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Abstract

The grasses are one of the most diverse plant families on Earth. However, their classification and evolutionary history are obscured by their pollen stenopalynous (similar) morphology. A combination of high-resolution imaging of pollen’s surface ornamentation and computational analysis has previously been proposed as promising tool to classify grass taxonomic boundaries. In this study, we test this hypothesis by studying Poaceae pollen across the phylogeny from plants collected in northern South America, but also from published literature across the globe. We assessed if morphotypes that we establish using descriptive terminology are supported by computational analysis, if they vary along six (a)biotic variables and vary across the phylogeny. Based on this analysis, we constructed a reference framework for pollen surface ornamentation morphotypes. Our results showed that there is a wide variation of grass pollen surface ornamentation. We identified nine new and confirmed six known morphotypes, establishing a dataset for 223 species (243 individual plant specimens) that represent 11 subfamilies. Computational analysis showed that our morphotypes are well-supported by two quantitative features of pollen sculptural elements (size and density). The specific dataset and mapping of the phylogeny confirmed that pollen morphological sculpture is unrelated to (a)biotic variables and is diverse across the phylogeny.
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1 Introduction

The grass family (Poaceae) originated in the Cretaceous (Prasad et al., 2005; Poinar et al. 2015; Wu et al., 2018; Gallaher et al., 2022) and diversified into 12 subfamilies, with approximately 11,783 species today (Linder, 1987; White et al., 2000; Stromberg, 2011; Soreng et al., 2022). Grasses occur on all continents, including Antarctica, ranging across different latitudes and ecosystem types (Gibson, 2009; Linder et al., 2018). Although Poaceae have a long (> 100 million years ago; Ma) vegetation history, there is a substantial knowledge gap regarding their evolutionary and ecological history due to the lack of available fossil data.

Pollen morphology has been regarded as an ideal method when studying the microbotanical fossil record (e.g. Ferguson et al., 1977; Page, 1978; Beug, 2004; Mander et al., 2013), and can provide important information on taxonomic boundaries and classification. Nevertheless, it is difficult to classify fossil grass pollen in terms of extant genera or even subfamilies, as there is no complete Poaceae pollen reference dataset of extant species. Moreover, Poaceae pollen is stenopalynous (principally monoporate and psilate) and therefore very similar within the family (Page, 1978; Salgado-Labouriau and Rinaldi, 1990; Bush et al., 2002; Beug, 2004; Halbritter et al., 2018), although there have been reports of grass pollen with 2-3 pores rather than the usual single pore (Mercuri et al., 2022). Recently Wei et al. (2022) showed that pollen size of undomesticated grasses cannot easily be used to reconstruct past vegetation or climate parameters. In addition, glycerin jelly, a commonly used mounting medium in palynological processing procedures, has been found to affect pollen size during pollen storage (Wei et al., 2022). This all means that it is challenging to reconstruct the history of grasses using simple characters that can be measured with transmitted light microscopy.

In spite of the stenopalynous of grass pollen morphology, since the 1970s, a high degree of variability in grass pollen surface ornamentation has been observed when using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (e.g. Andersen and Bertelsen, 1972; Page,
Scientists have made several attempts to classify grass pollen based on this variability. Page (1978) tried to recognize exine patterns based on granules being fused or separated, but found they could not build a classification based on such features. Köhler and Lange (1979) first proposed that the pollen surface ornamentation can be classified into different morphotypes, such as *Hordeum*-type, *Triticum*-type, *Avena*-type and *Setaria*-type. Subsequently, a new *Pariana*-type and *Stipa*-type were identified (Mander and Punyasena, 2015).

Nevertheless, the commonness or rarity of each morphotype remained unclear due to the low numbers of documented specimens with a clear surface pattern. Analysing pollen morphology under electron microscopy and super resolution microscopy with computational image analysis is now a developing tool that can resolve these problems (Mander et al., 2013; Romero et al., 2020).

Special ornamentation structures in grass pollen might be useful as an additional indicator to understand the fossil record. For instance, the ‘rugulate’ shape and the ‘orbicular’ ornamentation pattern are two morphological forms that have been previously documented (e.g. Vinckier and Smets, 2001; Dórea et al., 2017; Guimarães et al., 2018; Ruggiero and Bedini, 2018). The ‘rugulate’ shape consists of the elongated irregular ridges, whereas ‘orbicules’ consist of distinctive granules (Punt, 2007). Souza et al. (2021) confirmed that the rugulate ornamentation of *Dendrocalamus asper* (Schult. and Schult. f.) Backer ex K. Heyne is only shown during pollen maturation, which is related to flowering inflorescence development.

