



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Anticipatory action in self-defence: The law of self-defence - past, presence and future

Tibori Szabó, K.J.

Publication date
2010

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Tibori Szabó, K. J. (2010). *Anticipatory action in self-defence: The law of self-defence - past, presence and future*. [Thesis, externally prepared, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	9
ABBREVIATIONS	11
1 Introduction.....	13
1.1 Statement of purpose.....	13
1.1.1 The right of self-defence in contemporary public international law.....	13
1.1.2 Main controversies of the right of self-defence.....	14
1.1.2.1 ‘Armed attack’	14
1.1.2.2 ‘If an armed attack occurs’	15
1.1.2.3 Necessity and proportionality	17
1.1.3 Layers of focus of the present research	17
1.1.4 Explanation of central terms.....	18
1.2 Main research questions.....	18
1.2.1 First research question.....	19
1.2.1.1 Choosing the best methods of research.....	19
1.2.1.2 Rationale of legal-historical research.....	20
1.2.1.3 Rationale of comparative case studies	20
1.2.1.4 Objectives of the first research question	21
1.2.2 Second research question	21
1.2.2.1 Choosing the best methods of research.....	22
1.2.2.2 Rationale of legal-historical research.....	22
1.2.2.3 Rationale of comparative case studies	22
1.2.2.4 Objectives of the second research question	23
1.3 Structure and methods of research	23
1.3.1 Main structure	23
1.3.2 Tracing the evolution of customary law.....	24
1.3.2.1 Main controversies regarding custom-formation	24
1.3.2.2 Approach adopted regarding custom-formation	26
1.3.2.2.1 What constitutes state practice	26
1.3.2.2.2 Continuity of state practice	26
1.3.2.2.3 ‘Opinio juris’	27
1.3.3 Structure and methods of research for Part I	27
1.3.3.1 What kind of legal-historical research?.....	28
1.3.3.2 ‘Normative frameworks’	28
1.3.3.3 Structure of Part I.....	30
1.3.3.4 Findings of Part I	30
1.3.4 Structure and methods of research of Part II	31
1.3.4.1 Structure of Part II	31
1.3.4.2 Selection of cases in Part II.....	31
1.3.4.3 Findings of Part II	32
1.3.5 Rationale of Part III.....	32
1.4 Notes on terminology.....	32
1.5 Disclaimers	33
1.6 Contribution.....	34
Part I - Pre-Charter Customary Law on Self-Defence	35
2 Self-defence in ancient and medieval natural law.....	37
2.1 War in ancient Greece and Rome.....	38

2.2	Early and medieval Christianity	40
2.2.1	Early Christian approach to war during the Roman Empire	41
2.2.2	Christian approach to war in the Middle Ages	43
2.2.3	The impact of chivalric codes on the Christian approach to war	48
2.2.4	The medieval Christian normative framework and self-defence	49
2.3	Christian legalism	51
2.3.1	Probabilistic arguments and the first rejections of the just war theory	52
2.3.2	Late Spanish scholasticism	53
2.3.2.1	War in the scholastic view	53
2.3.2.2	Self-defence in the scholastic view	55
2.3.3	Protestant legalism	56
2.3.3.1	Protestant lawyers about war – Gentili and Grotius	56
2.3.3.2	Self-defence – as seen by Gentili and by Grotius	59
2.3.4	The Christian legalist normative framework and self-defence	62
2.4	Concluding remarks	64
3	Self-defence as a measure short of war	67
3.1	The rise of positive law	67
3.1.1	The departure from the Christian concept of natural law	68
3.1.2	Positive law and war in due form	70
3.1.3	‘Perfect’ wars	72
3.1.4	‘Imperfect’ wars	73
3.2	War as an instrument of policy	75
3.2.1	Positive Law and War as a Legal Institution	75
3.2.2	State practice and ‘measures short of war’	76
3.2.2.1	The <i>Caroline</i> incident (1837)	79
3.2.2.2	The <i>Virginius</i> affair (1873)	82
3.3	Concluding remarks	83
4	Self-defence as an exception to the prohibition of war	87
4.1	Pacifist trends of the nineteenth century	87
4.2	The Hague Conventions (1899, 1907)	88
4.3	The Covenant of the League of Nations	90
4.3.1	War in the regulatory system of the Covenant	91
4.3.2	Self-defence in the League system	92
4.4	State practice in the 1920s and the League’s dispute settlement role	93
4.4.1	The drafting of the Kellogg-Briand Pact and the right of self-defence	94
4.4.2	The importance of the Kellogg-Briand Pact	97
4.5	State practice in the 1930s and the collapse of the League of Nations	98
4.5.1	The invasion of Manchuria by Japan (1931-1932)	99
4.5.2	The Italian invasion of Ethiopia (1935-1936)	101
4.6	The Second World War	102
	– <i>Operation Catapult</i> (1940)	103
4.7	Concluding remarks	105
5	The right of self-defence and the drafting of the UN Charter	107
5.1	Preliminaries	107
5.2	Self-defence in the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals	107
5.3	The drafting of the UN Charter at the San Francisco Conference	109
5.3.1	Proposals ahead of the Conference	109
5.3.2	The plenary discussions of the San Francisco Conference	110
5.3.3	The work of Technical Committee 4 (Committee III/4)	110
5.4	The final provision on self-defence – Interpretation	114
5.5	Concluding remarks	117
6	The temporal dimension of self-defence at the time of the Charter	119

