



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Rethinking Museums for the Climate Emergency

Harrison, R.; Sterling, C.

DOI

[10.14324/111.9781800083936](https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781800083936)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe

License

CC BY-NC

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Harrison, R., & Sterling, C. (2023). Rethinking Museums for the Climate Emergency. In R. Harrison, N. Dias, & K. Kristiansen (Eds.), *Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe* (pp. 15-32). UCL Press. <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781800083936>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe



Edited by
Rodney Harrison,
Nélia Dias and
Kristian Kristiansen

UCLPRESS

Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe

Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe

Edited by
Rodney Harrison, Nélia Dias
and Kristian Kristiansen

 **UCL**PRESS

First published in 2023 by
UCL Press
University College London
Gower Street
London WC1E 6BT

Available to download free: www.uclpress.co.uk

Collection © Editors, 2023
Text © Contributors, 2023
Images © Contributors and copyright holders named in captions, 2023

The authors have asserted their rights under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the authors of this work.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from The British Library.



Any third-party material in this book is not covered by the book's Creative Commons licence. Details of the copyright ownership and permitted use of third-party material is given in the image (or extract) credit lines. If you would like to reuse any third-party material not covered by the book's Creative Commons licence, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright owner.

This book is published under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International licence (CC BY-NC 4.0), <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>. This licence allows you to share and adapt the work for non-commercial use providing attribution is made to the author and publisher (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work) and any changes are indicated. Attribution should include the following information:

Harrison, R., Dias, N. and Kristiansen, K. (eds). 2023. *Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe*. London: UCL Press. <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781800083936>

Further details about Creative Commons licences are available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

ISBN: 978-1-80008-395-0 (Hbk.)
ISBN: 978-1-80008-394-3 (Pbk.)
ISBN: 978-1-80008-393-6 (PDF)
ISBN: 978-1-80008-396-7 (epub)
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781800083936>

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	ix
<i>List of tables</i>	xiii
<i>Contributors</i>	xv
<i>Preface and acknowledgements</i>	xix
Introduction	1
<i>Rodney Harrison, Nélia Dias and Kristian Kristiansen</i>	
Part I: Heritage and global challenges	13
1 Rethinking museums for the climate emergency	15
<i>Rodney Harrison and Colin Sterling</i>	
2 From climate victim to climate action: heritage as agent in climate change mitigation discourse	33
<i>Janna oud Ammerveld</i>	
3 Syrian refugees' food in Lisbon: a heritage of food beyond national borders	51
<i>Marcela Jaramillo</i>	
4 Relations with objects: a longitudinal case study	67
<i>Katie O'Donoghue</i>	
Part II: Curating the city: rethinking urban heritages	87
5 Erosion and preservation of the cultural and geological heritage in megacity landscapes of the Global South: a geo-aesthetic inquiry	91
<i>Peter Krieger</i>	

6	Recognising urban heritage written in water: mapping fluctuating articulations in time and space	107
	<i>Moniek Driesse</i>	
7	Participatory design in the context of heritage-development: engaging with the past in the design space of historical landscapes	125
	<i>Mela Zuljevic</i>	
8	The (over)touristification of European historic cities: a relation between urban heritage and short-term rental market demand	143
	<i>Łukasz Bugalski</i>	
9	Overtourism versus pandemic: the fragility of our historic cities	157
	<i>Maria Pia Guermandi</i>	
	Part III: Digital heritages and digital futures	173
10	Datafied landscapes: exploring digital maps as (critical) heritage	177
	<i>Stuart Dunn</i>	
11	#Womenof1916 and the heritage of the Easter Rising on Twitter	191
	<i>Hannah K. Smyth</i>	
12	The material and immaterial historic environment	209
	<i>William Illsley</i>	
13	Digitality as a cultural policy instrument: Europeana and the Europeanisation of digital heritage	223
	<i>Carlotta Capurro</i>	

14	De-neutralising digital heritage infrastructures? Critical considerations on digital engagements with the past in the context of Europe	243
	<i>Gertjan Plets, Julianne Nyhan, Andrew Flinn, Alexandra Ortolja-Baird and Jaap Verheul</i>	
	Part IV: Postcolonial legacies: ‘European’ heritages beyond Europe	263
15	Heritage pharmacology and ‘moving heritage’: making refugees, asylum seekers and Palestine part of the European conscience	265
	<i>Beverley Butler and Fatima Al-Nammari</i>	
16	How to tell the good guys from the bad guys ... or not	289
	<i>Randall H. McGuire</i>	
17	Traumatic heritage: politics of visibility and the standardisation of plaques and memorials in the city of São Paulo, Brazil	309
	<i>Márcia Lika Hattori</i>	
18	Lampedusa here and there: activating memories of migration in Amsterdam’s historic centre – a resource for whom?	329
	<i>Vittoria Caradonna</i>	
	Afterword	347
	<i>Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett</i>	
	<i>Index</i>	357

List of figures

1.1	<i>Museum of Open Windows</i> exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26.	20
1.2	<i>Existances</i> exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26.	21
1.3	<i>Weathering With Us</i> exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26.	22
1.4	Speaking with visitors to the Reimagining Museums for Climate Change exhibition during COP26.	24
1.5	Collecting responses to the question ‘What if museums...?’ from visitors to the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition during COP26.	24
2.1	Carbon emissions are reduced by 60 per cent in the Victorian terraced house case study as a result of energy efficiency interventions and by 62 per cent in the chapel conversion case study by 2050.	44
3.1	Store with Syrian products in Lisbon.	57
3.2	Syrian food advertising in Lisbon.	61
4.1	Patient information flyer. Research information flyer for the PhD project ‘Relations with Objects’.	70
4.2	Object information card. Information card of a Palaeolithic stone tool outlining age and description of the object. UCL object-handling collection.	72
4.3	Portfolio artwork. Artworks created using photography and photoshop by a participant in the PhD research project ‘Relations with Objects’.	74
4.4	Gunshot through wall. Artworks of a gunshot created using photography and photoshop by a participant in the PhD research project ‘Relations with Objects’.	75

