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Search for Dilepton Resonances in $pp$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector
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This Letter reports on a search for narrow high-mass resonances decaying into dilepton final states. The data were recorded by the ATLAS experiment in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV at the Large Hadron Collider and correspond to a total integrated luminosity of 1.08 (1.21) fb$^{-1}$ in the $e^{+}e^{-} (\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$ channel. No statistically significant excess above the standard model expectation is observed and upper limits are set at the 95% C.L. on the cross section times branching fraction of $Z'$ resonances and Randall-Sundrum gravitons decaying into dileptons as a function of the resonance mass. A Randall-Sundrum graviton with coupling $k/M_{\text{Pl}} = 0.1$ is excluded at 95% C.L. for masses below 1.63 TeV.
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This Letter describes a search for narrow high-mass resonances decaying into $e^{+}e^{-}$ or $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ pairs using 7 TeV $pp$ collision data recorded with the ATLAS detector [1]. Such resonances, which are predicted by several extensions of the standard model (SM), include new heavy spin-1 neutral gauge bosons such as $Z'$ [2–4] and $Z''$ [5], technimesons [6–8], as well as spin-2 Randall-Sundrum (RS) gravitons $G^*$ [9].

The benchmark models considered for the $Z'$ are the sequential standard model (SSM) [2], with the same couplings to fermions as the $Z$ boson, and the $E_{6}$ grand unified symmetry group [4], broken into $SU(5)$ and two additional $U(1)$ groups, leading to new neutral gauge fields $\psi$ and $\chi$. The particles associated with the additional fields can mix in a linear combination to form the $Z'$ candidate: $Z'(\theta_{E_{6}}) = Z'_{\psi} \cos \theta_{E_{6}} + Z'_{\chi} \sin \theta_{E_{6}}$, where $\theta_{E_{6}}$ is the mixing angle between the two gauge bosons. The pattern of spontaneous symmetry breaking and the value of $\theta_{E_{6}}$ determine the $Z'$ couplings to fermions; six well-motivated choices of $\theta_{E_{6}}$ [2,4] lead to the specific $Z'$ states named $Z'_{\psi}$, $Z'_{\chi}$, $Z'_{\psi \chi}$, $Z'_{\psi \psi}$, $Z'_{\chi \chi}$, $Z'_{\psi \chi \chi}$.

Other models predict additional spatial dimensions as a possible explanation for the gap between the electroweak symmetry breaking scale and the gravitational energy scale. The RS model [9] predicts excited Kaluza-Klein modes of the graviton, which appear as spin-2 resonances. These modes have a narrow intrinsic width when $k/M_{\text{Pl}} < 0.1$, where $k$ is the spacetime curvature in the extra dimension and $M_{\text{Pl}} = M_{\text{Pl}}/\sqrt{8\pi}$ is the reduced Planck scale.

Previous searches have set direct and indirect constraints on the mass of the $G^{*}$ and $Z'$ resonances [10,11]. The Tevatron [12,13] experiments exclude a $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ with a mass lower than 1.071 TeV [13]. Recent measurements from the LHC experiments, based on $\approx 40$ pb$^{-1}$ of data recorded in 2010, exclude a $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ with a mass lower than 1.042 TeV (ATLAS) [14] and 1.140 TeV (CMS) [15]. Indirect constraints from LEP [16–19] extend these limits to 1.787 TeV [11]. Constraints on the mass of the RS graviton have been set by the CMS [15], CDF [20], and D0 [21] Collaborations, excluding RS gravitons with mass below 1.079 TeV for $k/M_{\text{Pl}} = 0.1$ [15].

The ATLAS detector consists of inner tracking devices surrounded by a 2 T superconducting solenoid, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer with a toroidal magnetic field. Charged particles in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$ [22] are reconstructed with the inner detector, which consists of silicon pixel, silicon strip, and transition radiation detectors. The superconducting solenoid is surrounded by a hermetic calorimeter that covers $|\eta| < 4.9$. For $|\eta| < 2.5$, the electromagnetic calorimeter is finely segmented and plays an important role in electron identification. Outside the calorimeter, air-core toroids provide the magnetic field for the muon spectrometer. Three sets of precision drift tubes and cathode strip chambers provide an accurate measurement of the muon track curvature in the region $|\eta| < 2.7$. Resistive-plate and thin-gap chambers provide muon triggering capability up to $|\eta| < 2.4$.

