Ulric Huber (1636-1694) : 'De ratione juris docendi & discendi diatribe per modum dialogi : nonnullis aucta paralipomenois' : with a translation and commentary
Hewett, M.L.

Citation for published version (APA):
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE ix

ABBREVIATIONS xiii

INTRODUCTION xv

## PART I

**CHAPTER I** INTRODUCTIONS ALL ROUND xix

**CHAPTER II** THE 1684, 1688, 1696 AND 1724 VERSIONS OF THE *DIALOGUS* xxiii

1. 1684 — A DESCRIPTIVE BIBLIOGRAPHER’S DELIGHT xxiv

1.1 Possible reasons for the changes xxv

2. THE 1684 AND THE 1688 VERSIONS COMPARED xxvii

2.1 The title changes — Dialogue or Diatribe xxvii

2.2 Alterations to the text xxviii

2.3 Content of the excised passages xxix

2.4 Comment on the passages added xxx

3. 1696 — A POSTHUMOUS EDITION xxxi

4. 1724 — THE BUDER EDITION xxxi

5. CONCLUSION xxxii

## PART II

_De ratione discendi atque docendi juris diatribe per modum dialogi_

A diatribe in the form of a dialogue on the method of learning and teaching law.

## PART III

**CHAPTER III** LEGAL EDUCATION — A BRIEF GLANCE AT SOME RECURRING ISSUES 67

1. LEGAL EDUCATION IN THE NORTHERN NETHERLANDS IN THE 17th CENTURY 67

1.1 The University of Leiden and the Faculty of Law 68

1.2 Didactic policies 69

1.3 Disputations 70

1.4 A Scottish student’s-eye view of Leiden 1694-1697 71

2. HUMANISM AND LEGAL EDUCATION 73

3. SOME CRITICISMS OF LAW TEACHING 74

3.1 Where does the blame lie? 74

4. THE *METHODUS COMPENDIARIA* 75

4.1 Antonius Matthaeus (1564–1637) 75

4.2 Johannes Christenius (1600–1672) 76

4.3 Cornelis van Eck (1662–1732) 77

5. CONCLUSION 78
CHAPTER IV  THE AUTHOR, ULRIC HUBER (1636-1694)  79
1. HIS LIFE AND CAREER  79
1.1 Vitringa's Oratio Funebris  83
2. HUBER'S STATEMENTS CONCERNING TEACHING AND LEARNING LAW AS PROPOUNDED IN HIS PUBLISHED WORKS  84
2.1 Sources considered  84
2.2 Huber's general publishing strategy regarding student aids  85
3. HUBER'S ORATIONS ON TEACHING LAW  85
3.1 A summary of Huber's inaugural oration of 19 September 1665 (Oratio I)  86
3.2 The Oratio of 27 April, 1682. (Oratio II)  88
3.3 The second Oratio of 1682 (Oratio IV)  90
4. TWO OF HUBER'S BOOKS CONCERNING TEACHING  91
4.1 The Positiones of 1682  91
4.2 The Digressiones of 1670  92
4.3 Conclusion  93
5. HUBER AS A HUMANIST  94
6. WHY THE DIALOGUE FORM?  95
6.1 The Classical Dialogues  95
6.2 The Renaissance revival  96
6.3 Huber and the Platonic and Ciceronian Dialogue  97
6.4 Conclusion  99

CHAPTER V  THE PERSONAES DIALOGLI  100
1. JOHANN FRIEDRICH BÖCKELMANN (1632-1681)  100
1.1 Böckelmann in real life  100
1.2 The Böckelmann property at Hazerswoude  102
1.3 Böckelmann and the Praefatio to his Compendium of 1679  103
1.3.1 Böckelmann and the Road to the Summit of Success  105
1.3.2 The Compendium-Dispendium antithesis  107
1.4 The Böckelmann of the Dialogus  108
1.5 Conclusion  109
2. GEORGIUS CONRADUS CRUSIUS (1614-1678)  109
2.1 Crusius in real life  109
2.2 Crusius' published works  110
2.3 Crusius' relations with Böckelmann and Huber  111
2.4 The Crusius of the Dialogus  111
3. ADRIANUS WIJNGAERDEN (1648-?)  112
3.1 Wijngaerden's academic career and early life  112
3.2 Wijngaerden in the Dialogus  113
4. HUBER AS REFLECTED IN THE DIALOGUS OF 1684 AND OF 1688  114
CHAPTER VI  ALBERTUS RUSIUS AND GERARD NOODT  
1.  THE CURIOUS INCLUSION OF ALBERTUS RUSIUS  
   (1614–1678)  
   1.1 Rusius in real life  
   1.2 Rusius’ relationship with Huber, Crusius and Böckelmann  
   1.3 Rusius on Compendia  
2.  GERARD NOODT (1647–1725)  
   2.1 Noodt’s early life  
   2.2 Noodt and Huber  
   2.2.1 Noodt’s views on teaching law as reflected in De causis corruptae jurisprudentiae  
   2.2.2 Huber vs Noodt continued  
   2.3 Noodt’s relationships with Böckelmann and Crusius, as exemplified in his Probabilia  
3.  CONCLUSION  

CHAPTER VII  THE HISTORIC BASIS OF THE DIALOGUS — FACTS OR FANTASY?  

CHAPTER VIII  THE JOURNAL DES SÇAVANS OR THE EPHÉMÉRIDES ERUDITORUM  
1.  THE REPUBLIC OF LETTERS  
2.  THE ORIGIN AND PURPOSE OF THE JOURNAL  
   2.1 The early years  
   2.2 The Northern Netherlands after 1669  
   2.2.1 The Latin version — The Ephemerides Eruditorum  
3.  THE JOURNAL THROUGH THE EYES OF THE PERSONAE DIALOGI  
   3.1 Comment on two Dutch legal writings in the Journal and in the Ephemerides Eruditorum  
4.  POSSIBLE REASONS FOR HUBER’S INTRODUCTION OF THE EPHÉMÉRIDES ERUDITORUM  

CHAPTER IX  CONCLUDING THOUGHTS  

I  APPENDICES TO PART II  
   Who is who? and what is what? in the Dialogus  
   Index of Proper Nouns in the 1684 and 1688 edition  

II  APPENDICES TO THE COMMENTARY  
   Appendix A — Latin passages in the 1684 edition which were removed from the 1688 edition  
   Appendix B — The Dieterichs sheets  
   Appendix C — Böckelmann Documents  
   Appendix D — The Journal des Sçavans or the Ephemerides Eruditorum  

III  CHRONOLOGIES  
   Chronology I — The ages of the dialogi personae in 1671 and 1684  
   Chronology II — Summary of key dates relevant to the roles of the persons in the Dialogus  
   Chronology III — Chronological order of events  

Contents vii
viii  

Contents

IV  BIBLIOGRAPHIES  208
Bibliographical Notes  208
Bibliography I — Primary Sources mentioned in the Dialogus and in the Commentary  210
Bibliography II — Classical authors cited in the 1688 Dialogus and in the Commentary  214
Bibliography III — Secondary literature consulted  215

V  SUMMARY AND SAMENVATTING  221

VI  PLATES

VII  INDEX OF NAMES  229