4.5	Leaning Tower of Pisa and BT Tower. Artworks of buildings created using photography and photoshop by a participant in the PhD research project 'Relations with Objects'.	76
4.6	'Terminating the Tumour'. Artworks of a shell created using photography and photoshop by a participant in the PhD research project 'Relations with Objects'.	78
4.7	Untitled artworks for exhibition. Artworks of construction and buildings created using photography and photoshop by a participant in the PhD research project 'Relations with Objects'.	82
5.1	Mexico City, extended slum belts in the northeastern hills, 2015.	92
5.2	Scars I (2014).	96
5.3	Tuff exploitation, Volcano Yuhualixqui, Iztapalapa, Mexico City, 2017.	100
5.4	Informal housing on the outskirts of Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico, 2016.	102
6.1	Overlay of the borders of Mexico City, the hard-rock zone limit on the outside of the vanished Texcoco lake-bed zone and the crowdsourced map of the destruction after the 19 September 2017 earthquake, composed by Verificado19s.	110
6.2	<i>Journey to Atlan</i> map. Appendix of <i>This Morning, I Caught You in a Drop on My Finger</i> (Driesse 2019).	118
6.3	At the Cárcamo de Dolores (Waterworks of Dolores), Tláloc, the god of heavenly waters, is watching the skies and the engineering works in admiration as well as fear. Murals by Diego Rivera.	118
6.4	This <i>Santa Cruz Map</i> is a unique map of Mexico City as the capital of New Spain, from around 1550. Also known as the <i>Uppsala Map</i> , it currently resides in the map collection of the Uppsala University Library.	121
7.1	A hayfield with the Waterschei mine slagheap in the background.	129
7.2	A set of atlas drawings and the mapping notebook.	131
7.3	Houses and trees in Waterschei.	132
7.4	The 'garden city house' and 'rows of trees': using the atlas tool to trace how these 'things' became sites of tension between visions and practices through time.	132

8.1	Ashworth's three paradigms, supplemented by overtourism and resistance concepts, and juxtaposed with the rapid growth of international arrivals worldwide (UNWTO Tourism Barometer).	146
8.2	Crowded Via Pescherie Vecchie in Bologna – one of the most touristified streets in this city. Similar images are typical of a vast number of historic cities across Europe.	148
8.3	A bar chart showing the logarithmic distribution of active Airbnb listings in Europe. The series has been truncated to 42, presenting cities that exceeded 5,000 active listings on at least one occasion. For reasons of space, the remaining cities tend to average 1,000 active listings. Order by value for Q3 2019 is marked by a line on the top of the diagram.	152
8.4	The 185 European cities (with populations above 100,000) that exceeded 1,000 active listings in the 3rd quarter of 2019. It is the apogee of the Airbnb phenomenon – a moment of economic equilibrium for many of its vanguard cities.	153
9.1	Venice: a cruise ship in the San Marco basin, 2020.	161
9.2	Florence: the courtyard of the Uffizi Museum, 2019.	163
10.1	Screengrab from OpenStreetMap.	186
11.1	Word cloud of 'women of 1916' tweets.	191
11.2	Collocations of words or hashtags stemming from 'airbrush'.	201
11.3	Collocations of words or hashtags stemming from 'forgotten'.	202
12.1	The functions of a historic environment record as a simplified network.	214
13.1	The European Commission's investments in culture between 2000 and 2020.	227
13.2	Governance of the Europeana Foundation, as presented in the 2017 Business Plan.	235
14.1	Excerpt from Sir Hans Sloane's Catalogue of Fossils including Fishes, Birds, Eggs, Quadrupeds (Volume V).	251
14.2	Results of text mining analysis of territorial signifiers used in archaeological texts in conjunction with descriptions of archaeological phenomena.	253
15.1	'Talking Objects' case, 2019.	274
15.2	'Talking Turath/Heritage' theme, 2019.	274

15.3	‘Exile/Nafy – Displacement and Repossession’ theme, 2019.	276
15.4	‘Home/Watan – Wholeness and Fragments of Place’ theme, 2019.	279
15.5	‘Promise/Wa’ad – Visions of Fulfilment’ theme, 2019.	282
16.1	Equestrian statue of Juan de Oñate, Alcalde, New Mexico, 2006.	294
16.2	Statue of Junípero Serra in Golden Gate Park, San Francisco, 2015.	297
16.3	Decapitated statue of Father Junípero Serra, Monterey, California, 2015.	299
17.1	Graffiti on the front wall of a known collaborator’s house. Organised by the social movement Levante Popular da Juventude as part of the ‘ <i>escrachos populares</i> ’.	315
17.2	Memorials and plaques in the city of São Paulo, 1985 to 2020.	318
17.3	Monument in honour of the disappeared people from the dictatorship of 1964–1985 at the Ibirapuera Park.	321
17.4	Pedestrian routes at the cemetery.	322
17.5	The location of each plaque and monument and the results of the 2018 Brazilian presidential elections (electoral data from Tribunal Superior Eleitoral).	324

List of tables

13.1	Projects for the creation of The European Library (TEL).	229
17.1	Plaques and monuments related to memories of repression and resistance in the city of São Paulo.	315

Contributors

Fatima Al-Nammari is Assistant Professor in the College of Architecture, Petra University, Jordan. Her research addresses integrated studies of the built environment, including disasters, heritage and development. She has rich and diverse experience spanning several countries with local, international and UN organizations. Her professional work has included projects in refugee camps, urban and refugee heritage management, and disaster preparedness.

Janna oud Ammerveld completed her PhD as a CHEurope Marie Skłodowska-Curie Trainee at the UCL Institute of Archaeology in 2022. Her PhD research, titled ‘What Does Climate Change?’, focused on the impact of climate change’s presence as a hyperobject on the work of heritage policymakers in England and Sweden.

Łukasz Bugalski is Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Architecture, Gdańsk University of Technology. He has been trained in critical heritage studies (2017–2020) as part of the ‘CHEurope’ project (MSCA Innovative Training Network) conducted at IBC in Bologna. His research focuses on the intersection of urban studies and tourism economy studies.

Beverley Butler is Reader in Cultural Heritage at the UCL Institute of Archaeology. She directs the MA in Cultural Heritage Studies. Her key research interests include ‘Heritage Wellbeing’; Cultural Memory; Heritage Syndromes and ‘efficacies’ – particularly in contexts of marginalization, displacement, conflict, illness and extremism. She conducts ongoing long-term fieldwork research in the Middle East – notably, in Egypt, Palestine and Jordan.

Carlotta Capurro is a postdoctoral researcher at Utrecht University and an associated researcher at the Netherlands Institute for Art History (RKD). Her main research interest lies in the ethics and politics of digital cultural heritage and data infrastructures.

Vittoria Caradonna obtained her PhD from the University of Amsterdam in 2023. Her dissertation tracks how cultural memory is mobilised by and across a variety of heritage projects, which are attempting to reckon with the afterlives of colonialism and slavery but also with the entrenched histories of postcolonial and contemporary migrations.

Nélia Dias is Associate Professor in the Department of Anthropology, University Institute of Lisbon, ISCTE-IUL (Portugal). She works in the fields of heritage, museum studies, and the history of anthropology and of human sciences from the early nineteenth century to the present. Her research has been supported by the Fondation de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme, Max Planck Institute for the History of Science, Center for French History and Culture, Australian Research Council and the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia.

Moniek Driese is currently a PhD candidate at the University of Gothenburg's Department of Conservation. By conceptualising the term 'imaginary agency', and mobilising design research methods, she traces agencies of water in urban environments through time and space, to reimagine relationships of care between humans and the planet they inhabit.

