



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

**Herschel observations of the hydroxyl radical (OH) in young stellar objects**

Wampfler, S.F.; Herczeg, G.J.; Bruderer, S.; Benz, A.O.; van Dishoeck, E.F.; Kristensen, L.E.; Visser, R.; Doty, S.D.; Melchior, M.; van Kempen, T.A.; Yldz, U.A.; Dedes, C.; Goicoechea, J.R.; Baudry, A.; Melnick, G.; Bachiller, R.; Benedettini, M.; Bergin, E.; Bjerkeli, P.; Blake, G.A.; Bontemps, S.; Braine, J.; Caselli, P.; Cernicharo, J.; Codella, C.; Daniel, F.; Di Giorgio, A.M.; Dominik, C.; Encrenaz, P.; Fich, M.; Fuente, A.; Giannini, T.; de Graauw, T.; Helmich, F.; Herpin, F.; Hogerheijde, M.R.; Jacq, T.; Johnstone, D.; Jørgensen, J.K.; Larsson, B.; Lis, D.; Liseau, R.; Marseille, M.; McCoe, C.; Neufeld, D.; Nisini, B.; Olberg, M.; Parise, B.; Pearson, J.C.; Plume, R.; Risacher, C.; Santiago-García, J.; Saraceno, P.; Shipman, R.; Tafalla, M.; van der Tak, F.F.S.; Wyrowski, F.; Roelfsema, P.; Jellema, W.; Dieleman, P.; Caux, E.; Stutzki, J.

*Published in:*  
Astronomy & Astrophysics

*DOI:*  
[10.1051/0004-6361/201015112](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015112)

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Wampfler, S. F., Herczeg, G. J., Bruderer, S., Benz, A. O., van Dishoeck, E. F., Kristensen, L. E., ... Stutzki, J. (2010). Herschel observations of the hydroxyl radical (OH) in young stellar objects. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 521, L36. DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201015112

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

*UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<http://dare.uva.nl>)*

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## **Herschel observations of the hydroxyl radical (OH) in young stellar objects<sup>★,★★</sup>**

S. F. Wampfler<sup>1</sup>, G. J. Herczeg<sup>2</sup>, S. Bruderer<sup>1</sup>, A. O. Benz<sup>1</sup>, E. F. van Dishoeck<sup>2,3</sup>, L. E. Kristensen<sup>3</sup>, R. Visser<sup>3</sup>, S. D. Doty<sup>4</sup>, M. Melchior<sup>1,5</sup>, T. A. van Kempen<sup>6</sup>, U. A. Yıldız<sup>3</sup>, C. Dedes<sup>1</sup>, J. R. Goicoechea<sup>7</sup>, A. Baudry<sup>8</sup>, G. Melnick<sup>6</sup>, R. Bachiller<sup>9</sup>, M. Benedettini<sup>10</sup>, E. Bergin<sup>11</sup>, P. Bjerkeli<sup>12</sup>, G. A. Blake<sup>13</sup>, S. Bontemps<sup>8</sup>, J. Braine<sup>8</sup>, P. Caselli<sup>14,15</sup>, J. Cernicharo<sup>7</sup>, C. Codella<sup>15</sup>, F. Daniel<sup>7</sup>, A. M. di Giorgio<sup>10</sup>, C. Dominik<sup>16,17</sup>, P. Encrenaz<sup>18</sup>, M. Fich<sup>19</sup>, A. Fuente<sup>20</sup>, T. Giannini<sup>21</sup>, Th. de Graauw<sup>22</sup>, F. Helmich<sup>22</sup>, F. Herpin<sup>8</sup>, M. R. Hogerheijde<sup>3</sup>, T. Jacq<sup>8</sup>, D. Johnstone<sup>23,24</sup>, J. K. Jørgensen<sup>25</sup>, B. Larsson<sup>26</sup>, D. Lis<sup>27</sup>, R. Liseau<sup>12</sup>, M. Marseille<sup>22</sup>, C. Mc Coey<sup>19,28</sup>, D. Neufeld<sup>29</sup>, B. Nisini<sup>21</sup>, M. Olberg<sup>12</sup>, B. Parise<sup>30</sup>, J. C. Pearson<sup>31</sup>, R. Plume<sup>32</sup>, C. Risacher<sup>22</sup>, J. Santiago-García<sup>33</sup>, P. Saraceno<sup>10</sup>, R. Shipman<sup>22</sup>, M. Tafalla<sup>9</sup>, F. F. S. van der Tak<sup>22,34</sup>, F. Wyrowski<sup>30</sup>, P. Roelfsema<sup>22</sup>, W. Jellema<sup>22</sup>, P. Dieleman<sup>22</sup>, E. Caux<sup>35,36</sup>, and J. Stutzki<sup>37</sup>

