



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Sleep bruxism

Associations and comorbid conditions

Chattratjai, T.

Publication date

2024

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):


Chattratjai, T. (2024). *Sleep bruxism: Associations and comorbid conditions*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



CHAPTER 3

THE ASSOCIATION OF SELF-REPORTED AWAKE BRUXISM AND SLEEP BRUXISM WITH TEMPOROMANDIBULAR PAIN AND DYSFUNCTION IN ADULT PATIENTS WITH TEMPOROMANDIBULAR DISORDERS

Thiprawee Chattratrai^{1,2}, Ghizlane Aarab¹, Naichuan Su³, Tessa F Blanken⁴,
Somsak Mitirattanakul², Frank Lobbezoo¹

¹ Department of Orofacial Pain and Dysfunction, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Department of Masticatory Science, Faculty of Dentistry, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

³ Department of Oral Public Health, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Psychological Methods, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Submitted for publication

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the association of the severity of TMD pain and dysfunction with the frequency of self-reported AB, SB, and stress in an adult TMD-patient population.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study included 237 TMD patients based on the DC/TMD. Age, sex, frequency of self-reported AB and SB, and stress were included as independent variables. TMD pain and TMD dysfunction were included as dependent variables in regression analyses. Univariate and multivariable linear regression analyses were used to predict TMD pain and TMD dysfunction in two separate models. Finally, network analysis was performed to investigate the associations between all variables.

Results: In the univariate analyses, TMD pain was significantly associated with self-reported AB-frequent (unstandardized coefficient (B)=3.196, 95%CI 1.198-5.195, $p=0.002$). TMD dysfunction was significantly associated with AB-frequent (B= 2.208, 95%CI 0.177-4.238, $p=0.033$) and SB-sometimes (B=1.698, 95%CI 0.001-3.394, $p=0.050$). In the multivariable analyses, TMD pain was significantly associated with TMD dysfunction (B=0.370, $p<0.001$), stress (B=0.102, $p<0.001$). TMD dysfunction was significantly associated with TMD pain (B=0.410, $p<0.001$) only. Network analysis showed that TMD pain is a bridge factor between AB, stress, and TMD dysfunction.

Conclusions: TMD pain is directly associated with AB, stress, and TMD dysfunction, while TMD dysfunction is only associated with TMD pain.

Clinical Relevance: Reducing pain may improve pain-related dysfunction, and the management of AB and stress may improve TMD pain and dysfunction.

Keywords: sleep bruxism; awake bruxism; temporomandibular disorders; TMD pain; TMD dysfunction; network analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) are a group of conditions that relate to the temporomandibular joints (TMJ) and masticatory muscles.¹ The signs and symptoms of TMD include orofacial pain and functional disturbances of the masticatory system, amongst other conditions.¹ TMD pain, i.e., pain in the TMJ, preauricular area, or masticatory muscles, is reported by 16.3%-25.6% of adult population samples,^{2,3} and it is the main reason for patients to seek treatment.⁴ TMD dysfunction, i.e., TMJ sounds and limited jaw movements, are frequent complaints of TMD patients as well.¹ The etiology of TMD includes biological factors, such as oral behaviors (e.g., bruxism) and trauma,⁵⁻⁷ and psychosocial factors, such as stress, depression, anxiety, and somatization.^{7,8}

Awake bruxism (AB) and sleep bruxism (SB) have been defined as repetitive masticatory muscle activities, occurring during wakefulness and sleep, respectively.⁹ Nowadays, AB and SB are considered to be different behaviors and therefore have separate definitions.¹⁰ AB is defined as “a masticatory muscle activity during wakefulness that is characterized by repetitive or sustained tooth contact and/or by bracing or thrusting of the mandible”; SB is defined as “a masticatory muscle activity during sleep that is characterized as rhythmic (phasic) or non-rhythmic (tonic)”.¹⁰ AB and SB seem to share some genetic origin and have similar associated factors such as psychosocial and lifestyle factors.¹¹ Some studies found a significant relationship between AB and SB,¹¹⁻¹³ and improving AB by biofeedback treatment could reduce SB events.¹⁴ In contrast, a study in Italian dental students could not find any association between self-reported AB and SB.¹⁵

AB and SB have both been considered as risk factors for TMD in adolescent and adult populations. A study on TMD-pain onset in an adult population showed that self-reported oral behaviors, including AB, were potential risk factors for the development of TMD.¹⁶ In addition, AB and SB were associated with self-reported TMJ pain^{17,18} and with increased pain in TMD patients.¹⁹ Even though the association between AB and TMD is reported in both self-report and instrumental approaches, the association between SB and TMD still yields controversial outcomes depending on measurements.²⁰⁻²²

To date, the association between bruxism and functional symptoms of TMD, e.g., joint sounds and mandibular movement limitations, is inconclusive and in need of further investigation.²¹ AB has been associated with intermittent locking in adolescents.²³ Likewise, self-reported AB and SB were associated with TMJ locking in university students.¹⁷ In addition, co-existence of self-reported AB and SB increased the chance of having TMD symptoms such as TMD pain and TMJ locking.¹⁷ In the study of Rossetti

et al., however, self-reported daytime grinding was not associated with TMJ sounds, and PSG-assessed SB was not associated with TMJ sounds or limited mandibular movements in a TMD-patient population.²⁴ Moreover, the studies of the association of AB and SB with TMD mainly focus on pain, but not on dysfunction. Thus, how AB and SB are associated with TMD pain and dysfunction is still unclear.

