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ABSTRACT

Aims. We aim to study the physical conditions, particularly the excitation state, of the intermediate-temperature gas in protoplanetary nebulae and young planetary nebulae (PPNe, PNes). The information that the observations of the different components deliver is of particular importance for understanding the evolution of these objects.

Methods. We performed Herschel/HIFI observations of intermediate-excitation molecular lines in the far-infrared/submillimeter range in a sample of ten nebulae. The high spectral resolution provided by HIFI allows the accurate measurement of the line profiles. The dynamics and evolution of these nebulae are known to result from the presence of several gas components, notably fast bipolar outflows and slow shells (that often are the fossil AGB shells), and the interaction between them. Because of the diverse kinematic properties of the different components, their emissions can be identified in the line profiles. The observation of these high-energy transitions allows an accurate study of the excitation conditions, particularly in the warm gas, which cannot be properly studied from the low-energy lines.

Results. We have detected FIR/sub-mm lines of several molecules, in particular of 12CO, 13CO, and H2O. Emission from other species, like NH3, OH, H18O, HCN, SiO, etc., has been also detected. Wide profiles showing sometimes spectacular line wings have been found. We have mainly studied the excitation properties of the high-velocity emission, which is known to come from fast bipolar outflows. From comparison with general theoretical predictions, we find that CRL 618 shows a particularly warm fast wind, with characteristic kinetic temperature T_k ∼ 200 K. In contrast, the fast winds in OH 231.8+4.2 and NGC 6302 are cold, T_k ∼ 30 K. Other nebulae, like CRL 2688, show intermediate temperatures, with characteristic values around 100 K. We also discuss how the complex structure of the nebulae can affect our estimates, considering two-component models. We argue that the differences in temperature in the different nebulae can be caused by cooling after the gas acceleration (that is probably caused by shocks); for instance, CRL 618 is a case of very recent acceleration, less than ∼100 yr ago, while the fast gas in OH 231.8+4.2 was accelerated ∼1000 yr ago. We also find indications that the densest gas tends to be cooler, which may be explained by the expected increase of the radiative cooling efficiency with the density.
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1. Introduction

Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, together with the thick circumstellar envelopes (CSEs) around them, evolve to form planetary nebulae (PNe) through the phase of protoplanetary nebulae (PPNe). The AGB stars are mass-losing red giants surrounded by spherical, slowly expanding CSEs, while PNe, surrounding blue or white dwarfs, show in most cases strong axial symmetry or ring-like geometries and very fast bipolar jets. The transition time between these very different stages is extremely short, only about 1000 yr, during which the intermediate PPNe develop a wide variety of structures.
The PPNe and young PNe already present fast bipolar outflows, along with slower components with velocities similar to those of AGB envelopes. These bipolar flows typically reach velocities of 50–100 km s\(^{-1}\), and affect a sizable fraction of the nebular mass, \(\sim 0.1–0.3 \, M_\odot\) (Bujarrabal et al. 2001). This remarkable dynamics is thought to be the result of the interaction between the AGB and post-AGB winds: axial, very fast jets ejected in the very first post-AGB phases would collide with the denser material ejected during the AGB phase (e.g. Balick & Frank 2002). The presently observed bipolar outflows would then correspond to a part of the relatively dense shells ejected during the last AGB phase, mostly their polar regions, accelerated by the shocks that propagate during the PPN phase. Many aspects of this process are, however, not yet well understood.

The massive bipolar outflows in PPNe, as well as the unaltered remnants of the AGB shells, usually show strong emission in molecular lines (e.g. Bujarrabal et al. 2001), which is the best tool to study these nebulae. Several PPNe have been extensively observed in mm-wave lines, including interferometric maps with resolutions \(\sim 1\arcsec\). Thanks to those observations, the structure, dynamics, and physical conditions in a number of PPNe are quite well known. The profiles of the molecular lines have been found to be composite, showing in most sources a central core plus wide line wings. Maps indicate that the line core basically comes from the remnant of the slow AGB wind, which is found to be roughly spherical or confined to equatorial regions. On the other hand, the line wings come from the fast bipolar outflows, which are more or less elongated in the direction of the nebular axis and show a strong velocity gradient in most sources, the velocity increasing proportionally to the distance to the star. See examples in Sánchez Contreras et al. (2004); Fong et al. (2006); Alcolea et al. (2007); Castro-Carrizo et al. (2010), and further references given below.

