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ABSTRACT

Objectives
Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) has diagnostic value for the evaluation of prosthetic heart valve (PHV) dysfunction but it is hampered by artifacts. We hypothesised that image acquisition using prospective triggering instead of retrospective gating would reduce artifacts related to pulsating PHV.

Methods
In a pulsatile in vitro model, a mono- and bileaflet PHV were imaged using 256 MDCT at 60, 75 and 90 beats per minute (BPM) with either retrospective gating (120 kV, 600 mAs, pitch 0.2, CTDIvol 39.8 mGy) or prospective triggering (120 kV, 200 mAs, CTDIvol 13.3 mGy). Two thresholds (>175 and <-45HU), derived from the density of surrounding structures, were used for quantification of hyper- and hypodense artifacts. Image noise and artifacts were compared between protocols.

Results
Prospective triggering reduced hyperdense artifacts for both valves at every BPM \((P=0.001\) all comparisons). Hypodense artifacts were reduced for the monoleaflet valve at 60 \((P=0.009)\), 75 \((P=0.016)\) and 90 BPM \((P=0.001)\), and for the bileaflet valve at 60 \((P=0.001)\), 90 \((P=0.001)\) but not 75 BPM \((P=0.6)\). Prospective triggering reduced image noise at 60 \((P=0.001)\) and 75 \((P<0.03)\) but not at 90 BPM.

Conclusions
Compared with retrospective gating, prospective triggering reduced most artifacts related to pulsating PHV in vitro.
INTRODUCTION

Electrocardiography (ECG)-gated multislice computed tomography (MDCT) can identify the morphological substrates of prosthetic heart valve (PHV) dysfunction. Echocardiography, which is the conventional method of monitoring PHV function, may not always identify the cause of dysfunction because of acoustic shadowing. In regions obscured by acoustic shadowing, MDCT has been shown to identify obstructive masses, thrombus, vegetations and degenerated thickened leaflets of biological prostheses. The identification of these causes of dysfunction may guide clinical management and may even form an indication for the surgical replacement of dysfunctional valves.

These imaging results have been achieved mostly with 64-slice systems using retrospectively ECG-gated coronary CT angiography protocols. With retrospectively gated acquisition, the in vitro and in vivo image quality of modern mechanical PHV was found to be generally good despite variable amounts of artifacts. The artifacts seemed to be related to the radiopaque parts of the PHV, such as the metal alloy of the prosthetic ring and the tungsten impregnated carbon leaflets, and consisted of low attenuation (hypodense) and high attenuation (hyperdense) components. Because of their resemblance to metal artifacts, probably similar causal mechanisms such as scatter, photon starvation, edge effects, beam hardening and motion may play a role. Some of these interactions can be influenced by modifying acquisition parameters, other factors (such as motion) are patient-dependent. A pragmatic approach has been the use of modified coronary protocols with retrospective gating but with an increased tube current and increased tube voltage. Although these reports yielded good image quality, it was at the expense of sizable radiation exposure, which may curtail the use of CT for PHV evaluation and withhold the potential advantages of the technique to all but highly selected patients. Other adaptations for enhanced PHV imaging have not been studied and no specific PHV MDCT protocols have been developed to date.

With the introduction of 256- and 320-detector systems, PHV can be imaged within one rotation using prospective ECG-triggering. Experiments with an in vitro model of coronary in-stent restenosis suggested that prospective triggering may be associated with improved image quality compared with retrospective gating. In addition, prospective triggering may achieve this with a fraction of the radiation dose compared with modified coronary protocols that have been used for PHV imaging. Because PHV also appear as high density objects on CT, a similar improvement may be possible for prospectively triggered imaging of PHV. In order to test this hypothesis we compared a retrospectively gated and a prospectively triggered protocol using a 256-detector system for imaging the two most common bileaflet and tilting disc mechanical PHVs in a pulsatile in vitro model.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two mechanical PHVs, St Jude bileaflet (SJ, St Jude Medical Inc., St Paul, MN, USA, valve size 27 mm) and Medtronic Hall tilting disc (MH, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA, valve size 27 mm, Fig. 1), were inserted into a pulsatile in vitro model, which was described by Symersky et al. In brief, the valves were mounted in a polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) valve chamber (Fig. 2) that was placed in a thoracic phantom (QRM GmbH, Möhrendorf, Germany). The valve chamber was connected to a computer controlled piston pump and to an afterload reservoir. Water was used as a perfusate. The flow pulses were at a frequency of 60, 75 and 90 beats per minute (BPM) and produced identical pulsation cycles. The valves were opened from 30 to 50% of the artificial ECG interval generated by the computer.

