



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Perspectives on an integrated computer learning environment

Heck, A.J.P.

Publication date
2012

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Heck, A. J. P. (2012). *Perspectives on an integrated computer learning environment*. Can Uitgeverij.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

References

- Åberg-Bengtsson, L., & Ottosson, T. (1995). Primary School Children's Understanding of Bar Charts and Line Graphs: A preliminary analysis. Paper presented at the sixth EARLI conference, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, August 26-31, 1995.
- Åberg-Bengtsson, L., & Ottosson, T. (2006). What lies behind graphicacy? Relating students' results on a test of graphically represented quantitative information to formal academic achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(1), 43–62.
- Aguiar, C. E., & Laudaes, F. (2003). Listening to the coefficient of restitution and the gravitational acceleration of a bouncing ball. *American Journal of Physics*, 71(5), 499–501.
- Ainley, J. (2000). Transparency in graphs and graphing tasks: An iterative design process. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 19(3), 365–384.
- Ainley, J., Nardi, E., & Pratt, D. (2000). The construction of meanings for trend in active graphing. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 5(2), 85–114.
- Ainsworth, S. E. (1999). The functions of multiple representations. *Computers & Education*, 33(2/3), 131–152.
- Ainsworth, S. E. (2006). DeFT: A conceptual framework for considering learning with multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 16(3), 183–198.
- Ainsworth, S. E. (2008). The educational value of multiple-representations when learning complex scientific concepts. In J. K. Gilbert, M. Reiner & M. Nakhleh (Eds.), *Visualization: Theory and Practice in Science Education* (pp. 191–208). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Ainsworth, S., & Van Labeke, N. (2004). Multiple forms of dynamic representation. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(3), 241–255.
- Akaike, H. (1969). Fitting autoregressive models for prediction. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 21, 243–249.
- Amrani, D., & Paradis, P. (2005). Faraday's law of induction gets free-falling magnet treatment. *Physics Education*, 40(4), 313–314.
- Aragón-Vargas, L. F. (2000). Evaluation of four vertical jump tests: Methodology, reliability, validity, and accuracy. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 4(4), 215–228.
- Arampatzis, A., & Brüggeman, G.-P., (1998). A mathematical high bar-human body model for analysing and interpreting mechanical-energetic processes on the high bar. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 31(12), 1083–1092.
- Araujo, I. S., Veit, E. A., & Moreira, M. A. (2008). Physics students' performance using computational modelling activities to improve kinematics graphs interpretation. *Computers & Education*, 50(4), 1128–1140.
- Arcavi, A. (1994). Symbol sense: Informal sense-making in formal mathematics. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 14(3), 24–35.

- Arcavi, A. (2005). Developing and using symbol sense in mathematics. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 25(2), 42–47.
- Arsenault, D. J., Smith, L. D., & Beauchamp, E. A. (2006). Visual inscriptions in the scientific hierarchy. *Science Communication*, 27(3), 376–428.
- Artigue, M. (1994). Didactical engineering as a framework for the conception of teaching products. In R. Biehler, R. W. Scholz, R. Strässer, & B. Winkelmann (Eds.), *Didactics of Mathematics as a Scientific Discipline* (pp. 27–39). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Atkinson Jr., A. J., Abernethy, D. R., Daniels, C. E., Dedrick, R. L., & Markey, S. P. (2007). *Principles of Clinical Pharmacology* (2nd ed.). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Bach, R. A., & Trantham, K. W. (2007). Automated two-dimensional position measurements with computer vision. *American Journal of Physics*, 75(1), 48–52 (2007).
- Bakker, A. (2004). *Design Research in Statistics Education: On Symbolizing and Computer Minitools*. Doctoral thesis, Utrecht University. Utrecht: CD-β Press.
- Bannan-Rittland, B. (2003). The role of design in research: The integrative learning design framework. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 21–24.
- Barshop B. A., Wrenn R. F., & Frieden, C. (1983). Analysis of numerical methods for computer simulation of kinetic processes: Development of KINSIM—a flexible, portable system. *Anal. of Biochemistry*, 130(1), 134–145.
- Bartlett, R. (2007). *Introduction to Sports Biomechanics: Analyzing Human Movement Patterns* (2nd Ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Beichner, R. J. (1994). Testing student interpretation of kinematics graphs. *American Journal of Physics*, 62(8), 750–762.
- Beichner, R. J. (1996). The impact of video motion analysis on kinematics graph interpretation skills. *American Journal of Physics*, 64(10), 1272–1277.
- Beichner, R. J. (1999). Video-based labs for introductory physics courses. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 29(2), 101–104.
- Bellemans, A. (1981). Power demand in walking and pace optimization. *American Journal of Physics*, 49(1), 25–27.
- Bengali, A. A., & Mooney, K. E. (2003). Synthesis, kinetics, and thermodynamics: An advanced laboratory investigation of the cis-trans isomerization of $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_4(\text{PR}_3)_2$. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 80(9), 1044–1047.
- Bennedsen, J., & Caspersen, M. E. (2005). Revealing the programming process. *Proceedings of the 36th SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (pp. 186–190). New York, NY: ACM Press. Retrieved April 1, 2008, from <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1047344.1047413>
- Ben-Zvi, D., & Garfield, J. (2004). Statistical literacy, reasoning, and thinking: Goals, definitions, and challenges. In D. Ben-Zvi & J. Garfield (Eds.), *The Challenge of Developing Statistical Literacy, Reasoning and Thinking* (pp. 3–15). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bernstein, A. D. (1977). Listening to the coefficient of restitution. *American Journal of Physics*, 45(1), 41–44.
- Bertram, J., & Ruina, A. (2001). Multiple walking speed-frequency relations are predicted by constrained optimization. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 209(4), 445–453.
- Bevan, N. (1999). Quality in use: Meeting user needs for quality. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 49(1), 89–96.
- Bevan, N. (2001). Quality in use for all. In C. Stephanidis (Ed.), *User Interfaces for All* (pp. 353–368). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Biezeveld, H. (2003). The bungee jumper: A comparison of predicted and measured values. *The Physics Teacher*, 41(4), 238–241.

- Billings, E. M. H., & Klanderma, D. (2000). Graphical representation of speed: Obstacles preservice K-8 teachers experience. *School Science and Mathematics, 100*(8), 440–450.
- Bisdikian, G., & Psillos, D. (2002). Enhancing the linking of theoretical knowledge to physical phenomena by real-time graphing. In D. Psillos & H. Niedderer (Eds.), *Teaching and Learning in the Science Laboratory* (pp. 193–204). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Blickhan, R. (1989). The spring-mass model for running and hopping. *Journal of Biomechanics, 22*(11/12), 1217–1227.
- Blomhøj, M., & Jensen, T. H. (2003). Developing mathematical modeling competence: Conceptual clarification and educational planning. *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications, 22*(3), 123–139.
- Blomhøj, M., & Jensen, T. H. (2007). What's all the fuss about competencies? Experiences with using a competence perspective on mathematics education to develop the teaching of mathematical modelling. In: W. Blum, P. L. Galbraith & M. Niss (Eds.), *Modelling and Applications in Mathematics Education, The 14th ICMI Study, New ICMI Study Series, Vol. 10* (pp. 45–56). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Blum, W. & Leiß, D. (2005). “Filling up”—the problem of independence-preserving teacher interventions in lessons with demanding modeling tasks. In: M. Bosch (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 4th European Congress of Mathematics Education*, (pp. 1623–1633). Retrieved May 16, 2011, from http://ermeweb.free.fr/CERME4/CERME4_WG13.pdf
- Bock R. D., & Thissen, D. (1976). Fitting multi-component models for growth in stature. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Biometric Conference*, August 1976, Boston, MA (vol. 1, pp. 431–442). Raleigh, NC: The Biometric Society.
- Boeijen, G., & Uylings, P. (2004). Exams of tomorrow. In E. Mechlová (Ed.), *Teaching and Learning Physics in New Contexts*, Proceedings of GIREP 2004 (pp. 153–154). Ostrava: University of Ostrava.
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (Eds.) (2006). *The Handbook of Blended Learning: Global Perspectives, Local Designs*. San Francisco, CA: Pfeiffer Publishing.
- Booth Sweeney, L., & Sterman, J. D (2000). Bathtub dynamics: Initial results of a systems thinking inventory. *System Dynamics Review, 16*(4), 249–286.
- Booth Sweeney, L., & Sterman, J. D (2007). Thinking about systems: Student and teacher conceptions of natural and social systems. *System Dynamics Review, 23*(2/3), 285–312.
- Borovkova, S. (2002). Analysis of survival data. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, 5/3*(4), 302–307.
- Boujaoude, S. B., & Jurdak, M. E. (2010). Integrating physics and math through microcomputer-based laboratories (MBL): Effects on discourse type, quality, and mathematization. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 8*(6), 1019–1047.
- Boyd, A., & Rubin, A. (1996). Interactive video: A bridge between motion and math. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning, 1*, 57–93.
- Breneman, G. L., & Parker, O. J. (1991). Spreadsheet titration of diprotic acids and bases. *Journal of Chemical Education, 69*(1), 46–47.
- Bressloff, P. C., Wood C. V., & Howarth, P. A. (1996). Nonlinear shunting model of the pupil light reflex. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 263*(1773), 953–960.
- Bridge, H. J. (1998). The way balls bounce. *Physics Education, 33*(3), 174–181.
- Brody, H. (1984). That's the way the ball bounces. *The Physics Teacher, 22*(8), 494–497.
- Brody, H. (1990). The tennis-ball bounce test. *The Physics Teacher, 28*(6), 407–409.
- Broer, H. (2007). Computergebruik en demathematisering [Computer use and demathematization]. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, 5/8*(3), 201–205.

- Brown, A. L. (1992). Design experiments: Theoretical and methodological challenges in creating complex interventions in classroom settings. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 2(2), 141–178.
- Bruist, M. F. (1998). Use of a spreadsheet to simulate enzyme kinetics. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 75(3), 372–375.
- Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Towards a Theory of Instruction*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bryan, J. A. (2010). Investigating the conservation of mechanical energy using video analysis: Four cases. *Physics Education*, 45(1), 50–57.
- Buchberger, B. (1990). Should students learn integration rules? *SIGSAM Bulletin*, 24(1), 10–17.
- Bullimore, S. R., & Burn, J. F. (2007). Ability of the planar spring-mass model to predict mechanical parameters in running humans. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 248(4), 686–695.
- Bybee, R. W. (2002). Scientific inquiry, student learning, and the science curriculum. In R. W. Bybee (Ed.), *Learning Science and the Science of Learning* (pp. 25–35). Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
- Bybee, R. W., Taylor, J. A., Gardner, A., Van Scotter, P., Carlson Powell, J., Westbrook, A., & Landes, N. (2006). *The BSCS 5E Instructional Model: Origins and Effectiveness*. Retrieved May 16, 2011, from <http://www.bsos.org/pdf/5EFull%20Report.pdf>
- Cappo, M., & Darling, K. (1996). Measurement in Motion. *Communications of the ACM*, 39(8), 91–93.
- Carr-Chelleman, A., & Savoy, M. (2004). User-design research. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communication and Technology* (2nd. ed.): A Project of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (pp. 701–716). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cash, J. R., & Karp, A. H. (1990). A variable order Runge-Kutta method for initial value problems with rapidly varying right-hand sides. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, 16(3), 201–222.
- Cedillo, T., & Kieran, C. (2003). Initiating students into algebra with symbol-manipulating calculators. In J. T. Fey, A. Cuoco, C. Kieran, L. McMullin, & R. M. Zbiek (Eds.), *Computer Algebra Systems in Secondary School Mathematics Education* (pp. 219–239). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Cellier, F. E. (1991). *Continuous System Modeling*. New York, NY: Springer Verlag. Retrieved July 16, 2011, from www.inf.ethz.ch/personal/fcellier/Pubs/BG/springer.html
- Chen, L.-M., Pan, Y.-H., & Chen, Y.-J. (2009). A study of shuttlecock's trajectory in badminton. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 8(4), 657–662.
- Chinn, C. A., & Malhotra, B. A. (2002). Epistemologically authentic inquiry in schools: A theoretical framework for evaluating inquiry tasks. *Science Education*, 86(2), 175–218.
- Chonacki, N. (2004). Stella: Growing upward, downward, and outward. *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 6(3), 8–15.
- Cleveland, W. S. (1993a). *Visualizing Data*. Summit, NJ: Hobart Press.
- Cleveland, W. S. (1993b). A model for studying display methods of statistical graphics. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 2(4), 323–343.
- Cleveland, W. S., Diaconis, P., & McGill, R. (1982). Variables on scatterplots look more highly correlated when the scales are increased. *Science*, 216(4550), 1138–1141.
- Cleveland, W. S., & McGill, R. (1987). Graphical perception: The visual decoding of quantitative information on graphical displays of data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A (General)*, 150(3), 192–229.
- Cobb, P., Confrey, J., diSessa, A., Lehrer, R., & Schauble, L. (2003). Design experiments in educational research. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 9–13.