However, observations of the low-\(J\) transitions are not very useful for studying the warm gas components, in particular those heated by the shocks. The often observed \(J = 2–1\) and \(J = 1–0\) transitions of CO only require temperatures \(T_k \sim 15\) K to be excited. Indeed, their maximum emissivity occurs for excitation temperatures of \(10–20\) K, and the line intensities and line intensity ratios depend only slightly on the excitation state in relatively warm gas. Of course, observations in the visible or near-infrared ranges tend to select hot regions with typical temperatures over 1000 K. The proper study of warm gas, 100 K, therefore requires observations at intermediate wavelengths, in the far-infrared (FIR) and sub-mm ranges.

Because of the crucial role of shocks in the formation of PNes, these warm regions are particularly important for understanding the nebular structure and evolution. In some well-studied cases, e.g. M 1–92, OH 231.84+4.2, and M 2–56 (Bujarrabal et al. 1998; Alcolea et al. 2001; Castro-Carrizo et al. 2002), the high-velocity, massive outflows seem to be very cold, with temperatures \(\lesssim 20–30\) K, which implies very fast cooling in the shock-accelerated gas. No warm component, presumably heated by recent shocks, has been identified in these sources until now.

The only PPN in which detailed studies of warm accelerated gas have been performed is CRL 618. Interferometric imaging of the \(^{12}\)CO \(J = 2–1\) line shows that dense gas in axial structures presents higher temperatures, typically \(\sim 50–100\) K (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2004). But precisely because of their relatively high excitation, the temperature estimate in these components from CO \(J = 2–1\) is uncertain. Maps of \(^{12}\)CO \(J = 6–5\) were also obtained by Nakashima et al. (2007), but with much less information on the nebular structure. A first attempt to study these warm regions in the FIR was performed by Justanont et al. (2000), from ISO measurements of CO lines as high as \(J = 37–36\) in CRL 618, CRL 2688, and NGC 7027. These authors indeed found temperatures of several hundred degrees in these sources. The lack of spectral resolution in the ISO data prevented any discussion on the components responsible for the line emission, which made the interpretation of these results difficult in nebulae that show very complex structure and dynamics. (This work and other previous ones are discussed in more detail in Sect. 3.2.) Recent Herschel/HIFI observations in the FIR/sub-mm of CRL 618 (Bujarrabal et al. 2010) with sufficiently high spectral resolution have confirmed that the temperature is relatively high in various kinematic components. The temperature derived by Sánchez Contreras et al. (2004) was found to be too low in some cases, the fast gas showing temperatures typically \(\sim 200\) K in the bipolar outflow, and significantly higher in its base. Similar high temperatures were found in the innermost regions of the slow component.

The Herschel Space Telescope is well-suited for studying warm gas around evolved stars in the FIR and sub-mm. The high spectral resolution that can be achieved with the heterodyne instrument HIFI (better than 1 km s\(^{-1}\)) is particularly important in our case: it is useful to identify the different nebular components in the profiles and allows the analysis of the kinematics, a fundamental parameter in the study of this warm, shocked gas. Here we present Herschel/HIFI observations in a sample of ten PPNe and young PNe of several intermediate-excitation lines of abundant molecules: \(^{12}\)CO and \(^{13}\)CO, H\(_2\)O, OH, NH\(_3\), HCN, SiO, etc. These observations have been obtained as part of the Herschel guaranteed-time key program HIFISTARS, which is devoted to the study of intermediate-excitation molecular lines in nebulae around evolved stars.

