



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Dynamic delay management at railways: a Semi-Markovian Decision approach

Al Ibrahim, A.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Al Ibrahim, A. (2010). Dynamic delay management at railways: a Semi-Markovian Decision approach
Amsterdam: Thela Thesis

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Contents

Dankwoord (Acknowledgements in Dutch)	v
1 General introduction and overview	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Practical motivation	3
1.3 The research in a broader perspective	5
1.4 Performance measures	6
1.5 The railway network and decomposition	7
1.6 Scheduling and Rescheduling in The Netherlands	8
1.7 Literature overview	11
1.7.1 Tactical scheduling	12
1.7.2 Operational scheduling	14
1.7.3 Rescheduling	16
1.7.4 Stochastic approaches	19
1.7.5 Other related models	22
1.7.6 Final remarks	23
1.8 The Semi-Markovian Decision technique	24
1.8.1 Introduction to SMD	24
1.8.2 Solving the SMD model	26
1.8.3 SMD as an application for railways	28
1.9 Main goals	29
1.10 Thesis outline	30
2 Model preliminaries	33
2.1 A typical junction	33
2.2 The SMD railway framework	34
2.2.1 Arrival track	35
2.2.2 The arrival process of trains	36

2.2.3	Time jumps and train movement on arrival tracks	38
2.2.4	Destination track	39
2.2.5	Function of blocks in discretized destination track	41
2.2.6	Headway time and block length on the destination track	41
2.2.7	Train movement on the destination track	43
2.2.8	Externality costs	44
3	Detailed modelling of the Fork_R junction	47
3.1	States	48
3.2	Decisions	49
3.3	Decision moments	50
3.4	Transitions	50
3.4.1	The destination track phase	51
3.4.2	The junction crossing phase	51
3.4.3	The arrival process	54
3.4.4	Summary of the transitions	54
3.5	Costs	55
3.6	State space reduction	57
3.7	Computational complexity	59
3.8	Trains with different destinations	60
4	Results of the Fork_R model	63
4.1	Basic scenario	64
4.2	Relevant states at the destination track	66
4.3	SMD strategy for the basic scenario	67
4.4	Simulation technique	69
4.5	The heuristics	70
4.6	Results of the basic scenario	71
4.7	Variations of the basic scenario	74
4.7.1	Freight train acceleration	75
4.7.2	System load	76
4.7.3	Shorter headway	78
4.7.4	The passenger-freight train ratio	79
4.7.5	Train type priorities	80
4.7.6	Other robustness tests	82
4.8	Conclusions	83

5	Modelling a Bidirectional junction	85
5.1	Physical differences	86
5.2	Model changes	87
5.2.1	States	88
5.2.2	Decisions	88
5.2.3	Time advance	89
5.2.4	Transitions	89
5.2.5	Costs	90
5.2.6	State space reduction	90
5.3	Results	91
5.3.1	Strategies	91
5.3.2	Basic scenario	93
5.3.3	SMD strategy of the basic scenario	94
5.3.4	Results of the basic scenario	97
5.3.5	Variations of the basic scenario	98
5.4	Conclusions	102
6	The SMD track speed model	105
6.1	Reasons for an alternative approach	105
6.2	Modelling changes	107
6.2.1	TrackSpeed variable ts	107
6.2.2	Changes to the state space	108
6.2.3	Changes to transitions	108
6.2.4	Changes to costs	111
6.3	Computational complexity	112
6.4	Results	114
6.4.1	Structure of the SMD_{ts} strategy	114
6.4.2	Performance of the SMD_{ts} strategy	117
6.4.3	Aggregation of track speeds	119
6.5	Conclusions	120
7	Railway networks	123
7.1	Networks and SMD	123
7.2	Decomposition and the scope of junctions	124
7.3	A network of three $Fork_2$ junctions	126
7.4	A network of seven $Fork_2$ junctions	129
7.5	A network containing a bidirectional junction	131

7.6	Conclusions	133
8	SMD in practice	135
8.1	Line segment Utrecht - Gouda	136
8.1.1	Lay-out of the line segment	137
8.1.2	Timetable	139
8.1.3	Historical delays data	142
8.2	The TAD conflict resolution rules	144
8.3	The modelling	144
8.3.1	Utrecht to Gouda	146
8.3.2	Gouda to Utrecht	153
8.4	Simulation study	158
8.4.1	Simulation and the difference with the SMD model	158
8.4.2	Scenarios	161
8.4.3	Strategies	161
8.4.4	Results Utrecht to Gouda	162
8.4.5	Results Gouda to Utrecht	165
8.5	SMD decisions and the usage in practice	167
8.6	Conclusions	171
9	Epilogue	173
9.1	General discussion and summary	173
9.2	Limitations of the thesis and recommendations for further research	176
	Summary	179
	Summary in English	180
	Nederlandse samenvatting (summary in Dutch)	184
	Краткое содержание (summary in Russian)	188
	مختصر الأطروحة (summary in Arabic)	195
	Appendices	203
A	Definitions	205
B	TAD rules of the Utrecht-Gouda line segment	209
C	SMD tables of the Utrecht-Gouda line segment	213
	Bibliography	221