- Collins, A. M. (1992). Toward a design science of education. In E. Scanlon & T. O'Shea (Eds.), *New Directions in Educational Technology* (pp. 15–22). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Confrey, J., & Maloney, A. (2008). Research-design interactions in building Function Probe software. In G. W. Blume & M. K. Heid (Eds.), *Research on Technology and the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics: Volume 2. Cases and Perspectives* (pp. 183–209). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Cooke, A. (1992). *The Aerodynamics and Mechanics of Shuttlecocks*. Doctoral Thesis, Cambridge University. Cambridge, UK.
- Cooke, A. (1999). Shuttlecock aerodynamics. *Sports Engineering*, 2(2), 85–96.
- Cooke, A. (2002). Computer simulation of shuttlecock trajectories. *Sports Engineering*, 5(2), 93–105.
- Craciun, G., & Feinberg, M. (2006). Multiple equilibria in complex chemical reaction networks: II. The species-reaction graph. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 66(4), 1321–1338.
- Craven, P., & Wahba, G. (1979). Smoothing noisy data with spline functions: Estimating the correct degree of smoothing by the method of generalized cross-validation. *Numerische Mathematik*, 31(4), 377–403.
- Cristina Vargas, M., Huerta, D. A., & Sosa, V. (2009). Chaos control: The problem of a bouncing ball revisited. *American Journal of Physics*, 77(9), 857–861.
- Cronin M., & Gonzalez, C. (2007). Understanding the building blocks of system dynamics. *System Dynamics Review*, 23(1), 1-17.
- Cronin M., Gonzalez, C., & Sterman, J. D. (2009). Why don't well-educated adults understand accumulation? A challenge to researchers, educators, and citizens. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 108(1), 116-130.
- Cross, R. (1999a). The bounce of a ball. *American Journal of Physics*, 67(3), 222–227.
- Cross, R. (1999b). Standing, walking, running, and jumping on a force plate. *American Journal of Physics*, 67(4), 304–309.
- Cross, R. (2002a). Measurements of the horizontal coefficient of restitution for a superball and a tennis ball. *American Journal of Physics*, 70(5), 482–489.
- Cross, R. (2002b). Grip-slip behavior of a bouncing ball. *American Journal of Physics*, 70(11), 1093–1102.
- Cross, R. (2010a). Impact of a ball on a surface with tangential compliance. *American Journal of Physics*, 78(7), 716–720.
- Cross, R. (2010b). Enhancing the bounce of the ball. *The Physics Teacher*, 48(7), 450–452.
- cTWO (2007). *Rijk aan betekenis* [Rich in Meaning]. Website: www.ctwo.nl
- Curcio, F. R. (1981). *The Effect of Prior Knowledge, Reading and Mathematics Achievement, and Sex on Comprehending Mathematical Relationships Expression in Graphs* (Final Report). Brooklyn, NY: St. Francis College. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 210185)
- Curcio, F. R. (1987). Comprehension of mathematical relationships expressed in graphs. *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education*, 18(5), 382–393.
- Curcio, F. R. (2010). *Developing Data-Graph Comprehension in Grades K-8* (3rd ed.). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Dale, C., West, C., Eade, J., Rito-Palomares, M., & Lyddiatt, A. (1999). Studies on the physical and compositional changes in collapsing beer foam. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 72(1), 83–89.
- De Beurs, C., & Ellermeijer, A. L. (1996). Computer applications in physics: The integration of information technology in the physics curriculum. In R. F. Tinker (Ed.), *Microcomputer-Based Labs: Educational Research and Standards*, NATO ASI Series F: Computer and Systems Sciences, Vol. 156 (pp. 239–245). Berlin: Springer Verlag.

- De Boor, C. (2001). *A Practical Guide to Splines* (Revised Edition). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- De Jong, T., Martin, E., Zamarro, J.-M., Esquembre, F., Swaak, J., & van Joolingen, W. R. (1999). The integration of computer simulation and learning support: An example from the physics domain of collisions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *36*(5), 597–615.
- De Lange, J. (2000). The tides they are a-changing. *The UMAP Journal*, *21*(1), 15–34.
- De Levie, R. (1993). Explicit expressions of the general form of the titration curve in terms of concentration. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *70*(3) 209–215.
- De Levie, R. (1996). General expression for acid-base titrations of arbitrary mixtures. *Analytical Chemistry*, *68*(4), 585–590.
- De Levie, R. (2001a). Linear graphs for understanding acid-base titrations. *The Chemical Educator*, *6*(4), 210–216.
- De Levie, R. (2001b). The formalism of titration theory. *The Chemical Educator*, *6*(5) 272–276.
- delMas, R., Garfield, J., & Ooms, A. (2005). Using assessment items to study students' difficulty reading and interpreting graphical representations of distributions. In K. Makar (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Research Forum on Statistical Reasoning, Literacy, and Reasoning* (on CD). Auckland, New Zealand: University of Auckland. Retrieved September 25, 2011, from https://app.gen.umn.edu/artist/articles/SRTL4_ARTIST.pdf
- De Rijk, H. (2004). Perspectiefregels volgens Leon Battista Albert [Perspective rules according to Leon Battista Alberti]. *Euclides*, *79*(5), 214–217.
- Dick, T. P., & Edwards, B. S. (2008). Multiple representations and local linearity. In G. W. Blume & M. K. Heid (Eds.), *Research on Technology and the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics: Volume 2. Cases and Perspectives* (pp. 255–276). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Doerr, H. M. (1996). STELLA ten years later: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, *1*(2), 201–224.
- Doerr, H. M., & Pratt, D. (2008). The learning of mathematics and mathematical modeling. In M. K. Heid & G. W. Blume (Eds.), *Research on Technology and the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics: Volume 1. Research Syntheses* (pp. 259–286). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Doorman, M. (2005). *Modelling Motion: From Trace Graphs to Instantaneous Change*. Doctoral thesis, University of Utrecht. Utrecht: CD- β Press.
- Doorman, M., Drijvers, P., Dekker, T., van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M., De Lange, J., & Wijers, M. (2007). Problem solving as a challenge for mathematics education in the Netherlands. *ZDM, The International Journal on Mathematics Education*, *39*(5-6), 405–418.
- Drijvers, P. .H. M. (2003). *Learning algebra in a computer algebra environment*. Doctoral thesis, University of Utrecht. Utrecht: CD- β Press.
- Drijvers, P. (2006). Context, abstractie en vaardigheid in schoolalgebra [Context, abstraction and skills in school algebra]. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, *5*(7), 198–203.
- Drijvers, P. (Ed.) (2011). *Secondary Algebra Education. Revisiting Topics and Themes and Exploring the Unknown*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Drijvers, P., & Doorman, M. (1996). The graphics calculator in mathematics education. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *15*(4), 425–440.
- Dubbelaar, N., & Brantjes, R. (2003). De valversnelling bij bungee-jumping [Acceleration of gravity in bungee jumping]. *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Natuurkunde*, *69*(10), 316–318.

- Edelson, D. C., Gordin, D. N., & Pea, R. D. (1999). Addressing the challenges of inquiry-based learning through technology and curriculum design. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 8(3-4), 391–450.
- Edelson, D. C., & Reiser, B. J. (2006). Making authentic practices accessible to learners. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences* (pp. 335–354). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Edgerton Jr., S. Y. (1966). Alberti's perspective: A new discovery and a new evaluation. *The Art Bulletin*, 69(2), 220–230.
- Elhamdi, M. (2005). *Modélisation et simulation de chaînes de valeurs en entreprise – Une approche dynamique des systèmes et aide à la décision: SimulValor*. Doctoral thesis, L'École Centrale Paris. Retrieved July 16, 2011, from www.lgi.ecp.fr/~yannou/Theses/These M Elhamdi 2005 - LCGI ECP.pdf
- Ellermeijer, T. (Ed.) (1988). *STOLE: Scientific and Technical Open Learning Environment*. Internal report. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Ellermeijer, T. (2004). The approach to ICT in science education in the Netherlands. In R. Barton (Ed.), *Teaching Secondary Science with ICT* (pp. 129–136). Berkshire, UK: Open University Press.
- Ellermeijer, T., & Heck, A. (2002). Differences between the use of mathematical entities in mathematics and physics and the consequences for an integrated learning environment. In M. Michelini & M. Cobal (Eds.), *Developing Formal Thinking in Physics*, Proceedings of the first international GIREP seminar, 2-6 September 2001, University of Udine, Italy (pp. 52–72). Udine: Rorum, Editrice Universitaria Udinese srl.
- Ellermeijer, T., & Heck, A. (2003). Walk like a physicist: An example of authentic education. In: *Physics in New Fields*, Electronic Proceedings of GIREP 2002. Retrieved March 19, 2010, from <http://pinf.fysik.lu.se/abstracts/fullText/148.pdf>
- Ellermeijer, A. L., Landheer, B., & Molenaar, P. P. M. (1996). Teaching mechanics through interactive video and a microcomputer-based laboratory. In R. F. Tinker (Ed.), *Microcomputer-Based Labs: Educational Research and Standards*, NATO ASI Series F: Computer and Systems Sciences, Vol. 156 (pp. 281–290). Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Engelbarts, M. B. A. (2009). *Op weg naar een didactiek voor natuurkunde-experimenten op afstand. Ontwerp en evaluatie van een via internet uitvoerbaar experiment voor leerlingen uit het voortgezet onderwijs* [Towards a didactical framework for remote physics experiments. Development and evaluation of an internet laboratory practical for pre-university students]. Doctoral thesis, University of Utrecht. Utrecht: CD-β Press.
- Eriksen, H. K., Kristiansen, J. R., Langangen, Ø., & Wehus, I. K. (2009). How fast could Usain Bolt have run? A dynamical study. *American Journal of Physics*, 77(3), 224–228.
- Ermakov, G. L., & Goldstein, B. N. (2002). Simplest kinetic schemes for biochemical oscillators. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 67(4), 473–484.
- Escallada, L. T., & Zollman, D. A. (1997). An investigation on the effects of using interactive digital video in a physics classroom on student learning and attitudes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(5), 467–489.
- Estrada-Medina, J., & Arenas-Sánchez, E. (2006). Understanding the relation between accumulation and its rate of change in a computational environment through simulation of dynamic situations. In S. Alatorre, J. L. Cortina, M. Sáiz, & A. Méndez (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 28th annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (pp. 850–855). Mérida, México: Universidad Pedagógica Nacional.
- Fahlberg-Stojanovska, L., & Stojanovska, V. (2007). eLearning materials for mathematics: mathcasts, self-testing, and interactivities. In *New Trends in Engineering Education, Tempus SCM-C010A05 - Initiations of Blended Learning Systems*, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference ETAI 2007. Retrieved April 1, 2009, from http://www1.etf.ukim.edu.mk/SCM_C021A06/conference/E3-1.pdf

