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Summary

Meso-diencephalic dopaminergic (mdDA) neurons are critical for motor control and cognitive functioning and their loss or dysfunction is associated with disorders such as Parkinson’s disease (PD), schizophrenia and addiction. However, relatively little is known about the molecular mechanisms underlying mdDA neuron development and maintenance. Here, we determined the spatiotemporal map of genes involved in the development of mdDA neurons to gain further insight into their molecular programming. Genome-wide gene expression profiles of the developing ventral mesencephalon (VM) were compared at different developmental stages leading to the identification of novel regulatory roles of neuronal signaling through nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Chrna6 and Chrnb3 subunits) and the identification of novel transcription factors (Oc2 and 3) involved in the generation of the mdDA neuronal field. We show here that Pitx3, in cooperation with Nurr1, is the critical component in the activation of the Chrna6 and Chrnb3 subunits in mdDA neurons. Furthermore, we provide evidence of two divergent regulatory pathways resulting in the expression of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 respectively.

© 2012. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Share Alike License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0).
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Introduction

Mesodiencephalic dopaminergic (mdDA) neurons comprising the substantia nigra (SN) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) are known to be crucial for diverse yet essential brain functions, such as associative motor learning, emotion and reinforcement (Berke and Hyman, 2000). Moreover, dysfunction of the mdDA system has been associated with a number of neurological and psychiatric diseases, such as PD and schizophrenia (Barzilai and Melamed, 2003; Sesack and Carr, 2002). The mdDA system also plays a pivotal role in drug addiction, as many drugs of abuse target mdDA neurons and their projections to stimulate dopamine release in the striatum and prefrontal cortex. Apart from PD, which is due to the progressive and selective loss of mdDA neurons in the SN, most of the neurological and psychiatric disease associated with the mdDA system results from an imbalance in dopamine neurotransmission with no apparent morphological changes (Wong and van Tol, 2003).

Efficacy of transplanted fetal VM tissue in some PD patients has initiated rigorous interest to develop appropriate transplantable neural progenitors from diverse stem cell lineages, with little success (Freed et al., 2001; Olanow et al., 2009). The underlying predicament here lies with our little understanding of mdDA system development. Deeper insights into mdDA system development is imperative not only to develop novel therapeutic approaches to treat PD, but also to have further insight and possibilities to develop pharmacological agents selectively targeted to different mdDA neuronal functions.

The development of mdDA neurons is a tightly controlled and highly dynamic process requiring concerted action of both extrinsic and intrinsic signals during different stages of development. The early developmental process from E10.5 until E13.5 can be categorized into three phases pertaining to the early patterning, fate determination and specification of mdDA neurons. Early patterning is mediated by extrinsic signals such as Shh (sonic hedgehog), FGF8 (fibroblast growth factor 8), TGF-β (transforming growth factor β) and Wnts followed by activation of several key transcription factors including Lmx1a, Lmx1b, En1, En2, Pitx3 and Nurr1 (Smidt and Burbach, 2007; Prakash and Wurst, 2006). Since most of these extrinsic and intrinsic factors bring forth their actions by interacting directly or indirectly with other genes within the mdDA area, we analyzed genome-wide gene expression profiles of the developing ventral
mesodiencephalic region at four consecutive time points (E10.5-E13.5) to identify additional key molecular players involved in mdDA neuron development and function.

Through analysis of temporal expression patterns on basis of the expression of known transcriptional regulators, we identified the Cnrn4 subunit of cholinergic receptors by following the temporal expression profile of Pitx3. Consecutive analysis of other members of the cholinergic receptor subunit-family identified Chrnb3 and confirmed that both Cnrn4 and Chrnb3 are downstream targets of Pitx3 in concert with Nurr1.

In addition, we identified novel transcription factors (Oncuc1 (Oc1) and 2) that are expressed in the mdDA region at early stages and have a role in the proper generation of the mdDA neuronal field. Taken together, time-line analysis of the developing mesodiencephalon provided data that broadened our understanding of key players involved in the development and adult function of mdDA neurons.

