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ABSTRACT

Herschel/HIFI observations of high-J lines (up to $J_e = 10$) of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO and $^{18}$O are presented toward three deeply embedded low-mass protostars, NGC 1333 IRAS 2A, IRAS 4A, and IRAS 4B, obtained as part of the Water In Star-forming regions with Herschel (WISH) key program. The spectrally-resolved HIFI data are complemented by ground-based observations of lower-J CO and isotopologue lines. The $^{12}$CO 10–9 profiles are dominated by broad ($FWHM \sim 25–30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) emission. Radiative transfer models are used to constrain the temperature of this shocked gas to 100–200 K. Several CO and $^{13}$CO line profiles also reveal a medium-broad component ($FWHM \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), seen prominently in H$_2$O lines. Column densities for both components are presented, providing a reference for determining abundances of other molecules in the same gas. The narrow $^{18}$O 9–8 lines probe the warmer part of the quiescent envelope. Their intensities require a jump in the CO abundance at an evaporation temperature around 25 K, thus providing new direct evidence for a CO ice evaporation zone around low-mass protostars.
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1. Introduction

The earliest protostellar phase just after cloud collapse – the so-called Class 0 phase – is best studied at mid-infrared and longer wavelengths (André et al. 2000). To understand the physical and chemical evolution of low-mass protostars, in particular the relative importance of radiative heating and shocks in their energy budget, observations are required that can separate these components. The advent of the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far Infrared (HIFI) on Herschel opens up the possibility to obtain spectrally resolved data from higher-frequency lines that are sensitive to gas temperatures up to several hundred Kelvin.

Because of its high abundance and strong lines, CO is the primary molecule to probe the various components of protostellar systems (envelope, outflow, disk). The main advantage of CO compared with other molecules (including water) is that its chemistry is simple, with most carbon locked up in CO in its chemistry is simple, with most carbon locked up in CO in its freeze-out zone is much smaller than that of water. Most ground-based observations of CO and its isotopologues have been limited to the lowest rotational lines originating from levels up to 35 K. The ISO has detected strong far-infrared CO lines up to $J_e = 29$ from Class 0 sources (Giannini et al. 2001) but the emission is spatially unresolved in the large $80''$ beam. ISO also lacked the spectral resolution needed to separate the shocked and quiescent gas or to detect intrinsically-weaker $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O lines on top of the strong continuum.

The NGC 1333 region in Perseus ($d = 235 \text{ pc}$; Hirota et al. 2008) contains several deeply embedded Class 0 sources within a $\sim 1 \text{ pc}$ region driving powerful outflows (e.g., Liseau et al. 1988; Hatchell & Fuller 2008). The protostars IRAS 4A and 4B, separated by $\sim 31''$, and IRAS 2A are prominent submillimeter continuum sources (luminosities of $5.8, 3.8$ and $20 \text{ M}_\odot$, respectively (Sandell et al. 1991; Jørgensen et al. 2009). All three are among the brightest and best studied low-mass sources in terms of molecular lines, with several complex molecules detected (e.g., Blake et al. 1995; Bottinelli et al. 2007). Here HIFI data of CO and its isotopologues are presented for these three sources and used to quantify the different physical components. In an accompanying letter, Kristensen et al. (2010) present complementary HIFI observations of H$_2$O and analyze CO/H$_2$O abundance ratios.

2. Observations and results

The NGC 1333 data were obtained with HIFI (de Graauw et al. 2010) onboard the Herschel Space Observatory.
Table 1. Overview of the observations of IRAS 2A, 4A, and 4B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mol. Trans.</th>
<th>E_r/k_B (K)</th>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Tel./Inst.</th>
<th>Beam size (″)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>230.398</td>
<td>JCMT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>230.398</td>
<td>JCMT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C^{18}O</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>230.398</td>
<td>JCMT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (1) Jørgensen et al. (2002); (2) JCMT archive; (3) Yildiz et al. (in prep.); (4) this work.