Furthermore, Lovisolo and Galati (2012) proposed that the occurrence of orbicules might be different in different subfamilies, and they defined orbicules in the subfamily Pooideae as spherical corpusescles with a spiculated surface, Chloridoideae as plate-like corpusescles, and Panicoideae as sub-spherical corpusescles, respectively. The orbicular ornamentation pattern is also relevant in the field of allergy studies. It was hypothesised that orbicules make grass pollen an important vector as allergen (Vinckier and Smets, 2001). Therefore, exine structure could be a factor when attempting to understand allergies in relation to pollen.
In this study, we ask: (i) Can pollen morphotypes help us to differentiate and classify Poaceae at different taxonomic levels? (ii) Can such classification be supported by quantitative morphometric data of surface ornamentation? (iii) Does the pollen surface ornamentation vary in concert with key explanatory parameters, or systematically across the grass phylogeny? To achieve this, we took SEM images on the pollen surface ornamentation for 803 pollen grains, which were collected from the northern South America from 68 plant specimens belonging to 55 species from nine subfamilies across the Poaceae phylogeny. We also reviewed the literature and identified additional morphotypes from published SEM images of grass pollen surface ornamentation from 170 species (175 specimens). Based on our SEM imagery data we constructed a database of 15 surface ornamentation morphotypes in total (including five types from published literature). The database helped us assess how these morphotypes are distributed among the grass taxa. We also extracted the size and density of sculptural elements on the surface of grass pollen grains. We used image processing to test the degree to which the morphotypes in our database are supported by quantitative measurements of morphology. We also correlated grass pollen surface ornamentation with explanatory variables, and we mapped morphotype occurrence onto the grass phylogeny in order to explore how pollen sculpture ornamentation varies across the Poaceae phylogeny.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials and methods for our samples

2.1.1 Sample collection and processing

The pollen grains were sampled from 55 species of 68 plant specimens from the Naturalis Biodiversity Center of the Netherlands (NHN-L). These specimens were all deposited in the collection between 1808 and 2012 and were all collected from northern South America (Fig. 1). Two to three specimens from eight species belonging to six subfamilies were selected here for testing the variability within species, including Aristida recurvata Kunth, Calamagrostis effusa (Kunth) Steud.,
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*Chusquea tessellata* Munro, *Echinochloa colona* (L.) Link, *Paspalum repens* P.J. Bergius, *Poa annua* L., *Sporobolus indicus* (L.) R.Br., *Streptochaeta spicata* Schrad. ex Nees. The specimen information (country and year, collector information, location, elevation, photosynthetic pathway, climate data, vegetation type and soil type) can be found in Appendix S1 in Supporting Information.

Fig. 1. Study area and localities where the herbarium specimens were collected and which we used in this study. Symbol colors denote the Poaceae subfamily; the map was modified from Wei et al. (2022).

All pollen samples were available through previous research and methods are reported in Wei et al. (2022). In the present study, we transferred the pollen from glycerin jelly to ethanol with a gradual concentration series (70%, 96% and 100%), to remove the glycerin jelly and dehydrate the grains ahead of SEM imaging.

2.1.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) image acquisition

Dehydrated pollen grains were transferred to SEM stubs and coated with Platinum gold, then scanned with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, TESCAN MAIA3, Czech)
under 20 kV at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Paleontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (NIGPAS). At least nine pollen grains were examined and imaged for each plant specimen. Each pollen grain was imaged under three amplification levels: 15,000X, 75,000X, and 150,000X. All images were saved in high resolution for morphological analysis.

2.1.3 Palynological morphotype description of Poaceae

The pollen surface ornamentation was described based on the SEM images and each specimen was grouped into one specific morphotype. The descriptions of new morphotypes follow Punt et al. (2007). Six established known morphotypes refer to previous work (Köhler and Lange 1979; Mander and Punyasena, 2015).

2.1.4 Quantifying pollen surface ornamentation with size and density of sculptural elements

Quantitative analysis was undertaken on 3.3 μm² (1855 X1855 pixel) windows that were manually cropped from the 150,000 X images (Fig. 2 a1, b1). Size and density were extracted from pollen surface ornamentation of each SEM image using the method adapted from the approach developed by Mander et al. (2013). First, contrast-limited adaptive bell-shaped histogram equalization was used for adjusting the image contrast (Fig. 2 a2, b2). Subsequently, five sub-images with 1000 X 1000 pixels were randomly chosen and cropped from each 1855 X 1855 image. For each sub-image, Sobel approximation was applied to detect edges with maximum gradient, then morphological closing (a dilation followed by an erosion) was performed to connect scatter points (Fig. 2 a3, b3). We then identified the first 10 largest connected components. For each connected component, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) and calculated the value of PC1 projection as its size. We took the average size for the first 10 largest connected components as a size of each sub-image (Fig. 2 a4, b4). Finally, we took the average of the size features for the five sub-images as the first feature [size] of one 1855 X 1855 image.
Afterwards, each sub-image was quantized into four colors by minimum variance quantization and the brightest color was used as the foreground (i.e. binarize the image, Fig. 2 a5, b5). We then performed morphological closing on the binary image to connect the scatter points and counted the connected components with the areas larger than a threshold as the density of the sub-image. We then took the average of all density features for the five sub-images, the second feature [density] was obtained (Fig. 2 a6, b6).