- Farley, C. T., Blickhan, R., Saito, J., & Taylor, R. (1991). Hopping frequencies in humans: A test of how springs set stride frequency in bouncing gaits. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *71*(6), 2127–2132.
- Fehlberg, A. (1970). Klassische Runge-Kutta-Formeln vierter und niedrigerer Ordnung mit Schrittweiten-Kontrolle und ihre Anwendung auf Wärmeleitungsprobleme. *Computing*, *6*, 61–71.
- Feinberg, M. (1979). *Lectures on Chemical Reaction Networks*. Lectures notes, 1979. Retrieved December 16, 2010, from www.che.eng.ohio-state.edu/~FEINBERG/LecturesOnReactionNetworks
- Foong, S. K., & Lim, C. C. (2010). Can you tell the density of the watermelon from this photograph? *Physics Education*, *45*(4), 352–355.
- Fornberg, B. (1988). Generation of finite difference formulas on arbitrary spaced grids. *Mathematics of Computation*, *51*(no. 184), 699–706.
- Fornberg, B. (1998) Calculation of weights in finite difference formulas. *SIAM Review*, *40*(3), 685–691.
- Forrester, J. (1961). *Industrial Dynamics, Students' Edition* (7th printing). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Foss, S. D. (1969). A method for obtaining initial estimates of the parameters in exponential curve fitting. *Biometrics*, *25*(3), 580–584.
- Fredriks, A. M. (2004). *Growth Diagrams 1997: Fourth Dutch Nation-wide Survey*. Doctoral Thesis, Leiden University. Houten: Bohn, Stafleu, van Loghum.
- French, A. P. (1994). The suspended Slinky—a problem in static equilibrium. *The Physics Teacher*, *32*(4), 244–245.
- Freudenthal, H. (1983). *Didactical Phenomenology of Mathematical Structures*. Dordrecht: Reidel Publishing Company.
- Friel, S. N., Curcio, F. R., & Bright, G. W. (2001). Making sense of graphs: Critical factors influencing comprehension and instructional implications. *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education*, *32*(2), 124–158.
- Frohlich, C. (1985). Effect of wind and altitude on record performance in foot races, pole vault, and long jump. *American Journal of Physics*, *53*(8), 726–730.
- Fuchs, H. U. (2007). *From Image Schemas to Dynamical Models in Fluids, Electricity, Heat, and Motion. An Essay on Physics Education Research*. Zurich University of Applied Sciences at Winterthur.
- Fuchs, H. U. (2008). System Dynamics Modeling in Fluids, Electricity, Heat, and Motion Examples, Practical Experience, and Philosophy. In E. van den Berg, T. Ellermeijer, & O. Slooten (Eds.), *Modelling in Physics and Physics Education*, Proceedings of GIREP 2006 (pp. 166–187). Amsterdam: AMSTEL Institute, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Gagné, R. (1985). *The Conditions of Learning* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Galbraith, P., & Stillman, G. (2006). A framework for identifying student blockages during transitions in the modelling process. *Zentralblatt für Didaktik der Mathematik*, *38*(2), 143–162.
- Garfield, J., & Ben-Zvi, D. (2004). *Developing Students' Statistical Reasoning*. New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Garner, S. (2008). The use of screencasting and audio to support student learning. In J. Luca & E. Weippl (Eds.), *Proceedings of World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications 2008* (pp. 4693–4798). Chesapeake, VA: AACE. Retrieved April 1, 2009, from <http://www.editlib.org/p/29040>
- Geyer, H. (2005). *Simple Models of Legged Locomotion on Compliant Limb Behaviour*. Doctoral thesis. Friedrich-Schiller Universität, Leipzig.

- Gil, S., Reisin, H. D., & Rodríguez, E. E. (2006). Using a digital camera as a measuring device. *American Journal of Physics*, 74(9), 768–775.
- Glaister, P. (1999). A unified titration formula. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(1), 132.
- Glaister, P. (2001). An extension of the method of least squares. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 32(4), 595–602.
- Glaister, P. (2007). Exponential curve fitting with least squares. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 38(3), 422–427.
- Glover, D. M., Graham, G. R., & Macdonald, R. M. (1989). The CCAT videodisc—a new resource for physics education. *Physics Education*, 24(5), 304–308.
- Gluck, P. (2003). Air resistance on falling balls and balloons. *The Physics Teacher*, 41(3), 178–180.
- Gluck, P. (2010). A project on soft springs and the slinky. *Physics Education*, 45(2), 178–185.
- Goldin, G. A. (2008). Perspective on representation in mathematical learning and problem solving. In L. D. English (Ed.), *Handbook of International Research in Mathematics Education, Second Edition* (pp. 176–201). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Goldin, G. A., & Kaput, J. J. (1996). A joint perspective on the idea of representation in learning and doing mathematics. In L. P. Steffe, P. Nesher, P. Cobb, G. A. Goldin & B. Greer (Eds.), *Theories of Mathematical Learning* (pp. 397–430). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gordus, A. A. (1991). Chemical equilibrium. II. Deriving an exact equilibrium equation. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 68(3), 215–217.
- Goswami, A. (1998). A new gait parameterization technique by means of cyclogram moments: Application to human slope walking. *Gait & Posture*, 8(1), 15–36.
- Gott, R., & Duggan, S. (1995). *Investigative Work in the Science Curriculum*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Gott, R., & Duggan, S. (2007). A framework for practical work in science and scientific literacy through argumentation. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 25(3), 271–291.
- Gott, R., Duggan, S., & Roberts, R. (2003). Research into understanding scientific evidence. Website: <http://www.dur.ac.uk/rosalyn.roberts/Evidence/cofev.htm>
- Graven, W. M. (1956). High temperature reaction kinetics of the system $H_2 - HI - I_2$. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 78(14), 3297–3300.
- Gravenbergh, F. (1996). *Voorlichtingsbrochure havo/vwo: actuele stand van zaken invoering tweede fase Natuurkunde*. Enschede: SLO.
- Greefrath, G., Siller, H.-S., & Weitendorf, J. (2011). Modelling considering the influence of technology. In G. Kaiser, W. Blum, R. Borromeo Ferri, & G. Stillman, (Eds.), *Trends in Teaching and Learning of Mathematical Modelling*, Proceedings of ICTM 14 (pp. 315–329). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Green, P. J., & Silverman, B. W. (1994) *Nonparametric Regression and Generalized Linear Models: A Roughness Penalty Approach*. London, UK: Chapman & Hall.
- Gross, M. M. (1998). Analysis of human movement using digital video. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 7(4), 375–395.
- Hackbarth, J. J. (2006). Multivariate analysis of beer foam stand. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing*, 112(1), 17–24.
- Hairer, E., & Wanner, G. (2010). *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems*. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Vol. 14 2nd revised edition, 3rd printing. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- Halkides, C. J., & Herman, R. (2007). Introducing Michaelis-Menten kinetics through simulation. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 84(3), 434–437.
- Hancock, C., Kaput, J. J., & Goldsmith, L. T. (1992). Authentic inquiry with data: Critical barriers to classroom implementation. *Educational Psychologist*, 27(3), 333–364.

- Hannafin, M. J. (1989). Interaction strategies and emerging instructional technologies: Psychological perspectives. *Canadian Journal of Educational Communication*, 18(3), 167–179.
- Hartley, R., & Zisserman (2004). *Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Haspekian, M. (2003). Instrumental approach to understand the problems of the spreadsheet integration. In T. Triandafillidis & K. Hatzikiriakou (Eds.), *Technology in Mathematics Teaching*, Proceedings of ICTMT 6 (pp. 118–124). Athens: New Technologies Publications.
- Haspekian, M. (2005a). *Intégration d'outils informatiques dans l'enseignement des mathématiques, étude du cas des tableurs*. Doctoral thesis, Université Paris 7 Denis Diderot. Paris: IREM Université PARIS 7.
- Haspekian, M. (2005b). An “instrumental approach” to study the integration of a computer tool into mathematics teaching: The case of spreadsheets. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 10(2), 109–141.
- Heck, A. (2000a). COACH: β -tool in spe en nu al inzetbaar bij wiskunde. [COACH: Math and science tool in the making and already usable for mathematics]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 19(4), 40–46.
- Heck, A. (2000b). COACH: An environment where mathematics meets science and technology. In W. Maull & J. Sharp (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of ICTMT 4*, Plymouth, 1999. University of Plymouth (CD-ROM).
- Heck, A. (2000c). Een computerwerkplaats voor wiskunde [A computer working environment for mathematics]. In J. Van de Craats (Ed.), *Vakantiecursus 2000: Is wiskunde nog wel mensenwerk?* [Summer Course 2000: Is Mathematics Still Human Work?] (pp. 65–90). Amsterdam: CWI Syllabus 48.
- Heck, A. (2001). Variables in computer algebra, mathematics, and science. *The International Journal of Computer Algebra in Mathematics Education*, 8(3), 195–221.
- Heck, A. (2002a). A versatile environment for active learners and teachers. In G. Goodell, (Ed.), *Proceedings of ICTCM 13* (pp. 165–169). Boston: Addison-Wesley.
- Heck, A. (2002b). Gewichtige wiskunde in de klas [Weighty mathematics in the classroom]. In J. Van de Craats (Ed.), *Vakantiecursus 2002: Wiskunde en Gezondheid* [Summer Course 2002: Mathematics and Health] (pp. 77–114). Amsterdam: CWI Syllabus 50.
- Heck, A. (2004a). Met een schuine blik [With a sidelong glance]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 23(3), 29–32.
- Heck, A. (2004b). Een gewichtig model [a weighty model]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 23(4), 46–52.
- Heck, A. (2004c). Een andere draai aan een duik [A twist to a dive]. *Signaal*, 26, 6–9.
- Heck, A. (2004d). *Sinusoidal Function Fitting and Spectral Estimation*. Internal technical report. AMSTEL Institute, University of Amsterdam.
- Heck, A. (2004e). Wiskundig broeden op een ei [Mathematical brooding over an egg]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 24(1), 4–13.
- Heck, A. (2007a). Modeling intake and clearance of alcohol in humans. In E. Milková & P. Prazák (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of ICTMT 8*, Hradec Králové: University of Hradec Králové (CD-ROM).
- Heck, A. (2007b). Modeling intake and clearance of alcohol in humans. *Electronic Journal of Mathematics and Technology*, 1(3), 232–244. Retrieved September 12, 2008, from <http://php.radford.edu/~ejmt/>
- Heck, A. (2007c). Getting drunk and sober again. In E. van den Berg, T. Ellermeijer & O. Slooten (Eds.), *Modelling in Physics and Physics Education*, Electronic Proceedings of GIREP 2006. Amsterdam: AMSTEL Institute, University of Amsterdam. Retrieved May 12, 2011, from <http://www.girep.org/proceedings/proceedings.html?volume=20>

- Heck, A. (2007d). Modelleren van bruggen en bogen [Modeling bridges and chains]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 27(1), 42–52.
- Heck, A. (2007e). Een verdiepingsoopdracht over de getijdenbeweging [A practical investigation about tidal movement]. *Signaal*, 28, 26–31.
- Heck, A. (2008a). Wiskundige CSI [Mathematical CSI]. *Euclides*, 83(7), 353–356.
- Heck, A. (2008b). Een wiskundige thriller [A mathematical thriller]. *Signaal*, 29, 6–8.
- Heck, A. (2008c). Een gewichtig model [A weighty model]. *Signaal*, 29, 22–23.
- Heck, A. (2008d). Mathematical brooding over an egg. *Loci* (August 2008), DOI:10.4169/loci002842
- Heck, A. (2008e). Wis- en natuurkunde van hardlopen [Mathematics and Physics of Running]. In Werkgroep Natuurkunde Didactiek (red.), *Docent in Ontwikkeling*, Verslag Woudschotenconferentie, Noordwijkerhout, 2008.
- Heck, A. (2008f). Stuiteren tussen probleemsituatie en vakkennis: Hoe een veelzijdige ICT-omgeving het modellerenproces kan ondersteunen [Bouncing between problem situation and content knowledge: How a versatile ICT environment could support the modeling process]. Unpublished manuscript.
- Heck, A. (2009a). Bringing reality into the classroom. *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications*, 28(4), 164–179.
- Heck, A. (2009b). Dit programma wordt u aangeboden door AMSTEL [This program is offered to you by AMSTEL]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 29(2), 37–45.
- Heck, A. (2010). Modelling in cross-disciplinary authentic student research projects. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 17(3), 115–120.
- Heck, A. (2011). Modeling Chemical Kinetics Graphically. Submitted.
- Heck, A., & Bruidegom, B. (2007). Bridging between contexts and concepts: How data video and computer modelling can help. In D. Benzie & M. Iding (Eds.), *Proceedings of IFIP Conference on Informatics, Mathematics, and ICT: a 'golden triangle'*, Boston, MA, USA.
- Heck, A., & Dorenbos, V. (2007). Het bloed kruipt waar het niet gaan kan [Blood will tell]. *Signaal*, 28, 18–21.
- Heck, A., & Ellermeijer, T. (2009). Giving students the run of sprinting models. *American Journal of Physics*, 77(11), 1028–1038.
- Heck, A., & Ellermeijer, T. (2010). Mathematics assistants: Meeting the needs of secondary school physics education. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 3(2), 17–34.
- Heck, A., Ellermeijer, T., & Kędzierska, E. (2010a). Striking results with bouncing balls. In C. P. Constantinou & N. Papadouris (Eds.), *Physics Curriculum Design, Development and Validation*, Proceedings of GIREP 2008 (pp. 190–208). Nicosia: University of Cyprus.
- Heck, A., Ellermeijer, T., & Kędzierska, E. (2010b). Authentic student research projects on physics and the human body. In C. P. Constantinou & N. Papadouris (Eds.), *Physics Curriculum Design, Development and Validation*. Electronic Proceedings of GIREP 2008. Retrieved May 12, 2011, from <http://lsg.ucy.ac.cy/girep2008/>
- Heck, A., & Holleman, A. (2002a). Modelling human growth. In M. Borovcnik & H. Kautschitsch (Eds.), *Technology in Mathematics Teaching Proceedings of the ICTMT 5 in Klagenfurt, 2001*, Schriftenreihe Didaktik der Mathematik v. 25 (pp. 405–408). Vienna: öbv&hpt Verlagsgesellschaft.
- Heck, A., & Holleman, A. (2002b). Investigating bridges and hanging chains. In M. Borovcnik & H. Kautschitsch (Eds.), *Technology in Mathematics Teaching Proceedings of the ICTMT 5 in Klagenfurt 2001*, Schriftenreihe Didaktik der Mathematik v. 25 (pp. 409–412). Vienna: öbv&hpt Verlagsgesellschaft.