Table 2. Observed line intensities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Mol.</th>
<th>Trans.</th>
<th>(\int T_R dv) (K km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(T_{peak}) (K)</th>
<th>rms (\mu) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 2A</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2–1</td>
<td>117.2 ± 18.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4–3</td>
<td>221.1 ± 23.3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6–5</td>
<td>121.9 ± 13.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–9</td>
<td>35.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO</td>
<td>C^{18}O</td>
<td>2–1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C^{18}O</td>
<td></td>
<td>5–4</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9–8</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 4B</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2–1</td>
<td>54.8 ± 13.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4–3</td>
<td>115.2 ± 14.6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6–5</td>
<td>43.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–9</td>
<td>26.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO</td>
<td>C^{18}O</td>
<td>2–1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C^{18}O</td>
<td></td>
<td>5–4</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9–8</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (1) In 0.5 km s\(^{-1}\) bins. The observed line profiles are presented in Fig. 1 and the corresponding line intensities in Table 2. For the 12CO 10–9 toward IRAS 2A, the emission from the blue line wing was chopped out due to emission at the reference position located in the blue part of the SVS 13 outflow. A Gaussian fitted to the red component of the line was used to obtain the integrated intensity. Kristensen et al. (2010) identify three components in the H2O line profiles centered close to the source velocities: a broad underlying emission profile (Gaussian with \(FWHM \sim 25–30\) km s\(^{-1}\)), a medium-broad emission profile (\(FWHM \sim 5–10\) km s\(^{-1}\)), and narrow self-absorption lines (\(FWHM \sim 2–3\) km s\(^{-1}\)) that are observed in the 12CO 10–9 lines of IRAS 4A and 4B and is also apparent in the deep 12CO 6–5 spectrum of IRAS 2A (Fig. 2). The medium component is best seen in the 12CO 10–9–10 profiles of IRAS 4A and 4B and as the red wing of the 12CO 10–9–10 profile for IRAS 2A. A blow-up of the very high S/N spectrum of C^{18}O 5–4 for IRAS 4A (insert in Fig. 1) also reveals a weak C^{18}O medium-broad profile. The narrow component is clearly observed in C^{18}O emission and 12CO low-J self-absorption. Kristensen et al. (2010) interpret the broad component as shocked gas along the outflow cavity walls, the medium component as smaller-scale shocks created by the outflow in the inner (~1000 AU) dense envelope, and the narrow component as the quiescent envelope, respectively.

3. Analysis and discussion

3.1. Broad and medium components: shocked gas

To quantify the physical properties of the broad outflow component, line ratios are determined for the wings of the line profiles. Figure 2 shows the CO 6–5/CO 10–9 ratio as a function of...
The red lines correspond to the source velocities as obtained from the broad and medium components, and spectra of $^{12}\text{CO}$, $^{13}\text{CO}$, and C$^{18}\text{O}$. The broad 12CO 10–9 lines are optically thin. Total CO column density after subtracting a Gaussian fit to the narrow line.

The narrow width of the C$^{18}$O emission clearly indicates an origin in the quiescent envelope. Naively, one would associate emission coming from a level with $E_u/k_B = 237$ K (9–8) with the warm gas in the innermost part of the envelope. To test this hypothesis, a series of envelope models was run with varying CO abundance profiles. The models were constructed assuming a power-law density structure and then calculating the temperature structure by fitting both the far-infrared spectral energy distribution and the submillimeter spatial extent (Jørgensen et al. 2002). Figure 3 compares the fractional line intensities for the CO 6–5 spectrum as $6 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. Using CO/H$_2 = 10^{-4}$ gives the H$_2$ column densities listed in Table 3.

The medium component attributed to small-scale shocks in the inner envelope can be probed directly by the $^{13}$CO 10–9 data for IRAS 4A and 4B. For IRAS 2A, the Gaussian fit to the red wing of the $^{12}\text{CO}$ 10–9 is used. By assuming a similar range of temperatures and densities as for the broad component, beam averaged $^{12}\text{CO}$ column densities of 2, 6, and $2 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ are found for IRAS 2A, 4A, and 4B respectively, if the lines are optically thin and using $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 65$. The very weak medium component found in the C$^{18}$O 5–4 profile for IRAS 4A agrees with this value if the emission arises from a compact (few $''$) source. Assuming CO/H$_2 = 10^{-4}$ leads to the numbers in Table 3. The overall uncertainty in all column densities is a factor of 2 due to the range of physical conditions used to derive them and uncertainties in the adopted CO/H$_2$ ratio and calibration. The total amount of shocked gas is <1% of the total gas column density in the beam for each source (Jørgensen et al. 2002).