Stuart Dunn is Professor of Spatial Humanities at King's College London, Visiting Professor at Riga Technical University and a Visiting Fellow of the Centre for Digital Humanities at the Australian National University. He is the author of *A History of Place in the Digital Age* (Routledge, 2019), coauthor of *Academic Crowdsourcing* (Chandos, 2017) and coeditor of Routledge's *International Handbook of Research Methods in Digital Humanities* (2020).

Andrew Flinn is Reader in Archival Studies and Oral History at University College London. He teaches and researches on critical archival studies and multimodal digital oral history. He is a trustee of the UK National Life Stories and vice-chair of the UK & Ireland Community Archives and Heritage Group.

Maria Pia Guermanti is Director of the Regional Museums System at Regione Emilia Romagna. Trained as an archaeologist specialising in classical and preventive archaeology, she has been project leader of many projects funded by the European Commission in the field of cultural heritage policies. Her current research interests focus on heritagisation processes, decolonisation, and cultural tourism as conflicted heritage.

Rodney Harrison is Professor of Heritage Studies at the UCL Institute of Archaeology, University College London, UK. He is (co)author or (co)editor of 20 books and guest-edited journal volumes and around 100 peer-reviewed journal articles and book chapters. Some of these have been translated into Chinese, Italian, Japanese, Polish and Portuguese language versions. In addition to the AHRC, his research has been funded by the UKRI/Global Challenges Research Fund, British Academy, Wenner-Gren Foundation, Australian Research Council, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies and the European Commission. He has conducted archaeological, anthropological and/or archival research in Australia, Southeast Asia, North America, South America, the Middle East, the UK and continental Europe.

Márcia Lika Hattori is a Brazilian archaeologist and forensic anthropologist. She completed her PhD, on the bureaucracy and the management of disappeared persons in São Paulo, Brazil, during the last dictatorship and the democratic period, at the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) in 2022.

William Illsley works with humanities and heritage data as a research data advisor at the Swedish National Data Service. His research interests pertain to digital epistemologies in heritage, source critique and communication in virtual heritage, and social and spatial theory in studying historic environments. He undertook his PhD research at the University of Gothenburg as part of the cohort of students funded under the CHEurope Marie Curie ITN.

Marcela Jaramillo is a consultant for international organisations on cultural heritage and conflict-related issues. She is a PhD candidate in the Department of Anthropology at ISCTE – the University Institute of Lisbon, and holds MAs in world heritage and cultural projects, and in political science and philosophy.

Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett is Distinguished Professor Emerita of Performance Studies, New York University, and Ronald S. Lauder Chief Curator of the Core Exhibition at POLIN Museum of the History of Polish Jews, in Warsaw.

Peter Krieger is Research Professor at the Institute of Aesthetic Research (Instituto de Investigaciones Estéticas) and Professor of Art History and Architecture at the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM).

Kristian Kristiansen is Professor of Archaeology at the University of Gothenburg, and affiliated professor at Copenhagen University. His research spans from the prehistory of western Eurasia to critical heritage. He was one of the initiators of the European Association of Archaeologists, and is now working mainly within the new interdisciplinary field of archaeogenetic research, and its implications for both prehistory and the present. He has published 25 books, as author, coauthor and editor/coeditor, six of which are from Cambridge University Press, and more than 150 peer-reviewed papers.

Randall H. McGuire is SUNY Distinguished Professor at Binghamton University in Binghamton, New York. He received his BA from the University of Texas and his PhD from the University of Arizona. He has published extensively on Marxist theory and Indigenous archaeology. He does field work in Sonora, México.

Julianne Nyhan is Professor of Humanities Data Science and Methodology at TU Darmstadt, Professor of Digital Humanities in the Department of Information Studies and Director of the UCL Centre for Digital Humanities at University College London.

Katie O'Donoghue is a Marie Curie Early Career Researcher/PhD candidate at the Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Institute for Women's Health, University College London. She has an MA in Art Psychotherapy and many years of experience working in the health sector.

Alexandra Ortolja-Baird is Lecturer in Digital History and Culture at the University of Portsmouth. Her research intersects intellectual history, cultural heritage and digital humanities.

Gertjan Plets is Associate Professor in Heritage Studies and Archaeology in the Department of History and Art History at Utrecht University in the Netherlands.

Hannah K. Smyth is Lecturer in Archives and Records Management at University College London, Department of Information Studies. Her research engages commemoration, feminist uses of the past in social media, and the politics of digitisation.

Colin Sterling is Assistant Professor of Memory and Museums at the University of Amsterdam. His research investigates heritage and museums through the lens of art and ecology. He is the author of *Heritage, Photography, and the Affective Past* (Routledge, 2020) and coeditor of *Deterritorializing the Future: Heritage in, of and after the Anthropocene* (Open Humanities Press, 2020) and *Reimagining Museums for Climate Action* (Museums for Climate Action, 2021).

Jaap Verheul is Associate Professor of Cultural History at Utrecht University. He specialises in transnational, transatlantic and American cultural history. He applies digital humanities methods to analyse concepts, cultural perceptions, identity formation, and patterns of cultural transfer in large historical data sets.

Mela Zuljevic is a design researcher with a PhD in architecture (UHasselt, Belgium). She is currently a postdoctoral researcher at the Leibniz Institute for Regional Geography (Leibniz-Institut für Länderkunde – IfL), working at the intersection of design, cartography and landscape research.

Preface and acknowledgements

This book is an outcome of the project ‘CHEurope: Critical Heritage Studies and the Futures of Europe: Towards an integrated, interdisciplinary and transnational training model in cultural heritage research and management’. The project was funded by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA) – Innovative Training Networks (ITN) programme (Grant Agreement Nr – 722416). ‘CHEurope’ was a PhD training programme in cultural heritage studies and was the result of a collaboration between key European academic and non-academic organisations in Belgium, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The project ran for almost 5 years (November 2016 to August 2021), supporting the research and training of 15 Early-Stage Researchers (ESRs)/PhD students from Europe and other parts of the world.

The project was led by Kristian Kristiansen of the University of Gothenburg (UGOT), Sweden, with the assistance of project coordinator Gian Giuseppe Simeone (UGOT/Culture Lab, Belgium), without whom the project and this volume would not have been possible. The ESRs funded by the research programme were Khaled El-Samman Ahmed (UGOT), Anne Beeksma (Spanish National Research Council / Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Spain), Łukasz Bugalski (Istituto per I Beni Artistici, Culturali e Naturali of the Region Emilia Romagna (IBC), Italy), Vittoria Caradonna (University of Amsterdam (UvA), The Netherlands), Carlotta Capurro (Utrecht University (UU), The Netherlands), Moniek Driesse (UGOT), Nermin el-Sharif (UvA), William Illsley (UGOT), Marcela Jaramillo Contreras (ISCTE – University Institute of Lisbon, Portugal), Marcia Lika Hattori (CSIC), Nevena Markovic (CSIC), Katie O’Donoghue (University College London (UCL), United Kingdom), Janna Oud Ammerveld (UCL), Hannah K. Smyth (UCL) and Mela Zuljevic (Hasselt University (UHASSELT), Belgium). They were joined by named applicants, principal supervisors and work package leads Henric Benesch, Cecilia Lindhe, Ingrid Martins Holmberg,

Mats Malm and Ola Sigurdson (UGOT); Beverley Butler, Andrew Flinn, Rodney Harrison, Anne Lanceley, Michael Rowlands and Julianne Nyhan (UCL); Maria Pia Guermandi (IBC); Robin Boast, Chiara De Cesari and Rob van der Laarse (UvA); Liesbeth Huybrechts (UHASSELT); Gertjan Plets and Jaap Verheul (UU); Nélia Dias (ISCTE-IUL); and Felipe Criado Boado, Alfredo González-Ruibal, César Parcero-Oubiña and Cristina Sánchez-Carretero (CSIC), who each contributed to and participated in a range of activities over the 5 years of the project.

