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CHAPTER 3
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ABSTRACT
Localized induction of DNA damage is a valuable tool for studying cellular DNA damage responses. In the last decades, methods have been developed to generate DNA damage using radiations of varying types, including photons and charged particles. Here we describe a simple ultrasoft X-ray multi microbeam system for high dose-rate, localized induction of DNA strand breaks in cells at spatially and geometrically adjustable sites. Our system can be combined with fixed- and live-cell microscopy to study responses of cells to DNA damage.
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INTRODUCTION
Today various microbeam irradiation techniques are widely used but localized induction of DNA damage in cells posed a great challenge when professor Christoph Cremer and colleagues developed a microbeam instrument for studying the spatial organization of chromosomes inside the cell nucleus (Cremer et al. 1981). In their experiments, a small area of the nucleus was exposed to the focused beam of a UV-C laser. Using cytogenetic analysis the authors identified a sub-group of cells that showed a small number of chromosomes with heavy damage surrounded by the majority of chromosomes that were unaffected by the micro-irradiation. This pioneering study revealed that chromosomes occupy distinct territories in the interphase cell nucleus, an observation that has contributed in a fundamental way to our understanding of nuclear architecture.

Since these early experiments microbeam irradiation of living cells has become a powerful and standard method for studying the induction and processing of DNA lesions. Localized irradiation activates damage signaling and repair responses at sites of the nucleus exposed to the microbeam and initiates a complex choreography of events, including protein binding and parting, modification of chromatin and DNA processing. Microbeam irradiation techniques have enabled analysis of the dynamics of fluorescently-tagged repair proteins at damage sites and has helped to define the temporal and spatial organization of the DNA damage response (DDR) (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2006, Essers et al. 2006, Feuerhahn et al. 2008). Results obtained using microbeam irradiation also have provided important insights into molecular pathways connecting DNA repair to cell death, genomic instability and malignant cell transformation (Hill et al. 2006).

By taking advantage of the many different types of photon and charged particle sources presently available, and the characteristic spectra of DNA

UV-A photons produce singlet oxygen species that initiate free radical-driven reactions leading to oxidative damage to DNA and chromatin (Dizdaroglu 1992, Marrot et al. 2008) whereas UV-A exposure of pre-sensitized cells is more effective in producing DNA double strand breaks (Limoli et al. 1994). UV-B photons predominantly produce dimers of pyrimidine bases located on the same strand, leading to distortion of DNA structure, halting of transcription and replication (Marrot et al. 2008). UV-C photons induce pyrimidine dimers and pyrimidine (6–4) pyrimidone photoproducts, but not strand breaks (Perdiz et al. 2000, Rodrigo et al. 2000). X-ray photons, alpha particles and accelerated electrons or ions transfer more energy at impact than UV photons. When absorbed in the cell nuclei, these high-energy radiations produce multiple ionizations that generate single- and double-strand DNA breaks (DSBs) and some DNA base damage (Preston 2005).

Here we describe a vertically oriented ultrasoft X-ray multi microbeam (MMB) system designed to induce up to 10 DSBs per second at a number of spatially and geometrically adjustable sites within a large number of cell nuclei simultaneously. We opted for DSB induction by ultrasoft X-rays because poorly characterized chromatin damage generated as a by-product of the Hoechst/BrdU/UV-A laser microirradiation, used frequently for DSB induction, could affect our results (Dinant et al. 2007, Williams et al. 2007). The aim of this study is to apply MMB irradiation to quantitatively study accumulation of repair-related proteins in live cells and in cells fixed after irradiation. MMB irradiation also allows studying the kinetics of one or more fluorescent repair proteins at a number of damaged areas in a single cell nucleus. We expect that simultaneous analysis of different fluorescence signals in the same nucleus after MMB irradiation can provide detailed quantitative information about the dynamics and potential interactions of proteins at the damaged DNA.