- Heck, A., & Holleman, A. (2003). Walk like a mathematician: An example of authentic education. In T. Triandafilidis & K. Hatzikiriakou (Eds.), *Technology in Mathematics Teaching*, Proceedings of ICTMT 6 (pp. 380–387). Athens: New Technologies Publications.
- Heck, A., & Holleman, A. (2004a). Modelling human growth. Extended paper in M. Borovcnik & H. Kautschitsch (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of ICTMT 5, Klagenfurt 2001*. Vienna: öbv&hpt Verlagsgesellschaft (CD-ROM).
- Heck, A., & Holleman, A. (2004b). A practical investigation task with the computer at secondary school: Bridges and hanging chains. Extended paper in M. Borovcnik & H. Kautschitsch (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of ICTMT 5, Klagenfurt 2001*. Vienna: öbv&hpt Verlagsgesellschaft (CD-ROM).
- Heck, A., Houwing, H., & De Beurs, C. (2009a). An e-class in action: Experiences with ICT intensive teaching and learning of discrete dynamical models at secondary school. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 7(1), 41–52.
- Heck, A., Houwing, H., Val, J., Ekimova, L. & Papageorgiou, G. (2009b). Design and implementation of an e-class about continuous dynamical systems. In *e-Proceedings of the 6th JEM Workshop*, August 2009, Aachen. Retrieved October 18, 2009, from www.jem-thematic.net/en/node/1401
- Heck, A., Kędzierska, E., & Ellermeijer, T. (2009). Design and implementation of an integrated computer working environment for doing mathematics and science. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 28(2), 147–161.
- Heck, A., Kędzierska, E., Rogers, L., & Chmurska, M. (2009). Acid-base titration curves in an integrated computer learning environment. *Chemical Educator*, 14(4), 164–174.
- Heck, A., Knobbe, D., Nijdam, N., Slooten, O., & Uylings, P. (2011). Exploring the giant circle on the high bar with ICT tools. *Proceedings ICTMT 10*. Portsmouth, UK (accepted).
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2005). Yoyo Joy. In F. Olivero & R. Sutherland (Eds.), *Visions of Mathematics Education: Embedding Technology in Learning*. Proceedings of ICTMT 7, Vol. 2 (pp. 237–244). Bristol, UK: University of Bristol.
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2006). Capturing the real world in the classroom, *The International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 13(3), 107–116.
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2009). Hoe hangt een Slinky? [How does a Slinky hang?], *Signaal*, 30, 9–13.
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2010a). In a hurry to work with high-speed video at school. *The Physics Teacher*, 48(3), 176–181.
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2010b). Een sprong voorwaarts met wis- en natuurkunde [A jump forwards with mathematics and physics], *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 29(3), 37–47.
- Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2011). A jump forwards with mathematics and physics. *Proceedings ICTMT 10*. Portsmouth, UK (accepted).
- Heck, A., Uylings, P., & Kędzierska, E. (2010). Understanding physics of bungee jumping. *Physics Education*, 45(1) 63–72.
- Heck, A., Uylings, P., Kędzierska, E., & Ellermeijer, T. (2010). Cross-disciplinary, authentic student research projects. In A. N. Fhloinn, S. Brady, S. Breen, P. van Kempen & L. McCormack (Eds.), *Inquiry-Based Learning: Facilitating Authentic Learning Experiences in Science and Mathematics*, Proceedings SMEC 2010 (pp. 40–45). Dublin: CASTeL.
- Heck, A., & Van Dongen, C. (2008). Gait analysis by high school students. *Physics Education*, 43(3), 284–290.
- Heck, A., & Vonk, R. (2009). You must make money move. *Physics Education*, 44(2), 188–194.

- Heckman, N., & Ramsay, J. O. (2000). Penalized regression with model-based penalties *Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 28(2), 241–258.
- Heijboer, D., & Nellestijn, J. (Eds.) (2002). *Klimaatatlas van Nederland. De normaalperiode 1971-2000*. [Climate Atlas of the Netherlands. The Normal Period 1971-2000] Rijswijk: Elmar Publishers.
- Heinze, A., & Procter, C. (2004). Reflections on the use of blended learning. In *Education in a Changing Environment*. Conference Proceedings, 13-14 September 2004, University of Salford. Retrieved March 28, 2011, from http://www.ece.salford.ac.uk/proceedings/papers/ah_04.rtf
- Helene, O., & Yamashita, M. T. (2010). The force, power, and energy of the 100 meter sprint. *American Journal of Physics*, 78(3), 307–309.
- Hendrikse, P. (2008). *Wiskundig actief: Het ondersteunen van onderzoekend leren in het wiskunde onderwijs* [Mathematically Active: Supporting Inquiry-Based Learning in Mathematics Education]. Doctoral Thesis, Twente University. Enschede: Gildeprint Drukkerijen.
- Hennessy, S. (2006). Integrating technology into teaching and learning of school science: A situated perspective on pedagogical issues in research. *Studies in Science Education*, 42(1), 1–48.
- Hestenes, D. (1992). Modeling games in the Newtonian world. *American Journal of Physics*, 60(8), 732–748.
- Hestenes, D. (1996). Modeling software for learning and doing physics. In C. Bernardini, C. Tarsitani & M. Vincentini (Eds.), *Thinking Physics for Teaching* (pp. 25-66). New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Hestenes, D. (2008). Notes for a modeling theory of science, cognition and instruction. In E. Van den Berg, T. Ellermeijer, & O. Slooten (Eds.), *Modelling in Physics and Physics Education*, Proceedings of GIREP 2006 (pp. 34–65). Amsterdam: AMSTEL Institute, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Hestenes, D. (2010). Notes for a modeling theory of science, cognition and instruction. In L. Lesh, P. L. Galbraith, C. R. Haines & A. Hurford (Eds.), *Modeling Students' Mathematical Modeling Competencies*, Proceedings of ICTMA 13 (pp. 13–41). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Heugl, H., Klinger, W., & Lechner, J. (1996). *Mathematikunterricht mit Computeralgebra-Systemen*. Bonn: Addison-Wesley GmbH.
- Hiley, M., & Yeadon, M. (2001). Swinging around the high bar. *Physics Education*, 36(1), 14–17.
- Hodson, D. (1998). Science fiction: The continuing misrepresentation of science in the school curriculum. *Curriculum Studies*, 6(2), 191–216.
- Hodson, D. (2008). *Towards Scientific Literacy*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Hodson, D. (2009). *Teaching and Learning about Science*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Hollmström, K., & Petersson, J. (2002). A review of the parameter estimation problem of fitting positive exponential sums to empirical data. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 126(1), 31–61.
- Holt, K. G, Hammill, J., & Andres, R. O. (1990). The force-driven harmonic oscillator as a model for human locomotion. *Human Movement Science*, 9(1), 55–68.
- Hosken, J. W. (1994). A Slinky error. *The Physics Teacher*, 32(6), 327.
- Hubbard, M., & Stronge, W. J. (2001). Bounce of hollow balls on flat surfaces. *Sports Engineering*, 4(2), 49–61.
- Hubers, S. T. T. (2003). *Individuele leertheorieën en het leren onderzoeken in de Tweede Fase* [Individual Learning Theories and Learning to Conduct Research in the Second Phase]. Doctoral Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology.

- Hug, B., & McNeill, K. L. (2008). Use of first-hand and second-hand data in science: Does data type influence classroom conversations? *International Journal of Science Education*, *30*(13), 1725–1751.
- Inbar, O., Bar-Or, O., & Skinner, J. S. (1996). *The Wingate Anaerobic Test*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- International Standards Organization (1998). ISO 9241-11: Ergonomic requirements for office work with visual display terminals (VDTs). Part 11: Guidance on usability. Geneva: ISO. Retrieved May 23, 2011, from www.iso.org
- International Standards Organization (2008). ISO 9241: Ergonomics of human system interaction. Geneva: ISO. Retrieved May 23, 2011, from www.iso.org
- International Standards Organization (2010). ISO 9241-210: Human-centred design for interactive systems. Geneva: ISO. Retrieved May 23, 2011, from www.iso.org
- Janvier, C. (1987). Translation processes in mathematics education. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems of Representation in the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics* (pp. 27–32). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jensen, T. H. (2007). Assessing mathematical modelling competency. In C. Haines, P. Galbraith, W. Blum, & S. Kahn (Eds.), *Mathematical Modelling (ICTMA12): Education, Engineering and Economics* (pp. 141–148). Chichester, UK: Horwood Publishing.
- John, P.D., & Wheeler, S. (2008). *The Digital Classroom: Harnessing Technology for the Future*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Jolicœur, P., Abidi, H., & Pontier, J. (1991). Human stature: Which growth model? *Growth, Developing & Aging*, *55*(2), 129–132.
- Jonassen, D.H. (2006). *Modeling with technology: Mindtools for conceptual change*. Columbus, OH: Merrill/Prentice-Hall.
- Kagan, D., & Kott, A. (1996). The greater-than-*g*-acceleration of a bungee jumper. *The Physics Teacher*, *34*(9), 368–373.
- Kahn, S. (2008). The case in case-based design of educational software: A methodological interrogation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *56*(4), 423–447.
- Kalyuga, S. (2009). *Managing Cognitive Load in Adaptive Multimedia Learning*. Hershey, PA: IGI Publishing.
- Kanefuji, K., & Shohoji, T. (1990). On a growth model of human height. *Growth, Developing & Aging*, *54*(2), 155–165.
- Kanselaar, G., Van Galen, J., Beemer, H., Erkens, G., & Gravemeijer, K. (1999). *Grafieken leren met de computer* [Learning Graphs with the Computer] (ISOR Report 99.05). Utrecht: Utrecht University, Dept. of Educational Sciences.
- Kaput, J. J. (1989). Linking representations in the symbolic systems of algebra. In S. Wagner & C. Kieran (Eds.), *Research Agenda for Mathematics Education: Research Issues in the Learning and Teaching of Algebra* (pp. 167–194). Reston, VA: National Council Teachers in Mathematics.
- Kaput, J. J. (1992). Technology and mathematics education. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (pp. 515–556). New York, NY: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Kaput, J. J. (1994). The representational roles of technology in connecting mathematics with authentic experience. In R. Bieler, R. W. Scholz, R. Strässer & B. Winkelmann (Eds.), *Didactics of Mathematics as a Scientific Discipline* (pp. 379–397). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kaput, J. J. (1998). Representations, inscriptions, descriptions and learning: A kaleidoscope of windows. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *17*(2), 265–281.
- Kaput, J., & Schorr, R. (2008). Changing representational infrastructures changes most everything: The case of SIMCALC, algebra, and calculus. In G. W. Blume & M. K. Heid

