Interplay between the RNA interference machinery and HIV-1

Schopman, N.C.T.

Citation for published version (APA):
Schopman, N. C. T. (2012). Interplay between the RNA interference machinery and HIV-1

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
THREE

DIRECTED HIV-1 EVOLUTION OF PROTEASE INHIBITOR RESISTANCE BY 2\textsuperscript{ND} GENERATION SHORT HAIRPIN RNAs

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2012, 56(1), 479-86
ABSTRACT

Despite the success of antiretroviral drugs in decreasing AIDS-related mortality, a substantial fraction of HIV-infected patients experience therapy failure due to the emergence of drug-resistant virus variants. For durable inhibition of HIV-1 replication the emergence of such escape viruses must be controlled. In addition to antiretroviral drugs, RNA interference (RNAi)-based gene therapy can be used to inhibit HIV-1 replication by targeting the viral RNA genome. RNAi is an evolutionary conserved gene silencing mechanism that mediates the sequence-specific breakdown of the targeted mRNA. Here we investigate an alternative strategy combining the activity of a protease inhibitor (PI) with 2nd generation short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) designed to specifically block the emergence of PI-resistant HIV-1 variants. We demonstrate that dominant viral escape routes can be effectively blocked by 2nd generation shRNAs and that virus evolution can be redirected towards less fit variants. These results are of importance for a deeper understanding of HIV-1 evolution under combined drug and RNAi pressure and may be used to design future therapeutic approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

Worldwide more than 35 million individuals are infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and each year approximately 3 million persons are newly infected. Despite the major success of antiretroviral therapy (ART) that delays or prevents the onset of disease, HIV-1 infections remain incurable and attempts to make a vaccine have so far proved unsuccessful (14). The emergence of drug-resistant viruses remains a major problem for some patients, especially those that exhibit suboptimal therapy adherence.

The RNA interference (RNAi) mechanism is a post-transcriptional gene silencing process that holds promise as a new antiviral strategy. RNAi is induced by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) that is processed by the RNAi machinery into small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). The siRNAs are designed with perfect base pairing complementarity to the target RNA sequence and trigger cleavage of the targeted mRNA (5,8). HIV-1 can be inhibited effectively and specifically by RNAi in vitro. Many HIV-1 genes have been targeted by transfected siRNAs or intracellular expressed short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) and combinatorial RNAi strategies can durably inhibit HIV-1 replication (2,11,31). Antiviral drugs and siRNAs can also be combined (9). One successful RNAi-based approach concerns the use 2nd generation shRNAs designed to target the favorite escape variants that are selected under pressure of 1st generation shRNAs, thus skewing virus evolution (23). In this study, we designed 2nd generation shRNAs to counter the evolution of clinically relevant drug resistant HIV-1 variants.

We investigated the potential of combining two anti-HIV strategies. Protease inhibitors (PIs) that successfully suppress HIV-1 replication were combined with 2nd generation shRNAs to block the favorite viral escape routes. To do so, we first designed 2nd generation shRNAs and tested them in reporter, HIV-1 production and virus replication assays. We selected the most active and specific shRNAs. Subsequently, we performed virus evolution studies to monitor the selection of PI-resistant HIV-1 variants in cells that express 2nd generation or control shRNAs. In this way we attempt to block virus evolution or to drive evolution in a direction that yields virus mutants with reduced replication fitness.

METHODS

Plasmid construction

The shRNA-D30N targets the PI-resistant D30N variant and is expressed from a pSUPER plasmid (OligoEngine, Seattle, WA) with the human H1 polymerase III promoter. The shRNA-L90M variant targets the L90M escape virus and is based on pSilencer 2.0-U6 (Ambion, Austin, TX) with the human U6 polymerase III promoter. The shRNA expression plasmids were constructed as described previously (23). The shRNA-D30N and shRNA-L90M cassettes were combined to generate the shRNA-combi construct. The lentiviral vector JS1 (pRRLcpptpgkgfppreSsin) and the construction of shRNA derivatives was described previously (23). The shRNA-D30N, shRNA-L90M and shRNA-combi cassettes were cloned into the lentiviral vector. The full-length HIV-1 molecular clone pLAI (17) was used to produce wt virus and to study its inhibition by antiviral drugs and shRNAs. The D30N and L90M mutated HIV-1 LAI molecular clones were generated as follows. pLAI was digested with Apal and Sall and the 2058-5869 protease fragment was cloned in pBSK to generate pBSK-pr. Mutations were introduced into pBSK-pr by site-directed
mutagenesis and verified by sequence analysis, and the mutated ApaI-SalI fragment was subsequently cloned back into pLAI. Firefly luciferase (Luc) reporter plasmids with a HIV-1 target sequence (wt, D30N and L90M) were constructed by insertion of a 50- to 70-nucleotide HIV-1 fragment, with the 19-nucleotide target sequence in the center, in the EcoRI and PstI sites of pGL3.