Fig 2. Thumbnails showing the example of image processing steps that were taken during the construction of features size and density. a1-a6. *Poa horridula* Pilg., b1-b6. *Tristachya leiostachya* Nee. (a1-b1) shows raw images (1855 X 1855 pixels) with different morphotypes. (a2–b2) shows adjusting the image contrast and an example of randomly cropping sub-images with 1000x1000 pixels. (a3-b3) shows the Sobel edge-detection for each sub-image. (a4-b4) shows the size feature for one of the connected components, with 1807 and 155 for *P. horridula* and *T. leiostachya* respectively. (a5-b5) shows the 4 colors quantized for each sub-image. (a6-b6) shows connected components using the brightest color as the foreground, which is used for counting the density feature for each sub-image, with 60 and 21 for *P. horridula* and *T. leiostachya* respectively.

The value of size and density feature of each grain of northern South America samples are provided in Appendix S2 in the Supporting Information.
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2.1.5 Data and software

2.1.5.1 Data collection

Here we compiled existing research on C3/C4 photosynthetic pathway, which includes 12,153 grass species in total (Appendix S3). Photosynthetic pathways assigned to each species came from previous research and references therein (Renvoize 1981, 1986; Wills et al., 2000; Ibrahim et al., 2009; Christin et al., 2013; Osborne et al., 2014; Kadereit et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2019; Soreng et al., 2022; Gallaher et al., 2022).

The vegetation type, soil type, elevation, mean annual temperature (MAT) and total annual precipitation (TAP) data for each our northern South America sample were taken from Wei et al. (2022).

2.1.5.2 Data visual exploration

The size and density values from the image processing step were transferred to log size and log density before being used in data analyses, which aimed to make the data closer to a normal distribution. Box plots, scatter plots, and linear regression were used to explore and visualise the data.

2.1.5.3 Software, SEM images and data availability

All image processing and feature extraction were carried out in MATLAB (R2017a). All data analysis and visualization were carried out using R v.4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2021). All original SEM images of grass pollen ornamentation for extracting quantitative features, the MATLAB code for quantitative analysis, and the R code for data analysis are available for download from figshare:

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23302022.v3
2.2 SEM images collection from literature and morphotypes assignment

We investigated SEM images from the literature for grass pollen surface ornamentation and each specimen was identified into one specific morphotype. For the morphotype identification we referred to the work by Mander and Punyasena (2015), and also carried out an additional search of the literature, with a main focus on work published after 2015 (Chaturvedi et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2004; López-Merino et al., 2015; Morgado et al., 2015; Needham et al., 2015; Dórea et al., 2017, 2018; Guimarães et al., 2018; Ruggiero and Bedini, 2018; Noor and Ahmad, 2021; Souza et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2021; Visez et al., 2021; Anar et al., 2022; Toledo et al., 2022), and SEM figures of two species of Oryza provided by one of us (Limí Mao) (Appendix S4). The descriptions of new morphotypes from the literature follow Punt et al. (2007). In total, we assessed the pollen surface ornamentation of 223 species in 11 subfamilies, which represents 1.9% of 11783 grass species (Soreng et al., 2022).

3. Results

3.1 Poaceae pollen morphotypes

3.1.1 Our samples

Based on our study of surface pollen ornamentation of 68 grass specimens, we assigned these specimens to 10 morphotypes (Appendix S1). Four of these 10 types are new morphotypes, including Arthrostylidium-type, Muhlenbergia-type, Poa-type and Streptochaeta-type (Fig. 3A; Table 1). All six known morphotypes that were described in earlier literature (Köhler and Lange 1979; Mander and Punyasena, 2015) were found in our samples (Fig. 3B; Table 1). The descriptions of all morphotypes are provided in Appendix S5 in the Supporting Information.
Fig. 3A. Thumbnails showing examples of new morphotypes: (A) the *Arthrostylidium*-type, (B) the *Muhlenbergia*-type, (C) the *Poa*-type, (D) the *Streptochaeta*-type.