2. Observations

We used the HIFI heterodyne spectrometer on board the Herschel Space Telescope (Pilbratt et al. 2010; de Graauw et al. 2010) to observe a number of molecular lines in a total of ten protoplanetary nebulae (PPNe). The observed objects are given in Table 1, where we quote the observed coordinates and additional properties of the sources. Herschel/HIFI is an instrument very well suited to observe molecular lines in the FIR and sub-mm domains, providing a very high spectral resolution that allows the line profiles to be resolved. The data were taken using the two orthogonal HIFI receivers, H and V, available at each band, which were systematically averaged after checking that there was no significant difference in the lines detected in both receivers. The spectrometer works in double side-band (DSB) mode, which effectively doubles the instantaneous IF coverage. Care was taken when choosing the local oscillator frequency, maximizing the number of interesting lines that could be observed simultaneously.

A total of nine frequency settings were used (not all of them in all sources), between 557 and 1843 GHz. The settings were chosen to observe the \(^{12}\)CO and \(^{13}\)CO \(J = 6–5\), \(J = 10–9\), and \(J = 16–15\) lines and the H\(_2\)O 1,1–0,0, 1,1–0,1, 2,2–1,2, 2,2–1,1, and 3,2–1,3, lines. Owing to the wide simultaneous frequency bands observable with HIFI and the double sideband system used, each frequency setting often included other lines. Interesting molecular transitions also placed within the observed bands are the NH\(_3\) \(J = K = 1, 0\), 0, 0 and OH \(^{2}\)H\(_2\)O 3/2–1/2 rotational lines, as well as lines of C\(^{18}\)O, H\(_3\)O\(^+\), vibrationally excited H\(_2\)O, SiO, HCN, CN, etc.

The observed full-band spectra are reproduced in the appendix. In those figures we indicate the upper and lower
side-band (USB and LSB) frequency scales and the frequencies of interesting lines placed within the observed bands. Continuum was subtracted in all spectra presented in this paper.

The observations were obtained in the dual-beam-switching (DBS) mode. In this mode, the HIFI internal steering mirror chops between the source position and an emission-free position 3′ away. The telescope then alternatively locates the source in either of the chopped beams, providing a double-difference calibration scheme, which allows a more efficient cancellation of the residual standing waves in the spectra. This procedure works very well except for the highest frequencies (HIFI band 7, above 1700 GHz), where noticeable ripples were found in some spectra, especially in the V-receiver. Other spurious effects in the baselines were found in a few cases, particularly around 1200 GHz owing to very different behaviors of the sub-band baselines, which were sometimes difficult to remove.

The HIFI data shown here were taken using the Wide-Band Spectrometer (WBS), an acousto-optical spectrometer that provides simultaneous coverage of the full instantaneous IF-band in the two available orthogonal receivers, with a spectral resolution of about 1 MHz. In some cases, the spectra were smoothed to improve the S/N ratio.

We processed the data using the standard HIFI pipeline in the HIPE software, with a modified version of the level 2 algorithm that yields unaveraged spectra with all spectrometer sub-bands stitched together. Later on, the spectra were exported to CLASS using the hiClass tool within HIPE, for further inspection, “flagging” data with outstanding ripple residuals, final averaging and calibration, and baseline removal. Even if spurious effects in the baselines were in a few cases impossible to remove, we have only considered baselines of moderate degree, in general just of degree 1, at most of degree 3.

The data were originally calibrated in antenna temperature units and later converted into main-beam temperatures ($T_{\text{mb}}$). A summary of the telescope characteristics and observational uncertainties, including the spatial resolution and the conversion factors to main-beam units, can be found in the Herschel observers’ manual and the HIFI instrument and calibration web page (see http://herschel.esac.esa.int). We recall that the $FWHM$ beam sizes range between about 33 and 12 arcsec (inversely proportional to the observed frequency) and $T_{\text{mb}}/T_{\Lambda}$ ratios range between 1.3 and 1.5.

In Figs. 1 to 14 we show zoom-ups of selected lines detected in our sources, in LSR velocity and $T_{\text{mb}}$ units. In CRL 618 some of these lines were already presented and discussed by Bujarrabal et al. (2010); here we have reanalyzed all data and included new observations. In Figs. 1 and 2, corresponding to 12 CO and 13 CO, the spectrum was exported to CLASS to provide a visual inspection. The spectra are smoothed to improve the S/N ratio. The $FWHM$ beam sizes range between about 33 and 12 arcsec (inversely proportional to the observed frequency) and $T_{\text{mb}}/T_{\Lambda}$ ratios range between 1.3 and 1.5.