- (Eds.), *Research on Technology and the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics: Volume 2. Cases and Perspectives* (pp. 211–253). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Kaput, J., & Shaffer, D. (2002). Human representational competence. In K. Gravemeijer, R. Lehrer, B. van Oers & L. Verschaffel (Eds.), *Symbolizing, Modeling and Tool Use in Mathematics Education* (pp. 277–293). Mathematics Education Library, Vol. 30. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Karlberg, A. E. (1989). A biologically-oriented mathematical model (ICP) for human growth. *Acta Paediatrica Scandinavica*, 78(Supplement s350), 70–94.
- Kaufman, L. D. (1974). *Sight and Mind: An Introduction to Visual Perception*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Kędzierska, E., Van Buuren, O., Ellermeijer, T., & Uylings, P. (2009). Animations—A new tool for doing science. In *14th Conference on Multimedia in Physics Teaching and Learning*, Electronic Proceedings of MPTL14, Udine: University of Udine. Retrieved, June 21, 2011, from <http://www.fisica.uniud.it/URDF/mptl14/proceeding.htm>
- Keengwe, J., Onchwari, G., & Wachira, P. (2008). The use of computer tools to support meaningful learning. *Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education Journal*, 16(1), 77–92.
- Keller, J. B. (1973). A theory of competitive running. *Physics Today*, 26(9), 42–47.
- Keller, J. B. (1974). Optimal velocity in a race. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 81(5), 474–480.
- Kelly, A. E. (Ed.) (2003). Research as design. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 3–4.
- Kelly, A. E., Lesh, R. A., & Baek, J. Y. (Eds.) (2008). *Handbook of Design Research Methods in Education*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kenfuji, K., Shohoji, T. (1990). On a growth model of human height. *Growth, Development & Aging*, 54(4), 155–165.
- Kermack, W. O., & McKendrick, A. G. (1927). A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series A*, 115, 700–721.
- Kerres, M., & De Witt, C. (2003). A didactical framework for the design of blended learning arrangements. *Journal of Educational Media*, 28(2/3), 101–113.
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75–86.
- Kok, D. (1996). *Voorlichtingsbrochure havo/vwo: actuele stand van zaken invoering tweede fase Wiskunde* [Information Brochure havo/vwo: Current Affairs of the Introduction of the Second Stage]. Enschede: SLO.
- Koleza, E., & Pappas, J. (2008). The effect of motion analysis activities in a video-based laboratory in students' understanding of position, velocity and frames of reference. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 39(6), 701–723.
- Konold, C., & Pollatsek, A. (2004). Conceptualizing an average as a stable feature of a noisy process. In D. Ben-Zvi & J. Garfield (Eds.), *The Challenge of Developing Statistical Literacy, Reasoning and Thinking* (pp. 169–199). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kosinsky, B. (2001). Teaching reaction equilibrium using STELLA modeling software. In: M. O'Donnel (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 23rd Workshop/Conference of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education*, University of Chicago, USA, 2001 (pp. 155–274). Retrieved July 4, 2011, from www.ableweb.org/volumes/vol-23/15-kosinski.pdf
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1989). Understanding charts and graphs. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 3(3), 185–226.
- Koupil, J., & Vícha, V. (2011). Simple phenomena, slow motion, surprising physics. *Physics Education*, 46(4), 454–460.

- Kozhevnikov, M., & Thornton, R. (2006). Real-time data display, spatial visualization ability, and learning force and motion concepts. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *15*(1), 111–132.
- Lamb, J. (2000). Use only as much chain as you need. *Practical Boat Owner*, *407*, 117–119.
- Lands, W. E. M. (1998). A review of alcohol clearance in humans. *Alcohol*, *15*(2), 147–160.
- Lanshammar, H. (1982). On practical evaluation of differentiation techniques for human gait analysis. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *15*(2), 99–105.
- Lapp, D. A., & Cyrus, V. F. (2000). Using data-collection devices to enhance students' understanding. *Mathematics Teacher*, *93*(6), 504–510.
- Larkin-Hein, T., & Zollman, D.A. (2000). Digital video, learning styles, and student understanding of kinematics graphs. *Journal of STEM Education*, *1*(2), 17–30.
- Laurillard, D. (2002). *Rethinking University Teaching: A Framework for the Effective Use of Learning Technologies* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Routledge Falmer.
- Laws, P., & Pfister, H. (1998). Using digital video analysis in introductory mechanics projects. *The Physics Teacher*, *36*(5), 282–287.
- Lederman, N. G. (2004). Syntax of nature of science within inquiry and science. In L. B. Flick, & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Scientific Inquiry and Nature of Science* (pp. 301–317). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Lee, A. (2011). VIRTUALDUB (Version 1.10) [software]. www.virtualdub.org
- Lee, W. P., & Yang, K. G. (2008). Applying intelligent computing techniques to modeling biological networks from expression data. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, *6*(2), 111–120.
- LeFèvre, J. (2002). Kinetic process graphs: Building intuitive and parsimonious material stock-flow diagrams with modified bond graph notations. In P. Davidsen, E. Mollona, V. Diker, R. Langer & J. Rowe (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of the 20th International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*, Palermo, Italy, 2002. Retrieved July 16, 2011, from www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2002/proceed/papers/Lefevre1.pdf
- LeFèvre, J. (2004). Why and how should we replace the tank-pipe analogy or our stock-flow models by a chemical process metaphor? In M. Kennedy, G. Winch, R. Langer, J. Rowe, & J. Yanni, (Eds.). *Electronic Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*, Oxford, England, 2004. Retrieved July 16, 2011, from www.systemdynamics.org/conferences/2004/SDS_2004/PAPERS/379LEFEV.pdf
- Leike, A. (2002). Demonstration of the exponential decay using beer froth. *European Journal of Physics*, *23*(1), 21–26.
- Leinhardt, G., Zaslavsky, O., & Stein, M. K. (1990) Functions, graphs, and graphing: Tasks, learning, and teaching. *Review of Educational Research*, *60*(1), 1–64.
- Leiß, D., Schukajlov, S., Blum, W., Messner, R., & Pekrun, R. (2010). The role of the situation model in mathematical modelling—Task analyses, student competencies, and teacher education. *Journal für Mathematik-Didaktik*, *31*(2), 119–141.
- Lesh, R. (1999). The development of representational abilities in middle school mathematics. In I. E. Sigel (Ed.), *Development of Mental Representation: Theories and Application* (pp. 323–350). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Levin, T., & Levin, I. (2002). Hybrid systems modeling in learning science and technology. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, *21*(4), 313–330.
- Lewis, M. J., & Bamforth, C. W. (2006). Foam. In M. J. Lewis & C. W. Bamforth (Eds.), *Essays in Brewing in Science* (pp. 28–42). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Lewis, G. J., Arnold, C., & Griffiths, I. W. (2011). The dynamic behavior of squash balls. *American Journal of Physics*, *79*(3), 292–296.
- Liebowitz, D. (2001). *Camera Calibration and Reconstruction of Geometry from Images*. Doctoral thesis, University of Oxford. Oxford, UK: University of Oxford. Retrieved June 29, 2011, from www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg

- Liebowitz, D., & Zisserman, A. (1998). Metric rectification for perspective images of planes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition CVPR-98*, Santa Barbara, CA (pp. 482–488). Retrieved June 29, 2011, from www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg
- Lindwall, O., & Ivarsson, J. (2004). What makes the subject matter matter? Contrasting probeware with Graphs & Tracks. In J. Ivarsson (Ed.), *Renderings & reasoning: Studying artifacts in human knowing* (pp. 115–143). Göteborg, Sweden: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis.
- Lindwall, O., & Ivarsson, J. (2010). Differences that make a difference. In S. Ludvigsen, A. Lund, I. Rasmussen, & R. Säljö (Eds.), *Learning Across Sites: New Tools, Infrastructures and Practices* (pp. 364–380). London, UK: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Linn, M. C., Davis, E. A., & Bell, P. (2004). Inquiry and technology. In M. C. Linn, E. A. Davis & P. Bell, (Eds.), *Internet Environments for Science Education* (pp. 3–27). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Linn, M. C., Layman, J. W., & Nachmias, R. (1987). Cognitive consequences of micro-computer-based laboratories: Graphing skills development. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 12(3), 244–253.
- Linthorne, N. P. (2001). Analysis of standing vertical jumps using a force platform. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(11), 1198–1204.
- Llewellyn, D. (2002). *Inquire Within: Implementing Inquiry-Based Science Standards*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Lo Cicero, M. L., & Spagnolo, F. (2009). The use of motion sensor can lead the students to understanding the cartesian graph. In: V. Durand-Guerrier, S. Soury-Lavergne, & F. Arzarello (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 6th European Congress of Mathematics Education*, (pp. 2106–2115). Retrieved October 12, 2012, from <http://www.inrp.fr/publications/edition-electronique/cerme6/wg11-07-cicero.pdf>
- Löhner, S. (2005). *Computer Based Modeling Tasks*. Doctoral thesis. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Luetzelschwab, M., Laws, P., & Gile, M. (2006). VideoPoint (Version 2.5) [software]. Lenox, MA: Lenox Softworks. www.lsw.com/videopoint
- Lunetta, V. N., Hofstein, A., & Clough, M. (2007). Learning and teaching in the school science laboratory: An analysis of research, theory, and practice. In N. Lederman & S. Abel (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Science Education* (pp. 393–441). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Maaß, K. (2006). What are modeling competencies? *Zentralblatt für Didaktik der Mathematik*, 38(2), 113–142.
- Maaß, K. (2010). Classification scheme for modelling tasks. *Journal für Mathematik-Didaktik*, 31(2), 285–311.
- Macintyre, T., Forbes, I. (2002). Algebraic skills and CAS—Could assessment sabotage the potential? *The International Journal of Computer Algebra in Mathematics Education*, 9(1), 29–56.
- Mackisack, M. S., Osborne, M. R., Smyth, G. K. (1994). A modified Prony algorithm for estimating sinusoidal frequencies. *Journal of Statistical Computations and Simulation*, 49, 111–124.
- Mahata, K. (2003). *Estimation Using low Rank Signal Models*. Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis. Uppsala Dissertations from the Faculty of Science and Technology 48. Uppsala, Sweden.
- Mak, S. Y. (1987). The static effectiveness mass of a Slinky. *American Journal of Physics*, 55(11), 994–997.
- Manlove, S., Lazonder, A.W., & de Jong, T. (2006). Regulative support for collaborative scientific inquiry learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 22(2), 87–98.

- Marquardt, D. (1963). An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 11, 431–441.
- Mathavan, S., Jackson, M. R., & Parkin, R. M. (2009). Application of high-speed imaging to determine the dynamics of billiards. *American Journal of Physics*, 77(9), 788–794.
- Maurits, N. (2007). Patiënten in getallen: Echte wiskunde in het ziekenhuis [Patients in numbers: Real mathematics in the hospital]. *Euclides*, 82(8), 309–313.
- May, L.-P., & Kayser, F. (2003). Wie kommt der Wasserspringer in den Physiksaal? [How comes the diver into the physics classroom]. *Praxis der Naturwissenschaften - Physik in der Schule*, 52(2), 8–12.
- Mayer, R. E. (2004). Should there be a three-strikes rule against pure discovery learning? The case for guided methods of instruction. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 14–19.
- Mayer, R. E. (Ed.) (2005). *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia Learning, Second Edition*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E., Fennell, S., Farmer, L., & Campbell, J. (2004). A personalization effect in multimedia learning: Students learn better when words are in conversational style rather than formal style. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(2), 389–395.
- McCreary, K. (2005). *A Study of the Motion of a Free Falling Shuttlecock*. Wooster Physics Junior Thesis. Retrieved December 13, 2008, from www.wooster.edu/physics/jrIS/Files/McCreary.pdf
- McDermott, L. C., Rosenquist, M. L., & Van Zee, E. H. (1987). Student difficulties in connecting graphs and physics: Examples from kinematics. *American Journal of Physics*, 55(6), 503–513.
- McMahon, T. A., & Cheng, G. C. (1990). The mechanics of running: How does stiffness couple with speed? *Journal of Biomechanics*, 23(1), 65–78.
- McRobbie, C. J., & Thomas, G. P. (2000). Epistemological and contextual issues in the use of microcomputer-based laboratories in a year 11 chemistry classroom. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 19(2), 137–160.
- Medeiros, E. L., Tavares, O. A. P., & Duarte, S. B. (2009). Inexpensive strobe-like photographs. *The Physics Teacher*, 47(8), 536–541.
- MEI/OCR (2003). *MEI Structured Mathematics Oxford Cambridge and Examinations Specification* (pp. 35). Retrieved September 28, 2011, from www.ocr.org.uk/download/kd/ocr_10095_kd_l_gce_spec.pdf
- Menz, P. (1993). The physics of bungee jumping. *The Physics Teacher*, 31(8), 483–487.
- Millar, R., Lubben, F., Gott, R., & Duggan, S. (1994). Investigating in the school science laboratory: Conceptual and procedural knowledge and their influence. *Research Papers in Education*, 9(2), 207–249.
- Millar, R., & Tiberghien, A., & Le Maréchal, J.-F. (2002). Varieties of labwork: A way of profiling labwork tasks. In D. Psillos & H. Niedderer (Eds.), *Teaching and Learning in the Science Laboratory* (pp. 9–20). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Miller, A. I. (1988). *Imagery in Scientific Thought*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mincheva, M., & Roussel, M. R. (2007). Graph-theoretic methods for the analysis of chemical and biochemical networks. I. Multistability and oscillations in ordinary differential equation models. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 55(1), 61–86.
- Mitchelmore, M., & Cavanagh, M. (2000). Students' difficulties in operating a graphics calculator. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 12(3), 254–268.
- Moir, G. L. (2008). Three different methods of calculating vertical jump height from force platform data in men and women. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 12(4), 207–218.