In this study, first, we aimed to investigate the association between self-reported AB and SB. The hypothesis was that AB was significantly associated with SB. Second, by assessing the severity of TMD pain and dysfunction from self-reported TMD-pain and -dysfunction scores, we aimed to investigate the association between the frequency of self-reported AB and SB on the one hand and the severity of TMD pain and dysfunction on the other hand in an adult TMD-patient population. Our hypothesis was that AB and SB are both associated with TMD pain and dysfunction in adult TMD patients.

2. METHOD

2.1 Participants

The data were collected from patients who had their first visit at the Masticatory Science Clinic, Faculty of Dentistry, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand. All patients were diagnosed with, or complained about orofacial pain and/or jaw dysfunction. Only patients over 18 years old were included. There were 310 records. There were 2 patients included twice, so these two redundant records were excluded before conducting the analyses. There were 14 cases excluded because they did not have TMD complaints or TMD diagnosis. In addition, there were 57 records with incomplete questionnaires. Those records were excluded as well. Hence, in total, 237 records were included in the analyses. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Dentistry/Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University (MU-DT/PY-IRB), under protocol 2021/DT128. Participants were included in the study from January 2020 to December 2021, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2 Study procedures

All patients underwent routine examination and were assessed for TMD status with the Diagnostic Criteria for TMD (DC/TMD) axis I (not used for the analyses).²⁵ Several questionnaires were completed before the clinical examination during the first visit. The questionnaires included items regarding age and sex; TMD/orofacial pain (TMD-pain and TMD-dysfunction scores), using the modified Gerstner screening questionnaire²⁶; frequency of awake/sleep bruxism from the Oral Behaviors Checklist (OBC)²⁵; drinking alcohol and cigarette smoking from the general screening questionnaire; and stress

from the Suan Prung Stress Test-20 (SPST-20).²⁷ Since few participants drink alcohol or smoke, we omitted drinking alcohol and smoking from linear regression analysis, and also from the subsequent network analysis.

The TMD screening questionnaire includes three parts. The first and second parts, i.e., part A and B, are the modified Gerstner screening questionnaire assessing TMD pain and dysfunction severity.²⁶ The third part, i.e., part C, includes questions about the frequency of pain elsewhere, of AB and SB, and pain impact on work and social activity. All questions and response options included in this study are described in **Table 1**.

The question on the frequency of awake/sleep bruxism was obtained from the OBC, which is recommended for the assessment of oral behaviors, including AB and SB.²⁵ We included the OBC question 1 to assess SB, and the maximum value among the OBC questions 3-6 to assess AB. The OBC had five answer options, namely: None of the time (0), <1 night/month (1), 1-3 nights/month (2), 1-3 nights/week (3), and 4-7 nights/week (4) for SB; and none of the time (0), a little of the time (1), some of the time (2), most of the time (3), and all of the time (4) for AB.

Few participants answered “all of the time” of AB and “<1 night/month” of SB, so the answer options “<1 night/month” and “1-3 nights/month” of SB and “a little of the time” and “some of the time” of AB were combined into “sometimes”. The answer options “1-3 nights/week” and “4-7 nights/week” of SB and “most of the time” and “all of the time” were combined into “frequent”. Thus, the final answer options for the frequency of AB and SB were “never”, “sometimes”, and “frequent”.

Table 1 Description of variables and questionnaires

Variables	Questionnaires	Specific Questions	Answer options	Interpretation
TMD-pain score	Modified Gerstner screening questionnaire (TMD screening questionnaire part A, jaw pain questions)	Does it hurt when you open wide or yawn? Does it hurt when you chew, or use the jaws? Does it hurt when you are not chewing or using the jaws? Is your pain worse on waking? Do you have pain in front of the ears or ear aches? Do you have jaw muscle (cheek) pain? Do you have pain in the temples? Do you have pain or soreness in the teeth? Does it hurt when you move your jaw to the side?	Five answer options ranging from 0 to 4 (Does not hurt at all, hurts a little, hurts a lot, almost unbearable, and unbearable pain without relief)	Sum score of all question ranging from 0 to 36
TMD-dysfunction score	Modified Gerstner screening questionnaire (TMD screening questionnaire part B, jaw function questions)	Do your jaw joints make noise so that it bothers you or others? Do you find it difficult to open your mouth wide? Does your jaw ever lock closed so you cannot open it? Does your jaw ever lock open so you cannot close it? Do you have a problem with your bite being uncomfortable? Does it hurt when you move your jaw to the sides? (Uncomfortable when moving jaw to the side)	Five answer options ranging from 0 to 4 (No, maybe a little, quite a lot, almost all the time, and all the time without stopping)	Sum score of all question ranging from 0 to 24
Stress score	Suan Prung Stress Test-20 (SPST-20)	Rating stress level in these following situations in past 6 months, you may skip the situation that does not apply to you. Fear of making a mistake Cannot reach the goal Family conflict with financial or household problems Concerning environmental problems or pollution Feeling like having a competition or comparing with others Financial problems Muscle pain or tension Tension headache Back pain Change of appetite Pain on one side of head	Six answer options ranging from 0 to 5 (not applicable, not at all, slightly, moderate, severe, extremely severe)	Sum score of all questions ranging from 0 to 100 0-23 Mild stress 24-41 Moderate stress 42-61 High stress ≥62 Severe stress

