



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Anticipatory action in self-defence: The law of self-defence - past, presence and future

Tibori Szabó, K.J.

Publication date
2010

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Tibori Szabó, K. J. (2010). *Anticipatory action in self-defence: The law of self-defence - past, presence and future*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| SUMMARY OF CONTENTS | 1 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 3 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | 9 |
| ABBREVIATIONS | 11 |
| 1 Introduction..... | 13 |
| 1.1 Statement of purpose..... | 13 |
| 1.1.1 The right of self-defence in contemporary public international law..... | 13 |
| 1.1.2 Main controversies of the right of self-defence..... | 14 |
| 1.1.2.1 ‘Armed attack’ | 14 |
| 1.1.2.2 ‘If an armed attack occurs’ | 15 |
| 1.1.2.3 Necessity and proportionality | 17 |
| 1.1.3 Layers of focus of the present research | 17 |
| 1.1.4 Explanation of central terms..... | 18 |
| 1.2 Main research questions..... | 18 |
| 1.2.1 First research question..... | 19 |
| 1.2.1.1 Choosing the best methods of research..... | 19 |
| 1.2.1.2 Rationale of legal-historical research..... | 20 |
| 1.2.1.3 Rationale of comparative case studies | 20 |
| 1.2.1.4 Objectives of the first research question | 21 |
| 1.2.2 Second research question | 21 |
| 1.2.2.1 Choosing the best methods of research..... | 22 |
| 1.2.2.2 Rationale of legal-historical research..... | 22 |
| 1.2.2.3 Rationale of comparative case studies | 22 |
| 1.2.2.4 Objectives of the second research question | 23 |
| 1.3 Structure and methods of research | 23 |
| 1.3.1 Main structure | 23 |
| 1.3.2 Tracing the evolution of customary law..... | 24 |
| 1.3.2.1 Main controversies regarding custom-formation | 24 |
| 1.3.2.2 Approach adopted regarding custom-formation | 26 |
| 1.3.2.2.1 What constitutes state practice | 26 |
| 1.3.2.2.2 Continuity of state practice | 26 |
| 1.3.2.2.3 ‘Opinio juris’ | 27 |
| 1.3.3 Structure and methods of research for Part I | 27 |
| 1.3.3.1 What kind of legal-historical research?..... | 28 |
| 1.3.3.2 ‘Normative frameworks’ | 28 |
| 1.3.3.3 Structure of Part I..... | 30 |
| 1.3.3.4 Findings of Part I | 30 |
| 1.3.4 Structure and methods of research of Part II | 31 |
| 1.3.4.1 Structure of Part II | 31 |
| 1.3.4.2 Selection of cases in Part II..... | 31 |
| 1.3.4.3 Findings of Part II | 32 |
| 1.3.5 Rationale of Part III..... | 32 |
| 1.4 Notes on terminology..... | 32 |
| 1.5 Disclaimers | 33 |
| 1.6 Contribution..... | 34 |
| Part I - Pre-Charter Customary Law on Self-Defence | 35 |
| 2 Self-defence in ancient and medieval natural law..... | 37 |
| 2.1 War in ancient Greece and Rome..... | 38 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 2.2 | Early and medieval Christianity | 40 |
| 2.2.1 | Early Christian approach to war during the Roman Empire | 41 |
| 2.2.2 | Christian approach to war in the Middle Ages | 43 |
| 2.2.3 | The impact of chivalric codes on the Christian approach to war | 48 |
| 2.2.4 | The medieval Christian normative framework and self-defence | 49 |
| 2.3 | Christian legalism | 51 |
| 2.3.1 | Probabilistic arguments and the first rejections of the just war theory | 52 |
| 2.3.2 | Late Spanish scholasticism | 53 |
| 2.3.2.1 | War in the scholastic view | 53 |
| 2.3.2.2 | Self-defence in the scholastic view | 55 |
| 2.3.3 | Protestant legalism | 56 |
| 2.3.3.1 | Protestant lawyers about war – Gentili and Grotius | 56 |
| 2.3.3.2 | Self-defence – as seen by Gentili and by Grotius | 59 |
| 2.3.4 | The Christian legalist normative framework and self-defence | 62 |
| 2.4 | Concluding remarks | 64 |
| 3 | Self-defence as a measure short of war | 67 |
| 3.1 | The rise of positive law | 67 |
| 3.1.1 | The departure from the Christian concept of natural law | 68 |
| 3.1.2 | Positive law and war in due form | 70 |
| 3.1.3 | ‘Perfect’ wars | 72 |
| 3.1.4 | ‘Imperfect’ wars | 73 |
| 3.2 | War as an instrument of policy | 75 |
| 3.2.1 | Positive Law and War as a Legal Institution | 75 |
| 3.2.2 | State practice and ‘measures short of war’ | 76 |
| 3.2.2.1 | The <i>Caroline</i> incident (1837) | 79 |
| 3.2.2.2 | The <i>Virginius</i> affair (1873) | 82 |
| 3.3 | Concluding remarks | 83 |
| 4 | Self-defence as an exception to the prohibition of war | 87 |