**Cell culture**

Human embryonic kidney 293T adherent cells were grown as monolayer in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) in a humidified chamber at 37°C and 5% CO₂. SupT1 suspension T cells were grown in Advanced Rosewell Park Memorial Institute medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with l-glutamine, 1% fetal calf serum, penicillin (30 U/ml) and streptomycin (30 μg/ml) in a humidified chamber at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

**Transfection experiments**

Co-transfections of pLAI or pGL-3 (Firefly luciferase reporter) with the shRNA vector were performed in the 96-well format. Per well, 2×10⁴ 293T cells were seeded in 100 μl DMEM with 10% FCS without antibiotics. The next day, 100 ng pLAI (or 25 ng of pGL-3) and 0, 1, 5 or 10 ng shRNA vector and 0.5 ng pRL (Renilla luciferase) were transfected with 0.5 μl Lipofectamine 2000 in a reaction volume of 50 μl according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Two days after pLAI transfection the supernatant was harvested, virus was inactivated and CA-p24 ELISA was performed. The cells were lysed for Renilla luciferase activity measurements with the Renilla Luciferase Assay System (Promega). To correct for transfection variation, the CA-p24 values were divided by the Renilla values. We set the condition that for an experiment to be valid the ratio between the highest and the lowest Renilla values should differ by less than a factor of 2. Two days after pGL-3 transfection, cells were lysed to measure firefly and Renilla luciferase activities with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Lentiviral vector production and T-cell transduction**

The lentiviral vector was produced as previously described (31). Briefly, the vector was made by co-transfection of lentiviral vector plasmid and packaging plasmids pSYNGP, pRSV-rev, and pVSV-g with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After transfection, the medium was replaced with OptiMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The lentiviral vector containing supernatant was collected after two days and aliquots were stored at -80°C. Next, SupT1 cells were transduced at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.15. Two to three days after transduction, live cells were sorted with FACS and GFP-positive cells were selected.

**HIV-1 infection**

HIV-1 LAI and the escape virus variants D30N and L90M were produced by transfection of the molecular clones in 293T cells. Virus production was measured by CA-p24 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. SupT1 cells (5 ml cultures with 2.5×10⁶ cells or 24 wells plate with 2×10⁵ cells per well in 1 ml) were infected with the wt or D30N/L90M escape variants (viral input
ranged from 0.1 - 1 ng CA-p24). Virus spread was monitored by syncytia formation and CA-p24 production.

The IC50 was determined as follows. SupT1 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at 50,000 cells per well. Virus (1 ng CA-p24) was mixed with either NFV or SQV in a concentration range of 0, 0.8, 1.3, 1.9, 2.5, 4.0, 5.5, 7.4, 22.2, 66.7, 200 and 600 nM. This mixture was added to the cells and we longitudinally scored syncytia formation and CA-p24 levels in the culture supernatant to monitor viral replication. The median inhibitory concentration (IC50) was calculated by the nonlinear regression method, with the variable slope and IC50-curves plotted with GraphPad Prism 5. The IC30 and IC90 values were also determined.