(Affiliations are available on page 5 of the online edition)

Received 31 May 2010 / Accepted 12 July 2010

### ABSTRACT

**Aims.** “Water In Star-forming regions with *Herschel*” (WISH) is a *Herschel* key program investigating the water chemistry in young stellar objects (YSOs) during protostellar evolution. Hydroxyl (OH) is one of the reactants in the chemical network most closely linked to the formation and destruction of H<sub>2</sub>O. High-temperature ( $T \gtrsim 250$  K) chemistry connects OH and H<sub>2</sub>O through the  $\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  reactions. Formation of H<sub>2</sub>O from OH is efficient in the high-temperature regime found in shocks and the innermost part of protostellar envelopes. Moreover, in the presence of UV photons, OH can be produced from the photo-dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>O through  $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \gamma_{\text{UV}} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}$ .

**Methods.** High-resolution spectroscopy of the 163.12  $\mu\text{m}$  triplet of OH towards HH 46 and NGC 1333 IRAS 2A was carried out with the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far Infrared (HIFI) on board the *Herschel* Space Observatory. The low- and intermediate-mass protostars HH 46, TMR 1, IRAS 15398-3359, DK Cha, NGC 7129 FIRS 2, and NGC 1333 IRAS 2A were observed with the Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) on *Herschel* in four transitions of OH and two [OI] lines.

**Results.** The OH transitions at 79, 84, 119, and 163  $\mu\text{m}$  and [OI] emission at 63 and 145  $\mu\text{m}$  were detected with PACS towards the class I low-mass YSOs as well as the intermediate-mass and class I Herbig Ae sources. No OH emission was detected from the class 0 YSO NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, though the 119  $\mu\text{m}$  was detected in absorption. With HIFI, the 163.12  $\mu\text{m}$  was not detected from HH 46 and only tentatively detected from NGC 1333 IRAS 2A. The combination of the PACS and HIFI results for HH 46 constrains the line width ( $\text{FWHM} \gtrsim 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and indicates that the OH emission likely originates from shocked gas. This scenario is supported by trends of the OH flux increasing with the [OI] flux and the bolometric luminosity, as found in our sample. Similar OH line ratios for most sources suggest that OH has comparable excitation temperatures despite the different physical properties of the sources.

**Key words.** astrochemistry – stars: formation – ISM: molecules – ISM: jets and outflows – ISM: individual objects: HH 46

### 1. Introduction

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is a cornerstone species of the oxygen chemistry in dense clouds and is particularly important in the chemical reaction network of water. H<sub>2</sub>O and OH are closely linked through the  $\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  reactions. The formation path of H<sub>2</sub>O from OH is efficient at the high temperatures found in shocks or in the innermost parts of circumstellar envelopes (Kaufman & Neufeld 1996, Charnley 1997). Below about 250 K, standard gas-phase chemistry applies, in which H<sub>2</sub>O is formed and destroyed through ion-molecule reactions. In regions not completely shielded from UV radiation, photo-dissociation becomes a major destruction path of H<sub>2</sub>O, leaving OH as a byproduct. A better understanding of the OH emission will therefore help to constrain the water chemistry.

The observation of far-infrared (FIR) rotational OH lines by ground based facilities is severely limited by the Earth’s atmosphere. Previous studies of OH FIR emission with the ISO showed that OH is one of the major molecular coolants in star-forming regions (e.g. Giannini et al. 2001). However, with a large beam of 80”, ISO was unable to resolve the central source from the outflows, preventing an assessment of the origin of the OH emission. Interpretation of the ISO OH measurements thus relied mostly on the assumption that the OH emission arises from gas with the same temperature and density as the high-J CO FIR emission (e.g. Nisini et al. 1999, Ceccarelli et al. 1998). The *Herschel* Space Observatory permits observations of OH FIR transitions at both higher angular and spectral resolution and at higher sensitivity than ISO.

Observations of H<sub>2</sub>O, OH and related species towards a large set of young stellar objects over a wide range of luminosities and masses are being carried out in the ‘Water In Star-forming regions with *Herschel*’ (WISH) key program to trace the water chemistry during protostellar evolution (van Dishoeck et al. in prep). OH emission at 163.12  $\mu\text{m}$  (1837.8 GHz) was detected with PACS towards the class I YSO HH 46

\* *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

\*\* Appendices (page 6) are only available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>

(van Kempen et al. 2010b), but the triplet, split by 90 MHz, could not be resolved. Based on modeling results, the OH emission was attributed to a  $J$ -type shock and not to the quiescent envelope. We carried out high-resolution spectroscopy with HIFI to test whether the detected OH emission is dominated by shock contribution by resolving the line profiles. This paper presents the HIFI observations in HH 46 as well as the class 0 object NGC 1333 IRAS 2A. New PACS observations of OH and [O I] are reported for the low-mass protostars IRAS 15398-3359, NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, and TMR 1.

