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ABSTRACT

The Heterodyne Instrument for the Far Infrared (HIFI) onboard the Herschel Space Observatory allows the first observations of light diatomic molecules at high spectral resolution and in multiple transitions. Here, we report deep integrations using HIFI in different lines of hydrides towards the high-mass star forming region AFGL 2591. Detected are CH, CH⁺, NH, OH⁺, H₂O⁺, while NH⁺ and SH⁺ have not been detected. All molecules except for CH and CH⁺ are seen in absorption with low excitation temperatures and at velocities different from the systemic velocity of the protostellar envelope. Surprisingly, the CH(J=3/2→1/2, J=1/2→1/2) and CH⁺(J=1→0, J=2→1) lines are detected in emission at the systemic velocity. We can assign the absorption features to a foreground cloud and an outflow lobe, while the CH and CH⁺ molecules except for CH and CH⁺ have so far not been studied thoroughly. Since their rotational destruction in collisions with H₂ is similar to CO⁺ (Hammami et al. 2009), this may be explained in the scenario of FUV irradiated outflow walls, where a cavity etched out by the outflow allows protostellar FUV photons to irradiate and heat the envelope at large distances driving the chemical reactions that produce these molecules.
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1. Introduction

The simplest constituents of interstellar chemistry, light diatomic hydrides and their ions (XH and XH⁺ with X = O, H, C and N), have so far not been studied thoroughly. Since their rotational ground state lines lie mostly outside atmospheric windows, they cannot be observed from ground. However, they are key-species of the chemical network. For example, most water at high temperature (T > 250 K) is formed by the reaction of OH with H₂. Moreover, once their chemistry and excitation is well understood, they may be valuable tracers of warm and partly ionized gas (e.g. Sternberg & Dalgarno 1995; Bruderer et al. 2010). This is of particular interest in the context of star formation, as the radiation of the forming star may heat and ionize the envelope giving birth to it.

* Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

** Appendices and Table 1 (pages 6 to 7) are only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

Chemistry and excitation of hydrides is characterized by high activation energies and high critical densities. For example, the only formation route of CH⁺ is via the highly endothermic reaction C⁺ + H₂ + 4640 K → CH⁺ + H. The critical density1 for many hydrides is very high. For example the CH⁺(J=1→0) transition has a critical density of ~5 × 10⁹ cm⁻³ for collisions with H₂ at 500 K (Hammami et al. 2009). This very reactive ion may however be destroyed rather than excited in collisions with H₂ (Black 1998). Thus, the excitation of the ions studied here may be governed by the initial state in which they are formed rather than by equilibrium with collisions or the radiation field. The situation of considerable abundance only at high temperature (T ≫ 100 K), high critical density and quick destruction in collisions with H₂ is similar to CO⁺, studied by Stäuber & Bruderer (2009). Finally, if a molecule is abundant, but not excited, it may still act as an absorber of a background continuum source and shows up as an absorption line.

1 The density, at which collisional deexcitation is comparable to spontaneous decay.

2Scaled for the reduced masses of the H₂-CH⁺ system.
The Heterodyne Instrument for the Far Infrared (HIFI; de Graauw et al. 2010) onboard the Herschel Space Observatory allows for the first time to carry out spectrally resolved observations of different transitions of hydrides and thus to disentangle moving regions along the line of sight and to study fine/hyperfine structure components.

AFGL 2591 is a well studied high-mass star forming region at a distance of $\sim 1$ kpc (e.g. van der Tak et al. 1999). The source is relatively nearby and its geometry thus well known (e.g. Preibisch et al. 2003). There is evidence for a cavity along the outflow that allows FUV photons to escape to longer distances and irradiate the outflow walls (Bruderer et al. 2009a,b). In these high-density PDRs, the abundances of hydrides can be enhanced by several orders of magnitude. Chemical models of this scenario predict fluxes for the CH$^+$ states is detected. Not detected are SH$^+$, OH$^+$ and NH$^+$ transitions, OH$^+$ continuum at about $T_{\text{ex}} \sim 22.6$ K and $N(\text{OH}) < 1.6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, OH$^+$ yields $T_{\text{ex}} < 13.2$ K and $N(\text{OH}^+) < 1.2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ and H$_2$O$^+$ gives $T_{\text{ex}} < 18.5$ K and $N(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+) < 2.3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. We refrain from deriving column densities for CH$^+$ using this method, as the molecule shows clear signs of both emission and absorption.