The data sample used in this analysis, recorded during the first half of 2011, corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of 1.08 (1.21) fb$^{-1}$ in the $e^{+}e^{-} (\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$ channel. Events are required to pass single electron (muon) triggers with a transverse energy $E_{T}$ (transverse momentum $p_{T}$) threshold above 20 (22) GeV. Collision candidates are selected by requiring a primary vertex with at least three associated charged particle tracks with $p_{T} > 0.4$ GeV.

In the $e^{+}e^{-}$ channel, two electron candidates are required with transverse energy $E_{T} > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$; the transition region $1.37 \leq |\eta| \leq 1.52$.
between the barrel and the end cap calorimeters is excluded. Electron candidates are formed from clusters of cells reconstructed in the electromagnetic calorimeter associated with a charged particle track in the inner detector. Criteria on the transverse shower shape, the longitudinal leakage into the hadronic calorimeter, and the association with an inner detector track are applied to the cluster to define a so-called medium electron [23,24]. The electron energy is obtained from the calorimeter measurement and its direction from the associated track. A hit in the first active pixel layer is required to suppress background from photon conversions. To further suppress background from QCD jet production, the higher $E_T$ electron is required to be isolated by demanding that $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$ and $\Sigma E_T(\Delta R < 0.2)$ is the sum of the transverse energies around the electron direction. The core of the electron energy deposition is excluded and the sum is corrected for transverse shower leakage and pileup from additional $pp$ collisions. The two electron candidates are not required to have opposite charge to minimize the impact of possible charge misidentification. For these selection criteria, the total signal acceptance for a $Z \rightarrow e^+e^−$ ($G \rightarrow e^+e^−$) of mass 1.5 TeV is 65% (69%), and is approximately independent of mass above 600 GeV. These numbers include the acceptance of all selection cuts and efficiencies and reflect the lepton angular distributions due to spin.

In the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel, two muon candidates of opposite charge are required, each satisfying $p_T > 25$ GeV. Muon tracks are reconstructed independently in both the inner detector and muon spectrometer, and their momenta are determined from a combined fit to these two measurements. To optimize the momentum resolution, each muon candidate is required to pass quality cuts in the inner detector and to have at least three hits in each of the inner, middle, and outer layers of the muon system. Muons with hits in both the barrel and the end cap regions are discarded because of residual misalignment between these two parts of the muon spectrometer. The effects of misalignments and intrinsic position resolution are included in the simulation. The $p_T$ resolution at 1 TeV ranges from 15% (central) to 44% (for $|\eta| > 2$).

To suppress background from cosmic rays, the muon tracks are required to have a transverse impact parameter $|d_0| < 0.2$ mm, a distance along the beam line to the primary vertex below 1 mm, and the $z$ position of the primary vertex $|z(PV)| < 200$ mm. To reduce background from QCD jets, each muon is required to be isolated such that $\Sigma p_T(\Delta R < 0.3)/p_T(\mu) < 0.05$, where only tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV enter the sum. The total signal acceptance is 40% (44%) for a $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^−$ ($G \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^−$) of mass 1.5 TeV. The lower acceptance compared to the electron channel is due to the stringent requirements on the muon selection criteria to improve $p_T$ resolution.

For both channels, the dominant and irreducible background is due to the $Z/\gamma^*$ (Drell-Yan) process, characterized by the same final state as the signal. Small contributions from $t\bar{t}$ and diboson ($WW$, $WZ$, and $ZZ$) production are also present in both channels. Semileptonic decays of $b$ and $c$ quarks in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample and a mixture of photon conversions, semileptonic heavy quark decays, and hadrons faking electrons in the $e^+e^-$ sample are backgrounds that are referred to below as QCD background. Jets accompanying $W$ bosons ($W +$ jets) may similarly produce lepton candidates.

The expected signal and backgrounds, with the exception of the QCD component, are evaluated with simulated samples and rescaled using the most precise available cross section predictions. The $Z^*, G^*$ signal, and $Z/\gamma^*$ processes are generated with PYTHIA 6.421 [25] using MRST2007 LO* [26] parton distribution functions (PDFs). Interference between the $Z/\gamma^*$ processes and the heavy resonances is small and therefore neglected. The diboson processes are generated with HERWIG 6.510 [27] using MRST2007 LO* PDFs. The $W +$ jets background is generated with ALPGEN [28] using CTEQ6L1 [29] PDFs and the $t\bar{t}$ background with MC@NLO 3.41 [30] using CTEQ66 [31].