## 2. Observations and data reduction

Because of spin-orbit interaction, the OH rotational levels are built within two ladders,  $^2\Pi_{3/2}$  and  $^2\Pi_{1/2}$  (Offer & van Dishoeck 1992). Each level is further split by  $\Lambda$  doubling and hyperfine structure. A level diagram can be found in Fig. C.1 in the appendix. We use the molecular data from the Leiden atomic and molecular database LAMDA<sup>1</sup> (Schöier et al. 2005).


High-resolution observations of the OH triplet at  $163.12\ \mu\text{m}$  (1837.747, 1837.817 and 1837.837 GHz) were performed with the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far-Infrared (HIFI, de Graauw et al. 2010) on board the ESA *Herschel* Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) towards HH 46 and NGC 1333 IRAS 2A. HIFI data were stitched together using the *Herschel* interactive processing environment (HIPE v3.0.1, Ott 2010) and further analyzed using GILDAS-CLASS<sup>2</sup> software. We removed standing waves after the subtraction of a low-order polynomial and calibrated to  $T_{\text{mb}}$  scale using a main beam efficiency of 0.74. The H and V polarizations were combined.

HH 46, TMR 1, IRAS 15398-3359, and NGC 7129 FIRS 2 were observed with PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) in line spectroscopy mode around four OH doublets at 79, 84, 119, and  $163\ \mu\text{m}$ . The [O I] 63 and  $145.5\ \mu\text{m}$  lines were also observed except for TMR 1. Each segment at  $\lambda < 100\ \mu\text{m}$  and  $\lambda > 100\ \mu\text{m}$  covers 1 and  $2\ \mu\text{m}$  at  $R \sim 3000$  and  $R \sim 1500$ , respectively. In addition, DK Cha and NGC 1333 IRAS 2A were observed with PACS from 55–210  $\mu\text{m}$  at  $R \sim 1000$  in range spectroscopy mode. Details of the PACS observations of HH 46, NGC 7129 FIRS 2, and DK Cha are described in van Kempen et al. (2010b), Fich et al. (2010), and van Kempen et al. (2010a), respectively. All spectra were reduced with HIPE v2.9. PACS spectra are recorded in a  $5 \times 5$  array of  $9''.4$  square spatial pixels (spaxels). The observations of IRAS 15398-3359, TMR 1, NGC1333 IRAS 2A, and DK Cha were mispointed sufficiently in a way that the peak of the continuum emission differs from the central spaxel.

The line and continuum emission seen by PACS is spatially extended in most cases. Spectra were extracted from the spaxels that include OH emission. The wavelength-dependent point-spread function was roughly corrected by comparing the amount of continuum emission in the summed spaxels to that in the total array. Most integrated line intensities were derived from Gaussian fits to the unresolved lines. In a few cases, the OH doublets could not be resolved and the intensities were then derived by simple integration over the spectrum. The absolute flux calibration below and above  $100\ \mu\text{m}$  was separately determined from in-flight observations of (point) calibration sources. The relative spectral response function within each band was determined from ground calibration prior to launch. The uncertainty in absolute and relative fluxes is estimated to be 30–50%. Additional details on the observations can be found in the appendix.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.strw.leidenuniv.nl/~moldata/>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS>



**Fig. 1.** HIFI spectrum at  $163.12\ \mu\text{m}$  ( $1837.8\ \text{GHz}$ ) towards the low-mass YSO HH 46 ( $v_{\text{lsr}} = 5.2\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ ). OH lines were not detected in 34 min of on source integration time (polarizations combined).

## 3. Results

HIFI did not detect the  $163.12\ \mu\text{m}$  OH hyperfine triplet at the noise level of  $T_{\text{rms}} \approx 70\ \text{mK}$  on  $T_{\text{mb}}$  scale for the nominal WBS resolution of about  $1.1\ \text{MHz}$  ( $0.163\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ ). The spectrum is presented in Fig. 1. Combining the HIFI and PACS observations constrains the line width (see Sect. 4).

PACS detected OH emission at 79, 84, 119, and  $163\ \mu\text{m}$  towards the class I sources HH 46, TMR 1 and IRAS 15398-3359 as well as the class I Herbig Ae star DK Cha and the intermediate-mass source NGC 7129 FIRS 2 (Fig. 2). An exception is the  $163.40\ \mu\text{m}$  line of HH 46, where we only have an upper limit because of the uncertain baseline towards the end of the spectral window where the line is located. The [O I]  $63\ \mu\text{m}$  and  $145\ \mu\text{m}$  lines were also detected. An overview of the results is given in Table 1. The  $1\sigma$  errors listed in Table 1 do not include the systematic error of 30–50% from uncertainty in the calibration. Because of blending with CO(31–30), the  $84.42\ \mu\text{m}$  doublet component of OH is not listed. For the analysis, we assumed the flux to be identical to the  $84.60\ \mu\text{m}$  OH flux because all observed doublets show comparable fluxes of the components within the calibration uncertainty.

