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4.8 Comparing SPAM(1, \ell) with JD(1, \ell) and JD(\ell). The eigenvalue problems are exactly the same as the corresponding ones in Figure 4.7, and the curves for SPAM(1, \ell) can be found there as well.
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5.1 Two stories building. The elasticity of the walls is denoted by the $a_j$s, their displacement by the $\tilde{x}_j$s and the mass of the ceilings are denoted by the $m_j$s for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.
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