The project was also supported by a significant number of non-academic heritage and museum sector partner organisations. These included the National Museums of World Culture, Gothenburg, Sweden; KHM-Museumsverband/Weltmuseum Wien, Austria; Bohusläns Museum, Sweden; Göteborg City Museum, Sweden; Z33 – Huis voor Actuele Kunst, Belgium; National Museum of World Cultures, The Netherlands; Jewish Historical Museum, The Netherlands; Black Cultural Archives, UK; British Library, UK; Imaxin|Software S.L., Spain; Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Italy; Netherlands Institute for Sound and Vision, The Netherlands; UCL Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Institute for Women's Health, UK; National Museum and Research Center of Altamira, Spain; Institut national de recherches archéologiques préventives (INRAP), France; Social Spaces, Inter-Actions, LUCA School of Arts, Belgium; Culture Lab SPRL/LTD, Belgium; Soprintendenza Archeologica di Roma, Italy; Stichting Imagine Identity and Culture, The Netherlands; Amsterdam Museum, The Netherlands; Swedish National Heritage Board, Sweden; Historic England, UK; CRESCER - Associação de Intervenção Comunitária, Portugal; Tropenmuseum, The Netherlands; Europeana Foundation, The Netherlands; University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK; Medelhavsmuseet, Sweden; Verhalenhuis Belvédère, The Netherlands; Asociación vecinal María Castaña, Spain; Cambridge Heritage Research Centre, UK; and Leibniz-Zentrum Moderner Orient, Germany.

The project organised six residential Joint Research Seminars and two Summer Schools. These were hosted by UCL (organised by Esther Breithoff and Rodney Harrison), UvA (organised by Robin Boast, Chiara De Cesari, Rob van der Laarse, Vittoria Caradonna and Nermin el-Sharif), UHasselt/Z33 (organised by Liesbeth Huybrechts and Mela Zuljevic), IBC (organised by Maria Pia Guermandi and Łukasz Bugalski), UU (organised by Gertjan Plets, Jaap Verheul and Carlotta Capurro), ISCTE-IUL (organised by Nélia Dias, Rodney Harrison, Janna Oud Ammerveld and Marcela Jaramillo Contreras), CSIC (organised by Felipe Criado Boado, César Parcero-Oubiña, Cristina Sánchez-Carretero, Alfredo González-Ruibal, Nevena Markovic, Anne

Beeksma and Marcia Lika Hattori) and UGOT (organised by Kristian Kristiansen, Khaled El-Samman Ahmed, Moniek Driesse and William Illsley), respectively. The project's final conference took place online on 15 and 16 October 2020 and was organised and hosted by UGOT. Many of the chapters in this volume were first presented at that conference. We thank the organisers, contributors and speakers at each of these events for their input to the research and training programme. The project was also supported by the joint UGOT–UCL Centre for Critical Heritage Studies.

We thank Matthew Leonard and Jillian Bowie for their careful and thorough copy-editorial work on the volume, and commissioning editors Chris Penfold and Pat Gordon-Smith at UCL Press for their work seeing the volume through to publication.

Further information about the project, and its online exhibition 'Yesterday is here. Exploring heritage futures across Europe and beyond', designed by curator and artist Nuno Coelho, is available at <http://cheurope-project.eu/>

1

Rethinking museums for the climate emergency

Rodney Harrison and Colin Sterling

Introduction

Museums, galleries and collections are often seen as static and backwards-looking, more concerned with the past than with the present and the future. While this impression is slowly changing, they are perhaps not the most obvious subject to focus on when thinking about climate action. However, climate change is much more than simply an environmental or scientific concern. It impacts on all aspects of social, cultural, political and economic life, including museums. Questions of sponsorship, carbon emissions, waste, transport and the need for more sustainable buildings are currently being debated across the sector. At the same time, museums have an important role to play in communicating the climate emergency to the public. For many people, they remain a trusted source of information, with the capacity to inspire real change in individuals and society. Far from being relics of the past, museums are increasingly called upon to help shape a more just and sustainable future.

Museums are also deeply entrenched in broader histories of colonialism, globalisation and capitalism. As such, they are closely bound up with many of the forces that have led the planet to the brink of ecological collapse, including the separation of human and non-human life; the marginalisation and oppression of Black, Indigenous and minority ethnic peoples; and the celebration of progress narratives dependent on unlimited economic growth. Recent years have witnessed a profound shift in the way museums engage with such legacies, but their underlying logics of preservation, interpretation, curating, education and research remain largely unchallenged.

This chapter describes and reflects on an international collaborative research project, ideas competition, exhibition and series of activities – Reimagining Museums for Climate Action (RMCA) – which aimed for a significant intervention in contemporary thinking about museums, to inspire radical changes to address the climate emergency in the lead-up to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Conference – COP26¹ – held in Glasgow in November 2021 (Harrison and Sterling 2021; McGhie 2021; RMCA 2022). The chapter concludes with a discussion which draws on what we learned from the project and from our participation in various activities linked to COP26, and the implications for museums worldwide.

Why rethink museums? Museums and the climate emergency

A range of activities have emerged across the museum sector in recent years in response to the climate emergency. Across the globe, museums have mobilised to address the challenges of a warming world through curatorial work, collecting programmes, public engagement activities and new development strategies that do not shy away from the profound consequences of the climate emergency (e.g. Brophy and Wylie 2013; Cameron and Neilson 2014; Newell, Robin and Wehner 2016; L'Internationale 2016). At the same time, a broad range of initiatives have challenged the familiar idea of the museum in direct response to the climate emergency. These include activist-oriented climate museums in New York and the UK, but also the proposed Museum for the United Nations, whose first project – ‘My Mark, My City’ – aimed to galvanise climate action in communities around the world. Alongside these, we cannot fail to mention the urgent work of protest groups such as Culture Unstained and BP or Not BP, who seek to end fossil fuel sponsorship across the cultural sector (e.g. see Garrard 2021). There are important parallels here with broader initiatives that aim to address the ongoing role of museums and heritage in supporting systemic forms of racism and inequality. In the UK and the USA, campaign groups and forums such as Museum Detox, Museums Are Not Neutral, Museum as Muck and Decolonize This Place have drawn attention to the historical and contemporary injustices of the field in ways that often coalesce with the political dimensions of climate action. Such work helps to surface the dense entanglement of museums with destructive environmental forces, including colonialism and the extractivist methods of industrial capitalism. Museums have

never been isolated from the injustices of the world, but their complicity in a range of oppressive and damaging structures is now being thrown into sharp focus on multiple fronts.

