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Nonlinear sound is an extreme phenomenon typically observed in solids after violent explosions. But granular media are different. Right when they jam, these fragile and disordered solids exhibit a vanishing rigidity and sound speed, so that even tiny mechanical perturbations form supersonic shocks. Here, we perform simulations in which two-dimensional jammed granular packings are dynamically compressed and demonstrate that the elementary excitations are strongly nonlinear shocks, rather than ordinary phonons. We capture the full dependence of the shock speed on pressure and impact intensity by a surprisingly simple analytical model.

Granular materials exhibit a wide range of complex collective behaviors, making them an important testing ground for the physics of amorphous materials [1–16]. The confining pressure \( P \) is perhaps the most important parameter controlling their properties. Strongly compressed granular media are, in many aspects, simple solids in which perturbations travel as ordinary phonons. However, when the confining pressure is lowered to zero or the amplitude of the disturbance is much higher than the initial compression, the mechanical response of granular media becomes increasingly anomalous.

Several insights have been obtained by studying a simple model of granular media comprised of soft frictionless spheres just above the jamming point [1–16]. The jamming point corresponds to the critical density at which the grains barely touch and \( P \) vanishes [1]. The first insight is that the vibrational modes of jammed packings resemble ordinary phonons only below a characteristic frequency scale \( \omega^* \) that vanishes as \( P \) goes to zero \([3–5]\). Above \( \omega^* \), the modes are extended but strongly scattered by disorder \([13–15]\). Second, as a direct consequence of the nonlinear dependence of the local contact force on the grain deformations, the sound speed vanishes as \( P \) goes to zero \([7–15]\): linear sound cannot propagate when the particles barely touch. Third, the range of validity of linear response vanishes when \( P \) goes to zero. This is intuitive since the material is about to fall apart when the pressure vanishes \([16]\).

As the pressure (or density) is lowered towards the jamming point, there are thus three anomalies that forbid the propagation of ordinary phonons: disorder disrupts phononic transport for all frequency scales, the sound speed vanishes, and linear response is no longer valid. The vanishing of the sound speed and absence of a linear range clearly suggest that the excitations near jamming will be strongly nonlinear. Nevertheless, most numerical and analytical studies of energy transport have been carried out in solids just above the jamming point, within a vanishingly small window of linear response. By explicit design, these studies cannot probe nonlinear energy transport because the dynamics of the system is solved through a normal mode expansion \([12–15]\). Therefore, with the exception of theoretical and experimental studies on solitons in one-dimensional granular chains, started with the seminal work of Nesterenko \([17–21]\), nonlinear energy transport in granular packings remains largely unexplored.

**Numerical model.**—To probe how elastic energy is transported close to the jamming point, we performed molecular dynamics simulations of a piston-compression experiment carried out in two-dimensional polydisperse amorphous packings of soft frictionless spheres, whose radii, \( R_i \), are uniformly distributed between 0.8 and 1.2 times their average \( R \). Particles \( i \) and \( j \) at positions \( \vec{x}_i \) and \( \vec{x}_j \) interact via a nonlinear repulsive contact potential \([12]\)

\[
V_{ij} = \frac{e_{ij}}{\alpha} \delta_{ij}^{\alpha} \quad (1)
\]

only for positive overlap \( \delta_{ij} = R_i + R_j - |\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j| > 0 \); otherwise, \( V_{ij} = 0 \) when \( \delta_{ij} \leq 0 \). Here, the interaction parameter \( e_{ij} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{R_i R_j}{R_i + R_j} E_{ij}^{\alpha} \) is expressed in terms of the effective Young’s modulus of the two particles, \( E_{ij}^{\alpha} \); see Ref. \([12]\) for more details. The case \( \alpha = 5/2 \) corresponds to Hertz’s law. Lengths are measured in units of average particle diameter. The unit of mass is set by fixing the grain density to unity. The effective particle Young modulus \( E^{\alpha} \) is set to one, which becomes the pressure unit. These choices ensure that the speed of sound inside the grain, \( v_g^{*} \), is one \([12]\).