### 3.2. Narrow component: bulk warm envelope

The narrow width of the C$^{18}$O emission clearly indicates an origin in the quiescent envelope. Naively, one would associate emission coming from a level with $E_u/k_B = 237$ K (9–8) with the warm gas in the innermost part of the envelope. To test this hypothesis, a series of envelope models was run with varying CO abundance profiles. The models were constructed assuming a power-law density structure and then calculating the temperature structure by fitting both the far-infrared spectral energy distribution and the submillimeter spatial extent (Jørgensen et al. 2002). Figure 3 compares the fractional line intensities for the CO 6–5 spectrum as $6 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. Using CO/H$_2 = 10^{-4}$ gives the H$_2$ column densities listed in Table 3.

The medium component attributed to small-scale shocks in the inner envelope can be probed directly by the $^{13}$CO 10–9 data for IRAS 4A and 4B. For IRAS 2A, the Gaussian fit to the red wing of the $^{12}$CO 10–9 is used. By assuming a similar range of temperatures and densities as for the broad component, beam averaged $^{12}$CO column densities of 2, 6, and $2 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ are found for IRAS 2A, 4A, and 4B respectively, if the lines are optically thin and using $^{12}$C$/^{13}$C = 65. The very weak medium component found in the C$^{18}$O 5–4 profile for IRAS 4A agrees with this value if the emission arises from a compact (few $''$) source. Assuming CO/H$_2 = 10^{-4}$ leads to the numbers in Table 3. The overall uncertainty in all column densities is a factor of 2 due to the range of physical conditions used to derive them and uncertainties in the adopted CO/H$_2$ ratio and calibration. The total amount of shocked gas is <1% of the total gas column density in the beam for each source (Jørgensen et al. 2002).

### Table 3. Summary of column densities, $N$(H$_2$) in cm$^{-2}$ in the broad and medium components in 20$''$ beam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Broad Comp.</th>
<th>Medium Comp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 2A</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{20}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 4A</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{20}$</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 4B</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{20}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{20}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Obtained from (a) CO 6–5, (b) CO 10–9, and (c) C$^{18}$O 10–9 spectra.

**Fig. 1.** Spectra at the central positions of IRAS 2A, 4A and 4B. Top to bottom: H$_2$O 2$_{20}$–1$_{11}$ line from Kristensen et al. (2010) illustrating the medium and broad components, and spectra of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, and C$^{18}$O. The red lines correspond to the source velocities as obtained from the low-$J$ C$^{18}$O lines. The insert in the C$^{18}$O 5–4 line for IRAS 4A illustrates the weak medium component with peak $T_{\text{mb}} = 22$ mK obtained after subtracting a Gaussian fit to the narrow line.

**Fig. 2.** Ratios of CO 6–5/CO 10–9. Top: CO line profiles. The CO 6–5 and 10–9 profiles have been multiplied by a factor of 2 for IRAS 2A and 4B. Middle and bottom: ratio of line wing intensity in the specified velocity range indicated in the top panel for the red and blue wings.
Fig. 3. Dependence of line intensities on temperature $T_o$ of C$^{18}$O (left) and $^{13}$CO (right) for an “anti-jump” model of the CO abundance in the IRAS 2A envelope. The line intensities are measured relative to a model where the CO abundance is undepicted at all radii. Each curve therefore represents the fraction of the line intensity for the given transition, which has its origin in gas at temperatures below $T_o$. The dashed lines indicate the levels corresponding to 50 and 90% respectively.

C$^{18}$O and $^{13}$CO transitions in a spherical envelope model for IRAS 2A as a function of temperature. In these models, the abundance in the outer envelope was kept high, $X_0 = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ with respect to $H_2$ (all available gas-phase carbon in CO), decreasing by a factor of 1000 at temperatures higher than a specific temperature, $T_0$ (a so-called “anti-jump” model (see Schöier et al. 2004, for nomenclature). These models thereby give an estimate of the fraction of the line emission for a given transition (in the respective telescope beams) which has its origin at temperatures lower than $T_0$.

For C$^{18}$O, 90% of the emission in the transitions up to and including the 5–4 HIFI transition has its origin at temperatures lower than 25–30 K, meaning that these transitions are predominantly sensitive to the outer parts of the protostellar envelope. The 9–8 transition is more sensitive to the warm parts of the envelope, but still 50% of the line flux appears to come from the outer envelope with temperatures less than 50 K. The $^{13}$CO transitions become rapidly optically thick in the outer envelopes: even for the 9–8 transition, 90% of the line flux can be associated with the envelope material with temperatures lower than 40 K.