Experiments described here show that the MMB system produces a uniform pattern of DNA damage areas in the cell nuclei and that the accumulation
of repair proteins at the damaged areas can be quantitatively analyzed using fixed- and live-cell microscopy techniques.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setup of the “Multi Micro Beam” (MMB) soft X-ray system. Our experimental setup consists of an X-ray source contained in a vacuum chamber (Fig. 1), evacuated by a turbo-molecular pump and an in-series rotary pump, achieving a vacuum of < 10⁻⁵ mbar, as measured by Bayert Alpert ionization gauge. The samples are irradiated in ambient environment via a vacuum-sealed window with a diameter of 14 mm, formed by a 2 µm-thick mylar membrane, supported by a stainless-steel grid. A 14 µm thick, photolithographically manufactured nickel mesh filter (Melo et al. 2008) with openings of between 2.2-3.5 µm in diameter, placed on top of the window, was used as a multi micro aperture system, providing ≈ 5 x 10⁶ micro beams/cm². The soft X-ray source (Fig. 1) is based on an obsolete e-beam evaporation system of Vacuum Generators. Contrary to traditional sources, this system has cylindrical symmetry. Electrons emitted by the circular cathode are focused at the top of the cooled anode (carbon target) by the surrounding cylindrical electrode (focusing cage). The advantage is that the size of the focal spot can be chosen by adjusting the distance of the top orifice of the electrode to the top of the anode. A spot size < 0.5 mm can easily be achieved, but we increased the size to about 4 mm to prevent evaporation of the anode material and increase the photon-emitting surface. We conducted our experiments with 277 eV, 4.4 nm Carbon K radiation (Agarwal et al. 1981), using a graphite anode. To prevent system overheating, we connected the target to the cooled copper anode by conductive glue. Heat radiation from the cathode is also optically shielded by the top part of the focusing cage.

Our samples were irradiated using an anode voltage of 3 keV and a cathode emission current of 8 mA, which can be increased to ~20 mA. Approximately 90% of emission consisted of Carbon K radiation (~277 eV) and the residual part was Bremsstrahlung.

![Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the multi micro-beam irradiation setup](image-url)
with a maximum intensity at ~1.6 keV, as determined from the pulse height distribution produced by a classical proportional counter.

**Cell culture and irradiation.** Wild-type and 53BP1-GFP-expressing U2OS cells (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% FCS at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 10% CO₂. 24 h before irradiation, approximately 10⁶ cells were plated in custom-made glass rings with mylar bottoms as described before (Stap et al. 2008). Cells were irradiated by placing the dishes on top of the nickel mesh filter for the required period of time.

**Immunocytochemistry and microscopy.** After irradiation, cells were fixed and stained for indicated proteins as described before (Stap et al. 2008) using the following antibodies: rabbit anti-RAD51 (Essers et al. 2002), mouse anti-γH2AX (05-636, Milipore), rabbit anti-MDC1 (A300-051A, Bethyl Laboratories), goat anti-mouse-Cy3, (115-165-166) and goat anti-rabbit-FITC (111-095-144) (Jackson Immunoresearch). For live-cell analysis, the mylar membrane with irradiated cells was placed on top of a glass cover-slip, mounted into a push-to-seal custom-made imaging chamber in CO₂-independent medium (Gibco BRL) and positioned on the stage of an inverted Leica SP2 confocal microscope equipped with a heated stage. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis was performed using the 488 nm laser line. Immunocytochemically stained cells were imaged using a Leica DMRA wide-field fluorescence microscope and wide-field 3-D images were reconstructed using Huygens Pro (Scientific Volume Imaging) and processed using ImageJ and Photoshop CS3 (Adobe Systems). Data processing was performed using Excel (Microsoft) and Prism 4 (GraphPad Software). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the nickel mesh filters were obtained using a SEM 525 (Philips).

**RESULTS**

**Geometrically and spatially tunable MMB irradiation elicits normal DNA damage response.**

Many DSB repair-related proteins accumulate in the vicinity of the damaged DNA, forming so-called ionizing radiation induced foci (IRIF) at damage-containing chromatin within minutes after exposure of cells to IR (Lukas et al. 2005). Among the earliest events triggered by DSBs is phosphorylation of histone H2AX by the ATM kinase (Foster et al. 2005). Phosphorylated H2AX (γH2AX) was present at confined areas of U2OS cell nuclei at 30 min after irradiation by MMB (Fig. 2a). MMB irradiation also induced accumulation of the damage mediator MDC1 (Stewart et al. 2003) and repair protein RAD51 (Tashiro et al. 2000) at the exposed areas (Fig. 2a). These results indicate that MMB irradiation elicits a normal DNA damage response.