Table 1 Continued

Variables	Questionnaires	Specific Questions	Answer options	Interpretation
		Feeling anxious Feeling frustrate Feeling angry Feeling sad Getting forgetful Getting confused Loss of concentration Feeling easily tired Frequently getting cold		
Awake bruxism	Oral Behaviors Checklist (OBC)	Grind teeth together during waking hours Clench teeth together during waking hours Press, touch, or hold teeth together other than while eating (that is, contact between upper and lower teeth) Hold, tighten, or tense muscles without clenching or bringing teeth together	none of the time (0), a little of the time (1), some of the time (2), most of the time (3), and all of the time (4)	Maximum score of the OBC questions 3-6 ranging from 0 to 4
Sleep bruxism	Oral Behaviors Checklist (OBC)	Clench or grind teeth when asleep, based on any information you may have	None of the time (0), <1 night/month (1), 1-3 nights/ month (2), 1-3 nights/week (3), and 4-7 nights/week (4)	The score ranging from 0 to 4

2.3 Statistical analysis

To investigate the association between the frequency of AB and that of SB, Spearman's correlation test was performed by using the original answer options, i.e., five answer options of AB and SB.

To investigate the association of both AB and SB with TMD pain and TMD dysfunction, we performed a three-step analysis, viz., univariate analysis, multivariable analysis, and network analysis. First, univariate linear regression analysis was performed to predict TMD pain in a first model and to predict TMD dysfunction in a second model. AB and SB were included to predict TMD pain and TMD dysfunction. We included only the frequency of AB and SB in the univariate analyses, while we included all independent variables in the multivariable analyses based on the approach by Kostis et al.²⁸ The computed categories of AB and SB, i.e., never, sometimes, and frequent, were used in linear regression and network analyses.

Second, multivariable linear regression analyses were used to investigate the association between all variables and TMD pain and dysfunction. TMD-pain score was the dependent variable in the first model, and TMD-dysfunction score was the dependent variable in the second model. Age, sex, frequency of AB and SB, and stress were included as the independent variables to predict TMD pain in the first model, and to predict TMD dysfunction in the second model. TMD dysfunction score was added as an independent variable to predict TMD pain. Likewise, TMD pain score was added to the TMD dysfunction model.

Third, network analysis was performed to investigate the associations between all variables without defining dependent or independent variables. The network model estimates the conditional dependence relations of all included variables. That is, the unique relation between two variables after controlling for all other variables in the network model.²⁹ Seven variables were included in the analysis; age, sex ("male", "female"), stress, AB ("never", "sometimes", "frequent"), SB ("never", "sometimes", "frequent"), TMD pain, and TMD dysfunction. Since there were categorical and continuous variables included in the network analysis, we estimated a mixed graphical model.³⁰ Because of our relatively low sample size and our goal to discover edges that have a high probability to be truly present, we focused on precision and used a regularization technique called 'Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator' (LASSO) to minimize false positive edges.^{30,31} The amount of regularization that is applied depends on the tuning parameter. We selected the optimal tuning parameter by minimizing the Extended Bayesian Information Criterion (EBIC). A gamma hyperparameter controls the extent to which the EBIC prefers simpler (i.e., sparser)

models, with higher values for the gamma hyperparameter corresponding to sparser networks. In this study, we set the hyperparameter to 0.5 to gain higher specificity. An estimated network model can be visualized as a network in which the variables are included as nodes and the conditional dependence relations are shown as edges.²⁹ A blue edge represents a positive relation and a red edge represents a negative relation. The nonparametric bootstrapping with 1,000 bootstrap samples was used to investigate the network's accuracy.²⁹ Any edges between variables with more than two categories, viz., frequency of AB and SB, would be evaluated using post-hoc analyses. Node centrality was computed to evaluate which variable may have the highest strength in the network. To evaluate the stability of the centrality, we computed the case-dropping bootstrap to find the correlation stability coefficients (CS-coefficients) of node strength. To test whether the centrality is meaningfully different across the variables, bootstrapped difference test was used.²⁹ Spearman's correlation test, linear regression analysis and network analysis were performed with R (version 4.1.2, Vienna University of Economics and Business, Vienna, Austria).³² Linear regression analysis was done using the R-package "*lm.beta*" (version 1.5-1).³³ The network analysis was done using the R-package "*bootnet*" (version 1.5),³⁰ while visualization of a network model was done with the R-package "*qgraph*" (version 1.9).³⁴ Post-hoc analysis of the network model was done using the R-package "*mgm*" (version 1.2-12).³⁵

3

3. RESULTS

There were 237 adult TMD patients included in the present study (60 males and 177 females). Mean±SD age of participants was 39.9±15.5. TMD status of the study sample was as follows: 72 (30.4%) local myalgia, 41 (17.3%) myofascial pain, 7 (3%) myofascial pain with referral, 72 (30.4%) arthralgia, 18 (7.6%) disc displacement with reduction, 3 (1.3%) disc displacement with reduction with intermittent locking, 2 (0.8%) disc displacement without reduction without limited opening, 7 (3%) degenerative joint disease, 7 (3%) subluxation, and 8 (3.4%) myospasm. **Table 2** presents the descriptive data of the patient population. **Table 3** shows the distribution of the frequency of AB and SB. AB was significantly positively associated with SB ($r_s = 0.27$; $p < 0.01$).

