



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Two sides to every story

Brenes Peralta, C.M.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Brenes Peralta, C. M. (2017). Two sides to every story: Causes and consequences of selective exposure to balanced political information.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Two sides to every story:

Causes and consequences of selective exposure to balanced political information



Carlos M. Brenes Peralta

Two sides to every story:

Causes and consequences of selective exposure
to balanced political information

Carlos M. Brenes Peralta

Two sides to every story: Causes and consequences of
selective exposure to balanced political information

ISBN: 978-90-826784-5-1

© Carlos M. Brenes Peralta
Amsterdam, 2017

Printed: Proefschrift-aio.nl
Cover and layout by Proefschrift-aio.nl

Amsterdam School of Communication Research (ASCoR)
Department of Communication, University of Amsterdam

Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
1018 WV Amsterdam
The Netherlands

brenesc@gmail.com

Two sides to every story:

Causes and consequences of selective exposure
to balanced political information

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel
op dinsdag 19 september 2017, te 10.00 uur

door Carlos Manuel Brenes Peralta
geboren te San Jose, Costa Rica

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:	Prof. dr. C.H. de Vreese	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Copromotor:	Dr. M.E. Wojcieszak	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Copromotor:	Dr. Y. Lelkes	University of Pennsylvania

Overige leden:

Dr. D.C. Trilling	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. J. Peter	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. N. Helberger	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. P.C. Neijens	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. B. Vis	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Faculteit der Maatschappij- en Gedragwetenschappen

Table of contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	9
Chapter 2: Selective Exposure to Balanced Content and Evidence Type: The Case of Issue and Non-Issue Publics about Climate Change and Health Care	25
Chapter 3: Desired vs. Correct Conclusions: The Motivated Selection of Balanced Content	51
Chapter 4: I Stick to My Guns: Motivated Reasoning and Biased Processing of Balanced Political Information	75
Chapter 5: Conclusion	101
References	112
Appendix A	128
Appendix B	129
Appendix C	131
Appendix D	132
Appendix E	133
Appendix F	134
English summary	137
Nederlandse samenvatting	143
Acknowledgements on authorship	149
Acknowledgements/Daankwoord/Agradecimientos	153

List of Tables

2.1 Repeated Logit Model of Article Selection by Type of Information and Evidence Type	38
2.2 Repeated Logit Model of Article Selection by Type of Information and Evidence Type among Issue Publics (Attitude Importance)	39
2.3 Repeated Logit Model of Article Selection by Type of Information and Evidence Type among Issue Publics (Attitude Strength)	40
3.1 Predicted probabilities of selecting information type about health care by defensive and accuracy motivations (Study 1)	64
3.2 Predicted probabilities of selecting information type about climate change by defensive and accuracy motivations (Study 2)	67

List of Figures

1.1 Overview of the dissertation	13
2.1-2.2 The impact of balanced content, evidence type and attitude importance on selection of climate change articles	42
2.3-2.4 The impact of balanced content, evidence type and attitude strength on selection of climate change articles	42
3.1 Predicted probabilities of selecting information type by motivation and attitude strength (Study 2)	67
3.2 Predicted probabilities of selecting information type by motivation and attitude certainty (Study 2)	68
4.1 Experimental design	85
4.2 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on strength of climate change arguments	89
4.3 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on strength of refugee arguments	89
4.4 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on bolstering thoughts of climate change pro-attitudinal arguments	90
4.5 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on bolstering thoughts of refugee pro-attitudinal arguments	90
4.6 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on denigrating thoughts of climate change counter-attitudinal arguments	91
4.7 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on denigrating thoughts of refugee counter-attitudinal arguments	91
4.8 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on polarization about climate change	93
4.9 Interaction effects of message type and motivation on polarization about refugees	93