We then measured the dose-rate of the MMB by counting the number of individual γH2AX IRIF in exposed areas after different irradiation periods (Fig. 2b). Exposure time of between 5 and 15 s linearly correlated with the numbers of γH2AX IRIF (Fig. 2b), allowing estimation of irradiation time for experiments
requiring induction of large amounts of DSBs, when scoring of individual IRIF is impossible.

Our irradiation setup provides a possibility to control the geometry and distribution of irradiated areas by using metal mesh filters of various parameters (Fig. 2c). Indeed, when we applied mesh filters with opening diameters of 2.2 and 3.5 µm, we detected γH2AX presence at confined circular areas of the expected diameter (Fig. 2c). Importantly, few γH2AX IRIF were present outside of these areas. We conclude that MMB allows precise control over irradiation dose, distribution and geometry of the exposed areas.

Fig. 2 Induction of DNA double strand-breaks by multi micro-beam irradiation. a MMB irradiation induces DSB responses. U2OS cells were irradiated for 6 min through a metal mesh filter with openings of 2.2 µm in diameter, fixed 30 min later and stained for DNA (blue), γH2AX (red) and Rad51 (green, left panel) or MDC1 (green, right panel). Inset shows magnification of a single irradiated area. Scale bar 10 µm. b The number of DSBs induced by MMB irradiation linearly depends on irradiation time. Left panels show U2OS cells irradiated for 5, 10 or 15 s through a metal mesh filter with openings of 2.2 µm in diameter, fixed 5 min later and stained for DNA (blue), γH2AX (red) and MDC1 (green). Right panel shows quantification of numbers of γH2AX foci representing individual DSBs per exposed area in cells from (b). c The geometry of exposed areas can be controlled by applying metal mesh filters of varying parameters. Cells were irradiated for 6 min using filters with openings of 2.2 µm (left) or 3.5 µm in diameter (right), fixed 5 min later and stained for DNA (blue) and γH2AX (red). Upper panels show mesh filters used, imaged by a scanning electron microscope. Lower panels show stained cells irradiated using the respective filters. Scale bar 2.2 µm (left panel) and 3.5 µm (right panel).
MMB irradiation is suitable for analysis of DDR in living cells

Live-cell analysis can provide valuable information about the cellular response to DSBs. UV laser microirradiation of presensitized cells is a frequently used method for DSB induction used in combination with live-cell microscopy. However, the broad spectrum of DNA damage induced by this approach includes large amounts of UV-specific lesions and the deposited dose is difficult to estimate. DNA damage induced by ultrasoft X-rays is well characterized and does not include UV-specific lesions (Gobert et al. 2004, Goodhead et al. 1981, Griffin et al. 1998, Hawkins 2006, Hill et al. 2001, Nikjoo et al. 1999, Yokoya et al. 1999, de Lara et al. 2001).

![Fig. 3](image)

**Fig. 3** Dynamics of 53BP1-GFP at areas of DNA damage induced by the MMB system. a U2OS cells expressing 53BP1-GFP were irradiated for 6 min through a metal mesh filter with openings of 2.2 μm in diameter and mounted under a confocal microscope. The indicated area of the selected cell was then bleached and the cell was imaged for 20 min at intervals of 10 s. The gallery shows images captured at indicated times after bleaching. The perimeter of the cell nucleus is indicated by the dotted line. Scale bar 10 μm. b Quantification of the fluorescence intensities measured at the different ROIs in the cell (a) at indicated times after bleaching. The fluorescence intensities at each ROI were normalized to the intensities at the respective ROI before bleaching. c Quantification of average fluorescence intensities at bleached (1-3) and non-bleached (4-7) regions at indicated times after bleaching. Error bars indicate standard deviations. d Quantification of recovery of 53BP1-GFP intensity at damaged chromatin areas after photobleaching. The graph represents normalized average intensity of the bleached areas obtained from measurement of 10 cells. The black curve represents non-linear fit obtained as described earlier (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005). Error bars represent standard deviation.
Microscopical observation of MMB-irradiated U2OS cells expressing GFP-tagged 53BP1, one of early DSB markers (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005), revealed accumulation of this protein at damaged chromatin consistent with the geometry of the mesh filter used (Fig. 3a). We then applied the fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) technique (van Royen et al. 2009) to visualize the dynamics of 53BP1-GFP at damaged chromatin. We bleached the 53BP1-GFP fluorescence at 3 out of 7 irradiated areas in a single cell. Subsequent imaging revealed recovery of the fluorescence at the bleached regions of interest (ROIs) and concomitant loss of fluorescence at the non-bleached ROIs (Fig. 3b and c), confirming dynamic character of interaction of 53BP1 with damaged chromatin. Next, we quantified the dynamics of 53BP1 by estimating its residence time at damaged chromatin (Fig 3d). To achieve this, we irradiated cells using a metal mesh filter that produces on average one irradiated circular area of 5 µm in diameter, per cell. 20 min after irradiation, we bleached the GFP signal at damage-containing chromatin areas by exposing them to 5 pulses of the 488 nm laser set to 100% emission. Subsequently, we imaged the cells for 10 min at 10s intervals. We then measured the average GFP fluorescence intensities at the bleached regions and normalized them as described earlier (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005). To obtain residence time of 53BP1 at damaged chromatin we fitted the obtained data to the following equation (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005):