6.1	A right based on natural law	119
6.2	The content of the natural right of self-defence	119
6.3	Preventive wars	120
6.4	The temporal dimension of the natural right to self-defence	120
6.5	The temporal dimension of preventive wars	121
6.6	Limits of the natural right of self-defence.....	121
6.6.1	Necessity	122
6.6.2	Proportionality.....	123
6.7	The status and limits of anticipatory action in self-defence.....	123
Part II - Post-Charter Customary Law on Self-Defence.....		125
7	Self-defence in the immediate aftermath of the adoption of the UN Charter	129
7.1	Introduction.....	129
7.2	The Nuremberg and Tokyo trials	129
7.2.1	The Nuremberg trials.....	130
7.2.1.1	The creation of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal	130
7.2.1.2	The trial and judgment of the major war criminals (1945-1946)	131
7.2.1.3	Self-defence and the Major War Criminals' Trial	132
7.2.1.4	Interpretation of self-defence by the Nuremberg Tribunal	135
7.2.2	The Tokyo Tribunal	136
7.2.2.1	The trial and judgment of the Japanese war criminals (1946-1948)	136
7.2.2.2	Self-defence as interpreted by the Tokyo Tribunal.....	136
7.2.3	Importance of the Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals	138
7.3	The International Court of Justice and the <i>Corfu Channel</i> case.....	139
7.4	Concluding remarks	141
8	Self-defence in state-to-state conflicts	143
8.1	Introduction.....	143
8.2	The Jewish War of Independence (1948).....	143
8.3	The Korean War (1950)	145
8.4	The Sinai Campaign (1956)	146
8.5	The UK bombing of a Yemeni fort (1964)	147
8.6	The Gulf of Tonkin incident (1964).....	149
8.7	The Six-Day War (1967).....	150
8.8	The 'Yom Kippur War' (1973).....	153
8.9	The Iran-Iraq War (1980-1988)	154
8.10	The Falklands War (1982).....	157
8.11	US bombing of Libya (1986).....	160
8.12	The Persian Gulf War (1990-1991)	162
8.13	US missile attack against Iraqi intelligence headquarters (1993)	163
8.14	The South Ossetia War (2008)	164
8.15	Concluding remarks.....	168
9	Self-defence and weapons of mass destruction.....	171
9.1	Introduction.....	171
9.2	The Cuban Missile Crisis (1962)	172
9.3	The Israeli bombing of the Iraqi reactor (1981)	176
9.4	The <i>Nuclear Weapons</i> Advisory Opinion (1996).....	178
9.5	The War against Iraq (2003)	182
9.5.1	The 2003 Iraqi War – Setting the context.....	182
9.5.2	The 2003 Iraqi War – Disarmament and Security Council resolutions	184
9.5.3	The 2003 Iraqi War – 'Self-defence' against WMD	187
9.5.3.1	Necessity.....	188
9.5.3.1.1	Possession of WMD	188
9.5.3.1.2	The immediacy factor	190