Table 2 The descriptive data of adult TMD patient population (N=237).

Variables	N (%)	Range	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)
Age		18-81	39.9±15.5	37 (25)
TMD pain score (0-36)		0-27	6.7±5.1	6 (6)
TMD dysfunction score (0-24)		0-19	6.0±5.1	5 (8)
Stress score (0-100)		0-73	32.5±15.2	30 (19)
Sex				
Male	60 (25.3%)			
Female	177 (74.7%)			
Frequency of AB				
Never	37 (15.6%)			
Sometimes	131 (55.3%)			
Frequent	69 (29.1%)			
Frequency of SB				
Never	96 (40.5%)			
Sometimes	53 (22.4%)			
Frequent	88 (37.1%)			

SD = standard deviation; IQR = interquartile range

Table 3 The distribution of frequency of AB and SB (N= 237)

		SB			Total
		Never	Sometimes	Frequent	
AB	Never	29 (12.2%)	3 (1.3%)	5 (2.1%)	37 (15.6%)
	Sometimes	46 (19.4%)	33 (13.9%)	52 (21.9%)	131 (55.3%)
	Frequent	21 (8.9%)	17 (7.1%)	31 (13.1%)	69 (29.1%)
	Total	96 (40.5%)	53 (22.4%)	88 (37.1%)	237 (100%)

3.1 TMD-pain regression model

The results of the univariate linear regression analyses showed that there was a significant association between AB-frequent and TMD pain ($B = 3.196$, 95%CI 1.198-5.195, $p = 0.002$). In contrast, there was no significant association between AB-sometimes and TMD pain ($B = 1.167$, 95%CI -0.659-2.993, $p = 0.209$) and no association between SB and TMD pain (SB-sometimes $B = 0.492$, 95%CI -1.224-2.209, $p = 0.573$; SB-frequent $B = 0.787$, 95%CI -0.693-2.267, $p = 0.296$).

The results of the multivariable linear regression analysis showed that TMD pain was significantly associated with TMD dysfunction (B = 0.370, 95%CI 0.256-0.484, p<0.001) and stress (B = 0.102, 95%CI 0.064-0.140, p<0.001). These show that higher stress and TMD dysfunction were associated with more TMD pain (Table 4).

Table 4 Multivariable regression model of factors related to TMD pain

		TMD pain (n=237)			
		B (SE)	Standardized Coefficients	95% CI of B	P
Age		0.0253(0.019)	0.077	-0.013-0.063	0.192
TMD dysfunction		0.370(0.058)	0.371	0.256-0.484	<0.001*
Stress		0.102(0.019)	0.305	0.064-0.140	<0.001*
Sex	Male	Reference	.	.	.
	Female	0.125(0.666)	0.011	-1.187-1.437	0.852
Awake bruxism	Never	Reference	.	.	.
	Sometimes	0.444(0.877)	0.044	-1.284-2.173	0.613
	Frequent	1.623(0.960)	0.145	-0.269-3.515	0.092
Sleep bruxism	Never	Reference	.	.	.
	Sometimes	-0.660(0.785)	-0.054	-2.208-0.887	0.401
	Frequent	0.756(0.685)	0.072	-0.593-2.105	0.271

B = unstandardized coefficient; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; * = significant at 0.05

3.2 TMD-dysfunction regression model

The results of the univariate linear regression analyses showed that TMD dysfunction was significantly associated with AB-frequent (B = 2.208, 95%CI 0.177-4.238, p = 0.033) and SB-sometimes (B = 1.698, 95%CI 0.001-3.394, p = 0.050), but there was no significant association between AB-sometimes and TMD dysfunction (B = 0.952, 95%CI -0.903-2.807, p = 0.313). In addition, there was no significant association between SB-frequent and TMD dysfunction either (B = -0.679, 95%CI -2.142-0.784, p = 0.362).

The results of the multivariable linear regression analysis showed that TMD dysfunction was significantly associated with TMD pain only (B = 0.410, 95%CI 0.283-0.536, p <0.001). This shows that higher TMD pain was associated with higher TMD dysfunction (Table 5).

Table 5 Multivariable regression model of factors related to TMD dysfunction

		TMD dysfunction (n=237)			
		B (SE)	Standardized Coefficients	95% CI of B	P
Age		-0.032(0.02)	-0.096	-0.072-0.008	0.122
TMD pain		0.410(0.064)	0.409	0.283-0.536	<0.001*
Stress		-0.002(0.022)	-0.007	-0.045-0.040	0.910
Sex	Male	Reference	.	.	.
	Female	0.665(0.700)	0.057	-0.713-2.044	0.343
Awake bruxism	Never	Reference	.	.	.
	Sometimes	0.219(0.923)	0.021	-1.601-2.038	0.813
	Frequent	0.769(1.016)	0.069	-1.233-2.770	0.450
Sleep bruxism	Never	Reference	.	.	.
	Sometimes	1.142(0.824)	0.094	-0.482-2.766	0.167
	Frequent	-1.290(0.717)	-0.123	-2.703-0.124	0.074

B = unstandardized coefficient; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; * = significant at 0.05

3.3 Network model

The network model visualized in **Figure 1** shows that AB has a positive edge to TMD pain. This indicates that patients who have AB tend to have higher TMD-pain scores. In addition, TMD pain has positive edges to TMD dysfunction and stress, indicating that TMD patients who have TMD pain tend to have higher TMD-dysfunction and stress scores. SB does not have any edge to other variables. The bootstrapped confidence intervals plot of the network model and the results of the node centrality, of centrality stability as well as of bootstrapped difference test can be found in **Supplementary material**.