### Table 1. Observed sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (J2000)</th>
<th>$\delta$ (J2000)</th>
<th>Central star</th>
<th>Previous CO data</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRL 618</td>
<td>04 42 53.7</td>
<td>+36 06 53.2</td>
<td>B0</td>
<td>2–1 and 1–0 maps$^1$, high-J data$^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRL 2688</td>
<td>21 02 18.7</td>
<td>+36 41 37.8</td>
<td>F3Iae</td>
<td>2–1 maps$^1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7027</td>
<td>21 07 01.6</td>
<td>+42 14 10.2</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2–1 and 1–0 maps$^4$</td>
<td>high-excitation nebula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Rectangle</td>
<td>06 19 58.2</td>
<td>−10 38 14.7</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>2–1 and 1–0 maps$^5$</td>
<td>equatorial disk in rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 22272+5435</td>
<td>22 29 10.4</td>
<td>+54 51 06.3</td>
<td>G5Ia</td>
<td>1–0 maps$^6$, 2–1 and 1–0 spectra$^7$</td>
<td>isotropic shell, no bipolar flow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### References

CRL 618, we have indicated in gray the velocity range expected for each line profile because of the very complex spectra and wide profiles characteristic of this nebula. Data of the most interesting sources will be described and analyzed in detail in forthcoming papers.

3. Results

In several objects, namely in CRL 618, CRL 2688, OH 231.8+4.2, NGC 6302, Frosty Leo, and IRAS 17436+5003 (HD 161796), we can separate the emission of the line central core (which is the dominant peak in almost all cases) from that of the line wings, which are expected to come from the fossil AGB envelope and from the fast outflows resulting from the post-AGB axial acceleration respectively (Sect. 1). We have already studied in detail the case of CRL 618 (Bujarrabal et al. 2010); our data of CRL 2688 and OH 231.8+4.2, which are also well-known nebulae, will also be analyzed in more depth in forthcoming papers.

In others (the Red Rectangle, the Boomerang Nebula, and IRAS 22272+5435) we detected no line wings. The Red Rectangle shows a peculiar dynamics dominated by rotation, involving only moderate speeds (Bujarrabal et al. 2005); see preliminary analysis of our data in Sect. 3.1. In IRAS 22272+5435 no fast flows have been detected to date and molecules are apparently abundant only in a relatively slow-moving shell (Bujarrabal et al. 2001; Fong et al. 2006). The Boomerang Nebula, a less well studied object, shows a low-velocity warm component plus, probably, very cold high-velocity gas, which cannot be detected in high-\( J \) CO emission (Bujarrabal & Bachiller 1991; Sahai & Nyman 1997).

Finally, NGC7027 is a complex nebula mainly composed of a slightly elongated (empty) shell that shows many peculiarities (Nakashima et al. 2010). Our line profiles are composite and intense, as expected in view of mm-wavelength data. In this source, we did not try any simplified discussion in terms of line-core and -wing emission because of its complex structure. The interpretation is also hindered by the relatively large angular extent of the nebula. Our single-point observations of CO \( J = 2–1 \) (from the 30 m telescope) and \( J = 16–15 \) (from Herschel), those showing the highest angular resolution, are more sensitive to the central part of the nebula image. Therefore, these data tend to detect only the extreme LSR velocities (\( \sim 10 \) and 40 km s\(^{-1}\)), because owing to projection effects the emission in these velocities comes from the central regions of the expanding shell image. The optically thin \( ^{13} \)CO lines and \( ^{13} \)CO \( J = 6–5 \) show high intensity in the central velocities; they should come from slow gas with very high column density. \( ^{12} \)CO \( J = 6–5 \) shows a very strong self-absorption in the blue part of the spectrum, expected in optically thick lines from expanding gas. This self-absorption is much stronger in this line than in the \( J = 2–1 \) and \( J = 1–0 \) ones, indicating particularly high opacity and relatively warm gas in the shell. Finally we note that H\(_2\)O lines clearly come from the fastest gas, though in this case the spatial resolution is not very high. These results suggest that H\(_2\)O formation in this C-rich environment is a result of the shocks that
accelerated the gas. Curiously, the OH emission (observed with higher spatial resolution) is not intense in high-velocity features. Obviously, NGC 7027 is one of the sources for which the high-excitation molecular emission requires a careful modeling; results will soon be reported (Santander-García et al. 2011, in prep.).