We prepare Hertzian packings at a fixed pressure \( P \), or equivalently, an average particle overlap \( \delta_0 \sim P^{2/3} \). They are then continuously compressed by a piston which moves with a constant velocity \( u_P \) in the \( x \) direction throughout the simulation; see Fig. 1. The subsequent motion of the particles is obtained by numerical integration of Newton’s
Phenomenology.—The piston compression leads to the formation of a front that separates two states. Ahead of the front, we find a region where the particles are at rest having the initial overlap \( \delta_0 \), whereas behind it there is a compressed region with particles moving on average with the piston speed \( u_p \) and an overlap \( \delta_S > \delta_0 \). Figure 2(a) shows typical profiles for the longitudinal particle velocity \( u \) (in the \( \hat{x} \) direction) as a function of \( x \), obtained upon averaging velocity fluctuations in the \( \hat{y} \) direction.

Since the particle compression \( \delta_S \) is typically much less than its diameter \( 2R \), Eq. (2) implies that \( v_S \gg u_p \). This is consistent with our numerical findings, summarized in Fig. 3(a), where the dependence of \( v_S \) on \( u_p \) is explored systematically for different compressions.

Inspection of Fig. 3(a) reveals two distinct regimes. For low \( u_p \), the front speed \( v_S \) is nearly independent of \( u_p \)—in this (quasi)linear regime, \( v_S \) is simply controlled by the initial pressure \( P \). The strongly nonlinear shock wave regime is reached for the high compression speed \( u_p \), where \( v_S \) depends on \( u_p \) but not on \( P \).

The data for \( v_S \) can be collapsed onto a single master curve, as shown in Fig. 3(b). We achieve this upon rescaling the \( v_S \) axis by \( v_S(0) \), the numerically determined value that the front speed attains in the limit of vanishing \( u_p \) [see Fig. 3(a)]. The \( u_p \) axis is rescaled by a pressure-dependent velocity scale \( u^*_p \), obtained by matching the low and high \( u_p \) asymptotes in Fig. 3(a) (see arrow): \( u^*_p \) marks the crossover between linear acoustic waves and shocks.

Scaling analysis.—The pressure dependence of \( v_S(0) \) can be rationalized using scaling arguments. We expect that \( v_S(0) \) reduces to \( c \), the speed of linear longitudinal sound waves. To determine the scaling of \( c \) with pressure, note that \( c \sim \sqrt{B} \), where the bulk modulus \( B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial V} \) and

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Speed of the front $v_S$ versus particle velocity $u_P$ measured in units of $v_S$, the sound speed within the grain, for decreasing particle overlap $\delta_0$. (b) Same plot as in (a) but with $v_S$ normalized by $v_S(0)$ and $u_P$ normalized by the crossover particle speed $u_P^*$. $v_S(0)$ and $u_P^*$ are indicated in (a). The dashed line indicates the power law $v_S \sim u_P^{5/4}$ characteristic of a sonic vacuum. The solid line indicates the theory developed here to describe the universal transition from weakly to strongly nonlinear waves in systems close to jamming. (c) Variation of $v_S(0)$ and $u_P^*$ with distance to the jamming transition parameterized by the initial average overlap $\delta_0$. The dashed line indicates the power law $v_S(0) \sim \delta_0^{1/4}$ and the continuous line the power law $u_P^* \sim \delta_0^{3/4}$. (d) Variation of the kinetic energy with potential energy in dimensionless units—same color code as in (a),(b). The dashed line indicates the linear relationship observed for strong shocks.