Materials and Methods

Animals

E14.5 Pitx3+/−, Nurr1+/− and Cnrn4+/− mice and littermate controls were generated as described previously (Jacob et al., 2009b; Champiaux et al., 2002; Saucedo-Cardenas et al., 1998). Heterozygous Pitx3+/- and Pitx3+/- embryos were obtained as described (Jacob et al., 2009b). Pregnant C57BL/6 mice [embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) is defined as the morning of plug formation] were killed by cervical dislocation in accordance with Institutional animal care and use committee regulations. Embryos were collected in ice-cold HBSS, and ventral mesodiencephalic dissection from embryos at E10.5, E11.5, E12.5 and E13.5 was performed as described previously (Simon et al., 2001) with the rostral extension to include the presonal area 1 and 2 region. For each time point at least two to three pregnant mice were sacrificed.

Microarray analysis

Total RNA was isolated from embryonic ventral midbrain tissue using Trizol (Invitrogen) and purified using RNaseasy columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All RNA samples were assayed to ensure the highest RNA quality using a NanoDrop ND3300 (NanoDrop Technologies) fluorospectrometer and compared to a set RNA standards. Microarray analysis was performed in triplicates and for each experimental sample a dye swap was performed to correct for dye effects. Each experimental sample consisted of pooled RNA derived from three embryonic VMs, which was hybridized to a reference pool consisting of RNA derived from dissected adult VMs. Microarray analysis was performed as described with slight modifications (Hamatani et al., 2004). Agilent whole mouse genome microarray (Agilent, G4122F sets were used for all hybridizations. The array set is comprised of 60-mer oligonucleotide probes representing over 54,000 mouse genes and transcripts. Hybridized slides were scanned on an Agilent scanner (G2565AA) at 100% laser power, 30% PMT. After data extraction using ImageGene 8.0, BioDiscovery) for TATA-binding protein (Tbp). The cycle number (Ct) at which the signals crossed a threshold set within the logarithmic phase was recorded. For quantification, we evaluated the difference in cycle threshold (ΔΔCt) between the samples of each gene. The efficiency of amplification of each pair of primers was 2. Each sample was normalized with the loading references Tbp. The Ct values used were the means of triplicates. Experiments were repeated at least three times. Tissue culture Ventral midbrains of Pitx3+/- and Pitx3+/- E13.5 embryos were dissected in L15 medium (Gibco) and cultured in Neurobasal Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2% (v/v) B27 supplement (Gibco), 10 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’′-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM L-glutamine, 26 μM β-mercaptoethanol and 100 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Cultures were treated with (0.6 mM) or without sodium butyrate (Sigma) for 48 hours.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR

Total RNA was extracted from sorted cells using RNeasy columns (Qiagen). One-step real time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed on a light cycler 1.5 (Roche, Switzerland). All procedures were described in detail (Kolk et al., 2009) for real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Briefly, following incubation with light cycler-2.0 (Roche, Switzerland). The cycle number (Ct) at which the signals crossed a threshold set within the logarithmic phase was recorded. For quantification, we evaluated the difference in cycle threshold (ΔΔCt) between the samples of each gene. The efficiency of amplification of each pair of primers was 2. Each sample was normalized with the loading references Tbp. The Ct values used were the means of triplicates. Experiments were repeated at least three times.

Statistical analysis

Quantified results represent the average values of experiments performed in triplicate and presented data represent means with standard errors (s.e.m.). Analysis was performed using Student’s t-test (two-way unpaired), P<0.05 was considered significant and is indicated with a single asterisk, P<0.01 is indicated with triple asterisks.

Results

Spatiotemporal gene expression profiling of the developing ventral mesodiencephalon

In order to identify novel genes and their expression patterns during mdDA neuron development, we performed an in vivo expression analysis of the developing ventral mesodiencephalon at four successive time points (E10.5-E13.5). These were obtained from developing embryos and their expression patterns during mdDA neuron development, we performed an in vivo expression analysis of the developing ventral mesodiencephalon at four successive time points (E10.5-E13.5). To obtain proper biological and technical replicates, RNA extracted from three micro-dissected embryonic brains was pooled at each of the time points thereby minimizing complications caused by embryo-to-embryo variations in gene expression levels (Peng et al., 2003). Three independent RNA samples from each time point were used for probe labeling and hybridization. RNA pooled from three dissected adult mouse ventral mesodiencephalon was used as a common reference for all development stages allowing inter-temporal point comparisons. To circumvent a gene-specific-dye bias, dual channel microarrays were carried out by dye-swap replicates (Fig. 1A).
Fig. 1. Gene expression profiling during early mesodiencephalic development in the mouse. (A) Schematic representation of the microarray setup aiming to identify transcripts during the early development of the mesodiencephalon (for details, see Material and Methods). (B) Overrepresentation of gene ontology (GO) terms enriched in the analyzed area across different developmental timepoints. (C) Heat-map of known essential mdDA transcription factors, represented through clustering (HCL).
Functional interpretation of up- and downregulated genes was determined by gene ontology (GO) analysis defining the dominant biological processes occurring in the time frame used for micro-array analysis (Fig. 1B). The highest over-representation scores were clearly changing over time. Genes found regulated at early time points appeared to be more involved in generating the neuronal precursor area while genes found highly expressed at E12.5 or later had roles in neuronal maturation and terminal differentiation.