Specimen shown in these thumbnails are as follows: (A) *Arthrostylidium subpectinatum* Kuntze (7707), (B) *Muhlenbergia ligularis* (Hack.) Hitchc. (7789), (C) *Poa horridula* (9055), (D) *Streptochaeta spicata* (9403). Scale bar = 1 µm.
Fig. 3B. Thumbnails showing examples of known morphotypes: (E) the Avena-type, (F) the Hordeum-type, (G) the Pariana-type, (H) the Setaria-type, (I) the Stipa-type, (J) the Triticum-type. Specimen shown in these thumbnails are as follows: (E) Bothriochloa pertusa (9427), (F) Festuca bromoides L. (7879), (G) Aciachne acicularis Lægaard (7726), (H) Arthrostylidium ecuadorense Judz. & L.G. Clark (7705), (I) Tristachya leiostachya (8665), (J) Pharus latifolius L. (9390). Scale bar = 1 µm.

Table 1. The description of 10 grass pollen surface morphotypes from our samples. Note that Arthrostylidium-type, Muhlenbergia-type, Poa-type and Streptochaeta-type are new morphotypes, and their SEM images can be found in Fig. 3A. Avena-type, Hordeum-type, Pariana-type, Setaria-type, Stipa-type, and Triticum-type are known morphotypes, and their SEM images can be found in Fig. 3B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotypes</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Areolae</th>
<th>Spinules/Scabrate</th>
<th>Reticulum</th>
<th>Fig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Arthrostylegium</em>-type</td>
<td>Areolae studded with 1–10 spinules</td>
<td>Larger irregular polygonal or elongate areolae</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fig. 3A-(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Muhlenbergia</em>-type</td>
<td>Smooth areolae studded with very rarely spinules; narrow negative reticulum</td>
<td>Larger irregular polygonal or elongate outlines</td>
<td>Very rarely or none</td>
<td>Narrow grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3A-(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Poa</em>-type</td>
<td>Sharp areolae, studded with 1-10 spinules, narrow negative reticulum</td>
<td>Sharp angular areolae of irregular polygonal outlines</td>
<td>1-10, rarely more</td>
<td>Very narrow grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3A-(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptochaeta</em>-type</td>
<td>Rugulate ornamentation with dense single detached spinules</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dense single detached spinules with different direction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fig. 3A-(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Avena</em>-type</td>
<td>Areolae studded with several 1–10 spinules; shallow negative reticulum</td>
<td>Medium to large, irregular polygonal or elongate areolae</td>
<td>1-10 spinules</td>
<td>Shallow grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hordeum</em>-type</td>
<td>Single detached spinule</td>
<td>Generally, not present</td>
<td>Dingle, detached spinules</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pariana</em>-type</td>
<td>Spinulose areolate; deep negative reticulum</td>
<td>Globular/granular, smooth areolae, 0.2-0.8 μm in diameter</td>
<td>Very rarely</td>
<td>Deep grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-(G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Setaria</em>-type</td>
<td>“Field-like” areolae studded with small pointed spinules</td>
<td>“Field-like” areolae with irregular polygonal outlines</td>
<td>Very small pointed spinules, 1-10</td>
<td>Deep grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stipa</em>-type</td>
<td>Single granules; scabrate evenly distributed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Single, evenly dispersed granules; sparsely scabrate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triticum</em>-type</td>
<td>Small areolae studded by one to three spinules</td>
<td>Small areolae</td>
<td>1-3 spinules</td>
<td>Shallow grooves</td>
<td>Fig. 3B-J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. The description of 10 grass pollen surface morphotypes from our samples. Note that *Arthrostylidium*-type, *Muhlenbergia*-type, *Poa*-type and *Streptochaeta*-type are new morphotypes, and their SEM images can be found in Fig. 3A. *Avena*-type, *Hordeum*-type, *Pariana*-type, *Setaria*-type, *Stipa*-type, and *Triticum*-type are known morphotypes, and their SEM images can be found in Fig. 3B.

3.1.2 Literature study

Through a literature search, we identified 175 specimens from 170 species belonging to 11 subfamilies present in clear SEM images of grass pollen surface ornamentation. We identified 15 morphotypes in total (Appendix S7), and among them, the *Avena*-type, *Stipa*-type, *Hordeum*-type and *Setaria*-type are the most common. Five of these 15 types were identified as new morphotypes, including *Anomochloa*-type, *Diandrolyra*-type, *Olyra juruana*-type, *Olyra bahiensis*-type and *Sucrea*-type (Table 2). The descriptions of these five new morphotypes were organized in Appendix S5 in Supporting Information.
Table 2. The description of five new pollen surface morphotypes from existing publications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotypes</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Areolae</th>
<th>Spinules/ Scabrate</th>
<th>Reticulum</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Anomochloa</em>-type</td>
<td>Single detached spinules or areolae with 1-4 spinules</td>
<td>Larger irregular polygonal or elongate areolae</td>
<td>1–4 studded on the areolae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Anomochloa marantoidea</em> Fig.1E Dórea et al., (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diandrolyra</em>-type</td>
<td>Mostly formed by long and tapering pointed spinule and few rounded scabrate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dense single long and tapering pointed spinule; few rounded scabrate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Diandrolyra pygmaea</em> Fig.12K Dórea et al., (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Olyra bahiensis</em>-type</td>
<td>Few layers of overlapping areolae studded with 1-3 spinules</td>
<td>Dense irregular elongated, overlapping areolae</td>
<td>1-3 granules were studded on areolae</td>
<td>Scarcely</td>
<td><em>Olyra bahiensis</em> Fig.7E Dórea et al., (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Olyra juruana</em>-type</td>
<td>Undulations with a cauliflower-like surface structure, studded with single scabrate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Single rounded scabrate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Olyra juruana</em> Fig.13E Dórea et al., (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sucrea</em>-type</td>
<td>Dense elongated striate, generally parallel, studded by sparse scabrate or spinules on the rugulate (element)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sparse scabrate or with spinules studded on the rugulate (element) surface</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Sucrea sampaiana</em> Fig.15H Dórea et al., (2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Ornamentation variation within species