| 4.1 | Pacifist trends of the nineteenth century | 87 |
| 4.2 | The Hague Conventions (1899, 1907) | 88 |
| 4.3 | The Covenant of the League of Nations | 90 |
| 4.3.1 | War in the regulatory system of the Covenant | 91 |
| 4.3.2 | Self-defence in the League system | 92 |
| 4.4 | State practice in the 1920s and the League’s dispute settlement role | 93 |
| 4.4.1 | The drafting of the Kellogg-Briand Pact and the right of self-defence | 94 |
| 4.4.2 | The importance of the Kellogg-Briand Pact | 97 |
| 4.5 | State practice in the 1930s and the collapse of the League of Nations | 98 |
| 4.5.1 | The invasion of Manchuria by Japan (1931-1932) | 99 |
| 4.5.2 | The Italian invasion of Ethiopia (1935-1936) | 101 |
| 4.6 | The Second World War | 102 |
| | – <i>Operation Catapult</i> (1940) | 103 |
| 4.7 | Concluding remarks | 105 |
| 5 | The right of self-defence and the drafting of the UN Charter | 107 |
| 5.1 | Preliminaries | 107 |
| 5.2 | Self-defence in the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals | 107 |
| 5.3 | The drafting of the UN Charter at the San Francisco Conference | 109 |
| 5.3.1 | Proposals ahead of the Conference | 109 |
| 5.3.2 | The plenary discussions of the San Francisco Conference | 110 |
| 5.3.3 | The work of Technical Committee 4 (Committee III/4) | 110 |
| 5.4 | The final provision on self-defence – Interpretation | 114 |
| 5.5 | Concluding remarks | 117 |
| 6 | The temporal dimension of self-defence at the time of the Charter | 119 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 6.1 | A right based on natural law | 119 |
| 6.2 | The content of the natural right of self-defence | 119 |
| 6.3 | Preventive wars | 120 |
| 6.4 | The temporal dimension of the natural right to self-defence | 120 |
| 6.5 | The temporal dimension of preventive wars | 121 |
| 6.6 | Limits of the natural right of self-defence..... | 121 |
| 6.6.1 | Necessity | 122 |
| 6.6.2 | Proportionality..... | 123 |
| 6.7 | The status and limits of anticipatory action in self-defence..... | 123 |
| Part II - Post-Charter Customary Law on Self-Defence..... | | 125 |
| 7 | Self-defence in the immediate aftermath of the adoption of the UN Charter | 129 |
| 7.1 | Introduction..... | 129 |
| 7.2 | The Nuremberg and Tokyo trials | 129 |
| 7.2.1 | The Nuremberg trials..... | 130 |
| 7.2.1.1 | The creation of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal | 130 |
| 7.2.1.2 | The trial and judgment of the major war criminals (1945-1946) | 131 |
| 7.2.1.3 | Self-defence and the Major War Criminals' Trial | 132 |
| 7.2.1.4 | Interpretation of self-defence by the Nuremberg Tribunal | 135 |
| 7.2.2 | The Tokyo Tribunal | 136 |
| 7.2.2.1 | The trial and judgment of the Japanese war criminals (1946-1948) | 136 |
| 7.2.2.2 | Self-defence as interpreted by the Tokyo Tribunal..... | 136 |
| 7.2.3 | Importance of the Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals | 138 |
| 7.3 | The International Court of Justice and the <i>Corfu Channel</i> case..... | 139 |
| 7.4 | Concluding remarks | 141 |
| 8 | Self-defence in state-to-state conflicts | 143 |
| 8.1 | Introduction..... | 143 |
| 8.2 | The Jewish War of Independence (1948)..... | 143 |
| 8.3 | The Korean War (1950) | 145 |
| 8.4 | The Sinai Campaign (1956) | 146 |
| 8.5 | The UK bombing of a Yemeni fort (1964) | 147 |
| 8.6 | The Gulf of Tonkin incident (1964)..... | 149 |
| 8.7 | The Six-Day War (1967)..... | 150 |
| 8.8 | The 'Yom Kippur War' (1973)..... | 153 |
| 8.9 | The Iran-Iraq War (1980-1988) | 154 |
| 8.10 | The Falklands War (1982)..... | 157 |
| 8.11 | US bombing of Libya (1986)..... | 160 |
| 8.12 | The Persian Gulf War (1990-1991) | 162 |
| 8.13 | US missile attack against Iraqi intelligence headquarters (1993) | 163 |
| 8.14 | The South Ossetia War (2008) | 164 |
| 8.15 | Concluding remarks..... | 168 |
| 9 | Self-defence and weapons of mass destruction..... | 171 |
| 9.1 | Introduction..... | 171 |
| 9.2 | The Cuban Missile Crisis (1962) | 172 |
| 9.3 | The Israeli bombing of the Iraqi reactor (1981) | 176 |
| 9.4 | The <i>Nuclear Weapons</i> Advisory Opinion (1996)..... | 178 |
| 9.5 | The War against Iraq (2003) | 182 |
| 9.5.1 | The 2003 Iraqi War – Setting the context..... | 182 |
| 9.5.2 | The 2003 Iraqi War – Disarmament and Security Council resolutions | 184 |
| 9.5.3 | The 2003 Iraqi War – 'Self-defence' against WMD | 187 |