In order to gain more insight in the transcriptional profile of transcription factors involved in mdDA development, we first selected mdDA expressed genes as identified through public databases (Allen Brain Atlas/GenePaint) and from our own other published work or that of others (results not shown). From this dataset all the transcription factors were selected. The resulting gene list with corresponding expression levels, as compared to the common reference, were clustered (HCL clustering, Mev4) (Fig. 1C). The data indicated that some genes, like Lmx1a, Foxa1/2, Sox9, Arx and En2, are at their peak level at E11.5 and E12.5 (Fig. 1C, black arrows), suggesting a clear role in early neuronal differentiation.

Other transcription factors showed a gradual upregulation in time, with highest levels at the latest time point, as was apparent for Nr4a2 (Nurr1), Pbx3, En1, and Pou6f2 (Fig. 1C, blue arrows). Genes known to be essential in late differentiation, such as Pitx3, showed relatively low expression compared to the common reference, which gradually increased in time (E13.5) (Fig. 1C, red arrow). Interestingly, the strong expression of Otx2, which decreased in time (Fig. 1C, green arrow), corresponded nicely with the time-dependent expression-area restriction reported for Otx2 leading to an almost unique expression in the VTA in the adult stage (Di Salvio et al., 2010).

Oc2, Oc3 and Chra6 are identified in early and late mdDA development, respectively

In order to identify novel transcription factors that may be involved in the early steps of mdDA specification and differentiation, we clustered the array dataset and located the cluster where Lmx1a was expressed, using it as an early mdDA differentiation marker (Fig. 2A, black arrow). After close examination of this cluster we noticed that two members of the Onecut family of transcription factors (Oc2 and 3) (Fig. 2A, red arrows) were mapping within this cluster. Closer examination of the exact expression profiles of Oc2 and Oc3 in time compared to Lmx1a, Foxa1, En2 and Neurod1 (Fig. 2B), showed that these transcription factors displayed a very similar expression profile from E10.5 to E13.5, suggestive of a function during similar developmental processes.

In a similar approach, we isolated a cluster containing the essential transcription factor Pitx3 (Fig. 3A, black arrow), acting in the phase of terminal differentiation (Smidt et al., 1997; Smidt et al., 2004). Pitx3 clustered together with its known targets as Aadc and Drd2 (Fig. 3A, blue arrows). In addition, close to the position of Pitx3 in the cluster, we identified the cholinergic receptor subunit Chra6 (Fig. 3A, red arrow). Interestingly, from public databases (Allen Brain Atlas) it was clear that similar to Pitx3 the expression of Chra6 is restricted to the mdDA neuronal population, suggesting that Pitx3 may have a role in the regulation of the Chra6 gene. Closer examination of the profile (Fig. 3B) suggested that the Chra6 gene is expressed in almost the exact temporal manner as Pitx3, confirming the possible transcriptional relationship.

In order to further understand the relationship of Oc2/3 towards early mdDA development and Chra6 in terminal differentiation, possible regulated by Pitx3, these genes were studied in more detail.