The only class 0 YSO in the sample, NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, is fundamentally different from all other sources in the sample. The OH  $119\ \mu\text{m}$  doublet is seen in absorption, with an equivalent width of about  $7.5\ \text{km s}^{-1}$  for each component. No other OH lines were detected at the noise level obtained after removal of the fringing effects. However, the upper limits are larger than the fluxes detected from the class I sources. On the other hand, the upper limit on the [O I]  $63\ \mu\text{m}$  emission is at least a factor of four lower than the weakest [O I]  $63\ \mu\text{m}$  line found in our sample.


## 4. Analysis

To constrain the line width of the  $163.12\ \mu\text{m}$  OH triplet from HH 46, the HIFI non-detection is combined with the flux ( $22 \times 10^{-18}\ \text{W m}^{-2}$ ) of the unresolved lines measured with the high-sensitivity PACS instrument. The expected integrated intensity for HIFI is  $\sim 1.1\ \text{K km s}^{-1}$  for the triplet in total, as calculated from the PACS observation. This value was derived for a  $13''$  beam under the assumption that the source is unresolved, because the OH emission from HH 46 appears to be centrally concentrated (van Kempen et al. 2010b). Assuming LTE ratios ( $\sim A_{ul}g_u$ ) for the three components, the strongest transition has an integrated intensity of  $0.66\ \text{K km s}^{-1}$ . An upper limit on the integrated intensity is calculated from the HIFI observation using

**Table 1.** OH and [OI] line fluxes observed with PACS.

Transition	$\lambda$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	$\nu$ [GHz]	$E_{\text{up}}$ [K]	HH 46	TMR 1	IRAS 15398	DK Cha	NGC 7129	N 1333 I 2A
				Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]	Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]	Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]	Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]	Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]	Flux [ $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ]
OH $1_1-0_0$	79.12	3789.3	181.9	$55 \pm 7$	$128 \pm 14$	$194 \pm 29^a$	$360 \pm 87$	$229 \pm 33$	<350
OH $1_2-0_0$	79.18	3786.3	181.7	$38 \pm 5$	$102 \pm 14$	— <sup>a</sup>	$315 \pm 58$	$230 \pm 39$	—
OH $2_1-1_0$	84.60	3543.8	290.5	$87 \pm 6$	$170 \pm 18$	$93 \pm 16$	$347 \pm 48$	$181 \pm 28$	<165
OH $2_2-1_0$	119.23	2514.3	120.7	$38 \pm 9$	$83 \pm 10$	$121 \pm 17$	$95 \pm 50^a$	$132 \pm 39$	absorption
OH $2_3-1_0$	119.44	2510.0	120.5	$44 \pm 7$	$101 \pm 11$	$130 \pm 17$	— <sup>a</sup>	$134 \pm 59$	absorption
OH $3_1-2_0$	163.12	1837.8	270.1	$22 \pm 4$	$56 \pm 8$	$47 \pm 9$	$170 \pm 60^a$	$116 \pm 45$	<100
OH $3_2-2_0$	163.40	1834.7	269.8	<27	$55 \pm 7$	$54 \pm 10$	— <sup>a</sup>	$69 \pm 26$	—
[OI] $^3P_1-^3P_2$	63.18	4744.8	227.7	$1260 \pm 54$	—	$1958 \pm 197$	$3128 \pm 333$	$1219 \pm 130$	<320
[OI] $^3P_0-^3P_2$	145.53	2060.1	326.6	$82 \pm 8$	—	$122 \pm 17$	$170 \pm 72$	$104 \pm 35$	<180


**Notes.** <sup>a</sup> Doublet not resolved. Table lists the integrated flux over both components.



**Fig. 2.** PACS spectra of the observed OH doublets at 79, 84, 119, and 163  $\mu\text{m}$ . Sources in the *top panel* were observed in line spectroscopy mode, those in the *lower panel* in range spectroscopy mode (different sampling). Dashed vertical lines indicate the OH frequencies, dotted lines show the position of CO lines.