**HIV-1 drug-resistance evolution**

The HIV-1 wt, D30N and L90M variants were mixed in a 10:1:1 ratio (based on CA-p24), which was determined in pilot experiments as being optimal for these evolution studies. SupT1-shRNA cells and SupT1 control cells were infected with the virus mixture (1 ng/ml CA-p24) under drug pressure (NFV at IC30 and IC90 and SQV at IC50). Virus replication was monitored by syncytia formation and CA-p24 production. When virus replication was observed, cell-free virus was passaged to uninfected SupT1-shRNA or control SupT1 cells and virus replication was monitored. Cell and supernatant samples were stored at -80°C at peak infection or used directly for sequence analysis of the viral target regions. DNA of the infected cells with the integrated provirus was isolated as described previously (23). Integrated proviral DNA sequences were PCR amplified with the primer pairs PROT sense (GCAAATACTGGAGTATTGTATGGATTTTCAGG) and antisense (AGGCTAATTGTTTATAGGAAGATCTGGCCTTCC). The PCR products were sequenced by Sanger sequencing to score the outgrowth of the wt/D30N/L90M genotype. This “population” sequence is able to detect minority sequences when present at approximately 20%.

**RESULTS**

**Design of 2nd generation shRNAs specific for drug-resistant HIV-1 variants**

To test whether we could control the evolution of drug-resistant HIV-1 variants we deliberately choose the PI antiviral drugs NFV and SQV. The L90M mutation in the protease gene (PR) of HIV-1 confers resistance against both drugs, but high NFV levels require the alternative substitution D30N (20,25,26). Selection of these two escape mutants is thus determined by the specific drug applied and its concentration (Figure 1A). The D30N virus provides high level NFV resistance but exhibits a significantly reduced replication efficiency or fitness (13,18,28). Both evolutionary scenarios involve a guanine (G) to adenine (A) mutation in the respective PR codon (Figure 1B) that are frequently selected in treated patients (4,15,16). In a previous study we demonstrated that specific viral escape routes that occur under RNAi pressure can be efficiently blocked with 2nd generation shRNAs (23). We now designed 2nd generation shRNAs to block the D30N and L90M escape routes and cloned shRNA-L90M and shRNA-D30N in expression constructs under control of the polymerase III promoters H1 and U6, respectively (Figure 1C). These cassettes were individually cloned in the lentiviral vector JS1. In addition, both cassettes were combined in the shRNA-combi vector. The use of different promoter elements is required to avoid recombination on repeat sequences during transduction of the lentiviral vector. We previously demonstrated equal shRNA expression levels for these vector constructs using reporter
assays and Northern blotting (30). We now generated effective 2nd generation reagents and subsequently tested their specificity in reporter and virus replication assays before we could perform the final test of trying to determine the outcome of virus evolution under PI pressure by 2nd generation shRNAs.

Efficient target knockdown by 2nd generation shRNAs

It remains important to screen several candidate shRNAs because the available siRNA design algorithms do not apply for shRNA design (19,22,29). We therefore designed 15 overlapping shRNAs for the L90M target (Figure 2A). Their inhibitory capacity was measured on a luciferase reporter construct with the HIV-1 L90M target sequence inserted in the 3’UTR. Although all shRNAs are perfectly complementary to this HIV-1 target, shRNAs 2, 3, 4, 11 and 13 were the most effective shRNAs (results not shown, but summarized in Fig. 2A). Based on titration experiments, we selected #3 as the most potent shRNA-L90M for the subsequent experiments. A very active shRNA-D30N reagent was already available (32).

The activity and sequence specificity of the selected shRNA-D30N and shRNA-L90M was further investigated in co-transfection experiments in 293T cells with luciferase reporter constructs. The latter property is critical as 2nd generation shRNAs should ideally target the

---

**Figure 1.** Design of 2nd generation shRNAs for HIV-1 variants D30N and L90M. (A) Resistance of the D30N and L90M viruses against Nelfinavir (NFV) and Saquinavir (SQV) is indicated. Fitness was compared to the wt virus. These data were derived from previous publications (13,18,28). (B) The position of the D30N and L90M mutations in the HIV-1 genome. The exact A-nucleotide that is mutated to G in the D30N or L90M variants is marked in black. (C) The expression cassettes of shRNA-D30N and shRNA-L90M under control of the polymerase III promoters H1 and U6, respectively. Both expression cassettes were combined in shRNA-combi.
Constructs. Relative luciferase activity was determined as the ratio of firefly and renilla luciferase expression.

with 25 ng firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, 0.5 ng of renilla luciferase plasmid, and 0, 1, 5, and 10 ng shRNA measured on a luciferase reporter with the HIV-1 D30N or L90M target sequence 293T cells were co-transfected