FIG. 1 (color online). Dielectron (top) and dimuon (bottom) invariant mass ($m_{ee}$) distribution after final selection, compared to the stacked sum of all expected backgrounds, with three example $Z_{SSM}$ signals overlaid. The bin width is constant in $\log m_{ee}$.
PDFs. For both, JIMMY 4.31 [32] is used to describe multiple parton interactions and HERWIG to describe the remaining underlying event and parton showers. Final-state photon radiation is handled with PHOTOS [33]. The samples are processed through a full ATLAS detector simulation [34] based on GEANT4 [35].

The Z/$\gamma^*$ cross section is calculated at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) using PHOZPR [36] with MSTW2008 PDFs [37]. The ratio of this cross section to the leading-order cross section is used to determine a mass-dependent QCD $K$ factor which is applied to the results of the leading-order simulations. The same QCD $K$ factor is applied to the $Z'$ signal. No QCD $K$ factor is available for $G^*$ production at 7 TeV [38,39]. Higher-order weak corrections (beyond the photon radiation included in the simulation) are calculated using HORACE [40,41], yielding a weak $K$ factor due to virtual heavy gauge boson loops. The weak $K$ factor is only applied to the Drell-Yan background. The diboson cross sections are calculated to next-to-leading order (NLO) using MCFM [42] with an uncertainty of 5%. The $W +$ jets cross section is rescaled to the inclusive NNLO calculation of FEWZ [43], resulting in 30% uncertainty when at least one parton with $E_T > 20$ GeV accompanies the W boson. The $tt\bar{t}$ cross section is predicted at approximate NNLO, with 10% uncertainty [44,45].

The QCD background in the $e^+e^-$ sample is estimated with data using “reversed electron identification” and “isolation fit” techniques [14], and a third method that uses fake rates measured from inclusive jet samples. In the reversed electron identification technique, data with both electron candidates failing some identification criteria and data using the muon isolation variable are used to determine the QCD background distribution versus $m_{ee}$. This method is used for the central estimate and the others which bracket it, to assign a systematic uncertainty. The QCD background in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample is evaluated from data using the muon isolation variable $\Sigma p_T(DR < 0.3)/p_T$ [14]. The QCD and $W +$ jets backgrounds are small (negligible) for the electron (muon) channel. Backgrounds from cosmic rays are negligible.

The observed invariant mass distributions are compared to the SM expectation. For this purpose, the Drell-Yan, $tt\bar{t}$,
diboson and $W + \text{ jets}$ backgrounds from Monte Carlo simulation are scaled according to their respective cross sections and added to the QCD background. The simulated backgrounds are then rescaled so that the sum matches the observed number of data events in the 70–110 GeV mass interval. The scaling factor is within 1% of unity. The advantage of this approach is that the uncertainty on the luminosity, and any mass independent uncertainties on efficiencies, cancel between the $Z'$ ($G^*$) and the $Z$ boson.

Figure 1 presents the invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell}$) distribution for the dielectron (top) and dimuon (bottom) final states after final selection, while Table I shows the number of data events and the estimated backgrounds in bins of reconstructed $m_{\ell\ell}$. The dilepton invariant mass distributions are well described by the prediction from SM processes. Figure 1 also displays the expected $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ signal for three mass hypotheses.

The invariant mass distribution of the data is compared to the backgrounds and signal templates with pole masses in the 0.13–2.0 TeV range [14,46]. A likelihood function is defined as the product of the Poisson probabilities over all mass bins in the search region. The Poisson probability in each bin is evaluated for the observed number of data events given the background and signal template expectation. The total signal acceptance as a function of mass is propagated into the expectation.

The significance of a signal is summarized by a $p$ value, the probability of observing an excess at least as signal-like as the one observed in data, in the absence of signal. The outcome of the search is ranked using a likelihood ratio, which is scanned as a function of $Z'$ cross section and $m_{Z'}$ over the full considered mass range. The data are consistent with the SM hypothesis, with $p$ values of 54% and 24% for the $e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels, respectively.

Given the absence of a signal, an upper limit on the signal cross section is determined at the 95% C.L. using a Bayesian approach [47] with a flat, positive prior on the signal cross section.

Mass-dependent systematic uncertainties are incorporated as nuisance parameters which are integrated out [47]. They include normalization to the $Z$ peak, PDF, QCD, and weak $K$ factors, as well as trigger, reconstruction, and identification efficiencies. These uncertainties are correlated across all bins in the search region and they are correlated between signal and background.