3.1.2 Our samples

Eight species were chosen for testing the variation of pollen ornamentation among different specimens within species. Seven species (87.5 %), present variability of the pollen surface ornamentation among different specimens (Fig. 4). For example, although three specimens of *Chusquea tesselata* were all collected from a tropical moist forest vegetation type in Colombia, their morphotypes are quite different,
including *Avena*-type, *Triticum*-type, and *Hordeum*-type (Fig. 4 B1–B3). This is first time that within-species variation in the pollen exine sculpture is reported for the Poaceae.

Fig. 4. Thumbnails showing the multi-types among specimens within species. A1-A3 are *Aristida recurvata* Kunth, three morphotypes of *Hordeum* (A1), *Stipa* (A2), and *Triticum* (A3) were identified, respectively. B1-B3 are *Chusquea tessellata*, with *Avena*-type (B1), *Triticum*-type (B2), and *Hordeum*-type (B3). C1-C3 are *Paspalum repens*, with *Avena*-type (C1), *Hordeum*-type (C2). D1-D2 are *Echinochloa colon* (L.) Link, with *Poa*-type (D1) and *Triticum*-type (D2). E1-E2 is *Poa annua*, with *Poa*-type (E1) and *Avena*-type (E2). F1-F2 is *Sporobolus indicus* (L.) R. Br., with *Avena*-type (F1) and *Setaria*-type (F2). G1-G2 is *Streptochaeta spicata*, with *Streptochaeta*-type (G1) and *Avena*-type (G2). Scale bar = 1 μm.
3.2.2 Literature study

For five species, multiple plant specimens collected from different locations were found in different publications (Appendix S7). We found that pollen surface ornamentation varied in two of these five species (Table 3). The *Stipa*-type and the *Hordeum*-type were found within *Setaria verticillata* (L.) P. Beauv. (Morgado et al., 2018; Ullah et al., 2021). Within-species variation was also found in domesticated crops such as *Zea mays*, with the *Hordeum*-type and *Stipa*-type both observed in this species (Watson and Bell, 1975; Morgado et al., 2015). Moreover, for the pollen ornamentation of two of our South American species, *Streptochaeta spicata* and *Olyra micrantha* Kunth was earlier reported (Page, 1978; Mander and Punyasena, 2015; Dórea et al., 2017), and additional morphotypes for each species were identified. Specifically, the *Hordeum*-type and *Setaria*-type were found in *S. spicata* (Dórea et al., 2017) and *O. micrantha* (Page, 1978).

**Table 3.** Multi-types among specimens within species from existing publications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Morphotypes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Setaria verticillata</em></td>
<td><em>Hordeum</em>-type</td>
<td>plate 5.1 from Ullah et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Stipa</em>-type</td>
<td>Fig 4. 42b from Morgado et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zea mays</em></td>
<td><em>Stipa</em>-type</td>
<td>Fig 4. 45c from Morgado et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Hordeum</em>-type</td>
<td>Fig 27. from Watson and Bell (1975)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Morphotypes with rugulate structure

An overarching rugulate surface structure has been reported in the literature for grass pollen (i.e. Souza et al. (2021)), which we found in several morphotypes. In these morphotypes the pollen sculpture elements are fused and form irregularly arranged, winding shapes that comprise the entire pollen surface (Fig. 5). For example, the normal “*Avena*-type” has larger irregular, polygonal or elongate areolae studded with several 1–10 spinules (Köhler and Lange, 1979), similar to *Bothriochloa*...
pertusa (L.) A. Camus (Fig. 3B). For the “Avena-type” with rugulate structure, the adjacent areolae rise and fall one after another, showing a corrugated ornamentation of the entire pollen as observed in Sporobolus multiramosus Longhi-Wagner & Boechat (Guimarães et al., 2018). Similar rugulate structures were observed in the Hordeum-type, Stipa-type, Setaria-type, with their corresponding rugulate ornamentation found from Atractantha falcata McClure (Dórea et al., 2017), Dendrocalamus asper (Souza et al., 2021) and Trichanthecium polycomum (Trin.) Zuloaga & Morrone (Guimarães et al., 2018), respectively. Here we interpret them as common morphotypes but with a special rugulate structure (Table 4).