The Oc family is expressed in the developing mesodiencephalon

To examine the role of the Oc family in mdDA development, we performed in situ hybridization experiments on E11.5-E13.5 coronal brain sections of the mesodiencephalon (Fig. 4). As a reference to indicate the position where mdDA neurons are born and developing, Th gene expression was analyzed on adjacent sections to the Oc1/2/3 series. At E11.5, all Oc members were restricted to the ventral wall of the mesodiencephalon. The spatial overlap with Th expression was highest, at this stage, for Oc3. However the overlap was mostly restricted to the lateral areas, especially in the case of Oc1 and Oc2. At E12.5 the expression of Oc1 in the region was diminished, whereas the expression of Oc2 and Oc3 was expanded to the floorplate area, creating an almost 100 percent spatial overlap with mdDA neurons at the ventral side. At E13.5, expression of Oc1 and Oc2 had almost disappeared, whereas Oc3 was still highly expressed throughout the entire region showing overlapping with the mdDA neuronal domain. We performed micro array experiments on sorted mdDA neurons (from Pitx3-Gfp+ material) (Jacobs et al., 2009b) and these data indicate that the Oc1-3 family members are expressed within mdDA neurons at E12.5 (data not shown). The observed spatiotemporal expression pattern suggested that during the early phases of mdDA neuronal development cells are mainly exposed to Oc1 signaling in the ventral/lateral domains, which is most prominent at E12.5. At later stages, Oc3 might be involved in late differentiation events of mdDA neurons.

Oc family members are involved in the proper development of mdDA neurons

In order to elucidate the influence of Oc transcription factors during the development of mdDA neurons, we studied Oc1 and Oc1/2 knockout mice (Fig. 5), the latter regarded as an Oc1/2/3 triple knockout (Esparza and Clotman, 2012). In this experiment, we used E12.5 embryos of either genotype and compared them to wild-type (WT) littermates. Th-protein expression was used as a marker for the mdDA neuronal population. In sagittal sections through the medial part of the mdDA neuronal pool, we noticed that the number of Th-positive neurons present at the caudal limit of the system was diminished in both Oc1 and Oc1/2 double knockout mice as compared to WT (Fig. 5A,B, arrows). In addition, the Th-positive region was remarkably flattened in the absence of Oc proteins, especially in Oc1/2/3 knockout mice (Fig. 5B). In a similar experiment using coronal sections of the same age, we also noticed a flattening of the Th-positive field in the medial/caudal area of the mesencephalon and a lateral expansion of Th expression (Fig. 5C, arrows). These data suggest that a specific part of the mdDA neuronal area is under the influence of Oc family members during development. Moreover, the additional removal of Oc2, over Oc1, increased the defect found in the Oc1 knockout mouse. This suggests that Oc1 and Oc2 (and Oc3) play non-redundant roles in similar cellular processes during mdDA development.
mdDA neurons contain cholinergic receptors built by Chnna4, Chnna6, Chnrb2, and Chnrb3 subunits.

The Chnna6 subunit displayed a similar temporal expression pattern as was found for Ptx3 (Fig. 3). Interestingly, in the mouse genome (mouse chromosome 8) the Chnna6 gene is paired with the Chnrb3 gene in a tail-to-tail orientation on opposite strands (Fig. 6A). This led us to investigate the exact expression patterns of both subunits in mdDA neurons (Fig. 6B). In an in situ hybridization experiment using sagittal sections of E12.5 WT mouse brains, we found Chnna6 and Chnrb3 expression in the exact same location in the mesencephalon, restricted to the region covered by expression of Th. Interestingly, the expression was not evenly distributed over all mdDA neurons. Especially Chnrb3 was more expressed in the rostral/lateral mdDA area as compared...
Fig. 3. Temporal map of genes clustering with the expression profile of Pitx3. (A) Heat-map visualization obtained by HCL of genes clustering with Pitx3 (black arrow) in order to identify other genes that may play an important role in the late mdDA differentiation phase (Ddc, Drd2; blue arrows) and in mature mdDA neuronal function. Within this cluster we identified a known cholinergic receptor subunit, Chrna6 (red arrow). (B) The exact expression level over the E10.5-E13.5 window was plotted for Pitx3, Drd2, Ddc and Chrna6, all present in the heat map presented in (A).

Fig. 4. Expression analysis of Onecut transcription factors during mdDA neuron development. Adjacent coronal sections of E11.5, E12.5 and E13.5 mouse brains were hybridized with Onecut (Oc)1 (upper panel), Oc2 (medial panel) and Oc3 (lower panel) RNA probes. The region shown starts rostrally at the diencephalon and ends caudally at the midbrain. To identify the region, Th in situ hybridization was performed on adjacent sections.