$\sigma = 1.3 \sqrt{\delta\nu \Delta\nu} T_{\text{rms}}$  with  $\delta\nu$  the velocity resolution,  $\Delta\nu$  the expected line width and an assumed 30% calibration uncertainty. Rebinning the spectrum to a resolution of 16 MHz ( $2.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) yields an rms of 31 mK and would therefore allow  $5\sigma$  ( $3\sigma$ ) detections for Gaussian lines with  $FWHM \leq 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  ( $FWHM \leq 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). From the non-detection we conclude that the flux observed with PACS is likely to be emitted from lines with  $FWHM \geq 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For comparison, the  $FWHM$  of the  $\text{H}_2\text{O } 1_{10-1_{01}}$  transition derived from recent unpublished HIFI observations is about  $16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The  $\text{CO}(6-5)$  and  $\text{CO}(7-6)$  lines observed with APEX by van Kempen et al. (2009a) are narrower. In a similar HIFI observation, OH emission was tentatively detected below the  $5\sigma$  level for the strongest triplet component in NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, in agreement with the upper limits on OH emission in the PACS observation of the same source. Given the uncertain baseline, this needs to be treated with caution.

Figure 3 compares the OH fluxes from YSOs as measured here with PACS and in ISO observations of two additional sources, Ser SMM1 (Larsson et al. 2002) and NGC 1333 IRAS 4 (Giannini et al. 2001). Despite the wide range of luminosities and masses covered in our sample, the sources show surprisingly similar characteristics in terms of their OH emission: the OH 84  $\mu\text{m}$  doublet is generally the strongest of the four, while the integrated intensity of the weakest doublet at 163  $\mu\text{m}$  is roughly a factor of three lower. The 119  $\mu\text{m}$  flux can vary compared to higher excitation lines because this line, which is connected to the ground state level, becomes more easily optically thick. It can also be affected by absorption against the continuum by cold gas layers lying in front of the source. The 79  $\mu\text{m}$  doublet links the lowest energy states of both rotational ladders, but because of the smaller Einstein A coefficients of the cross-ladder transitions, these lines are less affected by optical depth than the



**Fig. 3.** Observed OH line fluxes from PACS plotted versus the upper level energy of the transition. Ser SMM 1 and NGC 1333 IRAS 4 measured with ISO are included for comparison. Symbols correspond to different OH transitions: 79  $\mu\text{m}$  as diamond, 84  $\mu\text{m}$  as triangle, 119  $\mu\text{m}$  as circle, and 163  $\mu\text{m}$  as square. Fluxes of the doublet components are summed. The 84.60  $\mu\text{m}$  flux multiplied by two is used for the 84  $\mu\text{m}$  doublet because the 84.42  $\mu\text{m}$  line is blended with  $\text{CO}(31-30)$ .


119  $\mu\text{m}$  lines. The 79  $\mu\text{m}$  fluxes are lower than those at 84  $\mu\text{m}$  for most sources, but higher than at 119  $\mu\text{m}$ .

Flux ratios of the observed OH lines were calculated from the summed doublet components to compare the excitation of the involved energy levels among the sources. The line ratios of different sources agree within a factor of two except when the 119  $\mu\text{m}$  transition is involved (Fig. B.1 in the appendix) and are consistent with the results from ISO observations from the class 0 sources NGC 1333 IRAS 4 and Ser SMM1.


Emission in the 84  $\mu\text{m}$  transition, which has  $E_{\text{up}}/k_B \approx 300 \text{ K}$ , indicates that OH is tracing the warm ( $T \geq 100 \text{ K}$ ) and dense ( $n \geq 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) gas in our sources. Modeling results show that transitions in the  $^2\Pi_{3/2}$  ladder are mostly excited by collisions while the population of the  $^2\Pi_{1/2}$  levels is dominated by radiative pumping via the cross-ladder transitions. The weak 163  $\mu\text{m}$  lines and emission at 79  $\mu\text{m}$  indicate that FIR pumping is less important than collisional excitation in our sources.

Figure 4 shows the trend of stronger OH emission with increasing bolometric source luminosity  $L_{\text{bol}}$  found in our source sample. We calculated the OH line luminosity  $L_{\text{OH}}$  individually for each transition and source from the observed fluxes and found indications that the differences between the sources may depend on the individual  $L_{\text{bol}}$ . The correlation between  $L_{\text{OH}}$  and  $L_{\text{bol}}$  is reminiscent of that found for the CO outflow force with luminosity (Bontemps et al. 1996). The latter relation was taken as evidence that more massive envelopes have higher accretion rates and thus drive more powerful outflows. van Kempen et al. (2010b) speculate that the OH emission originates in the wake of the jet impinging on the dense, inner parts of the envelope, creating dissociative shocks in which [OI] is the dominant coolant, followed by OH (Neufeld & Dalgarno 1989). The relation between OH emission and luminosity supports this scenario.





**Fig. 4.** Dependence of OH line luminosity on the bolometric luminosity of the source. Symbols and colors as in Fig. 3.



**Fig. 5.** Observed OH fluxes plotted against [OI] 63  $\mu\text{m}$  flux (left panel) and 145  $\mu\text{m}$  flux (right panel). Symbols and colors as in Fig. 3.