$P = \frac{dE}{dV}$. The change in volume $dV$ scales linearly with $\delta_0$, the average overlap between particles, while the energy scales as $E \sim \delta_0^6$; see Eq. (1). Upon setting $\alpha = 5/2$, we obtain the pressure dependence of the longitudinal speed of sound $c \sim \delta_0^{1/4} \sim P^{1/6}$ valid for Hertzian interactions [12]. Figure 3(c) shows that the numerical data for $v_S(0)$, represented by open symbols, are consistent with the $\delta_0^{1/4}$ scaling, which is shown as a dashed line.

We now turn to the regime of high piston speeds, $u_P \gg u_P^*$, when the front speed $v_S$ becomes nearly independent of $P$. Since $u_P$, $R$, and $\delta_0$ are all known, we need one additional relation which, combined with Eq. (2), will make a definite prediction for the shock speed. We note that, for strong shocks, the propagating front generates a characteristic compression $\delta \gg \delta_0$ and a corresponding increase in the kinetic energy. By assuming that the kinetic and potential energies are of the same order, we obtain $u_P^* \sim \delta_0^{5/2}$. We have tested numerically that this nontrivial proportionality relation exists for strong deformations; see Fig. 3(d). Upon combining the balance between kinetic and potential energy with Eq. (2), one readily obtains the power law $v_S \sim u_P^{5/4}$, plotted as a dashed line in Fig. 3(b). This scaling relation is clearly consistent with our numerical data for the speed of strongly nonlinear shock waves.

We deduce the dependence on compression of the crossover speed $u_P^*$ by smoothly matching the two asymptotic relations for the front speed $v_S \sim u_P^{5/4}$ and $v_S(0) \sim \delta_0^{1/4}$. This leads to the power law relation $u_P^* \sim \delta_0^{5/4}$ [continuous line in Fig. 3(c)] that is consistent with our numerical values (filled symbols). Note that the data collapse in Fig. 3(b) depends only on the scaling $u_P^* \sim \delta_0^{5/4}$ and is not sensitive on the precise definition of the crossover speed. Upon using the conversion relation $u_P^* \sim \delta_0^{5/4}$, the intuitive expectation that the crossover takes place when $\delta = \delta_0$ is confirmed.

We conclude that, by controlling $\delta_0$ or $P$, which parameterize the distance to the jamming point (at $P = 0$ and $\delta_0 = 0$), we can tune $u_P^*$ and the onset of the strongly nonlinear response of the packings. Our key numerical findings on the shock velocity, summarized in Fig. 3, can be grasped from scaling near the jamming point.

Analytical model.—In order to account for the dependence of $v_S$ on $u_P$ and the smoothness of the shock profiles, we construct the simplest possible one-dimensional model that quantitatively accounts for the trends observed in Fig. 3 and sheds light on the role of disorder.

In the continuum limit, we obtain the following equation governing the dynamics of the system in terms of the strain field $\delta(x, t)$ [25]:

$$\frac{R^2}{3} \delta_{xx} - \delta_t + \frac{4R^2\epsilon}{m} [\delta^{a-1}]_{xx} = 0. \quad (3)$$

To gain some intuition for the physics behind Eq. (3), note that, by setting $\alpha = 2$, one recovers a linear dispersive wave equation, with speed proportional to $\sqrt{\epsilon/m}$ in the long wavelength limit. By contrast, when $\alpha > 2$, a nonlinear wave equation is obtained. Nonlinearities and dispersive effects gives rise to finite amplitude waves: either solitary waves or shocks are possible depending on the drive [18].

