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Herschel: the first science highlights

Letter to the Editor

Herschel-PACS spectroscopy of the intermediate mass protostar NGC 7129 FIRS 2*

ABSTRACT

Aims. We present preliminary results of the first Herschel spectroscopic observations of NGC 7129 FIRS2, an intermediate mass star-forming region. We attempt to interpret the observations in the framework of an in-falling spherical envelope.

Methods. The PACS instrument was used in line spectroscopy mode (R ≈ 1000–5000) with 15 spectral bands between 63 and 185 μm. This provided good detections of 26 spectral lines seen in emission, including lines of H2O, CO, OH, O I, and C II.

Results. Most of the detected lines, particularly those of H2O and CO, are substantially stronger than predicted by the spherical envelope models, typically by several orders of magnitude. In this paper we focus on what can be learned from the detected CO emission lines.

Conclusions. It is unlikely that the much stronger than expected line emission arises in the (spherical) envelope of the YSO. The region hot enough to produce such high excitation lines within such an envelope is too small to produce the amount of emission observed. Virtually all of this high excitation emission must arise in structures such as along the walls of the outflow cavity with the emission produced by a combination of UV photon heating and/or non-dissociative shocks.
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1. Introduction

The common paradigm for the formation of low mass stars includes the spherical infall of a cloud core, the formation of a disk with a protostar at its centre, and a bipolar outflow emitted from the inner region of this disk/protostar structure. Large (R ≈ 10,000 AU), low temperature (T ≈ 20 K) envelopes, which are often approximated to be nearly spherical (Terebey et al. 1984) are observed around young low mass stars and dominate the observed sub-millimeter emission (Shirley et al. 2000). Recent observations show additional structures, possibly hot outflow cavity walls heated by UV photons (van Kempen et al. 2009) and shocks (Giannini et al. 1999; van den Ancker et al. 2000; Nisini et al. 2002; Arce et al. 2007; van Kempen et al. 2010). Our understanding of the formation of high mass stars is not so mature, although it is clear that there are some significant differences between the low mass and high mass formation mechanisms, including the fact that massive stars are predominantly born in clusters where interactions between stars are important in the formation mechanism. Low mass stars likely form in clusters too, but their early evolution may be unaffected by nearby stars until when the first high mass star forms in their vicinity. Then the formation process for the low mass stars probably changes dramatically and perhaps even ceases. The many unanswered questions about these mechanisms include: is there a continuum of properties between the low and high mass young stellar object; where is the boundary between low mass and high mass stars; do these “intermediate mass (IM)” stars form singly or in clusters?

The scarcity of IM stars has made answering such questions difficult. High mass stars are also rare in the vicinity of the Sun but their high luminosities make it possible to detect and study them at much larger distances. Intermediate mass protostars are usually defined as those young stellar objects (YSOs) with bolometric luminosities between 75 L⊙ and 2 × 103 L⊙, although both the lower and upper limits of this range vary slightly from one author to another. There are no examples of well-studied very young protostars (e.g. Stage 0/Class 0) with luminosities within this range within 400 parsecs of the Sun. There are a handful of such objects with distances between 400 and 1500 pc, which are individually identified and not confused in larger OB associations.

The recent launch of the ESA Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) provides us with an opportunity to study IM stars with previously unavailable molecular probes. The
Water In Star forming regions with Herschel (WISH) key program uses emission from water and other key molecules to probe the conditions in a variety of star forming regions.

At a distance of 1260 ± 50 parsecs (Shevchenko & Yakubov 1989), NGC 7129 FIRS2 is the most distant of the objects in the WISH intermediate mass sub-program. FIRS2 contains a 5 $M_\odot$ protostar and has a luminosity of 500 $L_\odot$ and a hot core (Fuente et al. 2001, 2005a). As a very young YSO it was expected that the structures dominant in this object would be those of the earliest, infalling, stage of the formation process. There is no evidence for a large, well-developed disk as one would expect to find in an older YSO. On the other hand, a well-developed quadrupolar outflow (due to the superposition of two bipolar outflows), has been found very close to the protostar (Fuente et al. 2001), indicating that some evolution past the collapsing spherical envelope stage has occurred.

A recent analysis of a number of IM YSOs included NGC 7129 FIRS2 (Crimier et al. 2010). This analysis models the objects as spherical envelopes, includes all available far infrared (Spitzer) and submillimeter (JCMT and IRAM) brightness measurements. The best-fit model found by Crimier et al. (2010) has an envelope mass of 50 $M_\odot$, an optical depth at 100 $\mu$m of 2.3, an inner radius of 100 AU and an outer radius of 18 600 AU. The temperature at the inner envelope radius is 300 K and this falls to 100 K at a radius of 373 AU where the H$_2$ density is $4.4 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$. The density varies as a power-law with index 1.4.