Figure 2. Efficient target inhibition by 2nd generation shRNAs. (A) A nested set of 15 shRNA-L90M candidates were designed and screened for their knockdown activity. The silencing activity was measured on a luciferase reporter; “++” very good, “+” moderate and “-” weak. (B) The inhibitory effect of the 2nd generation shRNAs was measured on a luciferase reporter with the HIV-1 D30N or L90M target sequence 293T cells were co-transfected with 25 ng firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, 0.5 ng of renilla luciferase plasmid, and 0, 1, 5 and 10 ng shRNA constructs. Relative luciferase activity was determined as the ratio of firefly and renilla luciferase expression. Values are shown as percentage of the transfection with control shRNA-Nef. Averages and standard deviations represent at least three independent transfections that were performed in quadruple. (C) The selectivity of the 2nd generation shRNAs was determined with a luciferase reporter with the wt HIV-1 sequence (D30N or L90M).
mutated but not the wild type (wt) HIV-1 sequence. An additional construct that expresses both inhibitors (shRNA-combi) was tested. Two different luciferase reporters were constructed with the D30N or L90M target sequences inserted in the 3’ UTR and the inhibitory profile of shRNAs-D30N and L90M was determined. A renilla luciferase reporter plasmid was co-transfected to control for the transfection efficiency. The relative luciferase expression was determined as the ratio of the firefly and renilla luciferase activity. We transfected 3 amounts of the shRNA construct (1, 5 and 10 ng) and the luciferase values obtained with an unrelated control shRNA inhibitor (shNef) were set at 100% for each reporter construct (Figure 2B). Both shRNA constructs show effective knockdown of the fully complementary target by approximately 90% and shRNA-combi inhibited both the D30N and L90M targets.

We next determined the inhibitory profile of the shRNAs on two corresponding wt luciferase reporters that have a single mismatch with the 2nd generation shRNAs (Figure 2C). Reduced luciferase expression was measured with shRNA-D30N, indicating that this shRNA is also active on the wt target and thus not strictly sequence-specific. A similar knockdown pattern was observed for shRNA-combi on the wt sequence. The shRNA-L90M behaves ideally as luciferase expression did not decrease significantly. Thus, both 2nd generation shRNAs are active inhibitors and shRNA-L90M acts in a sequence-specific manner, whereas shRNA-D30N exhibits some cross-activity on the mismatched wt target.

**Inhibition of drug-resistant HIV-1 variants by the 2nd generation shRNA**

We generated variants of the HIV-1 LAI molecular clone, a CXCR4-using primary virus isolate, with the D30N or L90M mutation in the PR gene. Virus stocks were prepared by transfection of the molecular clones in 293T cells. The SupT1 T cell line was infected with a fixed amount (1 ng CA-p24) of the wt, D30N or L90M virus. Virus replication was monitored over time by measuring the CA-p24 concentration in the culture supernatant using ELISA (Figure 3). The wt virus was the first to reach peak infection, followed by the L90M mutant and the D30N mutant.
Figure 4. Virus replication at differential drug pressure. SupT1 cells were cultured in the presence of PIs; NFV IC₃₀, NFV IC₉₀ and SQV IC₅₀. Cells were infected with HIV-1 wt, D30N or L90M and virus replication was monitored over time by measuring CA-p24 production.

showed a significant delay. This result confirms previous reports that the L90M virus has good replication capacity (90% fitness of wt) and the D30N variant a significantly reduced fitness (60% of wt) (13,18).

We also infected SupT1 cells with these three virus variants under selective PI drug pressure (Figure 4). SQV was used at IC₅₀ concentration (17 nM) and for NFV we used a low (IC₃₀, 7 nM) and high drug concentration (IC₉₀, 81 nM). HIV-1 (wt) was unable to replicate with SQV and
Figure 5. Selective inhibition of HIV-1 variant replication by 2nd generation shRNAs. SupT1 cell lines expressing the shRNA inhibitors (shRNA-D30N, shRNA-L90M or combined) were infected with wt, D30N or L90M virus. SupT1 cells with the empty lentiviral vector JS1 was used as positive control. Virus replication was monitored over time by measuring CA-p24 concentration in the culture supernatant using ELISA.
NFV IC₃₀ and showed delayed replication with NFV IC₃₀. The D30N virus replicated at both NFV conditions, but not under SQV pressure. In contrast, the L90M mutant did replicate under SQV and NFV IC₃₀ pressure, but not at NFV IC₉₀. These results are fully consistent with literature findings (13,18).