| 9.5.3.1 | Necessity..... | 188 |
| 9.5.3.1.1 | Possession of WMD | 188 |
| 9.5.3.1.2 | The immediacy factor | 190 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| 9.5.3.2 | Proportionality | 192 |
| 9.6 | Concluding remarks | 192 |
| 10 | Self-defence against non-state actors | 195 |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 195 |
| 10.2 | Israel and Arab militants | 195 |
| 10.3 | State practice in the 1960s-1980s | 198 |
| 10.4 | Terrorist attacks in the 1990s | 206 |
| | - The Kenya and Tanzania bombings | 208 |
| 10.5 | Terrorist attacks of the new millennium | 211 |
| 10.5.1 | The attack on the <i>USS Cole</i> (2000) | 211 |
| 10.5.2 | The attacks of 9/11 (2001) | 213 |
| 10.5.2.1 | Factual background | 213 |
| 10.5.2.2 | The role of the Security Council | 215 |
| 10.5.2.3 | The 9/11 attacks and the definition of ‘armed attack’ | 216 |
| 10.5.2.4 | The responsibility of the Taliban regime for the 9/11 attacks | 217 |
| 10.5.2.5 | The legality of the US war in Afghanistan | 218 |
| 10.5.3 | The war against Iraq (2003) | 220 |
| 10.5.4 | Israeli invasion of Lebanon (2006) | 222 |
| 10.5.5 | Turkish incursion into Northern Iraq (2007-2008) | 226 |
| 10.5.6 | The Gaza crisis (2008-2009) | 228 |
| 10.6 | Concluding remarks | 231 |
| 11 | The interpretation of self-defence and the United Nations | 233 |
| 11.1 | Introduction | 233 |
| 11.2 | Collective self-defence and collective enforcement measures | 233 |
| 11.3 | The work of other United Nations bodies | 236 |
| 11.3.1 | The General Assembly of the United Nations | 236 |
| 11.3.2 | The work of the International Law Commission | 238 |
| 11.3.2.1 | Self-defence and ‘general international law’ | 239 |
| 11.3.2.2 | Armed action against private groups as ‘state of necessity’ | 241 |
| 11.3.2.3 | ‘Preventive’ self-defence | 242 |
| 11.3.2.4 | Necessity and proportionality | 242 |
| 11.4 | The work of the International Court of Justice | 244 |
| 11.4.1 | The conditionality of an armed attack | 244 |
| 11.4.2 | Immediacy | 248 |
| 11.4.3 | Proportionality | 248 |
| 11.4.4 | The customary basis of self-defence | 249 |
| 11.5 | Concluding remarks | 250 |
| 12 | The temporal dimension of post-Charter self-defence | 253 |
| 12.1 | Temporal dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts | 253 |
| 12.1.1 | Anticipatory dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts | 253 |
| 12.1.2 | Remedial dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts | 254 |
| 12.1.3 | Circular dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts | 255 |
| 12.2 | Temporal dimension of self-defence in conflicts involving WMD | 256 |
| 12.3 | Temporal dimension of self-defence in conflicts involving non-state actors | 257 |
| 12.4 | Concluding remarks | 258 |
| Part III | Anticipatory Action in Self-Defence and International Customary Law | 261 |
| 13.1 | First research question - The legality of anticipatory action in self-defence | 261 |
| 13.1.1 | Findings of Part I | 262 |
| 13.1.2 | Findings of Part II | 263 |
| 13.1.3 | The legality of anticipatory action in self-defence in international law | 264 |
| 13.2 | Second research question – The limits of anticipatory action in self-defence | 266 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 13.2.1 | Findings of Part I..... | 266 |
| 13.2.2 | Findings of Part II | 267 |
| 13.2.3 | The limits of anticipatory action in self-defence under international law | 267 |
| 13.2.3.1 | Standard-type armed attacks | 267 |
| 13.2.3.1.1 | Necessity..... | 268 |
| 1) | <i>Precluding an armed attack from occurring</i> | 271 |
| 2) | <i>Precluding an armed attack from achieving its purpose</i> | 273 |
| 3) | <i>Precluding an armed attack from maintaining its purpose</i> | 274 |
| 4) | <i>Immediacy and time</i> | 275 |
| 13.2.3.1.2 | Proportionality | 276 |
| 13.2.3.2 | Hit-and-run tactics and the limits of self-defence | 277 |
| 13.2.3.2.1 | Necessity..... | 278 |
| 13.2.3.2.2 | Proportionality | 282 |
| 13.2.4 | Conclusions as to the limits of anticipatory action in self-defence | 284 |
| 13.3 | Self-Defence: What It Is and What It Is Not | 284 |
| | SUMMARY..... | 287 |
| | NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING..... | 289 |
| | BIBLIOGRAPHY | 293 |
| | TABLE OF DOCUMENTS..... | 305 |
| | TABLE OF CASES..... | 311 |
| | INDEX OF TOPICS..... | 313 |