>
<td>0.412 (0.139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>837.4 (2.07)</td>
<td>31.6 (0.55)</td>
<td>14.2 (0.45)</td>
<td>12.8 (0.45)</td>
<td>0.757 (0.297)</td>
<td>1.234 (0.481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.020</td>
<td>P = 0.002</td>
<td>P = 0.081</td>
<td>P = 0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>835.8 (1.60)</td>
<td>33.8 (0.41)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>14.8 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.361 (0.092)</td>
<td>1.234 (0.481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>840.8 (8.90)</td>
<td>33.0 (1.00)</td>
<td>12.4 (0.55)</td>
<td>14.8 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.339 (0.156)</td>
<td>1.364 (0.482)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>833.3 (6.74)</td>
<td>31.5 (0.84)</td>
<td>12.7 (0.52)</td>
<td>13.5 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.228 (0.095)</td>
<td>1.073 (0.466)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>832.0 (3.46)</td>
<td>30.3 (1.26)</td>
<td>12.3 (0.50)</td>
<td>13.0 (0.82)</td>
<td>0.435 (0.154)</td>
<td>1.284 (0.374)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average sequence characteristic for all viruses from one time point is presented. Standard deviations are given between brackets. Changes in sequence characteristic over the course of infection within each individual were calculated using the Kruskall-Wallis test. The dN/dS ratios are a comparison between viruses of that time point and viruses of the previous time point.
H19544 decreased at later time points (Table 7.1). The plateau or decrease in the length of the envelope protein coincided with fading autologous neutralizing activity in these participants (Figure 7.3). The changes in gp160 length could be completely attributed to the variable regions, except for viruses from participant H19663, in which minor insertions in C3 were observed. Insertions and deletions were observed in V1 and V4 for viruses from all participants, while additional changes in the other variable regions of gp120 were observed for viruses from some participants (data not shown).

A similar pattern of change over the course of infection was observed for the number of PNGS. The changes in PNGS in gp160 of all individuals over time were caused by the acquisition and/or loss of PNGS in both the constant and variable regions of gp160 (Table 7.1). For all individuals, the number and/or location of the PNGS in the C3 and V1V2 region of gp120 changed over time. Moreover, additional changes in other regions of the envelope protein were observed in viruses from participants H19642, H19956, H19663, and H19554 (data not shown). Changes in gp160 length and PNGS did not always occur simultaneously in time. For example, in viruses from participant H19642, the number of PNGS decreased already from 4 years post-SC onwards, while the average length of gp160 still increased (Table 7.1).

Changes in the net charge of the V1V2 loop during infection have previously been reported to be correlated with higher neutralizing titers \(^{37}\). Apart from an increase in the net charge of V2 over time in viruses from all individuals, we did not observe any uniform changes in the envelope net charge over the course of infection (data not shown).

To characterize regions in the envelope protein that were positively selected over the course of infection, we calculated the selection pressure per codon using virus variants from all different time points for each individual, as well as the dN/dS ratio for the variable and constant regions between virus variants from successive time points. Positively selected codons were observed in all regions of gp160, and did not reveal specific mutations that correlated with neutralization sensitivity. However, dN/dS ratios were highest for the variable regions, suggesting that the selection pressure was strongest in these regions. Moreover, evidence for positive selection of the constant regions was absent in viruses from all participants except for viruses obtained from participant H18969 between 0 and 4 years post-SC (Table 7.1). dN/dS ratios decreased over time and were similar for viruses from LTNP and progressors.

Overall, we did not observe any differences in length, number of PNGS, or net charge between gp160 of viruses from LTNP and progressors. In addition, similar regions of the viral envelope showed evidence of positive selection. These results indicate that the evolution of HIV-1 over the course of infection is similar in both LTNP and progressors with cross-reactive neutralizing serum activity.
Escape from cross-neutralizing activity does not coincide with a loss of viral replication capacity in vitro

Cross-reactive neutralizing activity is assumed to be directed against more conserved regions in the viral envelope. Escape mutations in these regions may therefore have an impact on the viral replication fitness. Here, we studied whether escape from autologous humoral immunity with cross-reactive neutralizing activity was associated with a reduction in viral replication fitness. Although the molecular changes that we observed here were similar for viruses from LTNP and progressors, this does not exclude that specific amino acid changes in LTNP viruses, or molecular changes in the background of these viruses, have a higher impact on viral replication rate than similar changes in the background of the HIV-1 variants from the progressors we studied here. Therefore, by affecting the viral replication rate, humoral immunity could still, although indirectly, contribute to the differential clinical course in LTNP and progressors. As some individuals in our study received antiretroviral monotherapy for certain periods of time, HIV-1 variants with drug resistance mutations may have been selected. To exclude an effect of these and any other mutations outside Env on the viral replication rate, we generated a panel of chimeric NL4-3 viruses in which the original envelope gene was replaced with the envelope genes of the virus variants that were isolated from our participants during the clinical course of infection. Replication kinetics were determined by the logarithmic expansion of equal viral inocula in PHA-stimulated PBMC.
PBMC and analyzed as p24 production during the period of logarithmic expansion. From participant H19956, too few clonal virus variants were available for analysis of replication rate.