Next, we monitored viral replication in the presence of the 2nd generation shRNAs. We infected SupT1 cells that stably express the relevant shRNAs, and cells transduced with the empty lentiviral vector (JS1) were used as control (Figure 5). Replication of the D30N and L90M viruses was inhibited when the SupT1 cells expressed the corresponding shRNA. The cell line with the shRNA-combi inhibited both viruses. The wt virus replicated on all cell lines, although replication was slightly delayed in cells that express shRNA-D30N. This is probably due to cross reactivity of shRNA-D30N on the wt virus as observed in the reporter assay. From these results we conclude that the 2nd generation shRNAs can effectively inhibit the replication of drug-resistant HIV-1 variants. This sets the stage for the final test whether PI-resistance evolution can be manipulated by 2nd generation shRNAs.

**Figure 6.** Mixed infection of SupT1 cells expressing 2nd generation shRNAs under PI pressure. SupT1 cells that express the indicated shRNA inhibitor were infected with a mixture of wt:D30N:L90M viruses at the ratio of 10:1:1. These cultures were maintained without drugs or with SQV, NFV IC₃₀, and NFV IC₉₀. Cultures expressing no shRNA (JS1) served as positive control. Virus replication was monitored over time by measuring CA-p24 concentration in the culture supernatant using ELISA. After a second round of infection the provirus was sequenced to determine the most dominant virus variant present in the culture. These results were plotted in Table 1.
Directed HIV-1 evolution by combining PIs and 2nd generation shRNAs

We demonstrated that 2nd generation shRNAs can be designed that specifically target PI-resistant virus variants. Next, we tested whether these shRNAs can determine the outcome of viral evolution under PI-pressure. As input for virus selection, we used a virus mixture of wt:D30N:L90M at the ratio of 10:1:1. This makes the virus evolution experiment independent of the initial mutation step, which otherwise would create much experimental variation as mutation is a chance process (3,10). SupT1 cells expressing 2nd generation shRNA D30N, L90M or combi (D30N and L90M) were infected with this virus mixture and cells expressing no shRNA (JS1) served as control. The cultures were maintained without drug or with SQV, NFV IC50, and NFV IC90. Virus replication was monitored over time by measuring the CA-p24 concentration in the culture supernatant using ELISA (Figure 6). Viral breakthrough replication may indicate the selection of a PI drug-resistant HIV-1 variant. To confirm this, the virus collected at peak infection was used to infect fresh SupT1 cells (with the same shRNA and PI regimen). All re-infections resulted in rapid viral outgrowth (5-7 days post infection, results not shown). HIV-1 proviral sequences were PCR amplified from infected cells and this population was subsequently sequenced to determine which HIV-1 variant was selected. A truly selected variant will become dominant in the viral quasispecies and should thus be present as the majority sequence. Table 1 provides a summary of the outcome of HIV-1 evolution under PI pressure and directed by 2nd generation shRNAs. In the absence of PI drugs, HIV-1 wt remained the dominant virus species (Figure 6, Table 1). Delayed replication was apparent in cultures expressing shRNA-D30N, indicating partial inhibition of HIV-1 wt by this shRNA, which is consistent with the results of the luciferase reporter and virus production assays. SQV selects the L90M variant as expected, but no virus variant was selected in the presence of shRNA-L90M (L90M and combi) that blocks this SQV-resistant L90M virus. With NFV IC50, the L90M variant was selected in the control and D30N cultures. L90M replication was delayed in the latter culture, probably because of the partial inhibitory effect of shRNA-D30N. The outcome of the evolution experiment was switched to the D30N variant in the shRNA-L90M cultures, because the shRNA blocks replication of the L90M variant and the drug inhibits the wt virus. With the shRNA-combi no viral breakthrough was observed due to the combined drug and shRNA pressure. Virus replication was observed under NFV IC90 pressure in the control and SupT1-L90M cells. Both cultures selected the D30N virus, which is known to replicate at high NFV levels. Most importantly, no viral escape was possible with high NFV and shRNA-D30N pressure. These combined results demonstrate that virus evolution can be blocked or forced into a pre-defined direction by a combination of antiviral drugs and pre-designed 2nd generation shRNAs.