We use this model as a starting point for understanding the observations described here. We present a first look at NGC 7129 FIRS2, the youngest known IM YSO, with the PACS instrument (Poglitsch et al. 2010) on the Herschel Space Observatory. The high excitation lines accessible with the instrument should provide the best probe available of the warmest, innermost regions.

### 2. Observations

The PACS instrument was used to obtain data for this project during the Herschel science demonstration phase on October 26, 2009. The pointed line-scan mode was used to obtain data in two scans (Obs ID 1342186321 and 1342186322). Data were reduced using HIPE v2.4 and then analyzed using IDL. The relative and absolute spectral response functions are based on ground calibrations and corrected for in-flight observations by dividing fluxes below and above 1000 $\mu$m by 1.3 and 1.1, respectively\(^1\). The continuum levels were found to vary from band to band but only a simple polynomial function was required to remove this baseline emission. The noise levels also varied from band to band, but a typical value was 0.3 Jy with 0.01 $\mu$m channel widths in the bands between 100 $\mu$m and 180 $\mu$m independent of scan or receiver, which corresponds well to the noise levels predicted in HSPOT, the Herschel science planning and observing tool. The spectral resolution is 3000 at 63.2 $\mu$m but varies from 1000 to 4000 over the wavelength range used here. The PACS spectra are imaged in 5 × 5 pixels, with each pixel subtending 9.4$''$ by 9.4$''$, with no gaps between the pixels. For wavelengths shortward of 110 $\mu$m this (9.4$''$) is the effective resolution but the psf is significantly larger than the pixels at longer wavelengths such that at 200 $\mu$m only 40% of the light of a well-centred point source falls on the central pixel. The central pixel was positioned at the coordinates of the central interferometer continuum peak at 21$^h$43$^m$1.7$''$ 66$''$03$''$23$''$ (J2000). While there is still an uncertainty in the flux calibration of

\(^1\) From internal PACS-ICC results.

### Table 1. NGC 7129 fluxes measured from PACS spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$E_u$</th>
<th>Int. Flux $10^{-18}$ W m$^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>$\nu_{2}=1$</td>
<td>179.5</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{2}=2$</td>
<td>180.5</td>
<td>194.1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{1}=1$</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>194.1</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=2$</td>
<td>174.6</td>
<td>196.8</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=2$</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>204.7</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{4}=3$</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>319.5</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{4}=3$</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>323.5</td>
<td>596$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=2$</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>296.8</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=3$</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>432.2</td>
<td>308$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=3$</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>1070.7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1/2–3/2</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/2–3/2</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/2, 7/2–3/2/5</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>290$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/2, 7/2–3/2/5</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI</td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=3$</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>227.7</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_{3}=3$</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>326.6</td>
<td>50$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>14–13</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>580.5</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16–15</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>751.7</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18–17</td>
<td>144.8</td>
<td>945.0</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22–21</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>1397.4</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–23</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>1656.5</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29–28</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>2400.0</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–29</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>2564.8</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32–31</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>2911.2</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33–32</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>3092.5</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) Blended with CO (23–22); (b) Edge effect may have increased the flux; (c) blended with CO (31–30); (d) very uncertain.

Approximately 50%, this does not have a significant impact on the first analysis of this object as presented here.

Table 1 lists 27 lines that were detected, including two pairs of blended lines. The fluxes given are measured only in the central pixel. The list of detections include 9 water lines (plus one blended with a CO line), 9 unblended CO lines, 3 OH lines (plus one blended with a CO line), and 2 [OI] lines. The rest wavelengths, upper level energies as well as the integrated line fluxes measured in the central PACS pixel are provided in Table 1. A number of spectra from these observations are shown in Fig. 1. Note that the line width is essentially all due to the instrument spectral resolution. Five of these water lines were covered with ISO LWS but only upper limits were detected (Crimier et al. 2010). In all five cases the LWS limits are a factor of ten or more greater than the detections listed here, with typical limits of $10^{-13}$ W m$^{-2}$.

In addition to the lines listed in Table 1 there is also a detection of the [CII] line at 158 $\mu$m and numerous faint lines that can not be measured well until the calibration of PACS improves. [CII] 158 $\mu$m emission is detected across the entire PACS field-of-view. The other emission lines in Table 1 come from a compact region, consistent with being smaller than the resolution of the instrument. This [CII] emission is likely not directly related to the YSO but instead arises from the much larger NGC 7129 nebula itself and will not be discussed further in this paper.

### 3. Discussion

The CO lines detected in these observations are all lines characteristic of very hot regions. CO $J = 33–32$ for instance, arises from a level at an energy equivalent to 3093 K, and is not likely to be strong unless the excitation temperature is in excess of 1000 K. The typical excitation temperatures for the other species
3.1. CO from a spherical protostellar envelope

The envelope model used in the analysis by Crimier et al. (2010) provides a reasonable starting point for comparison to these new observations. The envelope in their model extends to 18,600 AU or 14'' at the distance of this object, close to the beam-size of these observations. Crimier et al. (2010) do not make predictions for the CO lines and so we have reproduced their model envelopes and used the RATRAN radiative transfer code (Hogerheijde & van der Tak 2000) to estimate the strength of the CO line emission. The model results are plotted in Fig. 2 as a red line showing the integrated line strength versus the upper level rotation J_u. The CO line emission observed by PACS is shown on the same plot, indicated by data points with error bars showing the 50% uncertainties in the measured fluxes.