2. Observations and data reduction

Herschel/HIFI observations of AFGL 2591 ($\alpha_{2000} = 20^h29^m24.8^s$, $\delta_{2000} = +40^\circ11'19.5''$) have been carried out as part of the Water In Star forming regions with Herschel (WISH; van Dishoeck et al., in prep.) guaranteed time key program. Target lines are given in Table 1. Molecular parameters are obtained from the CDMS (Müller et al. 2005), except for NH$^+$ (Hübbers et al. 2009) and H$_2$O$^+$ (Mürzt et al. 1998). Dual beam switch mode with fast chopping was used. To disentangle lines from the upper and lower side band, the local oscillator frequency was slightly shifted after half of the integration time. Data were converted to $T_{\text{ab}}$ using a main beam efficiency of 0.74. Data from the WBS spectrometer are used and the H and V polarizations have been averaged after visual inspection. Table 1 gives the noise level of the observation $T_{\text{rms}}$ for the nominal resolution of the WBS spectrometer (1.1 MHz). Data were reduced with the Herschel interactive processing environment (HIPE) package version 2.9.

3. Results

Spectra of detected target lines are given in Fig. 1, those without detection in the Appendix A. We have detected the ground state lines of CH, CH$^+$, OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and NH. The lines of OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and NH are seen in absorption without clear signs of emission on top of the absorption. The CH line is almost purely in emission. The CH$^+$($J = 1\rightarrow 0$) line is in absorption, with emission at the systemic velocity of the envelope. The CH$^+$($J = 2\rightarrow 1$) line is in emission. Except for CH$^+$($J = 2\rightarrow 1$), no line between excited states is detected. Not detected are SH$^+$ and NH$^+$. While SH$^+$ has been seen in other regions (Benz et al. 2010; Menten et al. 2010), interstellar NH$^+$ has not yet been found.

By their velocity shift, the absorption lines of CH, CH$^+$, NH, OH$^+$, and H$_2$O$^+$ can be roughly classified as (i) a component around $v_{\text{lsr}} \sim 0$ km s$^{-1}$, red-shifted with respect to the systemic velocity of the envelope at $v_{\text{sys}} = -5.5$ km s$^{-1}$ (van der Tak et al. 1999) and (ii) a blue-shifted component at $\sim 11$ km s$^{-1}$ (NH) or $\sim 16$ km s$^{-1}$ (OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and CH$^+$).

The velocity integrated emission or absorption is given in Table 1. The absorption per frequency is $\tau = -\log(T_{\text{line}}/T_{\text{cont}})$, with the continuum intensity $T_{\text{cont}}$ in a single side band. The velocity integrated emission and absorption are related to the molecular column density of the upper or lower level $N^{\text{upper}}$ and $N^{\text{lower}}$ by

\[ N^{\text{upper}} = \frac{8 \pi k v_{\text{lsr}}^{3}}{hc^{2} A_{\text{ul}}} \int T_{\text{d}} \, d \nu \quad \text{and} \quad N^{\text{lower}} = \frac{8 \pi v_{\text{lsr}}^{3}}{c^{3} A_{\text{ul}} g_{\text{u}}} \int T_{\text{d}} \, d \nu, \]

with the Einstein-A coefficient $A_{\text{ul}}$ and the frequency $v_{\text{lsr}}$ of the transition and the statistical weights $g_{\text{u}}$ and $g_{\text{l}}$ of the levels. These equations assume optically thin emission or absorption without re-emission. For Table 1, contributions of different fine/hyperfine components are summed up, weighted by their statistical weights. Detected lines together with the upper limits from higher levels yield upper limits on the excitation temperature and the column density, assuming the excitation temperature of all lines to be the same. For CH, we obtain $T_{\text{ex}} < 22.6$ K and $N(\text{CH}) < 1.6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, OH$^+$ yields $T_{\text{ex}} < 13.2$ K and $N(\text{OH}^+) < 1.2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ and H$_2$O$^+$ gives $T_{\text{ex}} < 18.5$ K and $N(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+) < 2.3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. We refrain from deriving column densities for CH$^+$ using this method, as the molecule shows clear signs of both emission and absorption.