Since the total background is normalized to the data in the region of the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ mass peak, the residual systematic uncertainties are small at the $Z$ pole and grow at higher mass. The dominant uncertainties are theoretical. The overall uncertainty due to PDF and $\alpha_s$ variations is estimated to be 10% at 1.5 TeV using the MSTW 2008 eigenvector PDF sets and other PDF sets corresponding to variations of $\alpha_s$. The difference with respect to CTEQ is included as an additional 3% uncertainty. The uncertainty on the QCD $K$ factor is 3%, evaluated from variations of the renormalization and factorization scales by factors of two around the nominal values. A systematic uncertainty of 4.5% is attributed to electroweak corrections [14]. The uncertainty on the $Z/\gamma^*$ cross section is 5%, which is applied as a systematic uncertainty on the normalization.

Experimental systematic effects due to resolution and inefficiencies at high mass were studied. In the electron channel, the calorimeter energy resolution is dominated at large $E_T$ by a constant term which is 1.2% in the barrel and 1.8% in the end caps, with negligible uncertainty. The uncertainty on the resolution in the muon channel is due to residual misalignments and intrinsic position uncertainties in the muon spectrometer that propagate to a change in

![FIG. 2](color online). Expected and observed 95% C.L. upper limits on $\sigma B$ as a function of mass for $Z'$ (top) and $G^*$ (bottom) models. Both results show the combination of the electron and muon channels. The thickness of the $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ (top) and the $G^*$ for $k/M_D = 0.1$ (bottom) theory curves illustrate the theoretical uncertainties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$e^+e^-$</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-$</th>
<th>$\ell^+\ell^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{\text{SSM}}$</td>
<td>1.70 (1.70)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.61)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^*$</td>
<td>1.51 (1.50)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. Observed (expected) 95% C.L. mass lower limits in TeV on $Z'_{\text{SSM}}$ resonance and $G^*$ graviton (with $k/M_D = 0.1$).
the observed width of the $Z'$ ($G^*$) line shape. The simulation was adjusted to reproduce the data at high muon momentum. The residual uncertainty translates into an event yield uncertainty of less than 1.5%. The combined uncertainty on the muon trigger and reconstruction efficiency is estimated to be 4.5% at 1.5 TeV. This uncertainty is dominated by a conservative estimate of the impact of large energy loss from muon bremsstrahlung in the calorimeter on the muon reconstruction performance in the muon spectrometer. In the electron channel, a systematic uncertainty of 1.5% at 1.5 TeV is estimated for a possible identification inefficiency caused by the isolation requirement.

The dominant systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table II. Uncertainties below 3% are neglected, and no theory uncertainties are applied to the $Z'$ or $G^*$ signal in the limit setting procedure described below.

The limit on the number of produced $Z'$ ($G^*$) events is converted into a limit on cross section times branching fraction $\sigma B$ by scaling with the observed number of $Z$ boson events and the theoretical value of $\sigma B(Z \rightarrow ll)$. The expected exclusion limits are determined using simulated pseudoexperiments containing only standard model processes, by evaluating the 95% C.L. upper limits for each pseudoexperiment. The combined event yield uncertainty of less than 1.5%. The combined limits on the $E_6$-motivated models and the $G^*$ with various couplings are given in Table IV.

In conclusion, the ATLAS detector has been used to search for narrow, heavy resonances in the dilepton invariant mass spectrum above the $Z$ boson pole. Proton-proton collision data with 1.08 (1.21) fb$^{-1}$ in the $e^+e^-$ ($\mu^+\mu^-$) channel have been used. The observed invariant mass spectra are consistent with the SM expectations. Limits are set on the cross section times branching fraction $\sigma B$. The resulting mass limits are 1.83 TeV for the sequential standard model $Z'$ boson, 1.49–1.64 TeV for various $E_6$-motivated $Z'$ bosons, and 0.71–1.63 TeV for a Randall-Sundrum graviton with couplings ($k/M_{Pl}$) in the range 0.01–0.1. The $Z'$ boson limits are the most stringent to date, including indirect limits set by LEP2.
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Table IV. 95% C.L. lower limits on the masses of $E_6$-motivated $Z'$ bosons and RS gravitons $G^*$ for various values of the coupling $k/M_{Pl}$. Both lepton channels are combined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_6$ models</th>
<th>$Z'$ boson limits [TeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_\psi$</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_N$</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_h$</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_\eta$</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_\chi$</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_X$</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^*$</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^*$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^*$</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^*$</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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