- Mokros, J. R., & Tinker, R. F. (1987). The impact of micro-computer based labs on children's ability to interpret graphs. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24(4), 369–383.
- Mols, B. (2003). Een gevoelige snaar: Veltman vs Dijkgraaf [Touching the right chord: Veltman vs Dijkgraaf]. *Natuurwetenschap & Techniek*, 71(9), 18–25.
- Moravec, P., Ruzicka, J., Susanka, P., Dostal, E., Kodejs, M., & Nosek, M. (1988). Time analysis of the 100 metres events at the II world championships in athletics. *New Studies in Athletics*, 3(3), 61–96.
- Moreno-Armella, L., Hegedus, S. J., & Kaput, J. J. (2008). From static to dynamic mathematics: Historical and representational perspectives. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 68(2), 99–111.
- Moritz, J. (2004). Reasoning about covariation. In D. Ben-Zvi, & J. Garfield (Eds.), *The Challenge of Developing Statistical Literacy, Reasoning and Thinking* (pp. 227–255). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Mulquiney, P. J., & Kuchel, P. J. (2003). *Modelling Metabolism with Mathematica*. New York, NY: CRC Press LLC.
- Nagurka, M., & Huang, S. G. (2006). A mass-spring-damper model of a bouncing ball. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 22(2), 393–401.
- National Research Council (NRC) (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council (NRC) (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Nemirovsky, R., Kaput, J., & Roschelle, J. (1998). Enlarging mathematical activity from modeling phenomena to generating phenomena. In A. Olivier & K. Newstead (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 22nd conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 3, pp. 287–294). Stellenbosch, South Africa.
- Neuwirth, E. (1995). Visualizing formal and structural relationships with spreadsheets. In A. diSessa, C. Hoyles, & R. Noss (Eds.), *Computers and Exploratory Learning* (pp. 155–173). Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Newburgh, R., & Andes, G. M. (1995). Galileo Redux or, how do nonrigid, extended bodies fall? *The Physics Teacher*, 33(9), 586–588.
- Newton, L. R. (2000). Data-logging in practical science: Research and reality. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(12), 1247–1259.
- Nicolaou, C. T., Nicolaidou, I., Zacharia, Z., & Constantinou, C. P. (2007). Enhancing fourth graders ability to interpret graphical representations through the use of microcomputer-based labs implemented within an inquiry-based activity sequence. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 26(1), 75–99.
- Norberg, Å. (2001). *Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Intravenous Ethanol: Relationship Between the Ethanol Space and Total Body Water*. Doctoral thesis, Karolinska University. Stockholm: Karolinska University Press. Retrieved June 28, 2006, from <http://diss.kib.ki.se/2001/91-7349-053-9/thesis.pdf>
- Norberg, Å., Gabrielsson, J., Jones, A. W., & Hahn, R. G. (2000). Within- and between-subject variations in pharmacokinetic parameters of ethanol by analysis of breath, venous blood and urine. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 49(5), 399–408.
- Novak, A. M., & Krajcik, J. S. (2004). Using technology to support inquiry in middle school science. In L. B. Flick & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Scientific Inquiry and Nature of Science* (pp. 75–101). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Ogborn, J., & Wong, D. (1984). A microcomputer dynamic modelling system. *Physics Education*, 19(3), 138–142.
- Oliver, M., & Trigwell, K. (2005). Can 'blended learning' be redeemed? *E-Learning*, 2(1), 17–26.

- Ormel, B. J. B. (2010). *Het natuurwetenschappelijk modelleren van dynamische systemen: Naar een didactiek voor het voortgezet onderwijs* [Scientific Modelling of Dynamical Systems: Towards a Pedagogical Theory for Secondary Education]. Doctoral thesis, Utrecht University. Utrecht: CD-β Press.
- Osborne, J., & Hennessy, S. (2003). *Literature Review in Science Education and the Role of ICT: Promise, Problems, and Directions*. Bristol, UK: Nesta Futurelab. Retrieved October 2, 2008, from www.futurelab.org.uk/resources/documents/lit_reviews/Secondary_Science_Review.pdf
- Oviatt, S. (2006). Human-centered design meets cognitive load theory: Designing interfaces that help people think. In K. Nahrstedt, M. Turk, Y. Rui, W. Klas, & K. Mayer-Patel (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 14th Annual ACM International Conference on Multimedia* (pp. 871–880). New York, NY: ACM.
- Özgül-Koca, S. A. (2008). Ninth grade students studying the movement of fish to learn about linear relationships: The use of video-based analysis software in mathematics classrooms. *The Mathematics Educator*, 18(1), 15–25.
- Page, A., Moreno, R., Candelas, P., & Belmar, F. (2008). The accuracy of webcams in 2D motion analysis: Sources of error and their control. *European Journal of Physics*, 29(4), 857–870.
- Pala O., & Vennix, J. A. M. (2005). Effect of system dynamics education on systems thinking inventory task performance. *System Dynamics Review*, 21(2), 147–172.
- Palm, T. (2002). *The Realism of Mathematical School Tasks — Features and Consequences*. Doctoral Thesis No 24. Umeå, Sweden: Umeå University.
- Palmer, D. H. (2009). Student interest generated during inquiry skills lesson. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46(2), 147–165.
- Pasveer, F., & De Muynck, W. (2003). Wat is het verrassende aan bungee-jumping [What is surprising about bungee jumping?] *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Natuurkunde*, 96(12), 394.
- Peastrel, M., Lynch, R., & Armenti, Jr., A. (1980). Terminal velocity of a shuttlecock in vertical fall. *American Journal of Physics*, 48(7), 511–513.
- Pélerin, J. (1505). *De Artificiali Perspectiva* (3rd edition from 1521). Retrieved, June 30, 2011, from <http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k1050945/>
- Perry, J., & Burnfield, J. M. (2010). *Gait Analysis: Normal and Pathological Function* (2nd ed.). Thorofare, NJ: Slack Incorporated.
- Pezzack, J. C., Norman, R. W., & Winter, D. A. (1977). An assessment of derivative determining techniques used for motion analysis. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 10(5-6), 377–382.
- Phillips, R. J. (1997). Can juniors read graphs? A review and analysis of some computer-based activities. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 6(1), 49–58.
- Pierce, R., & Stacey, K. (2004). Monitoring progress in algebra in a CAS active context: Symbol sense, algebraic insight and algebraic expectation. *The International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 11(1), 3–11.
- Pieters, J. E., Wedel, M., & Schaafsma, G. J. (1990). Parameter estimation in a three-compartment model for blood alcohol curves. *Alcohol & Alcoholism*, 25(1), 17–24.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of Self-Regulation* (pp. 451–502). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Plass, J. L., Moreno, R., Brünken, R. (2010). *Cognitive Load Theory*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Ploetzner, R., Bodemer, D., & Neudert, S. (2008). Successful and less successful use of dynamic visualisations in instructional texts. In R. K. Lowe & W. Schnotz (Eds.) *Learning with animation: Research implications for design* (pp. 71–91). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

- Ploetzner, R., Lippitsch, S., Galmbacher, M., Heuer, D., & Scherrer, S. (2009). Students' difficulties in learning from dynamic visualisations and how they may be overcome. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *25*(1), 56–65.
- Pratt, D. (1995). Young children's active and passive graphing. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *11*(3), 157–169.
- Profi-team (1996-1998). Trajectenboeken met *Toelichting bij de Advies Examenprogramma's HAVO en VWO Wiskunde: Trajectenboek wiskunde HAVO, Trajectenboek 4 VWO, Trajectenboek wiskunde A VWO, Trajectenboek wiskunde in de profielen N&G en N&T VWO, Deel I: Wiskunde B1, Deel II: Wiskunde B2*. Utrecht: Freudenthal Instituut, 1996, 1997, 1998.
- Qeli, E. (2007). *Information Visualization Techniques for Metabolic Engineering*. Doctoral thesis, Philipps-Universität, Marburg, Germany. Retrieved July 16, 2011, from <http://archiv.ub.uni-marburg.de/diss/z2007/0108/pdf/deq.pdf>
- Radford, L. (2009). "No! He starts walking backwards!": Interpreting motion graphs and the question of space, place and distance. *ZDM, The International Journal on Mathematics Education*, *41*(4), 467–480.
- Ramsay, J., & Silverman, B. (2005). *Functional Data Analysis* (2nd ed.). Springer Series in Statistics, New York: Springer Verlag.
- Reeves, T. C., Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (2002). Authentic activities and online learning. In A. Goody, J. Herrington & M. Northcote (Eds.), *Quality Conversations: Research and Development in Higher Education*, Volume 25 (pp. 562–567). Jamison, ACT: HERDSA.
- Reinsch, C. (1967). Smoothing by spline functions. *Numerische Mathematik*, *10*(2), 177–183.
- Ricci, R. W., & Van Doren, J. M. (1997). Using dynamic simulation software in the physical chemistry laboratory. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *74*(11), 1372–1374.
- Richey, R. C., Klein, J. D., & Nelson, W. A. (2004). Developmental research: Studies of instructional design and development. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communication and Technology* (2nd ed.): A Project of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (pp. 1099–1130). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Richey, R. C., & Nelson, W. A. (1996). Development research. In D. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of Research for Educational Communications and Technology* (pp. 1213–1245). London, UK: Macmillan.
- Riera, J., Monsoriu, J. A., Giménez, M. H., Hueso, J. L., & Torregrosa, J. R. (2003). Using image recognition to automate video analysis of physical processes. *American Journal of Physics*, *71*(10), 1075–1079.
- Robertson, D., Caldwell, G., Hamill, J., Kamen, G., & Whittlesey, S. (2004). *Research Methods in Biomechanics*. Urbana-Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Rodrigues, S., Pearce, J., & Livett, M. (2001). Using video analysis or data loggers during practical work in first year physics. *Educational Studies*, *27*(1), 31–43.
- Rogers, L. T. (1996). A study of pupils' skills of graphical interpretation with reference to the use of data-logging techniques. In R. F. Tinker (Ed.), *Microcomputer-Based Labs: Educational Research and Standards*, NATO ASI Series F: Computer and Systems Sciences, Vol. 156 (pp. 165–175). Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Roschelle, J., Tatar, D., & Kaput, J. (2008a). Getting to scale with innovations that deeply restructure how students come to know mathematics. In A. E. Kelly, R. A. Lehrer & J. Y. Baek (Eds.), *Handbook of Design Research Methods in Education* (pp. 369–395). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Roschelle, J., Tatar, D., Shechtman, N., & Knudsen, J. (2008b). The role of scaling up research in designing for and evaluating robustness. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *68*(2), 149–170.