The C$^{18}$O 9–8 line is clearly a much more sensitive probe of a CO ice evaporation zone than any other observed CO line. Jørgensen et al. (2005c) showed that the low-J C$^{18}$O lines require a drop in the abundance at densities higher than $7 \times 10^2$ cm$^{-3}$ due to freeze-out. However, they did not have sufficient proof for CO evaporation in the inner part from that dataset. Using the temperature and density structure for IRAS 2A as described above, we computed the C$^{18}$O line intensities in the respective telescope beams following the method by Jørgensen et al. (2005c). In this “anti-jump” model, the outer C$^{18}$O abundance is kept fixed at $X_0 = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$, whereas the inner abundance $X_0$ and the freeze-out density $n_{de}$ are free parameters. A $\chi^2$ fit to only the C$^{18}$O 1–0, 2–1 and 3–2 lines gives best-fit values of $X_0 = 3 \times 10^{-8}$ and $n_{de} = 7 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, consistent with those of Jørgensen et al. (2005c). However, this model underproduces the higher-J lines by a factor of 3–4 (Fig. B.2 in Appendix B).

To solve this underproduction, the inner abundance has to be increased in a so-called “drop-abundance” profile. The fit parameters are now the inner abundance $X_0$ and the evaporation temperature $T_{ev}$, keeping $X_0$ and $n_{de}$ fixed at the above values. Figure B.5 in Appendix B shows the $\chi^2$ plots to the C$^{18}$O 6–5 and 9–8 lines. The evaporation temperature is not well constrained, but low temperatures of $T_{ev} \approx 25$ K are favored because they produce more C$^{18}$O 5–4 emission. The best-fit $X_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$ indicates a jump of a factor of 5 compared with $X_0$. Alternatively, $T_{ev}$ can be kept fixed at 25 K and both $X_0$ and $T_{ev}$ can be varied by fitting all five lines simultaneously. In this case, the same best-fit value for $X_0$ is found but only an upper limit on $X_0$ of $4 \times 10^{-8}$. Thus, for this physical model, $X_0 > X_0$, implying that a jump in the abundance is needed for IRAS 2A.

4. Conclusions

Spectrally resolved Herschel/HIFI observations of high-J CO lines up to $^{13}$CO 10–9 and C$^{18}$O 9–8 have been performed toward three low-mass young stellar objects for the first time. These data provide strong constraints on the density and temperature in the various physical components, such as the quiescent envelope, extended outflowing gas, and small-scale shocks in the inner envelope. The derived column densities and temperatures are important for comparison with water and other molecules such as O$_2$, for which HIFI observations are planned. Furthermore, it is shown conclusively that in order to reproduce higher-J C$^{18}$O lines within the context of the adopted physical model, a jump in the CO abundance due to evaporation is required in the inner envelope, something that was inferred, but not measured, from ground-based observations. Combination with even higher-J CO lines to be obtained with Herschel/PACS in the frame of the WISH key program will allow further quantification of the different physical processes invoked to explain the origin of the high-J emission.
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Appendix A: Radex model

Figure A.1 shows the CO 6–5/10–9 line ratios for a slab model with a range of temperatures and densities. The adopted CO column density is $10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ with a line width of 10 km s$^{-1}$, comparable to the inferred values. For these parameters the lines involved are optically thin. The colored lines give the range of densities within the 20″ beam for the three sources based on the models of Jørgensen et al. (2002).

Appendix B: Abundance profiles for IRAS 2A

Among the three sources, IRAS 2A has been selected for detailed CO abundance profile modeling because more data are available on this source, and because its physical and chemical structure has been well characterized through the high angular resolution submillimeter single dish and interferometric observations of Jørgensen et al. (2002, 2005a). The physical parameters are taken from the continuum modeling results of Jørgensen et al. (2002). In that paper, the 1D dust radiative transfer code DUSTY (Ivezić & Elitzur 1997) was used assuming a power law to describe the density gradient. The dust temperature as function of radius was calculated self-consistently through radiative transfer given a central source luminosity. Best-fit model parameters were obtained by comparison with the spectral energy distribution and the submillimeter continuum spatial extent. The resulting envelope structure parameters are used as input to the Ratran radiative transfer modeling code (Hogerheijde & van der Tak 2000) to model the CO line intensities for a given CO abundance structure through the envelope. The model extends to 11000 AU from the protostar, where the density has dropped to $2 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. The CO-H$_2$ collisional rate coefficients of Yang et al. (2010) have been adopted.