Figure 1 Network model of self-reported AB and SB with TMD pain and dysfunction. Circle outline, continuous variable; square and triangle outlines, categorical variables with two and three categories, respectively. Blue edges display positive associations between linked variables.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to investigate whether self-reported AB and SB are associated, and to investigate the association between the frequency of self-reported AB and SB on the one hand and the severity of TMD pain and dysfunction on the other hand. It was found that self-reported AB was significantly associated with self-reported SB, however, this association was not observed in the network model. Univariate analyses showed that self-reported AB was significantly associated with TMD pain and dysfunction, while self-reported SB had a borderline significant association with TMD

dysfunction. Multivariable analyses showed no association of AB and SB with TMD pain or dysfunction. The network model showed that TMD pain was a bridge factor between AB, stress, and TMD dysfunction.

The association between self-reported AB and SB was found in univariate analysis, but it disappeared after taking other factors into account. There was a positive but weak correlation between self-reported AB and SB. These may be reasons why the association between both factors did not appear in the network model. Our univariate finding is in concordance with studies in adolescents, which reported that self-reported AB and SB are associated.^{13,36} Indeed, AB and SB may have a similar genetic origin and are associated with similar factors like smoking, alcohol consumption and its negative consequences, e.g., hangover.¹¹ Conversely, a study in Italian dental students found that self-reported AB and SB were not associated.¹⁵ Hence, the co-occurrence between AB and SB should be further investigated.

Even TMD pain and dysfunction scores were not high, the association between TMD pain and AB was found. This association between self-reported AB and TMD pain was a consistent finding in our univariate and network analyses. The positive edge between AB and TMD pain shows that the AB frequency is positively associated with TMD-pain intensity, and vice versa.^{17,18,37} This is consistent with an experimental study by Cioffi et al.³⁸ who found that myofascial pain patients had higher-intensity daytime clenching events and longer clenching duration than pain-free controls. A delayed-onset of masticatory muscle soreness (DOMS) may explain the association between AB and TMD pain. The pain threshold of healthy individuals tended to reduce after increasing their clenching force.³⁹ Moreover, increasing the clenching force resulted in long-lasting muscle fatigue and pain as well as pain-related dysfunction like a reduction of the pain-free maximum mouth opening.⁴⁰ The association between self-reported AB and TMD dysfunction was found in univariate analysis and they were indirectly associated via TMD pain.

SB had a positive association with TMD dysfunction but borderline significant in univariate linear regression analysis. Thus, this result should be interpreted with caution. This is consistent with the findings of Berger et al.,⁴¹ who reported that TMD pain was associated with self-report AB but not with self-reported SB. However, a study about self-reported AB and SB found that the presence of both AB and SB increased the risk of TMD pain.¹⁸ In addition, a cohort study found that probable, i.e., clinically confirmed, AB and SB had a positive direct association with TMD pain complaints.⁴² A study on a finite element model of the TMJ showed that sustained clenching, viz., a main characteristic for AB, produced a higher level of mechanical stress to TMJ than

repetitive masticatory muscle activity did, viz., a main characteristic of SB.⁴³ The high stress may damage TMJ and lead to TMD.⁴³ Conversely, in another study, only AB was associated with facial pain, while both AB and SB were associated with joint sounds in adolescents.¹³ Since in our study AB was associated with TMD pain while SB was not, it may be suggested that AB and SB may be two different entities. AB may associate with TMD and stress more than SB does, and SB may have less impact than AB as a risk factor for TMD. A study of gene polymorphism revealed that polymorphism in gene related to stress coping may be associated with AB susceptibility.⁴⁴ A systematic review supported that genes related with serotonin, which regulates stress response and is a mediator associated with pain, may involve in SB but not with AB.⁴⁵ However, the evidence is still limited and needs further investigation. Bruxism is centrally mediated,⁴⁶ but the results from this study support that AB tends to be more associated with psychosocial factors like stress and anxiety than SB does.

TMD pain was positively associated with TMD dysfunction, and it was a bridge factor between TMD dysfunction to AB and stress. This result is consistent with the statistical regression model predicting TMD pain in **Table 4**. The positive edges among TMD pain, TMD dysfunction, AB, and stress showed the positive direction that if one of these factors increases, it may affect other factors. The Integrated Pain Adaptation Model may explain why TMD dysfunction occurs in this context.⁴⁷ Patients tend to limit their muscle activities to avoid pain, and psychosocial factors may be involved in this process. Alteration of muscle activity due to pain may result in reducing agonist muscle contraction and increasing antagonist muscle activity.⁴⁷ Integrating with pain characteristics, pain experiences, and psychosocial factors, pain would affect motor activity to respond differently between individuals.⁴⁷ Unfortunately, the present study did not specify which dysfunctions occurred in this TMD-patient population. Since TMD pain is a bridge factor, its successful management may improve pain-related dysfunction.⁴⁸ On the other hand, the improvement of dysfunction like limited jaw opening may reduce TMD pain. This may help clinicians to improve their patients' complaints and quality of life.