Comparison of OH with [OI] emission shows that stronger OH emission coincides with higher [OI] intensities (Fig. 5) and also with increasing [OI] 63/145  $\mu\text{m}$  line flux ratios. For HH 46, TMR 1, and NGC 7129 FIRS 2, the bulk of the [OI] and OH emission comes from close to the protostar where densities are on the order of  $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  or higher, as illustrated by the lack of extended OH emission in HH 46 (van Kempen et al. 2010b). Some spatially extended OH emission is detected from IRAS 15398-3359 and DK Cha, and is highly correlated with the spatial extent of [OI] emission. The correlation between the intensities (Fig. 5) suggests that the bulk of [OI] and OH emission originates in the same physical component in all sources. This argument, together with the OH –  $L_{\text{bol}}$  and OH – [OI] relations, supports the dissociative shock scenario. The [OI] 63  $\mu\text{m}$ /145  $\mu\text{m}$  line ratios are in the range of 13–19, also consistent with fast, dissociative J-type shocks ( $v > 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Neufeld & Dalgarno 1989). Note that an OH – [OI] relation can also be indicative of photo-dissociation, as argued for Sgr B2 by Goicoechea et al. (2004). Models of photon-dominated regions (Kaufman et al. 1999) predict similar [OI] 63  $\mu\text{m}$ /145  $\mu\text{m}$  line ratios, but those require  $n < 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , which is inconsistent with an origin in the inner, dense envelope.

## 5. Conclusions

The OH hyperfine transition triplet at 163.12  $\mu\text{m}$  (1837.8 GHz) was not detected above the noise level obtained with HIFI. Combined with the flux derived from the unresolved line observed with PACS, this constrains the line width to  $FWHM \geq 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This width is much broader than expected for the quiescent envelope from ground-based observations (van Kempen et al. 2009a) and indicates that the observed OH emission most likely stems from shocked gas in HH 46.

*Herschel* PACS observations of OH lines at 79, 84, 119, and 163  $\mu\text{m}$  have been carried out for four low-mass YSOs, one intermediate-mass protostar and one class I Herbig Ae object. OH emission is detected in all sources except the class 0 YSO NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, where the OH 119  $\mu\text{m}$  transitions are observed in absorption and only upper limits can be derived for the other lines. Sources with detected OH emission show

surprisingly similar OH line ratios despite the large ranges of physical properties covered in this study, suggesting that OH emission might arise from gas at similar conditions in all sources. Furthermore, we find trends of correlations between OH integrated intensities and [OI] emission as well as bolometric luminosity, consistent with an origin in the wake of dissociative shocks. Given the low number of sources in the sample, confirmation from additional observations is needed. In a similar HIFI observation, OH emission was tentatively detected below the  $5\sigma$  level for the strongest triplet component in NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, in agreement with the upper limits on OH emission in the PACS observation of the same source. Given the uncertain baseline, this needs to be treated with caution. Further OH observations and modeling should eventually allow the determination of the OH/H<sub>2</sub>O abundance ratio in shocks, which traces the UV field through its dependence on the fraction of atomic to molecular hydrogen.

*Acknowledgements.* The work on star formation at ETH Zurich is partially funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant nr. 200020-113556). This program is made possible thanks to the Swiss HIFI guaranteed time program. HIFI has been designed and built by a consortium of institutes and university departments from across Europe, Canada and the United States under the leadership of SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Groningen, The Netherlands and with major contributions from Germany, France and the US. Consortium members are: Canada: CSA, U. Waterloo; France: CESR, LAB, LERMA, IRAM; Germany: KOSMA, MPIfR, MPS; Ireland: NUI Maynooth; Italy: ASI, IFSI-INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri-INAF; Netherlands: SRON, TUD; Poland: CAMK, CBK; Spain: Observatorio Astronómico Nacional (IGN), Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA). Sweden: Chalmers University of Technology – MC2, RSS & GARD; Onsala Space Observatory; Swedish National Space Board, Stockholm University – Stockholm Observatory; Switzerland: ETH Zurich, FHNW; USA: Caltech, JPL, NHSC.