4. Discussion

The blue-shifted component of OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and CH$^+$ suggests the association with the outflow lobe directed towards us. Mitchell et al. (1989) have detected 4.7 km s$^{-1}$ CO($v = 0\rightarrow 1$) absorption lines at $v_{\text{lsr}} \sim -28$ km s$^{-1}$. They derive a $^{13}$CO column density of $1.1 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ and a temperature of 200 K. With better spectral resolution, van der Tak et al. (1999) find $v_{\text{lsr}} \sim -21.5$ km s$^{-1}$, in better agreement with our observations. Their lines are broad and asymmetric, with strong wings to the blue, likely originating in winds. It is thus difficult to determine the line width and position. However, the $^{13}$CO lines reach the continuum at about $45$ km s$^{-1}$ and are clearly broader than the OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and CH$^+$ lines. Except for CH$^+$, where the two-component model does not fit this component well, there is no evidence for asymmetric lines in this blue-shifted component. The component is not clearly detected in CH. The NH absorption is less shifted to the blue and narrower. The velocity shift...
of the components, showing the relative intensity of the different hyperfine components. The spectra are corrected for the beam efficiency and the continuum level is given for one sideband (SSB). The broad absorption of CH is surprising: since it is only seen in 12CO ($J = 3-2$) JCMT observations (van der Tak et al. 1999). They conclude that the absorption must take place in cold and extended foreground gas, as it is not found in $^{13}$CO ($J = 6 - 5$).

The red-shifted absorption at $v_{lsr} = -0.2$ to 2.8 km s$^{-1}$ is likely associated to a cold and extended foreground cloud, observed in CO (Mitchell et al. 1992; Hasegawa & Mitchell 1995). The small line width in these spectra approximately agrees with the narrow absorption lines seen in CH, OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and NH. The broad absorption of CH$^+$ is surprising: since it is only seen in CH$^+ (J = 1 - 0)$, but not CH$^+ (J = 2 - 1)$, the excitation temperature must be lower than 8 K, used to fit the blue shifted component. This indicates either less dense or colder gas. The ionized species OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$ and CH$^+$ are more red-shifted and have broader lines, compared to the neutral CH and NH.

The abundances of molecules in the absorption features are roughly estimated from the total column density ($N_H = N$(H) + 2N(H$_2$)) obtained from $^{13}$CO column densities and presented in Table 2. We use a CO abundance of $n$(CO)/n(H$_2$) = 3.7 × 10$^{-6}$ (Doty et al. 2002) and $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ~ 77 (Wilson & Rood 1994). Since the red component is a low-density foreground cloud, the fractional abundances of CH, H$_2$O$^+$ and NH have been compared to a chemical model for a diffuse/translucent cloud (Le Petit et al. 2004) and found to agree within a factor of 2. The upper prediction of CH$^+$ by a factor of more than 100 is well known for such models, but models of turbulent dissipation regions (Godard et al. 2009) can explain fractional abundances reached here. OH$^+$ is underpredicted by a factor of 6 but the observed OH$^+$/H$_2$O$^+$ ratio of 7.3 is comparable to the value of 4 found by Gerin et al. (2010) and explained by low density gas with a low molecular fraction.

The blue component is thought to arise in tenuous warm gas in the outflow lobes directed toward us. The observed OH$^+$ and H$_2$O$^+$ abundances are lower by factors of 7 and 16, respectively, but the OH$^+$/H$_2$O$^+$ ratio is still large, about 3. As shown in Fig. 2 of Gerin et al. (2010) such ratios are consistent with models with densities up to a few thousand cm$^{-3}$ and enhanced radiation fields, as expected in the outflow lobe. The fractional abundances of CH$^+$ and NH are similar to those found in the red component within a factor of 2. More specific modeling of these components must await better determinations of their physical conditions.

Where does the CH and CH$^+$ emission emerge from? The line velocity suggests that the emission stems from the envelope of AFGL 2591. Abundances of CH and CH$^+$ are difficult to estimate from column densities, due to the strong gradient in density in the envelope and thus the changing excitation. A spherical power-law density profile of the envelope (van der Tak et al. 1999) yields a density of n(H$_2$) ~ 10$^6$ cm$^{-3}$ at scales of the Herschel beam. Relative to the column density of N$_H$ ~ 10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ within the region with density $\geq$10$^6$ cm$^{-3}$, we get abundances of $4 \times 10^{-9}$ (CH) and $9 \times 10^{-11}$ (CH$^+$).