Replication kinetics varied between viruses from a single individual, and even between viruses obtained from the same time point. Over the course of infection, we generally observed either stable or increasing replication rates (Figure 7.4), suggesting that escape from cross-neutralizing activity did not coincide with a reduction of the viral replicative capacity. However, replication rates of HIV-1 variants from participant H18969, decreased during the first 47 months of infection, which coincided with the presence of autologous neutralizing activity in serum (Figure 7.4). This might imply that for HIV-1 variants from this individual, an effect of NAb escape mutations on viral replication fitness cannot be excluded. We observed that an increase/decrease in replication rate did not correlate with changes in plasma viral RNA load (Figure 7.1 and 7.4) and that there was no difference in replication kinetics between R5 and X4 HIV-1 variants of these individuals.

Discussion

HIV-1-specific cross-reactive humoral immunity is assumed to be directed against relatively conserved regions on the viral envelope. As a consequence, HIV-1 may be unable to rapidly escape from cross-reactive NAb pressure, suggesting that a broad and potent humoral immune response may influence the clinical course of infection. However, we have recently demonstrated that the prevalence of cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum is similar among HIV-infected individuals with a progressive disease course and LTNP. This absent correlation between disease course and cross-reactive neutralizing activity in serum could either point to fading humoral immunity in the progressive course of infection, or to viral escape from antibody pressure, as has been shown to occur in response to type-specific neutralizing humoral immunity.

In the longitudinal analysis performed in our present study, cross-reactive neutralizing humoral immunity was preserved in both LTNP and progressors, even after the moment of AIDS diagnosis in those individuals who ultimately progressed to AIDS. In contrast, autologous neutralizing activity was only observed against viruses that were isolated early in infection. Moreover, this limited autologous neutralizing activity against early viruses was lost after AIDS diagnosis. These findings not only point towards a rapid selection of HIV-1 variants that resisted the neutralizing activity in serum, it also shows the inability of the infected host to generate novel neutralizing antibody specificities against these escape variants.

One could argue that the apparent discrepancy between preserved cross-reactive neutralizing activity but fading autologous neutralizing activity could relate to differences in sensitivities of the assays used for their detection. Cross-reactive neutralizing activity was tested against a panel of pseudoviruses in a U87-based assay while autologous neutralizing activity was
tested in a PBMC-based assay with replicating viruses. However, we have previously shown
that the relative potency of neutralizing serum activity as detected by these two assays is
comparable. The different profiles of autologous versus heterologous neutralizing activity
over the course of infection as observed in this study are thus likely to reflect true differences
in the development and persistence of these components of neutralizing serum activity.
We recently demonstrated that escape from type-specific autologous neutralizing activity
in serum did not influence the in vitro replication fitness of HIV-1. However, our
observation that rapid escape of HIV-1 from autologous humoral immunity with cross-
reactive neutralizing activity also had no impact on the viral replicative fitness was somewhat
unexpected as BrNAbs are considered to target conserved epitopes which by definition
carry crucial functions for the virus. It is tempting to speculate that replication fitness is
restored by compensatory mutations that may rapidly be selected. This is currently under
investigation.

Overall, the similar potency of humoral immunity, the similar dynamics of viral escape, and
the absent impact of escape on the replication kinetics of viruses from both LTNP and
progressors argue against a role for NAb in the clinical course of infection. In agreement, we
and others have shown in comprehensive cohort analyses that the presence of cross-reactive
neutralizing activity was not associated with prolonged AIDS free survival. Indeed, HIV-1
cellular immunity and host genetic background seem to have a more pronounced effect on
disease progression.

Escape from autologous neutralizing humoral immunity with cross-reactive activity
coincided with an increase in the length and number of PNGS of gp160 and an increase
in the net charge of the V2 region. Similar changes were observed in HIV-1 variants that
escaped from autologous neutralizing humoral immunity with only type-specific activity.
This may either suggest that the same mechanisms apply for escape from different
antibody specificities or that the relevant changes for escape from cross-reactive neutralizing
antibodies are masked by changes that are selected by type-specific antibodies. Positive
selection pressure was mainly observed in the variable regions of the envelope protein.
Interestingly, two novel highly potent cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies directed against
a conformational epitope in the V2V3 region have recently been described, suggesting
that the variable regions can indeed be targeted by cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies.
We are currently studying the exact nature of the humoral immune response in the
individuals in our study which will reveal whether the cross-reactive neutralizing activity is
determined by a single high affinity antibody, or by a combination of multiple coexisting
neutralizing antibodies directed at multiple distinct regions of the envelope. Results from
these analyses will help to define which changes in the viral envelope are relevant for escape
from cross-reactive neutralizing activity.

Taken together, our current findings seem to underscore the absent role for cross-reactive
neutralizing humoral immunity in the protection from disease progression due to the ability
of HIV-1 to rapidly escape from this immune pressure without a loss of viral fitness. Whereas vaccine-elicited cellular immunity may be able to control viremia and thereby contribute to protection from disease progression, our results support the notion that vaccine elicited BrNAbS may only be relevant for the protection from acquisition of infection.
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