**Fig. 5.** An example of Dendrocalamus asper showing the rugulate structure in grass pollen. Image credits: Priscila Fernandes de Souza, Pardinho, Brazil.

**Table 4.** Morphotypes with rugulate structure from existing publications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Morphotypes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sporobolus multiramosus</td>
<td>Avena-type</td>
<td>Plate 1. 27–28 from Guimarães et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atractantha falcata</td>
<td>Hordeum-type</td>
<td>Fig. 1G from Dórea et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrocalamus asper</td>
<td>Stipa-type</td>
<td>Fig. 2C from Souza et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichanthecium polycomum</td>
<td>Setaria-type</td>
<td>Plate 3. 3-5 from Guimarães et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Overview of pollen surface ornamentation types in the Poaceae

By combining our samples and published SEM data, we constructed an updated database for grass pollen surface ornamentation, which includes 243 specimens from 223 species (Appendix S7), which were distributed in a wide variety of climates and environments. These samples represent 11 subfamilies across the grass phylogeny. A total of 15 morphotypes were identified, with nine of these characterized for the first time and added as new types, including the *Arthrostylidium*-type, *Anomochloa*-type, *Diandrolyra*-type, *Muhlenbergia*-type, *Olyra juruana*-type, *Olyra bahiensis*-type, *Poa*-type, *Streptochaeta*-type, and *Sucrea*-type. Nine of the 10 morphotypes that are present in our South American samples were found in the published literature. In general, the *Avena*-type, *Setaria*-type, *Hordeum*-type, *Stipa*-type and *Triticum*-type are most common (Fig. 6).

![Number of ornamentation types](image)

**Fig. 6.** Bar plot showing the frequency of each morphotype in terms of number of plant specimens in our study. The light grey color is data from our sample, the dark grey color is data from published SEM images from literature.
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3.5 Correlation between human-defined pollen morphotypes and quantitative analysis

Box plots were used to explore the relationship between the two quantified features (size and density) of pollen surface ornamentation and the human-defined morphotypes. Our results showed that each morphotype represents a unique morphological space of size or density, despite some variability that leads to an overlap between morphotypes. For Stipa-type, Hordeum-type, Triticum-type, Avena-type and Poa-type, their 
log size and density both show a substantial increasing trend, and the log density value of Poa-type reached a peak. After the peak at the Poa-type, the value of log density of Setaria-type, Arthrostylidium-type, Muhlenbergia-type, and Pariana-type started to decrease. The Streptochaeta-type is the only one with both relatively large size and density (Fig. 7 A–B). We conclude that human-defined morphotypes are well supported by computational analysis of the size and density features of sculptural elements.

Fig. 7. Box plots of pollen surface morphotypes against (A) log size and (B) log density. The abbreviations on the x-axis represent left to right: Stipa-type, Hordeum-type, Triticum-type, Avena-type, Poa-type, Setaria-type, Arthrostylidium-type, Muhlenbergia-type, Streptochaeta, and Pariana-type.

3.6 Correlation between pollen ornamentation features and explanatory variables

Box plots, scatter plots and regression were used to explore the correlation between pollen ornamentation features (size and density) and six explanatory variables (Fig. 8;
Fig. 9; Appendix S6). The distribution of log size and log density across each box plot of these six variables showed a substantial overlap with similar median values. Our results suggest that pollen ornamentation does not show a clear relationship with vegetation type, soil type, photosynthetic pathway, elevation, MAT or TAP.

**Fig. 8.** Log size of Poaceae pollen ornamentation plotted against the six explanatory variables used in this study. (A–C) Boxplots of pollen ornamentation size against (A) vegetation type, (B) soil type, and (C) photosynthetic pathway. (D–F) Scatterplots of pollen ornamentation size against (D) elevation, (E) mean annual temperature (°C), and (F) total annual precipitation (mm). The red number above the boxes represent the number of samples. The red line of the scatterplot represents a linear regression.
4.1 Overview of our results

The main focus of our work was to explore the variation in pollen surface ornamentation and to determine if there is a correlation with their taxonomic position in the Poaceae. Scientists previously grouped grass pollen surface types into six morphotypes (e.g. Köhler and Lange, 1979, Mander and Punyasena, 2015). However, this number may not represent the entire pollen morphotype variation within the Poaceae. In addition, we question if morphotypes have taxonomic and palaeoecological relevance beyond their original use with domesticated grasses. In
our study, we aimed to address this problem through extensive sampling across northern South America and the use of data collected from other localities reported in the literature. We identified nine new and six known pollen surface morphotypes in total. These types are well supported by quantitative analysis of the size and density of sculptural elements of the pollen surface. We revealed that grass pollen surface ornamentation is diverse across the phylogeny.