\[ y(t) = Y_1 \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau_1}\right) + Y_2 \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau_2}\right) \]

Residence time of damage-associated fraction of 53BP1-GFP (\(\tau_1\)) was 145 ± 5 s, more than 3.5 times longer than that reported before for 53BP1-GFP at chromatin after UVA laser microirradiation in BrdU presensitized cells (Bekker-Jensen et al. 2005). Whether this discrepancy reflects physiological differences in protein dynamics at UV- and IR-irradiated chromatin requires further investigation.

DISCUSSION

We constructed a vertical ultrasoft X-ray multi microbeam source for simultaneous, localized irradiation of large numbers of cell nuclei. The purpose of this instrument is to induce high amounts of DNA damage by ionizing radiation at several sites in the cell nucleus. This allows detection of repair-related proteins that accumulate at the damage sites at low concentrations. Using custom-made metal mesh filters we were able to obtain a uniform pattern of DNA damage-containing areas. We could observe the irradiated samples under a live-cell confocal microscope and perform FRAP analysis at the individual exposed sites. This procedure will allow evaluation of the dynamics
and interactions of several fluorescently labeled repair proteins simultaneously in a single cell as an alternative to UV laser microirradiation.

The MMB ultrasoft X-ray irradiation system is still in the initial phase of development. We intend to incorporate the MMB into an upright confocal fluorescence microscopy system equipped with a water-dipping objective. This will allow real-time observation of fast DDR events, such as accumulation of the Ku or DNA-PKcs proteins (Mari et al. 2006, Uematsu et al. 2007). However, for this purpose, a higher dose-rate is required as accumulation of these factors reaches the maximum within the first minute after laser microirradiation. Our current setup offers rates of up to 10 DSBs per second, which can be further increased by shortening the distance between the source and cells (currently approximately 14 cm) and by raising the anode voltage to 5 keV (currently 3 keV).

Ionizing radiation is widely accepted as the reference inducer of single- and double-strand breaks in studies focused on DSB repair. In contrast, UV or multiphoton laser microirradiation is difficult to control and reproduce between different experimental setups and generates large amounts of UV-specific lesions and possibly other types of DNA damage (Dinant et al. 2007, Kong et al. 2009). Therefore, the relatively well-defined spectrum of DNA damage induced by ultrasoft X-rays generated by our setup presents a direct advantage over laser microirradiation techniques. Recently, we used the MMB to confirm the recruitment of the HP1 protein to DSB-containing chromatin, which was first observed using UV laser microirradiation (Luijsterburg et al. in press).

The metal mesh filters that form the multi micro-aperture structure provide broad control over the spatial distribution of induced DNA damage. For instance, sparsely distributed openings could be used to study ‘bystander effects’ in neighbors of cells exposed to irradiation. Currently, an area of about 150 mm², containing approximately 2x10⁵ cells can be irradiated at the same instant. However, some experiments require irradiation of a limited area of the sample so that several irradiation conditions can be tested in one dish. This can be easily achieved by using metal mesh filters with openings restricted to a small area. In the near future we will upgrade the MMB system to make it compatible with α-particle and UV radiation sources and soft X-ray sources of other photon energies.
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