Shock propagation is modeled by the combined strain $\delta(x, t) = \delta_0 + g(\hat{x})$, where $g(\hat{x})$ gives the shape of the shock and $\hat{x} \equiv x - v_S t$. Upon inserting this ansatz into Eq. (3), we obtain the conservation law $\frac{1}{2} \delta_0^2 + W(\delta) = 0$, where $W(\delta)$ is given by

$$W(\delta) = \frac{24\epsilon}{m^2 v_S^4} (\delta^a - \delta_0^a) - \frac{3}{R^2} (\delta^2 - \delta_0^2) - 24\delta_0 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{mv_S^2} \delta_0^{a-2} - \frac{1}{4R^2}\right) (\delta - \delta_0). \quad (4)$$
induced compression in the front can obtain a relation between propagation velocity and to the homogeneous shock profile of (a). If the viscosity is large enough, one obtains a homogeneous shock profile, shown as a light gray (green) line, similar to the profile in Fig. 2(a). (b) The presence of an effective viscosity will induce the oscillation of the particle (represented by thin black lines) towards the bottom of the potential \( W(\delta) \). If the viscosity is large enough, the particle can move directly to the minimum without performing any oscillations; see the light gray (green) trajectory corresponding to the homogeneous shock profile of (a).

This conservation law can be interpreted as describing the total energy of an effective particle at position \( \delta \) rolling down a potential well \( W(\delta) \), shown in Fig. 4(b) (here \( \bar{x} \) maps to time so that \( \frac{1}{2} S_{\bar{x}} \) is the kinetic term of the particle) [26].

One of the key ideas of our Letter is that disorder can act as an effective viscosity for the shock: the energy imparted unidirectionally by the piston is redistributed among other degrees of freedom, reducing the energy propagating with the shock front. In our mapping, this implies that the effective particle, initially located at the maximum of the potential \( W = 0 \), moves to the minimum of the potential well [see Fig. 4(a)]. Thus, upon setting \( \delta S W(\delta) = 0 \), we can obtain a relation between propagation velocity and induced compression in the front

\[
\frac{\nu_S}{c} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{\delta_S}{\delta_0} \right)^{\alpha - 1} - 1}
\]

that is independent of viscosity, even if an infinitesimal amount of dissipation is necessary to obtain a steady state solution of Eq. (3).

Together, Eqs. (2) and (5) can be seen as a parametric relation between front and particle velocities, where the overlap \( \delta_S \) produced by the passage of the front is the parameter. Such a parametric plot of \( \nu_S \) versus \( \nu_P \) is drawn as a continuous curve on the numerical data in Fig. 3(b). This comparison shows that Eqs. (2) and (5) are in excellent agreement (without any fitting parameter) with the results of our numerical experiments on shock propagation.

Discussion.—The shock formation explored in the present study is a generic phenomenon independent of the dimensionality of the sample that relies purely on the presence of a nonlinear law between grains (for any \( \alpha > 2 \)) and not on the presence of friction. Experimentally, this can be tested by impacting a box of (frictional) glass beads with a heavy mass, for a range of impact speeds and pressures—preliminary experimental results for the front speed compare favorably to our theoretical predictions in Fig. 3 [27].

We note, however, that, in frictional granular media, a second type of densification front can be observed, which is often referred to as plowing [9,10]. Whereas our shock waves always propagate with speeds above the linear sound speed and continue to propagate even after the driving stops, plowing fronts are generally much slower (in [9], of the order of 1 m/s) and stop almost immediately when the driving stops. We believe that the underlying difference is that our shocks are dynamical phenomena, set by a balance of potential and kinetic energies, whereas plowing is in essence a quasistatic phenomenon, dominated by dissipation. In the dynamic case, the change in packing fraction induced by the shock is associated with grain deformations, whereas, in the quasistatic case, densification is dominated by grain rearrangements and compaction.

Outlook.—The shocks that arise in grains near jamming are just one representative of a broader class of strongly nonlinear excitations that emerge near the marginal state of suspensions, emulsions, wet foams, and weakly connected fiber networks [6,28,29]. Close to losing their rigidity, all these materials exhibit a vanishing range of linear response, so that almost any amount of finite driving will elicit an extreme mechanical response in the form of rearrangements, yielding, and flow [16,30–32]. It remains an open question whether all these phenomena can be successfully described in terms of simple scaling near jamming.
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