9.5.3.2	Proportionality	192
9.6	Concluding remarks	192
10	Self-defence against non-state actors	195
10.1	Introduction	195
10.2	Israel and Arab militants	195
10.3	State practice in the 1960s-1980s	198
10.4	Terrorist attacks in the 1990s	206
	- The Kenya and Tanzania bombings	208
10.5	Terrorist attacks of the new millennium	211
10.5.1	The attack on the <i>USS Cole</i> (2000)	211
10.5.2	The attacks of 9/11 (2001)	213
10.5.2.1	Factual background	213
10.5.2.2	The role of the Security Council	215
10.5.2.3	The 9/11 attacks and the definition of ‘armed attack’	216
10.5.2.4	The responsibility of the Taliban regime for the 9/11 attacks	217
10.5.2.5	The legality of the US war in Afghanistan	218
10.5.3	The war against Iraq (2003)	220
10.5.4	Israeli invasion of Lebanon (2006)	222
10.5.5	Turkish incursion into Northern Iraq (2007-2008)	226
10.5.6	The Gaza crisis (2008-2009)	228
10.6	Concluding remarks	231
11	The interpretation of self-defence and the United Nations	233
11.1	Introduction	233
11.2	Collective self-defence and collective enforcement measures	233
11.3	The work of other United Nations bodies	236
11.3.1	The General Assembly of the United Nations	236
11.3.2	The work of the International Law Commission	238
11.3.2.1	Self-defence and ‘general international law’	239
11.3.2.2	Armed action against private groups as ‘state of necessity’	241
11.3.2.3	‘Preventive’ self-defence	242
11.3.2.4	Necessity and proportionality	242
11.4	The work of the International Court of Justice	244
11.4.1	The conditionality of an armed attack	244
11.4.2	Immediacy	248
11.4.3	Proportionality	248
11.4.4	The customary basis of self-defence	249
11.5	Concluding remarks	250
12	The temporal dimension of post-Charter self-defence	253
12.1	Temporal dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts	253
12.1.1	Anticipatory dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts	253
12.1.2	Remedial dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts	254
12.1.3	Circular dimension of self-defence in state-to-state conflicts	255
12.2	Temporal dimension of self-defence in conflicts involving WMD	256
12.3	Temporal dimension of self-defence in conflicts involving non-state actors	257
12.4	Concluding remarks	258
Part III	- Anticipatory Action in Self-Defence and International Customary Law	261
13.1	First research question - The legality of anticipatory action in self-defence	261
13.1.1	Findings of Part I	262
13.1.2	Findings of Part II	263
13.1.3	The legality of anticipatory action in self-defence in international law	264
13.2	Second research question – The limits of anticipatory action in self-defence	266

13.2.1	Findings of Part I.....	266
13.2.2	Findings of Part II	267
13.2.3	The limits of anticipatory action in self-defence under international law	267
13.2.3.1	Standard-type armed attacks	267
13.2.3.1.1	Necessity.....	268
	1) <i>Precluding an armed attack from occurring</i>	271
	2) <i>Precluding an armed attack from achieving its purpose</i>	273
	3) <i>Precluding an armed attack from maintaining its purpose</i>	274
	4) <i>Immediacy and time</i>	275
13.2.3.1.2	Proportionality	276
13.2.3.2	Hit-and-run tactics and the limits of self-defence	277
13.2.3.2.1	Necessity.....	278
13.2.3.2.2	Proportionality	282
13.2.4	Conclusions as to the limits of anticipatory action in self-defence	284
13.3	Self-Defence: What It Is and What It Is Not	284
	SUMMARY.....	287
	NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING.....	289
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	293
	TABLE OF DOCUMENTS.....	305
	TABLE OF CASES.....	311
	INDEX OF TOPICS.....	313