Fig. 1. HIFI-spectra of hydrides observed towards AFGL 2591. The green line represents the continuum level used for the calculation of the velocity integrated emission or absorption. The vertical blue line indicates the systemic velocity of ~5.5 km s$^{-1}$ of AFGL 2591. The red line shows a fitted model spectrum discussed in Sect. 3.1, with parameters given in Table 2. The blue line indicates a model spectrum with only one of the components, showing the relative intensity of the different hyperfine components. The spectra are corrected for the beam efficiency and the continuum level is given for one sideband (SSB).

Table 2. Fitted column density, excitation temperature, line width and position per component (see Sect. 3.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>N(X)</th>
<th>$T_{ex}$</th>
<th>$\Delta v$</th>
<th>$v_{lsr}$</th>
<th>N(X)/N$_H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CENTER</td>
<td>4.0(14)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>4(9)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$</td>
<td>RED</td>
<td>8.5(12)</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>9(11)$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$^+$</td>
<td>2.0(14)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7(10)$^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH$^+$</td>
<td>6.1(13)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5(9)$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH 974 GHz</td>
<td>1.5(13)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1(9)$^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH 999 GHz</td>
<td>1.5(13)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>1(9)$^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$</td>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>1.8(14)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-17.1</td>
<td>4(9)$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$^+$</td>
<td>5.4(12)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>1(10)$^g$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH$^+$</td>
<td>1.6(13)</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>3(10)$^h$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH 974 GHz</td>
<td>1.9(13)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>4(10)$^i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH 999 GHz</td>
<td>3.8(13)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>8(10)$^i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Fractional abundances are given relative to N$_H = N$(H) + 2N(H$_2$). $A(B) \equiv A \times 10^B$.

$^a$ Fixed at 3 K; $^b$ using N$_H$ $\sim$ 10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, see text; $^c$ using N$_H$ $\sim$ 1.2 $\times$ 10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Minh & Yang 2008); $^d$ using N$_H$ $\sim$ 4.6 $\times$ 10$^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Mitchell et al. 1989).
Why are the hydrides mostly in the ground-state? The excitation temperature \( T_{\text{ex}} \) of a species, assumed to have only two levels, is given by

\[
T_{\text{ex}} = \frac{T_{\text{kin}}}{(kT_{\text{kin}}/\Delta E) \cdot \ln(1 + \beta n_{\text{crit}}/n(H_2)) + 1},
\]

with the kinetic temperature of the collision partner \( T_{\text{kin}} \), the energy difference between the levels \( \Delta E \), the escape probability for a line photon \( \beta \), the critical density \( n_{\text{crit}} \) and the density of a collision partner \( n(H_2) \). The observed excitation temperature of \( \text{CH}^+ (J = 1\rightarrow0) \) of 38.4 K can be reproduced by Eq. (2) with \( \beta \approx 0.04 \), assuming a kinetic temperature of 500 K, necessary for the formation of the molecule, and a \( H_2 \) density of order \( 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), typical at scales of the \textit{Herschel} beam. This \( \beta \) corresponds to an optical depth of a few at the line center, depending on the expression used for \( \beta = \beta(\tau) \). Far infrared radiation by the dust continuum also pumps the molecule. Critical densities of other hydrides are not well known, but likely of similar order. Thus, the observed low excitation temperature found for \( \text{OH} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) can be well explained by the origin of the absorption in tenuous gas.

Predictions for \( \text{CH} \) and \( \text{CH}^+ \) abundances and line fluxes can be made utilizing the photochemical and outflow model of Bruderer et al. (2009b). In a spherical envelope model, Bruderer et al. (2010) find volume averaged abundances orders of magnitude lower than observed (~6 \( \times \) \( 10^{-11} \) for \( \text{CH} \) and ~5 \( \times \) \( 10^{-16} \) for \( \text{CH}^+ \)). They present also a model including a cavity etched out by the outflow, where protostellar FUV radiation can escape and directly irradiate the outflow wall. The central protostar with a luminosity of \( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 2 \times 10^4 L_\odot \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \approx 3 \times 10^4 \) K heat the gas in the outflow walls to above 1000 K at scales of the \textit{Herschel} beam. Depending on the radius over which the abundance is averaged, abundances of (0.6–2) \( \times \) \( 10^{-9} \) for \( \text{CH} \) and (0.4–4) \( \times \) \( 10^{-9} \) for \( \text{CH}^+ \) are found with the outflow wall model, in acceptable agreement with the observations.