Fig. 5. Onecut transcription factors are important for the correct generation of the mdDA neuronal population. (A) Schematic representation of the region analyzed for Th immunohistochemistry as shown in (B). (B) Sagittal sections showing the organization of the mdDA neuronal population through the presence of Th protein. The arrow indicates a region where a severe flattening of the neuronal population is present in the Oc1−/− and Oc1/2−/− mice. (C) Coronal sections from rostral (R) to caudal (C) encompassing the mdDA neuronal population as shown by Th protein expression. The flattening of the mdDA neuronal pool as observed in the sagittal sections (B) is confirmed in these sections as indicated by arrows.
to medial/caudal regions. This suggests that the gene regulation of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 is not equal despite their proximity in the mouse genome. Moreover, the data indicate that specific subsets of mdDA neurons exist that have different types of cholinergic receptors. In order to confirm that these subunits are expressed within mdDA neurons, we performed a double in situ hybridization/immunohistochemistry experiment (Fig. 6C).

From this experiment it is clear that mdDA neurons express Chrna6 and Chrnb3. Subset specific levels are emphasized by the low abundance of Chrnb3 in the medial/caudal area. To investigate whether this initial subset specific expression of Chrnb3 leads to the same distribution in the adult mdDA area, we performed in situ hybridization on adult coronal brain sections (Fig. 7). Indeed, in the adult the expression of Chrnb3 was limited to subsets of neurons in the SNc area (rostral) and a very small group in the most caudal/lateral area of the VTA.

The composition of the pentamer cholinergic receptors is not exactly known in mdDA neurons. To solve this issue, we searched the initial microarray dataset and found most Chrn-subunits to be expressed in the mdDA region (data not shown). Interestingly, some of these subunits (Chrnb4, Chrna3 and Chrna5) were clustered together in the genome (mouse chromosome 9) (Fig. 8A), as was found for Chrna6 and Chrnb3. In situ hybridization for Chrna3, Chrna4, Chrna5 and Chrnb2 on sagittal mouse brain section of E14.5 showed that of the Chn subunits detected Chrna4 and Chrnb2 are expressed in the mdDA region, although not restricted to mdDA neurons, as was observed for Chrna6 and Chrnb3 (Fig. 8B,C). This suggests that mdDA neurons can form pentamer cholinergic receptors with Chrna6, Chrnb3, Chrna4 and Chrnb2 as building blocks, where the Chrnb3 subunit is restricted to the lateral/rostral group of mdDA neurons. Since the process of nAChR assembly is tightly regulated requiring subunit-subunit interactions (Millar and Harkness, 2008) it is tempting to speculate that cholinergic receptor pentamers in the mdDA system are primarily composed of a combination of 26β, 24β2, 26ββ and 24β2β subunits.

The cholinergic receptor Chrna6 subunit is not required for normal mdDA neuronal development
Adult Chrna6 knockout mice do not exhibit any gross neurological or behavioral deficits as was described previously (Champtiaux et al., 2002). Since the Chrna6 subunit is expressed at high levels during mdDA neuronal development, we analyzed the effect of Chrna6 ablation in developing mdDA neurons. To this end, we first performed in situ hybridization for Th and Ahd2 in Chrna6 knockout mice and WT littermates at E14.5. The latter probe (Ahd2) selectively marks the most lateral/rostral mdDA subset (Jacobs et al., 2007). The expression level and distribution of Th mRNA was unaltered in Chrna6 knockout embryos (Fig. 9A). Similarly, the level and distribution Ahd2 expression was not altered. Additional analyses of Th protein in the adult mouse brain did not reveal any clear abnormalities in the mdDA neuronal field or axonal projections (Fig. 9B,C). Taken together, Chrna6 knockout mice do not display clear abnormalities in the mdDA neuronal field at E14.5 and in the adult. This suggests that changes in the subunit composition of cholinergic receptors may not have dramatic influences on mdDA development.