Also shown in Fig. 2 are three data points from low-J CO, integrated over a 20 arcsec wide aperture. Two CO J = 2–1 data points are given (from Fuente, priv. comm.), the lower one for the velocity range of -11 to -9 km s^{-1} that may be appropriate for the envelope, and the upper one for the entire range over which emission is detected (-20 to 0 km s^{-1}) appropriate to the outflow. Although we present the -11 to -9 km s^{-1} ambient cloud velocity value it should be noted that no peak is seen in the map in this velocity range. The CO J = 3–2 data point is integrated over velocities from -14 to -6.5 km s^{-1} (Miskolczi et al. 2001) and most of the emission is from the outflow – indeed it is not clear that any envelope emission is present in the maps shown. A measurement of CO J = 1–0 was also made from published interferometry images but the flux was too low to appear on this plot. Single dish observations of this line show no source at this position, as with the CO J = 2–1. It is not surprising that this low-J CO does not fit the spherical envelope as it likely arises from the outflow.

The predicted CO emission from the envelope for J_u > 13 is many orders of magnitude below the observed emission. This is not surprising as the volume within the protostellar envelope that exceeds 100 K is very small, with a radius of less than 400 AU. This radius corresponds to approximately 0.3'' at the distance of NGC 7129. With a typical Herschel beam size of 10'' for J_u < 14 and use the actual Herschel beam size at higher J.

The predicted CO emission from the envelope for J_u > 13 is many orders of magnitude below the observed emission. This is not surprising as the volume within the protostellar envelope that exceeds 100 K is very small, with a radius of less than 400 AU. This radius corresponds to approximately 0.3'' at the distance of NGC 7129. With a typical Herschel beam size of 10'' for the CO lines, this hot region fills a small fraction (less than 10^{-2}) of the beam area. We explored other possible spherical envelope models using similar constraints to the Crimier et al. (2010) model. None of these alternate models have successfully produced a significant amount of CO emission in J_u > 15 lines.

3.2. CO from other components within the YSO

A quick analysis to gain some insight into the origin of the high-J CO lines is to make a Boltzmann plot (shown as Fig. 3 in on-line materials). A single temperature LTE component (best fit at 521 K) did not fit well but two components, at temperatures of 338 K and 799 K, gave a satisfactory fit. However temperatures up to 1300 K were found to be consistent within the uncertainties in the data.

One possible source of the high-J CO lines is a hot core. However, the size of such a hot core at the distance of N7129 is small. This hot core is equivalent to the hot inner part of the envelope described above: the size of any such core is very small (0.72'' × 0.52'') and has an upper temperature limit of 890 K (Fuente et al. 2005a), and thus the CO emission will be much too small to account for this emission.

A cavity within the envelope, presumably carved by the observed outflow, heated by UV photons could present a larger hot (T > a few 100 K) area within the beam of the PACS observations (Spaans et al. 1995; van Kempen et al. 2009). Burton et al. (1990) show that strong UV emission in clumpy photodissociation models can produce significant high-J CO emission but in
virtually all of their models the mid-J CO lines are several orders of magnitude stronger than the high-J CO lines, unlike what we see in this object. However one of their models, the low UV field, high density, “high temperature solution” (there are two possible solutions) can produce high-J lines nearly as strong as the mid-J lines. The Burton et al. models also predict very much stronger emission in lines near $J = 10-9$, providing a test of whether or not this model applies to this object.

While UV heated cavity walls can likely produce the mid-J CO line emission that we see (see the similar case for HH46, van Kempen et al. 2010), the highest-J CO lines that we see (see the similar case for HH46, this model applies to this object. The Burton et al. models also predict very much stronger solutions) can produce high density, “high temperature solution” (there are two possible magnitudes stronger than the high-J CO lines.

The principal result of these new observations by PACS is that CO lines require high temperatures as well as relatively high densities to be present in the immediate vicinity of NGC 7129 FIR52. We have found a single component plane parallel hot slab can produce a reasonable fit to the highest-J CO observations but a more complex solution is necessary to fit all the lines simultaneously, especially the mid-J lines. This hot component may represent a UV photon heated inner wall of the outflow cavity or may be related to shocks associated with the outflow itself.

In the future it may be possible to model all the CO emission using a self-consistent 2D geometric shock and UV heating model as has been used in the interpretation of the observations of the low mass protostar HH46 (van Kempen et al. 2010), where similar highly excited CO emission is seen. HIFI observations will provide the higher spectral resolution needed to trace the kinematics of these structures.
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