As the editors of *Ecologising Museums* note, ‘the museum’ is not just a ‘technical operation but is also imbued with a certain (modern) mindset which itself raises questions of sustainability’ (L’Internationale 2016: 5). Acknowledging the pervasiveness of this mindset leads to an important question, namely: ‘To what degree are the core activities of collecting, preserving and presenting in fact attitudes that embody an unsustainable view of the world and the relationship between man [sic] and nature?’ (L’Internationale 2016: 5). A growing subfield of climate-related publications in museum studies has begun to explore this line of enquiry in recent years, including three special issues of relevant academic journals on the subject in 2020 alone (Davis 2020; Sutton and Robinson 2020; Þórsson and Nørskov 2020). The breadth of case studies, creative interventions and conceptual approaches found across this literature provides a valuable overview of the manifold ways in which museums intersect with climate action. Some of the main dimensions of this work include the idea that museums are ‘trusted spaces’ in which different publics can engage with the science of climate change (Cameron, Hodge and Salazar 2013); the possibility for collections – especially natural history collections – to inform new approaches to biodiversity conservation (McGhie 2019a); the need for museums to promote alternative forms of consumption (Arfvidsson and Follin 2020); the opportunities for cross-cultural engagement that may emerge around specific objects and narratives related to climate change (Newell, Robin and Wehner 2016); and the potential to break down the boundaries between nature and culture through different modes of conservation and curating (Þórsson 2018). What such work highlights most clearly is the fact that there is no single pathway or theory of change for the sector in relation to climate issues – addressing this crisis involves new imaginaries, new practices, new concepts and new strategic alliances.

What we also find across much of this work is a recognition – sometimes explicit, sometimes implicit – that the emergence and spread of museums around the world tracks the rise of carbon emissions and environmental degradation in ways that can no longer be ignored. This realisation offers a useful corrective to an often optimistic reading of museums as a diverse global phenomenon. While the global museum ‘franchise’ described by Janes (2009) may be seen as a valuable tool in the fight against climate change on the one hand, it can also be read as an artefact of the Industrial Revolution, or of colonialism, or of the Great

Acceleration. Museums are being called into question in this moment of crisis precisely because they can be seen as both an instrument and a legacy of the processes that have led to this crisis. Even as they celebrate and promote their capacity to protect, conserve and ‘care for’ the planet, museums also embody and, in some cases, perpetuate the ‘Great Derangement’ (Ghosh 2016) that undergirds climate breakdown.

This brings us to an important point in understanding the roots of the RMCA project, which at its core aimed to inspire radical change in museums to address the climate emergency. The key point here is that, in many ways, this change is already upon us. As authors such as Timothy Morton (2013), David Wallace-Wells (2019) and Andreas Malm (2018) highlight, the climate emergency is more than simply a problem to be overcome so that we can get back to business as usual – it is, potentially, a knowledge system or condition as all-encompassing as modernity or postmodernity. Such a perspective recognises that the impacts of climate change are felt not just in rising temperatures, biodiversity loss and other environmental consequences, but in psychic experience, cultural responses, business, politics and our relationship to time and history (Wallace-Wells 2019: 155, Malm 2018: 11). This is the change that museums are currently navigating, just as much as they are confronting the damaging effects of a warming world. This vastly expands the scope of museological ‘reimagining’, which in our view can no longer be left to museologists alone.

A participatory thought experiment

How can we expand the dialogue around museums, and how might we move from speculating about what museums could be, to practically reimagining their role in the future? Prompted by the need for radical new thinking around museums and heritage in response to the climate emergency (and in direct contrast to previous work undertaken by one of us which focused more on engaging with heritage and museum practitioners and policymakers; see Harrison et al. 2020), RMCA began life as an international design and ideas competition, launched on 18 May 2020 for International Museums Day, that aimed to open up the discussion around this subject to new publics and new constituents. The competition specifically invited ‘designers, architects, academics, artists, poets, philosophers, writers, museum professionals, Indigenous groups, community groups and the public at large to radically (re)imagine and (re)design the museum as an institution, to help bring about more equitable and sustainable futures in the climate change era’. Responding to the two main pillars of climate action, mitigation and adaptation (see also oud Ammerveld,

this volume), the competition asked how museums could help society make the deep, transformative changes needed to achieve a net-zero or zero-carbon world. Rather than focus on a specific location or type of museum, the competition invited proposals that aimed to unsettle and subvert the very foundations of museological thinking in order to support and encourage meaningful climate action. It specifically asked for design and concept proposals that were radically different from the ‘traditional’ museum, or that explored new ways for traditional museums to operate. The responses, which could address any aspect of museum design and activity, ranged from the fantastical to the highly practical.

A number of different research trajectories came together in co-authoring the competition brief, including McGhie’s policy-oriented work on museums and the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Goals (e.g. McGhie 2019a, 2019b), Harrison’s speculative approach to heritage as a future-making practice (Harrison 2013, 2015; Harrison et al. 2020; Harrison and Sterling 2021) and Sterling’s interest in critical-creative design practices in heritage and museums (Sterling 2019). While these trajectories overlap in some ways, the gaps and tensions between research that is quite theoretical in outlook and work that is more concerned with policy and practice created a useful foundation for thinking holistically about museums and climate action. To this end, the brief encompassed issues of collecting, conservation and exhibition making, the links between decolonisation and decarbonisation, the need to challenge foundational principles, the desire for speculative ideas about what museums could be, and the relationship between museums and climate justice. As an activity linked to the UK’s hosting of COP26 in Glasgow, the brief also paid particular attention to the various United Nations programmes connected to museums, including Action for Climate Empowerment.

The competition attracted over 500 expressions of interest, resulting in 264 submissions from 48 countries around the world. Working with an international panel of judges, and in partnership with the Glasgow Science Centre (GSC) as hosts, we selected eight winning entries to form the core of an exhibition to be hosted by the GSC in advance of COP26 and then during the event as part of the ‘Green Zone’.² The exhibitors included established designers, curators, academics, sound artists, digital specialists, Indigenous film-makers, emerging architectural practices and museum managers – a good example of the transdisciplinary conversations and alliances required to ‘reimagine’ museums in any meaningful way. The international scope of the competition also underlined the fact that critical and creative thinking about museums often involves moving between different scales and contexts, from the hyper-local to the planetary, from city centres to forest ecosystems.