Stress was directly associated with TMD pain, and indirectly with AB. Psychological factors may play important roles in both TMD pain and AB. Stress may increase TMD symptoms, and vice versa.⁴⁹ TMD is a biopsychosocial condition.⁵⁰ Therefore, TMD treatment strategies and management of AB should not overlook psychosocial factors.

This study has several strengths. First, we used network analysis to investigate the associations between all variables. Network analyses are an exploratory analyses technique to explore the structure in the data. Network analysis can visualize all

possible edges among all variables without defining predictor or outcome variables. Second, we used TMD pain and dysfunction scores to represent TMD pain and TMD dysfunction, which would show the severity of TMD pain and TMD dysfunction instead of only their presence or absence. In addition, we focused on both TMD pain and TMD dysfunction, while previous studies mainly focused on TMD pain. Third, we investigated the frequency of experiencing AB and SB with the OBC, the gold standard questionnaire in our assessment.²⁵

This study has some important limitations. First, we used self-report for the assessment of bruxism. A higher-level assessment would have been electromyography/polysomnography for SB and ecological momentary assessments for AB,¹⁰ although self-report enables grasping the time-related aspects of the bruxism behavior better than instrumental assessments. Second, our selection of OBC items may not show the previously established reliability and validity of the instrument's full version.^{51,52} The gold standard measurement for bruxism is still electromyography (EMG), which unfortunately could not be performed in the present setting. However, previous studies that investigated oral behaviors focusing on AB selected some items of the OBC (OBC-6) as well, because their main interest was specific oral behaviors.^{38,53-55} Even though these previous studies used a subset of the OBC, that subset was still highly reliable.⁵³ In addition, a subset of the OBC that focused on AB showed a significant association with daytime tooth clenching episodes measured with EMG.³⁸ Further, a novel tool called bruxism screener (BruxScreen) is developed to assess bruxism behaviors, and the authors also selected some items of OBC as we did in the present study.⁵⁶ This tool has a modification of adding answer option 'don't know' to the selected OBC items, shows good face validity, and is ready for on-field testing.^{56,57} In the meantime, it requires working on the validation process.⁵⁷ As a third limitation, we did not have the official Thai versions of all DC/TMD questionnaires, so we did not have gold-standard questionnaires to investigate TMD pain and dysfunction at the time we collected data. Another limitation was that this is a cross-sectional study, so causal inferences cannot be made. To identify cause and effect, a cohort study is recommended.

5. CONCLUSION

Self-reported AB was associated with TMD pain, TMD dysfunction, and stress, while self-reported SB was not associated with TMD or stress. The bridge factor of TMD pain suggests that reducing pain may also improve pain-related dysfunction, while the management of AB and stress may improve TMD pain and dysfunction.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval: This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Dentistry/Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University (MU-DT/PY-IRB), under protocol 2021/DT128.

Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Funding: None

REFERENCES

1. Leeuw Rd, Klasser GD, American Academy of Orofacial Pain. *Orofacial pain : guidelines for assessment, diagnosis, and management*. Sixth edition. ed. Hanover Park, IL: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.; 2018.
2. Goncalves DA, Dal Fabbro AL, Campos JA, Bigal ME, Speciali JG. Symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in the population: an epidemiological study. *J Orofac Pain*. 2010;24(3):270-278.
3. Lodice G, Cimino R, Vollaro S, Lobbezoo F, Michelotti A. Prevalence of temporomandibular disorder pain, jaw noises and oral behaviours in an adult Italian population sample. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2019;46(8):691-698.
4. Cooper BC, Kleinberg I. Examination of a large patient population for the presence of symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders. *Cranio*. 2007;25(2):114-126.
5. Gremillion HA, Klasser GD. Temporomandibular disorders : a translational approach from basic science to clinical applicability. In: Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2018.
6. Huang GJ, LeResche L, Critchlow CW, Martin MD, Drangsholt MT. Risk factors for diagnostic subgroups of painful temporomandibular disorders (TMD). *J Dent Res*. 2002;81(4):284-288.
7. Chen H, Pendleton C, Caplan DJ, Xie XJ. Chairside risk assessment for first-onset temporomandibular disorders: Result from the Orofacial Pain: Prospective Evaluation and Risk Assessment data set. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2021;152(7):505-513 e502.
8. Fillingim RB, Ohrbach R, Greenspan JD, et al. Psychological factors associated with development of TMD: the OPPERA prospective cohort study. *J Pain*. 2013;14(12 Suppl):T75-90.
9. Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Glaros AG, et al. Bruxism defined and graded: an international consensus. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2013;40(1):2-4.
10. Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Raphael KG, et al. International consensus on the assessment of bruxism: Report of a work in progress. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2018;45(11):837-844.
11. Ahlberg J, Piirtola M, Lobbezoo F, et al. Correlates and genetics of self-reported sleep and awake bruxism in a nationwide twin cohort. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2020;47(9):1110-1119.
12. Winocur E, Uziel N, Lisha T, Goldsmith C, Eli I. Self-reported bruxism - associations with perceived stress, motivation for control, dental anxiety and gagging. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2011;38(1):3-11.
13. Winocur E, Messer T, Eli I, et al. Awake and Sleep Bruxism Among Israeli Adolescents. *Front Neurol*. 2019;10:443.
14. Saito-Murakami K, Sato M, Otsuka H, Miura H, Terada N, Fujisawa M. Daytime masticatory muscle electromyography biofeedback regulates the phasic component of sleep bruxism. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2020;47(7):827-833.
15. Serra-Negra JM, Lobbezoo F, Correa-Faria P, et al. Relationship of self-reported sleep bruxism and awake bruxism with chronotype profiles in Italian dental students. *Cranio*. 2019;37(3):147-152.
16. Ohrbach R, Slade GD, Bair E, et al. Premorbid and concurrent predictors of TMD onset and persistence. *Eur J Pain*. 2020;24(1):145-158.
17. Huhtela OS, Napankangas R, Joensuu T, Raustia A, Kunttu K, Sipilä K. Self-Reported Bruxism and Symptoms of Temporomandibular Disorders in Finnish University Students. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2016;30(4):311-317.
18. Sierwald I, John MT, Schierz O, et al. Association of temporomandibular disorder pain with awake and sleep bruxism in adults. *J Orofac Orthop*. 2015;76(4):305-317.
19. Osiewicz M, Lobbezoo F, Ciapala B, Pytko-Polonczyk J, Manfredini D. Pain Predictors in a Population of Temporomandibular Disorders Patients. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(2).

20. Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Sleep bruxism and temporomandibular disorders: A scoping review of the literature. *J Dent.* 2021;111:103711.
21. Baad-Hansen L, Thymi M, Lobbezoo F, Svensson P. To what extent is bruxism associated with musculoskeletal signs and symptoms? A systematic review. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2019;46(9):845-861.
22. Jimenez-Silva A, Pena-Duran C, Tobar-Reyes J, Frugone-Zambra R. Sleep and awake bruxism in adults and its relationship with temporomandibular disorders: A systematic review from 2003 to 2014. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2017;75(1):36-58.
23. Kalaykova SI, Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Risk factors for anterior disc displacement with reduction and intermittent locking in adolescents. *J Orofac Pain.* 2011;25(2):153-160.
24. Rossetti LM, Pereira de Araujo Cdos R, Rossetti PH, Conti PC. Association between rhythmic masticatory muscle activity during sleep and masticatory myofascial pain: a polysomnographic study. *J Orofac Pain.* 2008;22(3):190-200.
25. Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Groupdagger. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache.* 2014;28(1):6-27.
26. Gerstner GE, Clark GT, Goulet JP. Validity of a brief questionnaire in screening asymptomatic subjects from subjects with tension-type headaches or temporomandibular disorders. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1994;22(4):235-242.
27. Mahatnirunkul S, Pumpaisanchai W, Tapanya P. The construction of Suan Prung Stress Test for Thai Population. *Bulletin of Suan Prung.* 1997.
28. Kostis WJ, Demissie K, Marcella SW, et al. Weekend versus weekday admission and mortality from myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;356(11):1099-1109.
29. Epskamp S, Borsboom D, Fried EI. Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behav Res Methods.* 2018;50(1):195-212.
30. Epskamp S, Fried EI. A tutorial on regularized partial correlation networks. *Psychol Methods.* 2018;23(4):617-634.
31. Isvoranu AM, Epskamp S. Which estimation method to choose in network psychometrics? Deriving guidelines for applied researchers. *Psychol Methods.* 2021.
32. Hothorn T, Hornik K, van de Wiel MA, Zeileis A. Implementing a Class of Permutation Tests: The coin Package. *Journal of Statistical Software.* 2008;28(8):1 - 23.
33. Behrendt S. Im.beta: Add Standardized Regression Coefficients to lm-Objects. R package version 1.5-1. 2014.
34. Epskamp S, Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, Schmittmann VD, Borsboom D. qgraph: Network Visualizations of Relationships in Psychometric Data. *Journal of Statistical Software.* 2012;48(4):1-18.
35. Haslbeck JMB, Waldorp LJ. mgm: Estimating Time-Varying Mixed Graphical Models in High-Dimensional Data. *Journal of Statistical Software.* 2020;93(8):1 - 46.
36. Emodi Perlman A, Lobbezoo F, Zar A, Friedman Rubin P, van Selms MK, Winocur E. Self-Reported bruxism and associated factors in Israeli adolescents. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2016;43(6):443-450.
37. Ekman A, Rousu J, Napankangas R, Kuoppala R, Raustia A, Sipila K. Association of self-reported bruxism with temporomandibular disorders - Northern Finland Birth Cohort (NFBC) 1966 study. *Cranio.* 2020:1-6.
38. Cioffi I, Landino D, Donnarumma V, Castroflorio T, Lobbezoo F, Michelotti A. Frequency of daytime tooth clenching episodes in individuals affected by masticatory muscle pain and pain-free controls during standardized ability tasks. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2017;21(4):1139-1148.