## References

- Bontemps, S., Andre, P., Terebey, S., & Cabrit, S. 1996, *A&A*, 311, 858  
 Ceccarelli, C., Caux, E., White, G. J., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 331, 372  
 Charley, S. B. 1997, *ApJ*, 481, 396  
 Crimier, N., Ceccarelli, C., Alonso-Albi, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 516, A102  
 de Graauw, Th., Helmich, F. P., Phillips, T. G., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L6  
 Dzib, S., Loinard, L., Mioduszewski, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 718, 610  
 Fich, M., Johnstone, D., van Kempen, T. A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L86  
 Froebrich, D. 2005, *ApJS*, 156, 169  
 Giannini, T., Nisini, B., & Lorenzetti, D. 2001, *ApJ*, 555, 40  
 Goicoechea, J. R., Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., & Cernicharo, J. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, 214  
 Heathcote, S., Morse, J. A., Hartigan, P., et al. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1141  
 Hirota, T., Bushimata, T., Choi, Y. K., et al. 2008, *PASJ*, 60, 37  
 Hogerheijde, M. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al., 1999, *ApJ*, 513, 350  
 Johnstone, D., Fich, M., M<sup>c</sup>Coey, C., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 521, L41  
 Jørgensen, J. K., Schöier, F. L., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2002, *A&A*, 389, 908  
 Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E. F., Visser, R., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 507, 861  
 Kaufman, M. J., & Neufeld, D. A. 1996, *ApJ*, 456, 611  
 Kaufman, M. J., Wolfire, M. G., Hollenbach, D. J., & Luhman, M. L. 1999, *ApJ*, 527, 795  
 Larsson, B., Liseau, R., & Men'shchikov, A. B. 2002, *A&A*, 386, 1055  
 Murphy, D. C., Cohen, R., & May, J. 1986, *A&A*, 167, 234  
 Motte, F., Andre, P., & Neri, R. 1998, *A&A*, 336, 150  
 Neufeld, D. A., & Dalgarno, A. 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 251  
 Nisini, B., Benedettini, M., Giannini, T., et al. 1999, *A&A*, 350, 529  
 Ohashi, N., Hayashi, M., Kawabe, R., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 317  
 Offer, A. R., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1992, *MNRAS*, 257, 377  
 Ott, S. 2010, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIX*, ed. Y. Mizumoto, K.-I. Morita, & M. Ohishi, ASP Conf. Ser., in press  
 Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L1  
 Poglitsch, A., Waelkens, C., Geis, N., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L2  
 Schöier, F. L., van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 2005, *A&A*, 432, 369  
 Shevchenko, V. S., & Yakubov, S. D. 1989, *SvA*, 33, 370  
 van Kempen, T. A., van Dishoeck, E. F., Güsten, R., et al. 2009a, *A&A*, 501, 633  
 van Kempen, T. A., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2009b, *A&A*, 508, 259  
 van Kempen, T. A., Green, J. D., Evans, N. J., et al. 2010a, *A&A*, 518, L128  
 van Kempen, T. A., Kristensen, L. E., et al. 2010b, *A&A*, 518, L121  
 Whittet, D. C. B., Prusti, T., Franco, G. A. P., et al. 1997, *A&A*, 327, 1194

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Institute for Astronomy, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland  
e-mail: wsusanne@astro.phys.ethz.ch
- <sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Denison University, Granville, OH, 43023, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Institute of 4D Technologies, University of Applied Sciences NW, 5210 Windisch, Switzerland
- <sup>6</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, MS 42, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Centro de Astrobiología. Departamento de Astrofísica. CSIC-INTA. Carretera de Ajalvir, Km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>8</sup> Université de Bordeaux, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux, France; CNRS/INSU, UMR 5804, Floirac, France
- <sup>9</sup> Observatorio Astronómico Nacional (IGN), Calle Alfonso XII 3, 28014 Madrid, Spain
- <sup>10</sup> INAF - Istituto di Fisica dello Spazio Interplanetario, Area di Ricerca di Tor Vergata, via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Roma, Italy
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Astronomy, The University of Michigan, 500 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1042, USA
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Onsala Space Observatory, 439 92 Onsala, Sweden
- <sup>13</sup> California Institute of Technology, Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, MS 150-21, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- <sup>14</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
- <sup>15</sup> INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy
- <sup>16</sup> Astronomical Institute Anton Pannekoek, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>17</sup> Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>18</sup> LERMA and UMR 8112 du CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, 61 Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France
- <sup>19</sup> University of Waterloo, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
- <sup>20</sup> Observatorio Astronómico Nacional, Apartado 112, 28803 Alcalá de Henares, Spain
- <sup>21</sup> INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, 00040 Monte Porzio catone, Italy
- <sup>22</sup> SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, PO Box 800, 9700 AV, Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>23</sup> National Research Council Canada, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, 5071 West Saanich Road, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada
- <sup>24</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8P 1A1, Canada
- <sup>25</sup> Centre for Star and Planet Formation, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, 1350 Copenhagen K., Denmark
- <sup>26</sup> Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>27</sup> California Institute of Technology, Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, MS 301-17, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- <sup>28</sup> The University of Western Ontario, Department of Physics and Astronomy, London, Ontario, N6A 3K7, Canada
- <sup>29</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- <sup>30</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany
- <sup>31</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- <sup>32</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Calgary, T2N 1N4, AB, Canada
- <sup>33</sup> Instituto de Radioastronomía Milimétrica (IRAM), Avenida Divina Pastora 7, Núcleo Central, 18012 Granada, Spain
- <sup>34</sup> Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, 9700 AV, Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>35</sup> Centre d'Étude Spatiale des Rayonnements, Université de Toulouse [UPS], 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
- <sup>36</sup> CNRS/INSU, UMR 5187, 9 avenue du Colonel Roche, 31028 Toulouse Cedex 4, France
- <sup>37</sup> KOSMA, I. Physik. Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