Chrna6 and Chrnb3 expression depends on Pitx3 and Nurr1 activity
The fact that the temporal expression profile of Chrna6 mimics that of Pitx3 together with the close association of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 on the genome (Fig. 6) suggests that both genes may be regulated through a common mechanism and that Pitx3 may play a role in this regulation. In order to solve this question, we performed in situ hybridization experiments for Chrna6 and Chrnb3 on Pitx3 mutant mice and their WT littermates (Fig. 10). In adjacent sagittal sections of E14.5 WT embryos matched to Th...
expression, we confirmed the expression patterns that were described earlier (Fig. 6). In contrast, in the Pitx3 mutant the expression of both Chrna6 and Chrnb3 was diminished with only low levels of Chrna6 remaining. These data suggest that both cholinergic receptor subunits rely on Pitx3 activity.

We have shown earlier that Nurr1 and Pitx3 act in concert in the activation of many Pitx3/Nurr1 target genes (Jacobs et al., 2009b). Since Chrna6 and Chrnb3 rely on Pitx3 activity we also investigated the role of Nurr1 in this mechanism. Analysis of E14.5 Nurr1 knockout embryos against WT littermates showed that ablation of Nurr1 led to a direct loss of both cholinergic receptor subunits (supplementary material Fig. S1) in the mdDA neuronal field (supplementary material Fig. S1). This was not due to cell loss at this stage, since En1 was still present in adjacent sections (supplementary material Fig. S1).

Pitx3 and Nurr1 control Chrna6 and Chrnb3 gene expression via alternative molecular mechanisms

To further substantiate our findings and determine the quantitative loss of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 transcripts in Pitx3 and Nurr1 null mutants, we performed qPCR on E14.5 VM from Pitx3 and Nurr1 null embryos and their respective littermate controls (Fig. 11A). Tbp was taken as a housekeeping control gene and the relative expression of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 was normalized to Tbp. The qPCR data showed a significant loss of Chrna6 and Chrnb3 transcripts (P<0.01 and P<0.04 respectively) in Pitx3−/− animals compared to control (Fig. 11A), in agreement with our in situ hybridization data (Fig. 10). In Nurr1−/− animals the observed loss of Chrna6 transcript was even more dramatic compared to Pitx3−/− mutants. The relative transcript level of Chrna6 was reduced by 90% (P<0.001) in Nurr1−/− mutants compared to controls. The relative transcript level of Chrnb3 was reduced by about 65% (P<0.001) in Nurr1−/− animals (Fig. 11A) compared to littermate control.

We previously proposed a molecular model of the combinatorial action of Nurr1 and Pitx3, where the full activation of Nurr1 target genes depends on the release of the SMRT/HDAC-mediated repression of the Nurr1 transcriptional complex through the regulatory action of Pitx3 (Jacobs et al., 2009a; Jacobs et al., 2009b). We asked if the expression of the identified Pitx3 and Nurr1 target genes Chrna6 and Chrnb3 also depends on SMRT/HDAC-mediated repression mechanism (Fig. 11B). Neurons from the mdDA system were isolated for expression analysis by FACS sorting (Fig. 11C) following previously described procedures (Jacobs et al., 2009b). VM regions of Pitx3305/+ and Pitx3305−/− embryos were dissected at E13.5. To interfere with HDAC activity, we treated the explants cultures with the HDAC inhibitor sodium butyrate for 2 days, after which Gfp-positive mdDA neurons were isolated through FACS. Equal amounts of RNA, estimated through FACS cell counts, from Gfp-positive mdDA neurons were subjected to qPCR to determine the relative transcript levels of Chrna6, Chrnb3, and Vmat2 (Fig. 11D). The relative expression in untreated heterozygous Pitx3305/+ mdDA neurons was set to ‘100%’ after normalization to Tbp. In order to ensure that we performed the experiment as described before we added the control gene Vmat2 which was already described to be activated in Pitx3 mutants after HDAC inhibitor treatment. In
concordance with our previous data the Vmat2 gene was significantly activated after sodium butyrate treatment of Pitx3gfp/+/ mice. Surprisingly, in the treated control (Pitx3gfp/-) the level of Chrna6 was significantly lower (30% of untreated) indicating that the release of HDACs on this promoter leads to repression of the Chrna6 gene. This effect was also observed in the treated Pitx3gfp/- cultures were the already low level of Chrna6 was significantly decreased after treatment. Interestingly, an opposite effect was observed for Chrnb3, in both Pitx3gfp/+ and Pitx3gfp/- cultures the Chrnb3 gene was activated after HDAC inhibition (Fig. 11D).