- Rose, J., & Gamble, J. G. (2006). *Human Walking* (3rd ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Rosenshine, B. (1995). Advances in research on instruction. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 88(5), 262–268.
- Ross, S. M. (1991). Interactive videodiscs for science education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7(2), 96–103.
- Roth, W.-M. (1995). *Authentic School Science: Knowing and Learning in Open-Inquiry Science Laboratories*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Roth, W.-M. (2003). *Toward an Anthropology of Graphing: Semiotic and Activity-Theoretic Perspectives*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Roth, W.-M., & Bowen, G. M. (2001). Professionals read graphs: A semiotic analysis. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 32(2), 159–194.
- Roth, W.-M., & Bowen, G. M. (2003). When are graphs worth ten thousand words? An expert-expert study. *Cognition and Instruction*, 21(4), 429–473.
- Roth, W.-M., Bowen, G. M., & McGinn, M. K. (1999). Differences in Graph-Related Practices between High School Biology Textbooks and Scientific Ecology Journals. *Journal for Research in Science Teaching*, 36(9), 977–1019.
- Roth, W.-M., & Lee, Y. J. (2004). Interpreting unfamiliar graphs: A generative activity theoretical model. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 57(2), 265–290.
- Roth, W.-M., & McGinn, M.K. (1998). Inscriptions: Toward a theory of representing as social practice. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(1), 35–59.
- Roth, W.-M., Van Eijck, M., Reis, G., & Hsu, P.-L. (2008). *Authentic Science Revisited*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Russell, D. W., Lucas, K. B., & McRobbie, C. J. (2003) The role of the microcomputer-based laboratory display in supporting the construction of new understandings in kinematics. *Research in Science Education*, 33(2), 217–243.
- Russell, D. W., Lucas, K. B., & McRobbie, C. J. (2004). Role of the microcomputer-based laboratory display in supporting the construction of new understandings in thermal physics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(2), 165–185.
- Ruthven, K., Hennessy, S., & Deaney, R. (2008). Constructions of dynamic geometry: A study of the interpretative flexibility of educational software in classroom practice. *Computers & Education*, 51(1), 297–317.
- Salumbides, E. J., Maristela, J., Uy, A., & Karremans, K. (2002). A vision-based motion sensor for undergraduate laboratories. *American Journal of Physics*, 70(8), 868–871.
- Sandoval, W. A., Bell, P., Coleman, E., Enyedy, N., & Suthers, D. (2000). Designing knowledge representations for epistemic practices in science learning. Position paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, April 24–28. Retrieved January 2, 2009, from http://pages.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/sandoval/pdf/aera00_epistemic.pdf
- Savelsbergh, E. (Ed.) (2008). *Modelleren en computermodellen in de β -vakken: Advies aan de gezamenlijke β -vernieuwingscommissies* [Modeling and computer models in the sciences: Advice to the joint curriculum innovation committees]. Utrecht: FIsme. Retrieved May 2, 2011, from www.nieuwenatuurkunde.nl/download/id/40/Modelleren_betavakken.pdf
- Savelsbergh, E., Bell, T., Bossler, U., et al. (2003). Co-Lab, design considerations for a collaborative discovery learning environment. Paper presented at the 4th ESERA Conference, Noordwijkerhout, The Netherlands. Retrieved October 2, 2008, from http://colab.edte.utwente.nl/documents/ESERA_2003_synopsis.doc
- Sawicki, M. (2002). Static elongation of a suspended Slinky. *The Physics Teacher*, 40(5), 276–278.
- Sawyer, R. K. (Ed.) (2006). *The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

- Schaap, S., Vos, P., & Goedhart, M. (2011a). Students overcoming blockages while building a mathematical model: Exploring a framework. In G. Kaiser, W. Blum, R. Borromeo Ferri, & G. Stillman, (Eds.), *Trends in Teaching and Learning of Mathematical Modelling*, Proceedings of ICTM 14 (pp. 137–146). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Schaap, S., Vos, P., Ellermeijer, T. & Goedhart, M. (2011b). De vertaalslag van een probleemsituatie naar een wiskundige formule—een studie naar vraagstellingen en leerlingprestaties op het centraal examen [The translation from a problem situation to a mathematical formula—a study on question format and student achievement]. *Tijdschrift voor didactiek der β -wetenschappen*, 28(1), 3–31.
- Schalk, H. H. (2006). *Zeker weten? Leren de kwaliteit van biologie-onderzoek te bewaken in 5 vwo* [Are You Certain? Learning to Ensure the Quality of Biology Research in Pre-University Education]. Doctoral Thesis, Utrecht University.
- Schnotz, W. (2001). Sign systems, technologies, and the acquisition of knowledge. In J.-F. Rouet, J. Levonen, & A. Biardeau (Eds.), *Multimedia Learning: Cognitive and Instructional Issues* (pp. 9–29). Oxford, UK: Elsevier/Pergamon Press.
- Schnotz, W. (2002). Towards an integrated view of learning from text and visual displays. *Educational Psychology Review*, 14(1), 101–120.
- Schnotz, W. (2005). An integrated model of text and picture comprehension. In R. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 49–69). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Schoenfeld, A. H. (2004). The math wars. *Educational Policy*, 18(1), 253–286.
- Scholz, M. N., Bobbert, M. F., & Van Soest, A. J. (2006). Scaling and jumping: Gravity loses grip on small jumpers. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 240(4), 554–561.
- Schwartz, R. S., & Crawford, B. A. (2004). Authentic scientific inquiry as context for teaching nature of science. In L. B. Flick & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Scientific Inquiry and Nature of Science* (pp. 331–356). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Schwarz, C. V., & White, B. Y. (2005). Metamodeling knowledge: Developing students' understanding of scientific modeling. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23(2), 165–205.
- Seidl, S., Jensen, U., & Alt, A. (2000). The calculation of blood ethanol concentrations in males and females. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 114(1-2), 71–77.
- Serna, J. D., & Joshi, A. (2011). Studying springs in series using a single spring. *Physics Education*, 46(1), 33–40.
- Sevrez, V., Berton, E., Rao, G., & Bootsma, R. (2009). Regulating of pendulum length as a control mechanism in performing the backward giant circle in gymnastics. *Human Movement Science*, 28(2), 250–262.
- Shaffer, D. W., & Kaput, J. J. (1998). Mathematics and virtual culture: An evolutionary perspective on technology and mathematics education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 37(2), 97–119.
- Shah, P., & Freedman, E. G. (2010). Bar and line graph comprehension: An interaction of top-down and bottom-up processes. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 3(3), 560–578.
- Shah, P., Freedman, E. G., & Vekiri, I. (2005). The Comprehension of Quantitative Information in Graphical Displays. In P. Shah, & A. Miyake (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook on Visuospatial Thinking* (pp. 426–476). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Shargel, L., Wu-Pong, S., & Yu, A. B. C. (2005). *Applied Biopharmaceutics & Pharmacokinetics* (5th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Sharp, H., Rogers, Y., & Preece, J. (2007). *Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction*. London, UK: John Wiley.
- Shaughnessy, J. M. (2007). Research on statistics learning. In F. K. Lester, Jr. (Ed.), *Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (pp. 957–1009). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers in Mathematics; Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

- Shaughnessy, J. M., Garfield J., & Greer, B. (1996). Data Handling. In A. J. Bishop, K. Clements, C. Keitel, J. Kilpatrick, & C. Laborde (Eds.), *International Handbook of Mathematics Education* (pp. 205–237). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Siersma, D., & Drijvers, P. (2007). Rijk aan betekenis [Rich in meaning]. *Euclides*, 82(5), 169–172.
- Simon, M. A. (1995). Reconstructing mathematics pedagogy from a constructive perspective. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 114–145.
- Simpson, G., Hoyles, C., & Noss, R. (2005). Designing a programming-based approach for modelling scientific phenomena. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21(2), 143–158.
- Smith, L. D., Best, L. A., Stubbs, D. A., Johnston, J., & Archibald, A. B. (2000). Scientific graphs and the hierarchy of the sciences: A Latourian survey of inscription practices. *Social Studies of Science*, 30(1), 73–94.
- Smits, T. J. M. (2003). *Werken aan kwaliteitsverbetering van leerlingonderzoek: Een studie naar de ontwikkeling en het resultaat van een scholing voor docenten* [Working on Quality Improvement of Student Inquiry: A Study of the Development and the Result of Schooling of Teachers]. Doctoral thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen. Utrecht: CD- β Press.
- Smyth, G. K. (2000) Employing symmetry constraints for improved frequency estimation by eigenanalysis methods. *Technometrics* (42)(3), 277–289.
- Solomonidou, C., & Stavridou, H. (2001). Design and development of a computer learning environment on the basis of students' initial conceptions and learning difficulties about chemical equilibrium. *Education and Information Technologies*, 6(1), 5–27.
- Spunde, W. G., & Neidinger, R. D. (1999). Sample calculus. *The Mathematics Magazine*, 72(3), 171–182.
- Steed, M. (1992). STELLA, a simulation construction kit: Cognitive process and educational implications. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 11, 39–52. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Steffe, L., & Gale, J. (1995). *Constructivism in Education*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Steffen, L. K., & Holt, P. L. (1993). Computer simulations of chemical kinetics. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(12), 991–993.
- Stensgaard, I., & Lægsgaard, E. (2001). Listening to a coefficient of restitution revisited. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(3), 301–305.
- Sterman, J. D. (2000). *Business Dynamics—Systems Thinking and Modelling for a Complex World*. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.
- Sterman, J. D. (2010). Does formal system dynamics training improve peoples understanding of accumulation? *System Dynamics Review*, 26(4), 316–334.
- Stillman, G., Brown, J., & Galbraith, P. (2010). Identifying challenges within transition phases of mathematical modeling activities at year 9. In L. Lesh, P. L. Galbraith, C. R. Haines & A. Hurford (Eds.), *Modeling Students' Mathematical Modeling Competencies*, Proceedings of ICTMA 13 (pp. 385–398). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Struck, W., & Yerrick, R. (2011). The effect of data acquisition-probeware and digital video analysis on accurate graphical representation of kinetic in a high school physics class. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 19(2), 199–211.
- Stylianou, D. A., Smith, B., & Kaput, J. J. (2005). Math in motion: Using CBRs to enact functions. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 24(3), 299–324.
- Susman, E. P., Murphy, J. R., Zerbe, G. O., & Jones, R. H. (1998). Using a nonlinear mixed model to evaluate three models of human stature. *Growth, Developing & Aging*, 62(4), 161–171.

- Svec, M. (1999). Improving graphing interpretation skills and understanding of motion using microcomputer based laboratories. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 3(4). Retrieved October 5, 2011, from wolfweb.unr.edu/homepage/crowther/ejse/svec.html
- Sweller, J. (2005). Implications of cognitive load theory for multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 19–30). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Sweller, J., Van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review*, 10(3), 251–295.
- Tairab, H. H., & Khalaf Al-Naqbi, A. K. (2004). How do secondary school science students interpret and construct scientific graphs? *Journal of Biology Education*, 38(3), 127–132.
- Tall, D., Gray, E., Bin Ali, M., Crowley, L., DeMarois, P., McGowen, M., Pitta, D., Pinto, M., Thomas, M., & Yusof, Y. (2001). Symbols and the bifurcation between procedural and conceptual thinking. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 1(1), 81–104.
- Testa, I., Monroy, G., & Sassi, E. (2002). Students' reading images in kinematics: The case of real-time graphs. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(3), 235–256.
- Thornton, R., & Sokoloff, D. (1990). Learning motion concepts using real-time micro-computer-based laboratory tools. *American Journal of Physics*, 58(9), 858–867.
- Tibshirani, R. (1997). Who is the fastest man in the world? *The American Statistician*, 51(2), 106–111.
- Toepker, T. P. (2004). Static elongation of a suspended Slinky. *The Physics Teacher*, 42(1), 16–17.
- Townend, S. (1993). Modelling the gymnastic giant swing—is it potentially dangerous? *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications*, 12(4), 163–166.
- Trumper, R. (1997). Learning kinematics with V-Scope: A case study. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 16(1), 91–110.
- Tselios, N., Avouris, N., & Komis, V. (2008). The effective combination of hybrid usability methods in evaluating educational applications of ICT: Issues and challenges. *Education and Information Technologies*, 13(1), 55–76.
- Tsuchiya, J., Murata, K., & Fukunaga, T. (2004). Kinetic analysis of backward giant swing on parallel bars. *International Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 2, 211–221.
- Tsukuhara, H., Ishida, T., Mayumi, M. (1999). Gas-phase oxidation of nitric oxide: Chemical kinetics and rate constant. *Nitric Oxide: Biology and Chemistry*, 3(3) 191–198.
- Tversky, B. (2001). Spatial schemas in depictions. In M. Gattis (Ed.), *Spatial Schemas and Abstract Thought* (pp. 79–111). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Udell, J. (2004, November 17). Name that genre: Screencast. Retrieved June 3, 2011, from <http://jonudell.net/udell/2004-11-17-name-that-genre-screencast.html>
- Udell, J. (2005, November 16) What is screencasting? Retrieved November 18, 2008, from <http://digitalmedia.oreilly.com/2005/11/16/what-is-screencasting.html>
- Umulis, D. M., Gürmen, N. M., Sing, P., & Fogler, H. S. (2005). A physiologically based model for ethanol and acetaldehyde metabolism in human beings. *Alcohol*, 35(1), 3–12.
- Uylings, P., & Heck, A. (2005). Capturing the real world in the classroom. In F. Olivero & R. Sutherland (Eds.), *Visions of Mathematics Education: Embedding Technology in Learning*, Proceedings of ICTMT 7, Vol. 2 (pp. 138–146). Bristol, UK: University of Bristol.
- Van Bart, C., & Mulder, C. H. T. (1990). Globaal functionele beschrijving van de rekenomgeving en het rekenvel in IP-COACH [Global functional description of the modeling environment and the Calcsheet in IP-COACH]. Internal technical report. Physics Education Department, University of Amsterdam.