39. Farella M, Soneda K, Vilmann A, Thomsen CE, Bakke M. Jaw muscle soreness after tooth-clenching depends on force level. *J Dent Res.* 2010;89(7):717-721.
40. Koutris M, Lobbezoo F, Sumer NC, Atis ES, Turker KS, Naeije M. Is myofascial pain in temporomandibular disorder patients a manifestation of delayed-onset muscle soreness? *Clin J Pain.* 2013;29(8):712-716.
41. Berger M, Szalewski L, Szkutnik J, Ginszt M, Ginszt A. Different association between specific manifestations of bruxism and temporomandibular disorder pain. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* 2017;51(1):7-11.
42. Boscato N, Nascimento GG, Leite FRM, Horta BL, Svensson P, Demarco FF. Role of occlusal factors on probable bruxism and orofacial pain: Data from the 1982 Pelotas birth cohort study. *J Dent.* 2021;113:103788.
43. Commisso MS, Martinez-Reina J, Mayo J. A study of the temporomandibular joint during bruxism. *Int J Oral Sci.* 2014;6(2):116-123.
44. Maciejewska-Szaniec Z, Kaczmarek-Rys M, Hryhorowicz S, et al. Polymorphic variants in genes related to stress coping are associated with the awake bruxism. *BMC Oral Health.* 2021;21(1):496.
45. Campello CP, Moraes SLD, Vasconcelos B, et al. Polymorphisms of the serotonin receptors genes in patients with bruxism: a systematic review. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2022;29:e20210262.
46. Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Role of psychosocial factors in the etiology of bruxism. *J Orofac Pain.* 2009;23(2):153-166.
47. Peck CC, Murray GM, Gerzina TM. How does pain affect jaw muscle activity? The Integrated Pain Adaptation Model. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(3):201-207.
48. Cramer AO, Waldorp LJ, van der Maas HL, Borsboom D. Comorbidity: a network perspective. *Behav Brain Sci.* 2010;33(2-3):137-150; discussion 150-193.
49. Gameiro GH, da Silva Andrade A, Nouer DF, Ferraz de Arruda Veiga MC. How may stressful experiences contribute to the development of temporomandibular disorders? *Clin Oral Investig.* 2006;10(4):261-268.
50. Ohrbach R, Dworkin SF. The Evolution of TMD Diagnosis: Past, Present, Future. *J Dent Res.* 2016;95(10):1093-1101.
51. van der Meulen MJ, Lobbezoo F, Aartman IH, Naeije M. Validity of the Oral Behaviours Checklist: correlations between OBC scores and intensity of facial pain. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2014;41(2):115-121.
52. Kaplan SE OR. Self-Report of Waking-State Oral Parafunctional Behaviors in the Natural Environment. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache.* 2016;30:107-119.
53. Donnarumma V, Cioffi I, Michelotti A, Cimino R, Vollaro S, Amato M. Analysis of the reliability of the Italian version of the Oral Behaviours Checklist and the relationship between oral behaviours and trait anxiety in healthy individuals. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2018;45(4):317-322.
54. Chow JC, Cioffi I. Effects of trait anxiety, somatosensory amplification, and facial pain on self-reported oral behaviors. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019;23(4):1653-1661.
55. Knibbe W, Lobbezoo F, Voorendonk EM, Visscher CM, de Jongh A. Prevalence of painful temporomandibular disorders, awake bruxism and sleep bruxism among patients with severe post-traumatic stress disorder. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2022;49(11):1031-1040.
56. Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Verhoeff MC, et al. The bruxism screener (BruxScreen): Development, pilot testing and face validity. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2023.
57. Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Aarab G, et al. Standardised Tool for the Assessment of Bruxism. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2023

APPENDIX | NETWORK ACCURACY

The bootstrapped confidence intervals plot of the network model is given in **Figure A1**. The confidence intervals were broad and overlapping, which means that there is some uncertainty around the estimate of the edge and differences between edge strengths should be interpreted with caution. The centrality plot (**Figure A2**) shows that TMD pain has the highest node strength in the network (node strength= 0.590). The case-dropping subset bootstrap was used to estimate centrality stability.¹ The correlation stability coefficient (CS-coefficient) of node strength (CS(cor=0.7)) was 0.52, above the preferably specified cut-off. This result shows that the order of node strength is interpretable with preferably stability (**Figure A3**).¹ The bootstrapped difference test showed that TMD pain had a significantly higher strength centrality than age and sex (**Figure A4**).




Figure A1 Bootstrapped confidence intervals (CI) of the network model. Black CIs represent accurate edges and greyish CIs show non-significant edges in the model. The first CI represents the strongest edge in the model. The overlapping CIs show that there is no significant difference between edges.




Figure A2 Node strength of each node in the network model, ordered alphabetically




Figure A3 The stability of centrality indices by case-dropping subset bootstrap.




Figure A4 Bootstrapped difference test ($\alpha=0.05$) between node. Gray boxes indicate nodes that was not significantly different from another node; black boxes indicate that node strength of TMD pain was significantly different from age and sex. The values in white boxes indicate the value of node strength.

REFERENCE

1. Epskamp S, Borsboom D, Fried EI. Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behav Res Methods*. 2018;50(1):195-212.