Discussion

Spatiotemporal gene expression profiling of the developing ventral mesodiencephalon

In order to further our understanding of mdDA development we carried out a genome-wide gene-expression analysis of microdissected mouse tissue from the ventral mesodiencephalic region at early stages of mdDA development (E10.5-E13.5). Our strategy allowed us to obtain sufficient tissue for microarray analysis without RNA amplification, circumventing problems concerning selective amplification and inter-sample variance. Functional analysis of the dataset through GO-analysis showed that neuronal differentiation was dominantly overrepresented at E12.5, whereas at later stages neuronal maturation and terminal differentiation were the main processes. Close examination of the temporal expression profiles of known transcription factors in our dataset indicated that the expression of some of these factors was highest at the onset of mdDA neuronal development, e.g. Lmx1a and FoxA1/2. This profile confirms the known functions of these genes. Genes like Nurr1 and Enl were identified with increasing relative temporal expression, hinting increasingly critical roles during mdDA development, as is known from transgenic ablation models where both genes are identified as critical for mdDA development (Jacobs et al., 2009a; Alves dos Santos and Smidt, 2011). In this study, cluster analysis and selection through known essential transcription factors involved in early (Lmx1a) and late (Pitx3) developmental processes of mdDA development identified novel transcription factors (Oc family) and new transcriptional targets of the critical terminal differentiation gene Pitx3 (Chrna6 and Chrnb3) in mdDA development.
Oc family members are involved in the formation of the mdDA neuronal region

Until now the involvement of Oc1, Oc2 and Oc3 in dopaminergic development had not been described. The fact that the Oc expression profile matched that of Lmx1a suggested a role during early mdDA neuron development as has been reported for Lmx1a. Analysis of Oc1 and Oc1/Oc2 double knockout mice indicated that the formation of the mdDA neuronal field is influenced by these Oc family members. Especially at the caudal border of the midbrain a flattening of the Th expressing region, as shown in sagittal and coronal views on the system, was observed indicating the relative importance of Oc1 and Oc2 at this position. Interestingly the phenotype increased with the additional deletion of Oc2, suggesting that Oc family members act in similar molecular pathways. Importantly, the phenotype does not directly represent the presence of the Oc family members as shown in Fig. 4. The lateral position seems to express all Oc members and still the medial domain seems most affected. This suggests a selective molecular mechanism that directs the vulnerability of the system towards the medial/caudal domain.

Oc family members are involved in the formation of the mdDA neuronal region

This supports the notion that molecular subsets exist within the mdDA neuronal pool (Smits et al., 2006; Smidt and Burbach, 2007). The interplay of Oc family members is currently unknown as is the role these factors might play in other areas of the developing brain. In the developmental retina, Oc1 and Oc2 factors are found in proliferating progenitors as well as in postmitotic retinal cells (Wu et al., 2012), indicating a role for these transcription factors in pre- and postmitotic cells. The exact mechanism of action and the interplay with other critical mdDA developmental factors remains to be elucidated for the Oc family, despite their important role in mdDA development.

The cholinergic receptor subunits Chrna6 and Chrb3 are under the control of the combinatorial action of Nurr1 and Pitx3. The appearance of the cholinergic receptor subunit Chrna6 within the expression profile of Pitx3, and the close genomic association of Chrna6 and Chrb3 led to the close investigation of these receptor subunits in mdDA neurons. Spatiotemporal