FIGURE 1 Photograph of a St Jude (A) and Medtronic Hall aortic valve (B), both pictured from the inflow (ventricular) side. (Images courtesy of St Jude Medical Inc., St Paul, MN, USA, and Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA)

FIGURE 2 The PMMA cylindrical valve chamber in which a mechanical prosthetic valve is tightly mounted (arrow) under a 45° angle to the gantry (a). Only leaflet motion is possible. The valve chamber is then positioned in a thoracic phantom (b) and connected to the piston pump for leaflet motion.
Imaging was performed using 256 MDCT (iCT, Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). Two imaging protocols were used: 1) a standard helical retrospectively ECG-gated protocol based on coronary CT angiography, which has been used for PHV imaging\(^\text{1,2,3,7}\), and 2) a prospectively ECG-triggered protocol. CT parameters are presented in Table 1. Prospective triggering was performed with 128 x 0.625 mm collimation and axial imaging with no table movement and 8 cm exposed at the centre of the gantry. The maximal range that could be reconstructed from these data was 70 mm. No padding was used. Retrospective gating was also performed with 128 x 0.625 mm collimation at a pitch of 0.2. We chose the smallest possible anatomical range (80.1 mm), which could be covered with the use of this protocol. CT data acquisition only occurred during the 40% ECG interval (opened PHV) with prospective triggering and reconstructed with retrospective gating. Each valve was imaged 8 times using each protocol, yielding a total of 16 acquisitions per valve. Images were reconstructed at 0.9 mm thick slices with 0.45 mm reconstruction increment. These images were used for HU measurements as well as 3D volume rendering. Image acquisition with both protocols was performed at 60, 75 and 90 BPM.

**TABLE 1 | CT parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prospective</th>
<th>Retrospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One ECG interval</td>
<td>All ECG intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collimation</td>
<td>128 x 0.625 mm</td>
<td>128 x 0.625 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kv</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mAs</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation time (ms)</td>
<td>270 ms</td>
<td>270 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>Cardiac B</td>
<td>Cardiac B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical length (mm)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTDo(_{\text{vol}}) (mGy)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>517.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All image sets were transferred to a dedicated workstation for analysis (Extended Brilliance Workstation, Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). High- and low-density artefact volumes were quantified in 3D volume rendered images using two thresholds based on the densities of the surrounding structures according to a methodology described elsewhere for neurosurgical clips\(^\text{13}\). The PMMA in the valve chamber had a density of 130 ± 15 HU, and water 1 ± 16 HU (mean ± standard deviation). We chose threshold values that were approximately 3 standard deviations (SD) above the HU of PMMA measurement and below the HU of water, respectively. The chosen thresholds were 175 HU for hyperdense artifacts and -45 HU for hypodense artifacts. Because the PHVs are composed of various radiopaque components such as a titanium ring and tungsten impregnated leaflets, which have CT densities higher than 800 HU\(^\text{12}\),
the 175-HU threshold included the radiopaque components of the PHV. Hence, the percentage change of the volume measured with the 175-HU threshold underestimates the change in actual artifacts (i.e. the volume measured with the 175-HU threshold without the volume of radiopaque components with densities over 800 HU). Areas outside the valve chamber and other unrelated sources of artifacts were digitally excised in an identical manner for all images.

Image noise (defined as the SD of CT attenuation) was measured in all images using a circular region of interest (diameter 1 cm) that was placed in an identical section of the PMMA structure of the valve chamber.

Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS software (SPSS Statistics Version 16.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Non-parametric data were presented as medians with interquartile range (IQR). A Mann–Whitney U test was used for the comparison of artefact volumes and image noise measurements. Statistical significance was defined as \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS
The median artefact volumes for the two thresholds (-45 and 175 HU) at different frequencies are summarised in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valve</th>
<th>BPM</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Median artefact volume (mm³) (interquartile range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Jude</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>2056 (1939-2263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>9000 (8725-10375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>1609 (1567-1841)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>8400 (7625-8775)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>2742 (1964-2896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>9700 (9100-10300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medtronic Hall</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>2271 (1873-2630)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>8400 (8300-9225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>2192 (1788-2522)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>7550 (7400-8075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&lt;45 HU</td>
<td>3164 (2547-3770)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;175 HU</td>
<td>8400 (7575-10000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the St Jude valve, prospective triggering reduced hypodense artifacts (all volume < -45 HU) at 60 BPM (P = 0.001), 90 BPM (P = 0.001) but not 75 BPM (P = 0.6). Hyperdense artifacts (all volume > 175 HU) were reduced with prospective triggering at 60 BPM (P = 0.001), 75 BPM (P = 0.001) and 90 BPM (P = 0.001, see Fig. 3). For the Medtronic Hall valve, hypodense artifacts were reduced with prospective triggering at 60 BPM (P = 0.009), 75 BPM (P = 0.016) and 90 BPM (P = 0.001). Hyperdense artifacts were also reduced at all frequencies (P = 0.001 for 60, 75, and 90 BPM, see Fig. 4). Volume rendered images illustrate reduction of artifacts emanating from the leaflet and artifacts related to the prosthetic ring (Fig. 5).

**TABLE 3** | Image noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valve</th>
<th>BPM</th>
<th>Retrospective gating</th>
<th>Prospective triggering</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St Jude</td>
<td>60/min</td>
<td>16.0 (14.5-17.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (10.3-12.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/min</td>
<td>18.0 (17.0-18.8)</td>
<td>13.5 (12.3-14.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90/min</td>
<td>14.0 (12.3-16.0)</td>
<td>13.0 (12.3-13.8)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medtronic Hall</td>
<td>60/min</td>
<td>16.0 (14.3-17.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (10.3-11.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/min</td>
<td>17.5 (15.0-19.8)</td>
<td>14.0 (12.5-16.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90/min</td>
<td>15.0 (14.3-15.8)</td>
<td>14.5 (13.0-15.0)</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Image noise (median and interquartile range) for retrospective gating and prospective triggering. The P values result from Mann-Whitney U test.
FIGURE 4 | Changes in (a) hyperdense and (b) hypodense artifacts
Figure 5 | Volume rendered images of the 3D volume of a St Jude PHV at 60 BPM measured with the -45-HU threshold (including all volume less than -45 HU) and with the 175-HU threshold (including all volume with a density higher than 175 HU). The upper panel images demonstrate the artefact configurations with retrospective gating and the lower panel images demonstrate the images with prospective triggering. The 175-HU threshold volume includes all radiopaque parts of the valve such as the leaflets and the prosthetic ring.

The image noise measurements are presented in table 3. Although significant differences were found between retrospective gating and prospective triggering at 60 and 75 BPM, the image noise did not differ at 90 BPM.
DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrate that axial imaging of PHVs using prospective triggering reduces artifacts with much lower radiation exposure. In our model, prospective triggering reduced artefact volumes for both valves and at all three frequencies with the exception of hypodense artifacts associated with the St Jude valve at 75 BPM.

The imaging of PHV with MDCT is hampered by artifacts that depend on valve material and valve geometry.\(^1,3,10,12\) Because of the radiopaque components, these artifacts have been interpreted as metal-related artifacts and efforts to improve image quality have included the increase in tube voltage and tube current.\(^1,7\) Unfortunately, this approach results in sizable dose exposure (and prohibitive exposure for serial evaluations) and therefore limits the usefulness of CT evaluation of PHV. In addition, retrospectively gated acquisition with reconstruction of 10 intervals (i.e. the full cardiac cycle) has been used to offset the variation of the PHV image quality during the cardiac cycle.\(^2,3\)\(^,\)\(^7\)

Because of the motion of the radiopaque PHV parts, which occurs due to the leaflet excursions and movement of the valve as a whole, reconstructions at each 10% of the ECG interval may generate only two or three image sets that are of diagnostic quality.\(^2,11,12\)

In vitro, rapid leaflet motion has been shown to increase hyperdense and hypodense artifacts, and rapid motion of the prosthetic ring, which has a higher density than the leaflets, may be expected to further increase artifacts.\(^12\) In this study, we examined how prospective triggering and retrospective gating affected artefact behaviour and noise in PHV imaging.