The C$^{18}$O lines are used to determine the CO abundance structure because the lines of this isotopologue are largely optically thin and because they have well-defined Gaussian line shapes originating from the quiescent envelope without strong contaminations from outflows. Three types of abundance profiles are examined, namely “constant”, “anti-jump” and “drop” abundance profiles. Illustrative models are shown in Fig. B.1 and the results from these models are summarized in Table B.1.

B.1. Constant abundance model

The simplest approach is to adopt a constant abundance across the entire envelope. However, with this approach, and within the framework of the adopted source model, it is not possible to simultaneously reproduce all line intensities. This was already shown by Jørgensen et al. (2005c) for lower abundances. For higher abundances it is possible to reproduce the lower-J lines, while higher abundances are required for higher-J lines. In Fig. B.2 the C$^{18}$O spectra of a constant-abundance profile are shown for an abundance of $X_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$, together with the observed spectra of IRAS 2A. Based on these results, the constant-abundance profile is ruled out for all three sources.

B.2. Anti-jump abundance models

The anti-jump model is commonly adopted in models of prestellar cores without a central heating source (e.g., Bergin & Snell 2002; Tafalla et al. 2004). Following Jørgensen et al. (2005c), an anti-jump abundance profile was employed by varying the desorption density, $n_{de}$, and inner abundance $X_0 = X_D$ in order to find a fit to our observed lines. Here, the outer abundance $X_0$ was kept high at $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ corresponding to a $12$CO abundance of $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O = 550 as was found appropriate for the case of IRAS 2A by Jørgensen et al. (2005c). This value is consistent with the CO/H$_2$ abundance ratio determined by Lacy et al. (1994) for dense gas without CO freeze-out.

The best fit to the three lowest C$^{18}$O lines (1–0, 2–1 and 3–2) is consistent with that found by Jørgensen et al. (2005c), corresponding to $n_{de} = 7 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $X_D = 3 \times 10^{-8}$ (CO abundance of $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$). In the $\chi^2$ fits, the calibration uncertainty of each line (ranging from 20 to 30%) is taken into account. These modeled spectra are overplotted on the observed spectra in Fig. B.2 as the blue lines, and show that the anti-jump profile fits well the lower-J lines but very much underproduces the higher-J lines.

The value of $X_0$ was verified a posteriori by keeping $n_{de}$ at two different values of $3.4 \times 10^4$ and $7 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. This is illustrated in Fig. B.3 where the $\chi^2$ contours show that for both values of $n_{de}$, the best-fit value of $X_0$ is $\sim 5 \times 10^{-7}$, the value also found in Jørgensen et al. (2005c). The $\chi^2$ contours have been calculated from the lower-J lines only, as these are paramount in constraining the value of $X_0$. Different $\chi^2$ plots were made, where it was clear that higher-J lines only constrain $X_D$, as expected. The effect of $n_{de}$ is illustrated in Fig. B.4 for the two values given above.
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![Figure A.1. Examples of constant, anti-jump, and drop abundance profiles for IRAS 2A for $T_{\text{env}} = 25$ K and $n_{de} = 7 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$.](image3)

Table B.1. Summary of CO abundance profiles for IRAS 2A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>$X_{in}$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{env}}$ (K)</th>
<th>$X_D$</th>
<th>$n_{de}$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$X_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-jump</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above conclusion is robust within the context of the adopted physical model. Alternatively, one could investigate different physical models such as those used by Chiang et al. (2008), which have a density enhancement in the inner envelope due to a magnetic shock wall. This density increase could partly mitigate the need for the abundance enhancement although it is unlikely that the density jump is large enough to fully compensate. Such models are outside the scope of this paper. An observational test of our model would be to image the N$_2$H$^+$ 1–0 line at high angular resolution: its emission should drop in the inner ∼900 AU (∼4$''$) where N$_2$H$^+$ would be destroyed by the enhanced gas-phase CO.