Speech and sign perception in deaf children with cochlear implants
Giezen, M.R.

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 THE TOPIC AND GOAL OF THIS THESIS
1.2 COCHLEAR IMPLANTATION
  1.2.1 COCHLEAR IMPLANT TECHNOLOGY
  1.2.2 CURRENT PRACTICE IN PEDIATRIC COCHLEAR IMPLANTATION
1.3 OUTCOMES OF PEDIATRIC COCHLEAR IMPLANTATION
  1.3.1 EFFECTS ON SPOKEN LANGUAGE ABILITIES
  1.3.2 EFFECTS ON OTHER COGNITIVE ABILITIES
  1.3.3 LONG-TERM OUTCOMES
1.4 EFFECTS OF AGE AT IMPLANTATION AND COMMUNICATION MODALITY ON SPOKEN LANGUAGE
  1.4.1 AGE AT IMPLANTATION
  1.4.2 COMMUNICATION MODALITY
1.5 THE ORGANIZATION OF THIS THESIS

2 SPEECH AND SIGN PERCEPTION IN CHILDREN WITH COCHLEAR IMPLANTS: THE PRESENT STUDY

2.1 THE PERCEPTION OF SOUNDS AND WORDS BY CHILDREN WITH A CI
  2.1.1 BACKGROUND
  2.1.2 LEARNING TO PERCEIVE SPEECH SOUNDS: ACOUSTIC CUE WEIGHTING
  2.1.2 THE INTERRELATION BETWEEN SOUNDS AND WORDS
2.2 RELATIONSHIP AND INTERACTION BETWEEN SIGN AND SPEECH PERCEPTION IN CHILDREN WITH A CI
  2.2.1 BACKGROUND
  2.2.2 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SIGN AND SPEECH PERCEPTION
  2.2.3 THE INTERACTION BETWEEN SIGN AND SPEECH PERCEPTION

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 PARTICIPANTS
  3.1.1 CHILDREN WITH A CI
  3.1.2 CHILDREN WITH NORMAL HEARING
  3.1.3 ADULTS WITH NORMAL HEARING
3.2 TASKS
  3.2.1 THE PERCEPTION OF SPEECH SOUNDS: ACOUSTIC CUE WEIGHTING
  3.2.2 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOUND PERCEPTION AND RAPID WORD LEARNING
    3.2.2.1 PICTURE-MATCHING
    3.2.2.2 OBJECT-MATCHING
    3.2.2.3 PHONOLOGICAL SHORT-TERM MEMORY
### Table of Contents

3.2.3  THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SIGN AND SPEECH PERCEPTION  55
3.2.4  THE EFFECTS OF BIMODAL INPUT ON SPEECH PERCEPTION  56
3.3      Procedure  56
3.4      Statistical analyses  58

4      THE USE OF ACOUSTIC CUES  59
4.1      BACKGROUND  59
4.2      Methodology  62
  4.2.1  Participants  62
  4.2.2  Stimuli  62
    4.2.2.1  Vowel contrasts  63
    4.2.2.2  Consonant contrasts  65
  4.2.3  Task  66
  4.2.4  Dependent variables  67
    4.2.4.1  Phoneme endpoint identification  67
    4.2.4.2  Individual cue reliance, cue weighting and classification slope  68
  4.2.5  Statistical analysis  69
4.3      Results  69
  4.3.1  Phoneme endpoint identification  70
  4.3.2  Individual cue reliance  71
  4.3.3  Cue weighting  73
  4.3.4  Classification slope  74
4.4      Discussion  79
  4.4.1  Age effects in acoustic cue weighting  79
  4.4.2  Use of acoustic cues by children with a CI  81

5      THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOUND PERCESSION AND RAPID WORD LEARNING  85
5.1      BACKGROUND  85
5.2      Methodology  88
  5.2.1  Participants  88
  5.2.2  Materials  89
    5.2.2.1  Picture-matching  89
    5.2.2.2  Object-matching  93
    5.2.2.3  Digit span  95
  5.2.3  Statistical analysis  96
5.3      Results  96
  5.3.1  Picture-matching  97
  5.3.2  Object-matching  101
  5.3.3  Digit span  102
  5.3.4  Correlations  104
5.4      Discussion  106
  5.4.1  Sound categorization and rapid word learning in typically developing children  107
  5.4.2  Sound categorization and rapid word learning in children with a CI  109
6 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SIGN AND SPEECH PERCEPTION 113

6.1 BACKGROUND 114
6.2 METHODOLOGY 117
  6.2.1 PARTICIPANTS 117
  6.2.2 MATERIALS 117
    6.2.2.1 SIGN CATEGORIZATION 117
    6.2.2.2 PICTURE-MATCHING 119
    6.2.2.3 OBJECT-MATCHING 121
    6.2.2.4 DIGIT SPAN 122
  6.2.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS 123
6.3 RELATIVE PERFORMANCE IN BOTH LANGUAGE MODALITIES 123
  6.3.1 SIGN AND SOUND CATEGORIZATION 124
  6.3.2 PICTURE-MATCHING 129
  6.3.3 OBJECT-MATCHING 132
  6.3.4 DIGIT SPAN 134
  6.3.5 SUMMARY 135
6.4 CORRELATIONS BETWEEN LANGUAGE MODALITIES 136
6.5 THE ROLE OF SIGNING EXPERIENCE 137
6.6 CONCLUSION 140

7 EFFECTS OF BIMODAL INPUT ON SPEECH PERCEPTION 141

7.1 BACKGROUND 141
  7.1.1 THE ROLE OF THE VISUAL MODALITY IN LANGUAGE PROCESSING 141
  7.1.2 CHILDREN WITH A CI AND THE VISUAL MODALITY IN LANGUAGE PROCESSING 143
7.2 METHODOLOGY 145
  7.2.1 PARTICIPANTS 145
  7.2.2 MATERIALS 147
    7.2.2.1 TASK 147
    7.2.2.2 STIMULI 149
  7.2.3 PROCEDURE 150
  7.2.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS 151
7.3 RESULTS 152
  7.3.1 ADULTS 152
  7.3.2 CHILDREN WITH A CI 153
  7.3.3 CORRELATIONS 156
7.4 DISCUSSION 157
  7.4.1 CROSS-MODAL FACILITATION 157
  7.4.2 CROSS-MODAL INTERFERENCE 158
  7.4.3 CONCLUSION 159

8 CONCLUSIONS 161

8.1 UNDERLYING PROCESSES IN SPEECH PERCEPTION 161
  8.1.1 SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS 161
  8.1.2 IMPLICATIONS 162
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2.1</td>
<td>Summary of Main Findings</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2.2</td>
<td>Implications</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age Effects</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4.1</td>
<td>Sample Representativeness</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4.2</td>
<td>Ecological Validity</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4.3</td>
<td>Moving Targets</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4.4</td>
<td>Inter-Individual Variation in Performance</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APPENDICES</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BIBLIOGRAPHY</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUMMARY IN ENGLISH</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAMENVATTING IN HET NEDERLANDS</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>