Calculating the radiative transfer in the lines for direct comparison with observations yields fluxes of (4.3–16.1) K km s\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{CH}^+ (J = 1\rightarrow0) \) and (8.9–30.8) K km s\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{CH}^+ (J = 2\rightarrow1) \). Note that this calculation includes the influence of the pumping by far IR radiation. In the view of uncertainties (such as distance, inclination, \( T_{\text{ex}} \) at formation, and additional contribution to \( \text{CH}^+ \) by reactions of \( \text{C}^+ \) with vibrationally excited \( \text{H}_2 \)); Agúndez et al. (2010), we consider the agreement with observations good. The abundances of \( \text{OH} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) are also enhanced in the outflow walls, but lack of collisional rate coefficients prevents us from making quantitative model results.

We conclude that the scenario of FUV irradiated outflow walls can quantitatively reproduce the abundances and fluxes observed in \( \text{CH} \) and \( \text{CH}^+ \) emission. This indicates the presence of a large volume/mass of hot, FUV irradiated and extended gas in the envelope of this high-mass young stellar object.

### 5. Conclusion

We use the new \textit{Herschel}/HIFI instrument to observe light hydrides towards the high-mass star forming region AFGL 2591. Besides OH, H\(_2\)O and NH detected in absorption only, we see CH and CH\(^+\) in emission. The red and blue velocity shifted absorption can be assigned to a foreground cloud and an outflow lobe directed towards us, respectively. The emission of CH and CH\(^+\) is at the source velocity. Its abundance and excitation can be explained in the scenario of direct FUV irradiation of the envelope through a low density cavity of the outflow region.
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Table 1. Observed lines in AFGL 2591.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/Transition/Electronic state/Line components</th>
<th>Frequency [GHz]</th>
<th>$E_u$ [K]</th>
<th>$A_{ul}$</th>
<th>$T_{obs}$ [min]</th>
<th>$J$ [km s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$T_{obs}$ [km s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$T_{max}$ [mK]</th>
<th>$N_{mol}^{100}(X)$ [cm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$N_{mol}^{200}(X)$ [cm$^{-2}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH <strong>J</strong>$<em>F$ = 3/2$</em>{-1}$,−1/2,−1/2</td>
<td>5.0(13)</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH <strong>J</strong>$<em>F$ = 5/2$</em>{-1}$,−1/2,−1/2</td>
<td>99.9734</td>
<td>47.99</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 1−0</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>47.99</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 2−1</td>
<td>1669.2813</td>
<td>120.19</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 3−1</td>
<td>1611.2041</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 4−1</td>
<td>746.26</td>
<td>89.33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 5−1</td>
<td>1033.1116</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 6−1</td>
<td>1892.2271</td>
<td>140.39</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 7−1</td>
<td>974.4784</td>
<td>46.77</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 8−1</td>
<td>999.9734</td>
<td>47.99</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 9−1</td>
<td>1012.5400</td>
<td>48.59</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$^+$ <strong>J</strong> = 10−1</td>
<td>1019.2107</td>
<td>49.81</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.93</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The frequency is given for the strongest fine/hyperfine component. The velocity integrated intensity or absorption is summed over all fine/hyperfine components. $A(B) = A \times 10^{10}$.

Appendix A: Spectra of non-detections

Figure A.1 presents spectra without detected target lines, given in Table 1. For clarity, the spectra of CH(5/2$_{-1}$,−1/2,−1/2) and OH$^+$ (2/2$_{-1}$,−1/1,−1/1) have been scaled by a factor of 0.1. In the spectrum of CH(5/2$_{-1}$,−1/2,−1/2), the H$_2$O(2$_{12}$,−2$_{11}$) line is detected, which was presented in an upcoming paper (van der Tak et al., in preparation). The H$_2$O$^+$(2$_{02}$,3$_{21}$,−1$_{11}$,3$_{21}$) spectrum contains a SO$_2$ line with upper level energy similar to the lines detected by van der Tak et al. (2003) in the same source. In the other sideband of the NH$^+$(3/2,−1/2) spectrum, the OH$^+$(2/2$_{-1}$,−1/2) line is seen. In the upper side band of the SH$^+$(1/3/2,−1/3/2) line, the HCO$^+$ (6−5) line has been detected. The velocity range of ±40 km s$^{-1}$ corresponds to a frequency range of ±67 MHz at 500 GHz and ±253 MHz at 1900 GHz. Thus, the lines should be seen in the spectra, even if the predicted rest frequency is grossly wrong.