Table 1. HIV-1 evolution under PI and shRNA pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SupT1 cells</th>
<th>HIV-1 input mixture: wt:D30N:L90M = 10:1:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS1</td>
<td>wt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shRNA-D30N</td>
<td>wt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shRNA-L90M</td>
<td>wt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shRNA-combi</td>
<td>wt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Inhibition of HIV-1 by small molecule drugs or RNAi-based antiviral strategies can fail because of the emergence of drug-resistant virus variants with mutations in the targeted viral protein or RNA, respectively. Clinical success is usually achieved by a combination of antiviral drugs, which raises the genetic threshold for the acquisition of (multi-drug) resistance mutations. Combination of a clinically relevant drug with 2nd generation shRNAs that were specifically designed to target drug-resistance mutations was tested in this study for durable inhibition of viral replication. More specifically, we tested whether virus evolution under PI-pressure can be skewed into a certain direction or even blocked by such shRNA molecules. We focused on the PI-drugs SQV and NFV because they select for overlapping, but not identical resistance mutations. The resistant L90M virus is preferentially selected with SQV and low NFV concentrations, whereas high NFV selects for the D30N virus. These mutations in the protease gene are the most commonly observed resistance mutations in patients on NFV and SQV (13,21,28).

SQV combined with shRNA-L90M resulted in a complete block of viral replication because the wt and D30N viruses are inhibited by the drug and L90M is blocked by the shRNA. A similar scenario was observed at high NFV. In combination with shRNA-D30N, the wt and L90M viruses cannot replicate due to drug pressure and the shRNA prevents the emergence of D30N, thus leading to an effective blockade. In contrast, the pressure of shRNA-L90M results in the selection of the D30N variant because both the drug and shRNA are ineffective against this virus. Low NFV (IC30) selects for L90M as expected. When combining the drug with shRNA-L90M, we observed the outgrowth of the D30N variant and L90M was effectively blocked. We were thus able to skew viral evolution towards variants with reduced replication capacity. These results demonstrate the ability to steer virus evolution with the 2nd generation shRNAs.

Sequence specificity is an important property of 2nd generation shRNA because they should ideally target the mutant and not the wt sequence, which differ only by one or a few nucleotides (23,32,33). We performed luciferase assays with mismatched wt targets and observed that RNAi activity of the shRNA-L90M was significantly impaired, consistent with the finding that a single mismatch can lead to a complete loss of silencing (1,7,24). For shRNA-30N some cross-reactivity on the wt HIV-1 was scored, such that for future in vivo applications an improved shRNA-D30N needs to be designed that acts in an exquisite sequence-specific manner.

The selective pressure of RNAi on the virus genome can be used to direct viral evolution. A recent report used HIV-1 isolate-specific siRNAs to block two parental viruses and thus forced the selection of intersubtype HIV-1 recombinants (6). We demonstrate that virus evolution can be forced towards less fit viruses by a combination of PIs and 2nd generation shRNAs and that viral escape can even be blocked. This approach can be extended to all classes of antiretroviral drugs and the corresponding virus escape variants, but also to direct CTL-escape towards virus variants that are less fit (12,27).

Directing viral evolution using 2nd generation shRNAs in combination with antiretroviral drugs can be interesting for future therapeutic purposes. Patients failing on certain drug regimens could be treated with drugs combined with 2nd generation shRNAs. At the start of antiviral treatment, it may be beneficial to combine ART with multiple 2nd generation siRNAs in order to block the emergence of escape virus mutants. A major drawback of the use of siRNAs to date is the delivery, especially as repeated doses will be required in case of chronic HIV-1
infection. The emergence of multi-drug resistant HIV-1 has serious clinical implications. Future combinations of antiretroviral drugs with 2nd generation shRNAs may lead to a new therapeutic approach in the treatment of drug-resistant HIV-1 infection and improve the quality of life of HIV-1 infected individuals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Stephan Heynen for performing CA-p24 ELISA. This research is sponsored by ZonMw (Translational Gene Therapy Program) and NWO-CW (Top grant).

REFERENCE LIST