## Appendix A: Observational details


Table A.1 lists the coordinates, observing dates, and the observation identity numbers of our sources along with the assumed distance, the bolometric luminosity, the envelope mass and the source type. For comparison, Ser SMM1 and NGC 1333 IRAS 4 observed with ISO are included as well. For Ser SMM1, we use the average values of the fluxes presented by Larsson et al. (2002). The data for NGC 1333 IRAS 4 are taken from Giannini et al. (2001). Note that for NGC 1333 IRAS 4, we use the luminosity and mass of NGC 1333 IRAS 4A.

**Table A.1.** Source properties and observational details.

Source	Distance [pc]	Luminosity [ $L_{\odot}$ ]	Envelope Mass [ $M_{\odot}$ ]	Type	RA [h m s]	Dec [ $^{\circ}$ ' '' ]	Obs. Date	Obs.id
HH 46	450 <sup>a</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	Class I	08:25:43.9	-51:00:36	2009-10-26	1342186315 (PACS)
TMR 1	140 <sup>c</sup>	3.7 <sup>d</sup>	0.12 <sup>e</sup>	Class I	04:39:13.7	+25:53:21	2010-04-17	1342194783 (HIFI)
IRAS 15398-3359	130 <sup>f</sup>	0.92 <sup>g</sup>	0.5 <sup>h</sup>	Class I	15:43:01.3	-34:09:15	2010-03-29	1342192984 (PACS)
DK Cha	178 <sup>i</sup>	29.4 <sup>j</sup>	0.03 <sup>j</sup>	Herbig Ae	12:53:17.2	-77:07:10.6	2010-02-27	1342191302 (PACS)
NGC 7129 FIRS 2	1260 <sup>k</sup>	500 <sup>l</sup>	50 <sup>m</sup>	Intermed.-Mass	21:43:01.7	+66:03:23.6	2009-12-10	1342188039 (PACS)
NGC 1333 I 2A	235 <sup>n</sup>	20 <sup>o</sup>	1.0 <sup>o</sup>	Class 0	03:28:55.6	+31:14:37	2009-12-10	1342188040 (PACS)
							2009-10-26	1342186321 (PACS)
							2010-02-13	1342190686 (PACS)
							2010-02-24	1342191149 (PACS)
							2010-03-08	1342191773 (HIFI)
Serpens SMM1	415 <sup>p</sup>	82.9 <sup>q</sup>	8.7 <sup>q</sup>	Class 0			–	ISO <sup>r</sup>
NGC 1333 I 4	235 <sup>n</sup>	5.8 <sup>o</sup>	4.5 <sup>o</sup>	Class 0			–	ISO <sup>s</sup>


**Notes.** (a) Heathcote et al. (1996); (b) van Kempen et al. (2009a); (c) Motte et al. (1998); (d) Ohashi et al. (1996); (e) Jørgensen et al. (2002); (f) Murphy et al. (1986); (g) Froebrich (2005); (h) van Kempen et al. (2009b); (i) Whittet et al. (1997); (j) van Kempen et al. (2010a); (k) Shevchenko & Yakubov (1989); (l) Johnstone et al. (2010); (m) Crimier et al. (2010); (n) Hirota et al. (2008); (o) Jørgensen et al. (2009); (p) Dzib et al. (2010); (q) Hogerheijde et al. (1999) ( $L_{\text{bol}}$  scaled to a distance of 415 pc); (r) Larsson et al. (2002); (s) Giannini et al. (2001).

## Appendix B: OH line ratios



**Fig. B.1.** Ratios of the observed OH fluxes. The numbers denote the corresponding wavelengths. The symbols are: circle for HH 46, upward triangle for TMR 1, downward triangle for IRAS 15398-3359, squares for NGC 7129 FIRS 2, plus signs for DK Cha, crosses for Ser SMM1 and diamonds for NGC 1333 IRAS 4. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 3.

## Appendix C: OH term diagram



**Fig. C.1.** Level diagram of the lowest excited states of OH up to  $E_{\text{up}} \approx 300$  K. Splitting of the levels because of  $\Lambda$ -doubling and hyperfine structure is not to scale. Transitions observed with PACS are shown in green, the high-resolution observations of the hyperfine transitions carried out with HIFI in red.