4.2 Is qualitative analysis useful and what does it tell us?

Until now, researchers found that Poaceae pollen surface ornamentation has a high degree of variability that can be classified into different morphological groups or morphotypes (Köhler and Lange, 1979, Mander and Punyasena, 2015). However, there is no assessment of the degree to which each morphotype can be supported by quantitative analyses of the surface ornamentation. In our study, we aimed to assess whether quantitative analysis does support these and other (new) pollen ornamentation morphotypes when applied to extensive sampling.

Ten morphotypes were identified by human eyes from 68 samples collected from different environments in northern South America. Furthermore, after extracting two features of the ornamentation (size and density) based on the SEM images, we found that each morphotype represents a unique morphological space of size or density, despite some variability which leads to overlap between morphotypes (Fig. 5). A trend that is consistent with the results observed by the human eye level i.e. different morphotypes are partially related, and partially different from each other. Therefore, we conclude that human-defined morphotypes are well supported by computational analysis of the size and density features of sculptural elements, and change following a sliding scale trend. We also evaluated the relevance of the quantitative characters of these ten morphotypes with several key explanatory variables, including vegetation type, soil composition, photosynthetic pathway, elevation, temperature, and precipitation. However, there is no clear relationship between them.
4.3 Do morphotypes vary across the phylogenetic tree?

The Poaceae subfamilies are traditionally grouped into three early diverging monophyletic groups and two major clades (e.g. Linder, 1987; Grass Phylogeny Working Group I (GPWG) et al., 2001; Linder and Rudall, 2005; GPWG II, 2012; Soreng et al., 2022). Anomochlooideae, Pharoidaeae, and Puelioidae form the early diverging monophyletic groups; Oryzoideae, Bambusoideae, and Pooideae form the BOP clade, and Aristidoideae, Panicoidaeae, Arundinoidaeae, Micraioideae, Danthonioideae and Chloridoideae form the PACMAD clade (e.g. GPWP et al., 2001; Sánchez-Ken and Clark, 2010, Soreng et al., 2015).

We found that pollen surface morphotypes varied both at genus and species level, which is consistent with some earlier studies (e.g. Morgado et al., 2016, Dórea et al., 2017). Moreover, we found multi-morphic exine sculpture patterns occurs among different specimen within species of grass (Fig. 4; Fig. 6). A few cases showed that dimorphic pollen exine structures were found within species in some subfamilies, such as Cocos nucifera L. from Arecaceae (Nair and Sharma, 1963), three Linum (L. grandiflorum Desf., L. mucronatum Bertol., and L. pubescens Banks & Sol.) from Linaceae (Dulberger, 1981), Dyerophytum africanum (Lam.) Kuntze and D. indicum Kuntze (Plumbaginaceae) (Weber, 1986). Such variation suggests that based on surface ornamentation, more pollen morphotypes will appear, if further examination of intraspecific and/or interspecific levels is carried out.

When linking pollen surface ornamentation to the dated phylogenetic tree, we notice that the amount of pollen morphotypes identified in our study correlates with the number of species in a subfamily (Fig. 10). The ancient subfamilies (Anomochlooideae, Pharoidaeae, Puelioidae) that are rooted in the early Cretaceous (101 Ma) (Huang et al., 2022), are low in species richness and have also limited morphological types. In contrast, morphotypes from PACMAD and BOP clades are much more diverse, which split from each other at 81.43 Ma and became hugely species-rich in the Miocene (<23 Ma; Strömberg, 2011; Gallaher et al., 2022; Huang
et al., 2022). From this we conclude that grass pollen morphology is diverse across the phylogeny.

Fig. 10. Pollen surface ornamentation shows diversity across the Poaceae phylogeny. A Poaceae time tree estimated from molecular data and calibrated by fossil records, redrawn from Huang et al. (2022). PACMAD: Panicoideae-Arundinoideae-Chloridoideae-Micrairoideae-Aristidoideae-Danthonioideae; BOP: Bambusoideae-Oryzoideae-Pooideae. Numbers in brackets represent the species richness (species number with SEM image/number of total species) among the subfamilies (Soreng et al., 2022). The pie chart represents the proportion of photosynthetic pathway of species in each subfamily (Appendix S2). The different colors and the number of symbols (square or circle) in the grid show the distribution of the 15-grass pollen morphotypes identified by each specimen in each subfamily.