**Fig. 11.** Chrna6 and Chrb3 expression depends Pitx3 and Nurr1 but through a different mechanism reported for Vmat2. (A) QPCR analysis of Chrna6 and Chrb3 in Pitx3 (sorted mdDA neurons) and Nurr1 mutants compared to heterozygotes and wildtypes, respectively. In both mutants, the level of Chrna6 and Chrb3 transcript is significantly downregulated. (B) Putative role of SMRT/HDAC-mediated repression of Nurr1 transcriptional activity modulated by Pitx3 in Chrna6 and Chrb3 gene regulation. (C) FACS sorting data of E14.5 Pitx3-Gfp/+ and Pitx3-Gfp/- mice midbrain dissections. The arrow points to the Gfp positive cells that are sorted and used for mRNA isolation and subsequent QPCR analysis. The FACS sorting gate was set using an E14.5 C57B16-Jico (Bl6) reference sample to select GFP-positive mdDA neurons from either genotype. (D) QPCR analysis (n=3) on sorted cells of sodium butyrate treated Pitx3-Gfp/- and Pitx3-Gfp/+ mice suggests that HDAC inhibition does not significantly rescue Chrna6 and Chrb3 expression, as was shown for Vmat2. * P<0.05; *** P<0.01.
expression analysis revealed that these subunits are uniquely expressed in subsets of mdDA neurons detectable at E13.5. The selective expression in time and place triggered the hypothesis that Pitx3 and/or Nurr1 might be involved in regulating the expression of these subunits in mdDA neurons. Analysis of the respective genetic ablation models indicated that, indeed Chrna6 and Chrnb3 depend on the activity of both Nurr1 and Pitx3. These close transcriptional connections between Nurr1/Pitx3 and Chrna6/Chrnb3 have consequences for the role Nurr1/Pitx3 activity might have on the behavioral output of the mdDA system, since it has been described that small differences in Chrna6 and Chrnb3 function influences alcohol consumption (Hoft et al., 2009).

Since a molecular mechanism of Pitx3 action in concert with Nurr1 has been proposed (Jacobs et al., 2009a) we aimed to elucidate whether these cholinergic subunits are regulated through release of the HDAC mediated repression of Nurr1. In an ex vivo culture experiment using sodium butyrate as a general HDAC inhibitor we were able to confirm the regulation of the well-described Nurr1/Pitx3 target Vmat2 (Jacobs et al., 2009a). However, Chrnb6 behaved in the exact opposite direction. HDAC inhibition suppressed the expression of Chrnb6 in both WT and Pitx3 mutant conditions. Interestingly, Chrnb3 did respond in the same manner as Vmat2, although the effect size was too small to generate a statistical significant result within this experimental setup (N=3). These data suggest that the close association of these genes on mouse chromosome 8 does not lead to a similar program of gene activation, emphasized by the difference in molecular regulation as well as expression differences in mdDA subset specificity.

The function of Chrna6 is not essential for the development of mdDA neurons, since ablation of the gene did not lead to any clear abnormalities in these neurons. Moreover, it was already known from initial mouse knockout studies that ablation of Chrna6 does not lead to gross abnormalities or behavioral defects (Champtiaux et al., 2002). The changes as a consequence of Chrna6 ablation are merely in the fine-tuning of the dopaminergic response to nicotine signaling (Champtiaux et al., 2003; Drenan et al., 2008). Our results indicate that these subtle but essential roles are indirectly controlled by Pitx3 and Nurr1.

Neuronal nAChRs are composed of α- or β-subunits and exist as heteropentamers composed of combinations of α- and β-subunits in different ratios or as homopentamers of α- and β-subunits and thereby influence DA transmission as a consequence of nicotine signaling (Gotti et al., 2006; Klink et al., 2001; Azam et al., 2007). The presence of Chrnb3 and Chrna6 specifically in mdDA neurons together with the more broadly expressed subunits Chrna4 and Chrnb2, suggests that mdDA neurons can form pentamer cholinergic receptors with Chrna6, Chrnb3, Chrna4 and Chrnb2 as building blocks, where the Chrnb3 subunit is restricted mainly to the lateral/rostral group of mdDA neurons. Since the process of nAChR assembly is tightly regulated requiring subunit-subunit interaction (Millar and Harkness, 2008) it is interesting to speculate the possible subunit assembly giving rise to pentamers primarily constitute of α6β1, α4β2, α6ββ and α4β2β in developing mdDA neurons.

Interestingly, Chrnb3 containing pentamers will be primarily restricted to SNc neurons. This will provide the SNc and the most caudal/lateral part of the VTA with a different response profile to nicotine compared to other mdDA neurons (Keath et al., 2007) as has also been suggested for differences in contributions of Chrna4, Chrnb3 and Chrna6-containing subtypes to the reinforcing effects of Nicotine (Exley et al., 2011; Thorgeirsson et al., 2010).

Taken together, our studies into the transcriptional profile of the mesodiencephalic area has uncovered novel transcription factors of the Oc family involved in the formation of the mdDA neuronal population and have added two new members to the growing list of Pitx3/Nurr1 targets generating new knowledge on the full mdDA transcriptional profile (Fig. 12).
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