



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Nature's distributional-learning experiment: Infants' input, infants' perception, and computational modeling

Benders, A.T.

Publication date
2013

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Benders, A. T. (2013). *Nature's distributional-learning experiment: Infants' input, infants' perception, and computational modeling*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION: NATURE'S DISTRIBUTIONAL-LEARNING EXPERIMENT	1
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Nature's distributional-learning experiment	3
1.3	The BiPhon model and comparison to other theories and frameworks	6
1.4	Dutch /ɑ/ and /a:/	9
1.5	Part I) investigate the acoustic properties and the auditory distributions of the phonemes in the infants' environment	12
1.6	Part II) investigate infants' perception of the same phonemes	13
1.7	Part III) explain infants' speech-sound perception from infants' input distributions through distributional learning simulated in a computational model	15
1.8	Comparison to previous work	17
1.9	Summary	19
2	ALL MOMMY DOES IS SMILE! DUTCH MOTHERS' REALIZATION OF SPEECH SOUNDS IN INFANT-DIRECTED SPEECH EXPRESSES AFFECT, NOT DIDACTIC INTENT	21
2.1	Introduction	22
2.1.1	Didactic vowel space enhancement in IDS	22
2.1.2	Affective vowel formant increase in IDS	23
2.1.3	Testing didactic and affective changes in Dutch IDS	24
2.1.4	Summary of study objectives	26
2.2	Method	27
2.2.1	Participants	27
2.2.2	Procedure and Equipment	27
2.2.3	Coding	28
2.2.4	Acoustic measurements	29
2.2.4.1	Vowels	29
2.2.4.2	The fricative /s/	29
2.2.4.3	Pitch	29
2.2.5	Exclusion and Analyses	29
2.3	Results	31
2.3.1	Vowel space: Area	31
2.3.2	Vowel space: Formant frequencies	32
2.3.3	The fricative /s/	35
2.3.4	Pitch characteristics	36
2.4	Conclusion and Discussion	36
2.5	Appendix: Details of the analysis	42
2.5.1	Vowels	42

2.5.2	The fricative /s/	42
2.5.3	Pitch	42
3	LEARNING PHONEMES FROM MULTIPLE AUDITORY CUES: DUTCH INFANTS' LANGUAGE INPUT AND PERCEPTION	43
3.1	Introduction	44
3.1.1	Distributional learning of phoneme categories	45
3.1.2	Infants' perception of vowel quality and duration	46
3.1.3	Dutch /ɑ/ and /a:/	47
3.1.4	Summary of study objectives	48
3.2	Study 1: /ɑ/ and /a:/ in Dutch infant-directed speech	48
3.2.1	Method	49
3.2.1.1	Materials	49
3.2.1.2	Data preparation	50
3.2.1.3	Analysis	51
3.2.2	Results	52
3.2.3	Discussion	58
3.3	Study 2: Dutch infants' perception of /ɑ/ and /a:/	59
3.3.1	Method	60
3.3.1.1	Participants	60
3.3.1.2	Stimuli	61
3.3.1.3	Procedure	61
3.3.1.4	Preparation of looking-time data and analysis	64
3.3.2	Results	65
3.3.3	Discussion	67
3.4	General Discussion	67
3.5	Summary	70
4	DUTCH INFANTS' SENSITIVITY TO THE COMBINATION OF VOWEL QUALITY AND DURATION IN A SPEECH SOUND CATEGORIZATION PARADIGM	71
4.1	Introduction	72
4.1.1	Infants' sensitivity to vowel duration and vowel quality	72
4.1.2	Methods to study infants' phoneme representations	74
4.2	Method	76
4.2.1	Subjects	76
4.2.2	Sound stimuli	77
4.2.3	Visual stimuli	78
4.2.4	Set-up and procedure	78
4.2.5	Analysis plan	81
4.3	Results	82
4.3.1	RT analysis	85
4.3.1.1	Adults – RT analysis	85
4.3.1.2	Infants – RT analysis	85

4.3.2	Pupil analysis	85
4.3.2.1	Adults – pupil analysis	85
4.3.2.2	15-month-olds – pupil analysis	88
4.3.2.3	9-month-olds – pupil analysis	88
4.3.3	15-month relation between CDI-scores and RTs and pupil sizes	89
4.4	Discussion	90
4.5	Summary	93
5	EXPLAINING INFANTS' PHONEME PERCEPTION FROM THE DISTRIBUTIONS IN INFANT-DIRECTED SPEECH: TWO DISTRIBUTIONAL-LEARNING MODELS	95
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	The distributions of /ɑ/ and /ɑ:/ in Dutch infant-directed speech	98
5.3	Dutch infants' perception of /ɑ/ and /ɑ:/	101
5.4	A computational-level model to link input and perception: Incremental Mixture-of-Gaussians model	103
5.4.1	The Mixture-of-Gaussians model	103
5.4.2	Distributional learning	103
5.4.3	Evaluation of the MoG modeling	104
5.5	MoG modeling of distributional learning	106
5.5.1	Results 2-cue-with- ρ MoG	108
5.5.2	Results 2-cue-no- ρ MoG	108
5.5.3	Results 1-cue-F2 MoG and 1-cue-duration MoG	110
5.5.4	Discussion	112
5.6	A neural network model to link input and perception: Emergent categories in symmetric neural networks	114
5.6.1	The neural network architecture	115
5.6.2	Activity spreading	115
5.6.3	Distributed categories and categorical perception	117
5.6.4	Distributional learning	119
5.6.5	A NN architecture for two input dimensions	120
5.6.6	Evaluation of the NN modeling	121
5.7	NN modeling of distributional learning	124
5.7.1	Results: 2-cue NN	125
5.7.2	Results: 1-cue-F2 NN and 1-cue-Duration NN	126
5.7.3	Discussion	126
5.8	Discussing the NN modeling of distributional learning	127
5.8.1	Understanding the dynamics of learning with two input layers	129
5.8.2	The acquisition of enhanced perceptual contrast	132
5.8.3	The absence of a representation of auditory distance	133

5.8.4	Learning with a lexicon to acquire the status of specific cue combinations	135
5.9	General Discussion	136
5.10	Summary	138
5.11	Appendix A: The mathematical definition of the MoG	139
5.12	Appendix B: The mathematical definition of the NN	142
6	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: EVALUATING NATURE'S DISTRIBUTIONAL-LEARNING EXPERIMENT	145
6.1	Summary of the study aims	146
6.2	Summary of the empirical results: Similarities between infants' input and perception	146
6.3	Evaluating the role of computational models: Tools or theories?	147
6.4	Investigating infants' input: Against data reduction	149
6.5	Investigating infants' phoneme perception: Overt behavior and attention allocation	150
6.6	Conclusion	152
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	153
	SUMMARY IN ENGLISH	175
	SAMENVATTING IN HET NEDERLANDS	185
	CURRICULUM VITAE	195