Reimagining museums for climate action: the exhibition

The exhibition was composed of an introduction and eight individual exhibits which were developed by eight competition-winning teams in consultation with the project team. These were as follows:

1. *Museum of Open Windows* (Livia Wang; Nico Alexandroff; RESOLVE Collective: Akil Scafe-Smith, Seth Scafe-Smith, Melissa Haniff; Studio MASH: Max Martin, Angus Smith, Conor Sheehan: UK), which aimed to repurpose the existing global infrastructure of museums to support inter-community collaboration and citizen research on climate change and climate action (see [Figure 1.1](#)).
2. *Existances* (Jairza Fernandes Rocha da Silva, Nayhara J. A. Pereira Thiers Vieira, João Francisco Vitório Rodrigues, Natalino Neves da Silva, Walter Francisco Figueiredo Lowande: Brazil), which aimed to show the power of collective knowledge in the fight against climate change, imagining a network of micro-museums embedded in and responding to the diverse lifeworlds of African and Amerindian communities in Brazil (see [Figure 1.2](#)).



Figure 1.1 *Museum of Open Windows* exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26. © Rodney Harrison.




Figure 1.2 *Existences* exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26. © Rodney Harrison.

3. *Natural Future Museums* (Takumã Kuikuro and Thiago Jesus: Brazil/UK), which asked what it would mean to confer museum status on existing Indigenous lands in forests and other places that play a key role in climate action, in doing so, questioning the very idea of the museum itself.
4. *Weathering With Us* (Isabella Ong and Tan Wen Jun: Singapore), which imagined a new kind of contemplative museum space where climate action is materialised in the very structure and experience of the building (see [Figure 1.3](#)).
5. *Dundee Museum of Transport* (Peter Webber, Alexander Goodger, Matthew Wong, Wendy Maltman and Katherine Southern: UK) which asked how a traditional museum might evolve to address the contemporary challenge of sustainable travel in an inclusive way.
6. *Elephant in the Room* (Design Earth: Rania Ghosn, El Hadi Jazairy, Monica Hutton and Anhong Li: USA), a short film, narrated by Donna Haraway, which offered a fantastical story in which a stuffed elephant comes to life and forces museums and wider society to confront their role in climate change.

7. *Story: Web* (The Great North Museum: Hancock, Open Lab: Simon Bowen, The Tyndall Centre/CAST: Sarah Mander, David de la Haye: UK), which mobilised existing museum collections to empower people to curate their own climate stories, experiences and networks on a global scale.



Figure 1.3 *Weathering With Us* exhibit as part of the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition at the Glasgow Science Centre for COP26. © Rodney Harrison.

8. *A Series of Collective, Non-Statistical Evidence* (ppppooilll: Kamil Muhammad, Haidar El Haq, Amelia M. Djaja, Gregorius Jasson and Ken Fernanda: Indonesia), which applied familiar museum practices of collecting, display and participation to imagine spaces of dialogue, where different communities come together to share and articulate their personal experiences of climate change.

The exhibition also contained a selection of images from an additional 71 concepts and proposals from the competition which were featured on the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action website (RMCA 2022) to inspire radical change in museums to address the climate emergency. It was integrated within the existing Powering the Future display at the GSC to highlight the crucial role that cultural institutions must play in shaping the world of tomorrow. In addition to hosting this further long-list of proposals, a website was developed to provide virtual access to the exhibition for those who were unable to travel to Glasgow to visit in person, while a series of resources, events and activities to inspire new thinking both inside and outside of the sector on the role of museums in the climate emergency were also developed over this time.

Reimagining Museums for Climate Action at the UNFCCC COP26

The Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition first opened at the GSC in June 2021, running through until mid-October 2021 and being seen by around 60,000 visitors. It reopened on 31 October 2021 as part of the official UNFCCC COP26 Green Zone over the two weeks of the conference from 31 October to 12 November, during which time it was seen by another 60,000 or so visitors (see [Figure 1.4](#)). The exhibition was also featured as one of ‘Five incredible ideas from the COP26 Green Zone’ as part of the COP26 Virtual Green Zone, hosted by Google Arts and Culture, which remains live as a virtual artefact of the exhibits and the activities which took place there.³ RMCA team members participated in several events at the COP26 Blue and Green Zones, presenting a virtual plenary panel from COP26 for the Museums Association Conference and co-organising the panel ‘Powering climate action through heritage policies, organisations, research and public programmes’ which took place in the EU Pavilion.

During the two weeks of COP26, volunteers from a number of different organisations helped the RMCA team to engage with visitors by asking ‘What if museums ...?’ and collecting their ideas about how museums might change to empower them, and the groups they represented, to take their desired form of climate action (see [Figure 1.5](#)). These



Figure 1.4 Speaking with visitors to the Reimagining Museums for Climate Change exhibition during COP26. © Rodney Harrison.




Figure 1.5 Collecting responses to the question ‘What if museums...?’ from visitors to the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action exhibition during COP26. © Rodney Harrison.

additional ideas, which we collected from visitors to the Green Zone during COP26, are also featured on the project website, and provide an additional set of concepts and ideas for museums to consider when taking radical action for climate.

Mobilising Museums for Climate Action: a toolbox of ideas

In addition to the ideas competition and exhibition, the project developed a series of open-access resources that aimed to explore how we can rethink the shape and purpose of museums, reimagine new forms of museum and mobilise the potential of museums – in current and new forms – to accelerate, amplify and transform climate action everywhere.

The Mobilising Museums for Climate Action ‘toolbox’ (McGhie 2021) is a collection of practical tools, frameworks, essential climate knowledge and opportunities that museums and their partners can adopt. The toolbox is organised into bite-size chunks to break through the complex and sometimes confusing nature of climate action work. The toolbox is available as a PDF and as a web-based version for automatic translation for accessibility in different languages.

Mobilising Museums for Climate Action is framed around a set of five ways for museums to contribute to climate action, which are addressed in different sections of the toolbox:

1. **Mitigation through museums.** Museums must support all of society to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, rapidly, in line with Paris Agreement commitments, by encouraging and empowering people to understand the part they have to play in climate action, and to use less, waste less and make sure anything they do use is renewable. They can foster support, and sharing of resources, for nature conservation efforts that strengthen nature’s ability to absorb greenhouse gas emissions.
2. **Mitigation in museums.** Museums must aggressively reduce greenhouse gas emissions across all aspects of their activity, in line with Paris Agreement commitments. They can ensure all staff and all people and organisations in the value chain understand the part they must play in climate action and are empowered to act through policies and resourcing so that every action is supporting climate action, in order to use less, waste less and make sure anything that is used is renewable. They can direct financial and other resources towards

- nature conservation efforts that strengthen nature's ability to absorb greenhouse gas emissions, through their everyday decisions and procurement practices.
3. **Adaptation through museums.** Museums must support all of society and nature to face and cope with current and projected climate change impacts.
 4. **Adaptation in museums.** Museums must understand how they will be impacted by climate change and adapt their practices, location, programmes and collections to be fit for the future.
 5. **Climate action as part of sustainable development, climate justice and a just transition.** Museums must ensure that all climate change activity is undertaken in ways that do not themselves disenfranchise people or communities, locally or globally; and recognise that, in tackling climate change, other sustainable development challenges must be addressed at the same time.