- Van Blokland, P. J., & Kok, D. (1987). De micro-computer in het wiskunde-onderwijs [The microcomputer in mathematics education]. In J. Van de Lune (Ed.), *Vakantie cursus 1987: de personal computer en de wiskunde op school* [Summer Course 1987: The personal computer and the mathematics at school] (pp. 81–107). Amsterdam: CWI Syllabus 14.
- Van Buuren, O., Uylings, P., & Ellermeijer, T. (2010). Towards a learning path on computer modelling. In D. Raine, C. Hurkett, & L. Rogers (Eds.), *Physics Community and Co-operation*, Selected Contributions from the GIREP-EPEC & PHEC 2009 International Conference (vol. 1, pp. 110–125). Leicester, UK: The Centre for Interdisciplinary Science, University of Leicester. Retrieved, October 25, 2011 from http://physics.le.ac.uk/girep2009/ConferenceProceedings/GIREP2009_ConferenceProceedings_Volume1.pdf
- Van Buuren, O., Uylings, P., & Ellermeijer, T. (2011). A modelling learning path, integrated in the secondary school curriculum, starting from the initial phases of physics education. In W. Kaminski (Ed.), *Teaching and Learning Physics Today: Challenges? Benefits?*, Electronic Proceedings of GIREP-ICPE-MPTL2 Conference, August 2010, Reims, France. Retrieved, October 25, 2011 from <http://www.univ-reims.fr/site/evenement/girep-icpe-mptl-2010-reims-international-conference/home.9402,17347.html>
- Van den Akker, J. (1999). Principles and methods of development research. In J. Van den Akker, R. Branch, L. K. Gustafson, K. Nieveen, & T. Plomp (Eds.), *Design Approaches and Tools in Education and Training* (pp. 1–14). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Van den Akker, J., Gravemeijer, K., McKenney, S., & Nieveen, N. (Eds.) (2006). *Educational Design Research*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Van den Berg, E., & Ellermeijer, T. (2006). Science activities in a learning centre. In S.-O. Holmgren (Ed.), *Science is Primary II*, Conference Proceedings (pp. 37–46). Stockholm: Royal Academy of Sciences.
- Van den Berg, E., Ellermeijer, T., & Slooten, O. (Eds.) (2007). *Modelling in Physics and Physics Education*, Proceedings of GIREP 2006. Amsterdam: AMSTEL Institute, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Van den Berg, E., Schweickert, F., & Manneveld, G. (2010). Learning graphs and learning science with sensors in learning corners in grades 5 and 6. In M.F. Taşar & G. Çakmakçı (Eds.), *Contemporary Science Education Research* (pp. 383–394). Ankara, Turkey: Pegem Akademi.
- Van den Camp, W., & Heck, A. (2003). Survival analysis by students. In T. Triandafillidis & K. Hatzikiriakou (Eds.), *Technology in Mathematics Teaching*, Proceedings of ICTMT 6 (pp. 388–394). Athens: New Technologies Publications.
- Van den Camp, W., & Heck, A. (2004). Wat heb je aan het gemiddelde? [How useful is an average?]. *Nieuwe Wiskrant*, 24(2), 33–38.
- Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M., & Wijers, M. (2005). Mathematics standards and curricula in the Netherlands. *Zentralblatt für Didaktik der Mathematik*, 37(4), 287–307.
- Van der Kooij, H. (2001). Modelling and algebra: How pure shall we be? In J. F. Matos, W. Blum, S. K. Houston, & S. P. Carreira (Eds.), *Modelling and Mathematics Education: ICTMA 9—Applications in Science and Technology* (pp. 171–184). Chichester, UK: Horwood Publishing.
- Van der Valk, T., Van Driel, J. H., & De Vos, W. (2007). Common characteristics of models in present-day scientific practice. *Research in Science Education*, 37(4), 469–488.
- Van Eijck, M. W. (2006). *Teaching Quantitative Concept with ICT in Pre-University Biology Education*. Doctoral thesis, University of Amsterdam.
- Van Gastel, L., Heck, A., & Uylings, P. (2008). What goes up must come down: Modeling of tidal movement by students. In E. van den Berg, T. Ellermeijer, & O. Slooten (Eds.),

- Modelling in Physics and Physics Education*, Proceedings of GIREP 2006 (pp. 286–298). Amsterdam: AMSTEL Institute, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Van Ingen Schenau, G. J., & Cavanagh, P. R. (1990). Power equations in endurance sports. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 23(9), 865–881.
- Van Rens, E. M. M. (2005). *Effectief scheikundeonderwijs voor 'leren onderzoeken' in de tweede fase van het vwo. Een chemie van willen, weten en kunnen* [Effective Chemical Education for 'Learning to Inquire' in Upper Secondary School]. Doctoral Thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. Amsterdam: Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.
- Vaughan, C. L. (1982). Smoothing and differentiation of displacement-time data: An application of splines and digital filtering. *International Journal of Bio-Medical Computing*, 13(5), 375–382.
- Verhulst, F. (2010). *Wiskunde D. Modellen en Dynamische Systemen* [Mathematics D. Models and Dynamical Systems]. Retrieved June 15, 2011, from <http://www.staff.science.uu.nl/~verhu101/WiskD6.pdf>
A similar version of this instructional material has been made available as 'Epsilon publication for Mathematics D' at <http://www.epsilon-uitgaven.nl>
- Viiri, J. (2000). Students' understanding of tides. *Physics Education*, 35(2), 105–110.
- Vint, P. F., & Hinrichs, R. N. (1996). Endpoint error in smoothing and differentiating raw kinematic data: An evaluation of four popular methods. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 29(12), 1637–1642.
- Vollmer, M., & Möllmann, K.-P. (2011a). High speed and slow motion: The technology of modern high speed cameras. *Physics Education*, 46(2), 191–202.
- Vollmer, M., & Möllmann, K.-P. (2011b). Exploding balloons, deformed balls, strange reflections and breaking rods: Slow motion analysis of selected hands-on experiments. *Physics Education*, 46(4), 472–485.
- Vol'pert, A., & Hudjaev, S. (1985). *Analysis in Classes of Discontinuous Functions and Equations of Mathematical Physics*. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Vol'pert, A., & Ivanova, A. (1987). Mathematical models in chemical kinetics. In: *Mathematical Modeling of Nonlinear Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics* (pp. 57–102). Work Collect., Nauka, Moscow.
- Vos, P. (2011). What is 'authentic' in the teaching and learning of mathematical modelling? In G. Kaiser, W. Blum, R. Borromeo Ferri, & G. Stillman, (Eds.), *Trends in Teaching and Learning of Mathematical Modelling*, Proceedings of ICTM 14 (pp. 713–722). New York, NY: Springer Verlag.
- Voskoglou, M. (1994). An application of Markov chain to the process of modelling. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 25(4), 475–480.
- Voskoglou, M. (2007). A stochastic model for the modelling process. In: C. Haines, P. Galbraith, W. Blum & S. Kahn (Eds.), *Mathematical Modelling: Education, Engineering and Economics*, Proceedings of ICTMA 12 (pp. 149–157). Chichester, UK: Horwood Publishing.
- Wagner, J. G., & Patel, J. A. (1972). Variations in absorption and elimination rates of ethyl alcohol in a single subject. *Research Communications in Chemical Pathology and Pharmacology*, 4(1), 61–76.
- Walker, J. A. (1998). Estimating velocities and accelerations of animal locomotion: A simulation experiment comparing numerical differentiation algorithms. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 201(7), 981–995.
- Wang, F., & Hannafin, M. J. (2004). Using design-based research in design and research of technology-enhanced learning environments. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, CA.

- Ward-Smith, A. J. (1985). A mathematical theory of running, based on the first law of thermodynamics, and its application to the performance of world-class athletes. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 18(5), 337–349.
- Watson, P. E, Watson, I. D., & Batt, R. D. (1980). Total body water volumes for adult males and females estimated from simple anthropometric measurements. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 33(1) 27–39.
- Weiss, M., Herbst, P., & Chen, C. (2009). Teachers’ perspectives on “authentic mathematics” and the two-column proof form. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 70(3), 275–293.
- Wellington, J. (Ed.) (1998). *Practical Work in School Science*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Wenning, C. (2005). Levels of inquiry: Hierarchies of pedagogical practices and inquiry processes. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 2(3), 3–11.
- Westra, R. H. V. (2008). *Learning and Teaching Ecosystem Behaviour in Secondary Education: Systems Thinking and Modelling in Authentic Practices*. Doctoral thesis, University of Utrecht. Utrecht: CD- β Press.
- Whitelock, D., & Jelfs, A. (2003) Editorial, *Journal of Educational Media*, 28(2/3), 99–100.
- Widmark, E. M. P (1932), *Die theoretischen Grundlagen und die praktische Verwendbarkeit der gerichtlich-medizinischen Alkoholbestimmung*. Berlin: Urba & Schwarzenberg.
- Wit, J. M. (Ed.) (1998). *De vierde landelijke groeistudie 1997* [The Fourth National Growth Study 1997]. Bureau Boerhave Commissie. Leiden: Leiden University.
- Witteck, T., & Eilks, I., (2006). Open experimentation and problem solving in a cooperative learning company. *School Science Review*, 88(323), 95–102.
- Woolnough, B. (1989). Towards a holistic view of processes in science education. In J. Wellington (Ed.) *Skills and Processes in Science Education* (pp. 115–134). London, UK: Routledge.
- Woolnough, B. (2000). Authentic science in school?—An evidence-based rationale. *Physics Education*, 35(4), 293–300.
- Yam, C. Y., Nixon, M. S., & Carter, J. N. (2004). Automated person recognition by walking and running via model-based approaches. *Pattern Recognition*, 37(5), 1057–1072.
- Yeadon, M., & Hiley, M. (2000). The mechanics of the backward giant circle on the high bar. *Human Movement Science*, 19(2), 153–173.
- Yerushalmy, M., & Shternberg, B. (2006). Epistemological and cognitive aspects of time: A tool perspective. In D. Carraher & R. Nemirovsky (Eds.), *Medium and Meaning: Video Papers in Mathematics Education Research*. JRME Monograph 13 (ch. 3). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Zacks, J., Levy, E., Tversky, B., & Schiano, D. (2002). Graphs in print. In M. Anderson, B. Meyer, & P. Olivier (Eds.), *Diagrammatic Representation and Reasoning* (pp. 187–206). London, UK: Springer Verlag.
- Zacks, J., & Tversky, B. (1999). Bars and lines: Study of graphic communication. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(6), 1073–1079.
- Zollman, D., & Brungardt, J. (1995). The influence of interactive videodisc instruction using simultaneous-time analysis on kinematics graphing skills on high school physics students. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32(8), 855–869.
- Zollman, D. A., & Fuller, R. G. (1994). Teaching and learning physics with interactive video. *Physics Today*, 47, 41–47.
- Zorn, P. (2002). Algebra, computer algebra, and mathematical thinking. *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on the Teaching of Mathematics*. Hersonissos, Crete. Retrieved October 8, 2011, from <http://www.stolaf.edu/people/zorn/cretepaper.pdf>