4.3.1 Four common morphotypes throughout the grass phylogeny

We noted that there are four common morphotypes, which already were identified in the 1970s (Köhler and Lange, 1979), including Avena-type, Hordeum-type, Setaria-type, and Triticum-type. These morphotypes are found in the ancient subfamilies
(Anomochlooideae, Pharodieae, Puelidideae) and the PACMAD and BOP clades. These subfamilies and their clades are distributed across elevations and in different climates, soils and environmental systems (Gibson, 2009; Blair et al., 2014; Linder et al., 2018), which also explains why the morphotypes, which delimited by small variations in the size and density of the sculptural elements, are not obviously correlated with the tested explanatory variables (vegetation type, soil composition, photosynthetic pathway, elevation, and climate data) (Fig. 8; Fig. 9; Fig. 10).

4.3.2 Unique morphotypes point at taxonomic position

According to the current estimate, six morphotypes are found with unique taxonomic position. The Anomochloa-type and Streptochaeta-type were only found in the ancient Anomochlooideae subfamily. In Bambusoideae, the Diandrolyra-type, Olyra bahiensis-type, Olyra juruana-type, and Sucrea-type were also unique (Fig. 10). It is worth emphasizing that a detailed sample analysis that incorporates fossils to estimate the changes in these morphotypes through time holds great promise. Such studies could indicate when the different grass pollen morphotypes evolved, and such knowledge could be used to measure changes in the diversity and composition of grasses through geological time.

As we are working with extant taxa, we cannot be sure when the morphotypes emerged. In principle, it is possible that they all were generated in the Cretaceous, but it is equally possible that they appeared in the Quaternary. The emergence of the variation in morphotypes could be tested by studying the fossil record through further palynological analysis. Some of our morphotypes are unique and potentially can be used to identify subfamilies. For subfamilies with a large variation in morphotypes it will be difficult to use pollen morphotypes as an identifier.

In summary, we reported the current estimate for grass pollen surface ornamentation morphotype variation throughout their phylogeny. The pollen ornamentation morphotypes can be supported by quantitative analysis, and the
degree of variation is consistent with the species richness of the subfamily. However, we are still limited as many Poaceae species are under-explored from a palynological point of view—the known pollen surface ornamentation of Poaceae is only 1.9% (223/11783) (Soreng et al., 2022) of the total number of species. More work will be needed, on both extant and fossil grass pollen, and it is likely that many more morphotypes will be found in the future. We especially encourage scientists to focus on the surface ornamentation of fossil pollen to assess Poaceae surface variation types across the geological timescale. Further study is also needed to define if and when these morphotypes first occurred in the fossil record, and if the emergence of the large amount of diversity of pollen morphotypes emerged in the Cretaceous when the Poaceae first evolved. Or if much later i.e. in the late Miocene, during diversification of the grasses and the rise of grassland biomes (Palazzesi et al., 2022).

4.4 Implications for the wider research community

Linking the grass pollen morphotypes to databases detailing biogeographic, climatic, (palaeo)botanical, and (palaeo)ecological studies is already possible, permitting the application of tools developed for spatial and time ecology. Furthermore, palaeoecologists would benefit from incorporating morphotypes to fossil grass pollen data, to elucidate the changes of these morphotypes through time. In the field of allergy and immunology studies, grass pollen is also utilized as a potential tool for the diagnose and/or prevent seasonal allergies. (i.e. Vinckier and Smets (2001); Yasniuk et al. (2020)). Our results may also be relevant in the field of allergy studies, as grass pollen morphology could play a role in allergic diseases.

5 Conclusion

We have examined the pollen from extant plant samples across northern South America to construct a reference framework for Poaceae pollen based on surface ornamentation. The human-eye-level identified pollen morphotypes could be well supported by the extraction of two quantitative features (sculptural elements size
and density) using computational analysis. The influence of vegetation type, soil type, photosynthetic pathway, elevation and climate data does not seem to control the expression of a morphotype. The morphological richness of pollen surface ornamentation correlates with species richness at the subfamily level. Here we represent an updated database of pollen surface ornamentation morphotypes of Poaceae, nevertheless, there is still some limitation as many Poaceae species remain unexplored (98.1% of the total amount of species). We encourage more scientists to focus on the combination of high-resolution imaging of extant and fossil grass pollen surface ornamentation and computational image analysis to elucidate the (palaeo-)ecology of grasslands. To validate if our finding based on modern material holds through evolutionary time next steps for this research would be to seek to identify the different morphotypes within pollen extracted from the fossil record. The (in)dependance of morphotype development from species evolution could provide valuable new insights into the mechanisms behind diversification.

6 Support Information

All original SEM images of grass pollen ornamentation for extracting quantitative features, the MATLAB code for quantitative analysis, and the R code for data analysis are available for download from figshare:

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23302022.v3

Supplementary data to this article can be found online: DOI. 10.1111/jse.13021