In 2022, we received additional funding to run a series of workshops around the toolbox, in partnership with a number of key UK-based and international museum organisations and individual museums, showing how the principles developed as part of the project could be put into action in specific contexts. As a result of this additional funding, over 1,200 people participated in online and in-person events organised by the project team or to which project team members contributed, presenting the project and toolbox. In addition, over 30,000 people watched a recording of an event at the United Nations High-Level Political Forum for the Sustainable Development Goals, organised by Latvia, that included discussion of the project and its outcomes.

Reflections on the project and our involvement in COP26

Enabling, empowering and mobilising public action on climate will clearly be crucial to the goal of maintaining global heating at or below 1.5°C and to reimagining and recreating a net-zero or zero-carbon world. The Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Paris Agreement both recognise the crucial importance of involving the public in climate action. They both specify the importance of public education, training of key groups of staff, public awareness campaigns, public participation in climate change decision-making, public access to information on

science and policy regarding climate change, and international cooperation. These six areas are known informally as Action for Climate Empowerment, or ACE.

The submissions we received from the ideas competition, the work we have done with and around the exhibition, and the discussions we had at COP26 itself show that museums have incredible potential not only to communicate but also, and perhaps more importantly, to become facilitators for real and radical climate action. Museums are specifically named as key institutions to facilitate public participation in climate action in the 10-year Glasgow Work Programme on Action for Climate Empowerment (and this is something we were particularly involved in following and contributing to at COP26 as a project team). Activities under the work programme are focused on four priority areas that aim to address gaps and challenges in implementing the six elements of ACE and to create opportunities to accelerate implementation. The four priority areas are as follows:

- Policy coherence, to strengthen coordination of ACE work at the international and national level.
- Coordinated action, to build partnerships that bring together different expertise, resources and knowledge to accelerate ACE implementation.
- Tools and support, to enhance access to tools and support for building capacity and raising awareness among various stakeholders regarding ACE.
- Monitoring, evaluating and reporting, to strengthen monitoring, evaluation and reporting of the implementation of all six ACE elements.

The Glasgow work programme reconfirms the key role that a broad range of stakeholders – such as national and subnational governments, educational and cultural institutions, the private sector, international and non-governmental organisations and the media – play in implementing ACE, and promotes cooperation, collaboration and partnerships among the diverse stakeholders.

But to do this, they need to do a number of things. The Mobilising Museums for Climate Action toolbox proposes five practical pathways to climate action for museums:

- Reducing emissions in museums, through a range of direct and indirect initiatives to rapidly shift away from fossil fuels in heating, energy and transport, and change working practices and standards that use

these, or that are inefficient; as well as less direct ones, such as reducing employee business travel and commuting, reducing consumption of goods and services, and considering issues such as visitor travel to museums, investments and waste management (see McGhie 2021, Part d).

- Supporting society to reduce emissions.
- Ensuring museums are fit for the future to adapt to climate change.
- Supporting society's adaptation.
- Ensuring that climate action is fair and contributes to broader sustainable development goals (McGhie 2021).

But these practical goals can only be achieved by taking further action to rethink the roles of museums in society. To this end, there are another five ways in which we would suggest museums need to fundamentally change to reimagine themselves for future climate action.

First, and perhaps most fundamentally, they need to reckon with their histories, and how those histories continue to play out in the present. As shown by ongoing discussions about the restitution and repatriation of objects in museums, they need to take a critical look at their histories and the role played by the narratives they have produced – narratives of human exceptionalism, hierarchical understandings of human culture and an emphasis on 'progress' and 'civilisation' – in underpinning and helping to produce the current climate emergency.

Second, they need to rapidly decarbonise museum buildings and their operations (especially things like touring exhibitions which are incredibly carbon-intensive). This means benchmarking success differently – in terms not of numbers of visitors, but of how they interact with and facilitate social action. Third, they need to take a critical look at who they associate with and the sponsorship they receive – as emphasised by current protests at the Science Museum and British Museum and the work of activist groups like Culture Unstained and Fossil Free Culture.

Fourth, in addition to telling their own stories differently, they need to tell different stories. Any museum can be a 'climate museum', and some of the ideas in the exhibition and our various project outputs explore how this might be done. Finally, they need to see their role differently – as facilitators for individuals and communities, aiming to catalyse and support them in taking the climate action they wish to take, rather than as authorities.

Every part of society will need to make radical changes to address the climate emergency, and this includes museums and the cultural

sector. Museums could, and in many cases are keen to, play a leading role in these transformations. But like all of society, they will only be able to do so if they make significant changes to the way they operate, the stories they tell and how they are told, the sponsorship they receive, and the ways in which they perceive their roles in relation to the publics they serve.

Conclusion

In *The Great Derangement*, Amitav Ghosh argues that ‘the climate crisis is also a crisis of culture, and thus of the imagination’ (Ghosh 2016: 9). Thus, there is an urgent need for new creative imaginaries to help confront the challenges of a warming world. Reimagining Museums for Climate Action has aimed to push forwards critical and creative thinking in a number of key areas. First, by recognising that museums are densely entangled with the problem of climate change, we sought to underline the need for an epistemic shift in museological thinking and practice to bring about meaningful climate action. Second, by highlighting the manifold ways in which museums are to some extent already embedded in the work of climate action, we hoped to draw together disparate strategies and approaches from across the sector. Third, by expanding the conversation around this problem to those outside the rather narrow field of ‘museum studies’, we sought to encourage transdisciplinary perspectives and imaginaries. Finally, by embracing speculative design as a creative methodology for the field, the project has aimed to challenge preconceptions about what a museum could or should be.

Taken collectively, the competition entries and suggestions collected from the public during COP26 suggest a number of important transformations which must take place in order for museums to become meaningful institutions in facilitating real climate action. The first relates to breaking down boundaries and moving away from authoritarian values of order and control. In an inevitably transforming future world, museums must accept and embrace the creative possibilities of uncertainty and change rather than work against these forces. This will mean reimagining the familiar structure of museums – the second major theme to emerge from the competition. Instead of centralised spaces and buildings, many of the submitted proposals called for non-hierarchical ‘networks’ enabling a decentralised approach to collecting, education and research. This would require a fundamental rethink of the way museums are typically governed – the third and perhaps most important theme to emerge across the competition entries.

Certain crises demand new forms of decision-making where experts and lay people can come together to imagine new futures.

As a participatory thought experiment, what the project perhaps demonstrates most clearly is the radical potential that still clings to the idea of the museum, taking us far beyond the walls of any single building or site to encompass community activism, digital infrastructures, citizen science and diverse forms of ‘rewilding’. Such propositions do not simply imagine new purposes for ‘the museum’ as an apparatus of climate action; rather, they question and undermine the very substance of museological work and its role in the production of future worlds. We remain hopeful – despite the dire warnings for the future of the planet which have accompanied the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Group I contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report – of the significant potential for museums to contribute to the broad social, ecological, economic and political transformations which will be required to address the climate emergency.