In our in vitro model, we tested the effect of prospective triggering at different frequencies. Axial acquisition reduced image noise only for 60 and 75 BPM but not for 90 BPM. This may be explained by differences in the efficiency of dose used for image reconstruction. For example, axial acquisition with no padding uses the full dose (200 mAs) for image reconstruction.\(^17\) With equal tube voltage setting, the retrospectively gated protocol at 600 mAs with an R-R interval of 1000 ms (frequency 60/min) and a nominal temporal resolution of 135 ms uses only approximately 80 mAs (=600 mAs x 135/1000) for the reconstruction of a single ECG interval. At 75 BPM the dose efficiency increases to approximately 100 mAs. At 90 BPM, 120 mAs is used for the reconstruction of a single ECG interval which results in an image noise level comparable to axial acquisition.

Axial acquisition reduced hyperdense and hypodense artifacts except hypodense artifacts of the St Jude valve at 75 BPM. The mechanisms responsible for this reduction are not yet fully understood. The important reduction in hyper- and hypodense artifacts for both valves at 60 and 90 BPM are in contrast to the lack of reduction of hypodense artifacts for the St Jude valve at 75 BPM. Possibly, this seems to be related to lower artefact volumes at 75 BPM with retrospective gating. Also, differences between the two valves may play a role. Earlier in vitro work showed that the opened tilting disc valve led to a sharper increase in hyper- and hypodense artifacts when compared to the
St Jude valve. Furthermore, the St Jude valve is composed of a nickel alloy prosthetic ring in contrast to the titanium alloy used for the Medtronic Hall. How these differences would cause a lack of reduction of hypodense artifacts at 75 BPM is not clear. Based on the differences between the two acquisition techniques one may postulate that factors associated with retrospective gating such as multisegment reconstructions and helical interpolation may play a role. Although increased metal artifacts have been associated with increased noise, we found a sharp reduction of hyper- and hypodense artifacts at 90 BPM for both valves despite equal image noise. This suggests that reduction of image noise may not be the primary mechanism responsible for the artifacts reduction. However, small variations in leaflet position due to a flittering motion of the leaflets may cause image discrepancies between consecutive cardiac cycles that increase artifacts with multisegment reconstructions. Furthermore, helical interpolation has been suggested as a mechanism for metal artifacts.

Other experimental work comparing retrospective gating with prospective triggering for metal objects is scarce. Two reports using in vitro models of coronary in-stent restenosis found improved image quality and a reduction in artifacts. Because of the discrepancy in size and composition of coronary stents, our results are not readily comparable to these reports but similar mechanisms may explain the artefact reduction.

There are several limitations to our study. Prospectively triggered acquisition allows reconstruction of only one pre-selected cardiac phase and therefore does not allow dynamic imaging. We used only two mechanical prostheses. Possibly, other prostheses consisting of other metal compounds might yield different results. However, the St Jude prosthesis is the most commonly implanted prosthesis worldwide and is the most likely to be encountered clinically. We used the Medtronic Hall valve because it is the most widely implanted tilting disc valve. Both the Medtronic Hall and St Jude valves have been associated with dysfunction due to tissue ingrowth and for both prostheses CT imaging has been shown to be of additional value for the diagnosis. Also, we used a phantom in which the valve was fixed and only the leaflets moved. Movement of the valve as a whole may induce more artifacts. Furthermore, the use of different reconstruction filters may change the amount of PHV-induced artifacts.

Our results suggest a reduction of most PHV-related artifacts at different frequencies that appears independent of the image noise reduction with axial acquisition. Prerequisites, however, are a regular heart rate and the correct timing relative to the cardiac cycle.
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