Appendix B: Modeling the line spectra

This Appendix gives the equations used to model the line spectra. The intensity $I_{\text{model}}(v)$ [K] at frequency $v$ for a slab of $N$ emitting or absorbing layers in front of a continuum source is obtained from

$$I_{\text{model}}(v) = I_{\text{continuum}}(v) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta r_i(v)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{c^2}{2\pi k_B T_i} B_{\nu i} T_i^4 \int_{\Delta r_i(v)} \left[ 1 - e^{-\Delta r_i(v)} \right],$$

with the (background) continuum intensity $I_{\text{continuum}}(v)$, the opacity per layer $\Delta r_i(v)$, the frequency of the component $\nu_i$ with the largest Einstein-A coefficient and the Planck function $B_{\nu i}(T)$. Note that this equation assumes a covering factor of 100% for each layer and the same excitation temperature for all hyperfine/fine components. The line opacity is calculated from the sum over the $M$ fine/hyperfine components of the transition,

$$t_i(v) = N_{mol}^{100} \sum_{k=1}^{M} A_k \int \frac{c^2}{8\pi (\nu - \nu_i)} \Delta r_i(v) \phi_k(\nu_i),$$

with the column density per layer $N_{mol}^{100}$, the Einstein-A coefficient $A_k$, the normalized line profile function $\phi_k(\nu_i)$, the normalized level population of the upper and lower level ($x_{u_k}$ and $x_{l_k}$, respectively) and the statistical weights ($\nu_i$ and $\nu_i$ respectively). The normalized level population per layer is a Boltzmann distribution for the temperature $T_i$. The line profile function $\phi_k(\nu_i)$ is assumed to be a Gaussian with width $\Delta \nu$ (FWHM) centered.
at the frequency of the component minus the velocity \( v_{lsr} \) of the layer.

The free parameters per layer are thus the excitation temperature \( T_{ex} \), the column density \( N_{\text{mol}} \), the width \( \Delta v \) and the velocity \( v_{lsr} \). Two layers are used for CH, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ \), \( \text{OH}^+ \) and \( \text{NH} \) with a red-shifted layer in front of a blue-shifted layer. Three layers are used for \( \text{CH}^+ \) with a layer centered at the source velocity between the background continuum and the blue-shifted layer. To constrain the parameters of the layer, the least squares of the modeled to the observed spectra are minimized.

Molecular data of the individual hyperfine components of \( \text{CH}, \text{OH}^+, \text{NH} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ \) used to model the line spectra in Fig. 1 are given in Table B.1. The table gives the frequency, Einstein-A coefficient (\( A_{ul} \)), the upper level energy (\( E_u \)) and the statistical weights of the upper and lower level of the transition (\( g_u \) and \( g_l \), respectively). The third column refers to the velocity shift in km s\(^{-1}\) of the component relative to the one with the largest Einstein-A coefficient. The component with the largest Einstein-A coefficient is marked by (*).

**Table B.1. Hyperfine components used to model the spectra.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Frequency [GHz]</th>
<th>Shift to (*) [km s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>( E_u ) [K]</th>
<th>( A_{ul} ) [s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>( g_u )</th>
<th>( g_l )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH (*)</td>
<td>536.7611</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>6.4(–4)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>536.7820</td>
<td>–11.6</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>2.1(–4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>536.7957</td>
<td>–19.3</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>4.3(–4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ )</td>
<td>1115.1505</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>1.7(–2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ )</td>
<td>1115.1862</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>2.8(–2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ ) (*)</td>
<td>1115.2041</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>3.1(–2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ )</td>
<td>1115.2632</td>
<td>–15.9</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>1.4(–2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ )</td>
<td>1115.2989</td>
<td>–25.5</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>3.5(–3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}^+ )</td>
<td>1032.9979</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>1.4(–2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}^+ )</td>
<td>1033.0044</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>3.5(–3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}^+ )</td>
<td>1033.1118</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>7.0(–3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}^+ ) (*)</td>
<td>1033.1186</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>1.8(–2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** \( A(B) = A \times 10^B \).