Acknowledgements

Reimagining Museums for Climate Action received funding from the Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) as a joint initiative under Rodney Harrison’s Heritage Priority Area Leadership Fellowship (AH/P009719/1) and Colin Sterling’s Innovation Fellowship ‘New Trajectories in Curatorial Experience Design’ (AH/S00436X/1). It received further financial support from the joint University College London–University of Gothenburg Centre for Critical Heritage Studies (CCHS). The project was undertaken in partnership with Henry McGhie (Curating Tomorrow) and the Glasgow Science Centre. Rowan Ward was a postdoctoral researcher on the project, which was also assisted by Janna oud Ammerveld. See <https://www.museumsforclimateaction.org> for further information.

Parts of this essay reproduce passages also published in: Harrison, Rodney and Colin Sterling (in prep.), *The Speculative and the Profane: Reimagining Heritage and Museums for Climate Action*, in Nick Shepherd (ed.), *Resilient Heritage: Rethinking Heritage in Precarious Times* (London: Routledge); Harrison, Rodney and Colin Sterling (2021), *Museums Otherwise: A Compendium of Possible Futures*, in Rodney Harrison and Colin Sterling (eds), *Reimagining Museums for Climate Action* (London: Museums for Climate Action): 6–15; and the Reimagining Museums for Climate Action website (RMCA 2022).

Notes

1. In 1992, the world's governments committed to address the rapidly growing threat of global climate change by adopting the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which aims to achieve the 'stabilisation of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system'. The convention came into force in 1994. Since then, governments and their representatives have met twice a year to monitor progress, evaluate what action is needed to meet the convention's key goals, and agree programmes of activity that are then to be delivered in each country. Notably, the Kyoto Protocol of 1997 committed its signatories by setting internationally binding targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Paris Agreement of 2015 saw its signatories agree 'to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change by keeping a global temperature rise this century well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 degrees Celsius'. Each year, a meeting is held in Bonn, Germany, in the summer, to help set the direction for the larger, more important conference that is usually held in November or December. This larger meeting is often referred to as the COP, which means the 'Conference of the Parties'. COP26, the 26th Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC, was originally scheduled to take place in November 2020, but was rescheduled for November 2021 on account of the global COVID-19 pandemic. This was the first year since 1984 that a COP had not been held.
2. The 'Green Zone' at any COP is the public-facing part of the conference. The 'Blue Zone' is the policy-facing part of the conference and is only accessible to official delegates.
3. https://artsandculture.google.com/story/reimagining-museums-for-climate-action-cabinet-office/tAWB_rRlcmjQ?hl=en

References

- Arvidsson, Helen and Ann Follin. 2020. Connectedness, Consumption and Climate Change: The Exhibition Human Nature. *Museum Management and Curatorship* 35(6): 684–696.
- Brophy, Sarah and Elizabeth Wylie. 2013. *The Green Museum: A Primer on Environmental Practice*. Lanham: AltaMira Press.
- Cameron, Fiona and Brett Neilson (eds). 2014. *Climate Change and Museum Futures*. New York: Routledge.
- Cameron, Fiona, Bob Hodge and Juan Francisco Salazar. 2013. Representing Climate Change in Museum Spaces and Places. *WIREs Climate Change* 4(9): 9–21.
- Davis, Joy (ed.). 2020. *Museums and Climate Action* [special issue]. *Museum Management and Curatorship* 35(6).
- Garrard, Chris. 2021. Taking the Logos Down: From Oil Sponsorship to Fossil Free Culture. In Rodney Harrison and Colin Sterling (eds), *Reimagining Museums for Climate Action*. London: Museums for Climate Action: 52–59.
- Ghosh, Amitav. 2016. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press.
- Harrison, Rodney. 2013. *Heritage: Critical Approaches*. Abingdon and New York: Routledge.
- Harrison, Rodney. 2015. Beyond 'Natural' and 'Cultural' Heritage: Toward an Ontological Politics of Heritage in the Age of Anthropocene. *Heritage & Society* 8(1): 24–42.
- Harrison, Rodney, Caitlin DeSilvey, Cornelius Holtorf, Sharon Macdonald, Nadia Bartolini, Esther Breithoff, Harald Fredheim, Antony Lyons, Sarah May, Jennie Morgan and Sefryn Penrose. 2020. *Heritage Futures: Comparative Approaches to Natural and Cultural Heritage Practices*. London: UCL Press.
- Harrison, Rodney and Colin Sterling (eds). 2021. *Reimagining Museums for Climate Action*. London: Museums for Climate Action.
- Janes, Robert. 2009. *Museums in a Troubled World: Renewal, Irrelevance or Collapse?* London and New York: Routledge.
- L'Internationale (eds). 2016. *Ecologising Museums*. Paris: L'Internationale.

- Malm, Andreas. 2018. *The Progress of This Storm: Nature and Society in a Warming World*. London: Verso.
- McGhie, Henry. 2019a. *Museum collections and biodiversity conservation*. Curating Tomorrow. Online at <http://www.curatingtomorrow.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/museum-collections-and-biodiversity-conservation-2019.pdf> Accessed 13 March 2023.
- McGhie, Henry. 2019b. *Museums and the Sustainable Development Goals: A How-To Guide for Museums, Galleries, the Cultural Sector and their Partners*. Curating Tomorrow.
- McGhie, Henry. 2021. *Mobilising Museums for Climate Action: Tools, Frameworks and Opportunities to Accelerate Climate Action in and with Museums*. London: Museums for Climate Action. Online at <https://www.museumsforclimateaction.org/mobilise/toolbox> Accessed 13 March 2023.
- Morton, Timothy. 2013. *Hyperobjects: Philosophy and Ecology at the End of the World*. Minneapolis: Minnesota University Press.
- Newell, Jennifer, Libby Robin and Kirsten Wehner. 2016. *Curating the Future: Museums, Communities and Climate Change*. London and New York: Routledge.
- RMCA. 2022. Reimagining Museums for Climate Action website. Online at <https://www.museumsforclimateaction.org/> Accessed 13 March 2023.
- Sterling, Colin. 2019. Designing 'Critical' Heritage Experiences: Immersion, Enchantment and Autonomy. *Archaeology International* 22(1): 100–113. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ai-401>
- Sutton, Sarah and Cynthia Robinson (eds). 2020. *Museums and Public Climate Action* [special issue]. *Journal of Museum Education* 45(1).
- Þórsson, Bergsveinn. 2018. When Matter Becomes a Monster: Examining Anthropocenic Objects in Museums. *Museological Review* 22: 44–53.
- Þórsson, Bergsveinn and Nørskov Vinnie (eds). 2020. *Curating Climate* [special issue]. *Nordisk Museologi* 30(3).
- Wallace-Wells, David. 2019. *The Uninhabitable Earth: A Story of the Future*. London: Penguin.