In Table 2 we show characteristic intensities of the CO lines averaged over representative regions of the profiles, the center and the blue and red wings, in the sources in which they can be adequately defined. Intensities of H$_2$O lines in the same velocity ranges are shown in Table 3. The adopted velocity ranges are given in those tables. To follow a procedure as objective as possible, avoiding any bias from a priori ideas about the nature of the sources, intensities are averaged in all cases over velocity ranges 5 km s$^{-1}$ wide and defined following a standard procedure. This width seems a good compromise to yield an intensity average over a relatively large number of spectral channels, but it is narrow enough to allow the separation of each spectral feature, as we will see below, and to avoid integrating spectral
regions whose emission is too weak. In the definition of these velocity ranges, we have taken into account previous observations of low-$J$ CO lines to identify the different components in the line profiles, which are not always easily seen in our profiles. In particular, values of the systemic and expansion velocities of the slow components are mainly based on data and estimates of these parameters by Bujarrabal et al. (2001). We have also confirmed the identification of these features in terms of kinematical components from published maps and checked possible contaminations between their emissions. For the line wings, the representative velocity slots were slightly more separated from the center than the slow-component expansion velocity to avoid contamination from that component, in particular the relative minima caused by absorption by the outer slow gas, which can be clearly seen in some profiles. In a few cases, the line core may also be contaminated by emissions of fast outflows that, owing to projection effects, may show LSR velocities close to the systemic one; we have checked for each individual nebula, taking into account the existing information (see below), that this contamination is negligible for the used velocity slots.

We can see detailed information on the structure and kinematics of CRL 618 in Sánchez Contreras et al. (2004); maps of IRAS 17436+5003 are shown by Castro-Carrizo et al. (2004). Both sources show an extended slow component, inside which fast bipolar flows are placed, whose emissions can be well identified in single-dish profiles (Bujarrabal et al. 2001). The general structure of CRL 2688 is similar, but the fast gas structure is complex and several collimated jets exist, see Fong et al. (2006) and Cox et al. (2000, note that the extended component is significantly filtered out in these interferometric data). The relatively narrow velocity slot in the center used here minimizes contamination by emission from jets running almost in the plane of the sky, which can be present in this source; on the other hand, the contribution of the fast component to the single-dish profiles is delimited well by the absorption feature seen in single-dish observations. The fast bipolar flows in Frosty Leo are more extended than the slow molecular gas (though smaller than the optical nebula), emissions from both components being clearly separated in LSR velocity (Bujarrabal et al. 2001; Castro-Carrizo et al. 2005). The resulting LSR velocity ranges taken for CRL 618 are $[-24.5, -19.5]$ km s$^{-1}$ (line center), $[-49, -44]$ km s$^{-1}$ (blue wing) and $[0, +5]$ km s$^{-1}$ (red wing); for CRL 2688, we took $[-37.5, -32.5]$, $[-62, -57]$, and $[-13, -8]$ km s$^{-1}$; for Frosty Leo we took $[-13.5, -8.5]$, $[-27, -22]$, and $[0, +5]$ km s$^{-1}$; and for IRAS 17436+5003 we assumed the ranges $[-37.5, -32.5]$, $[-54, -49]$, and $[-21, -16]$ km s$^{-1}$.
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Fig. 11. HIFI observations including detected $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, and H$_2$O lines in Frosty Leo ($T_{\text{mb}}$ vs. LSR velocities).

Fig. 12. HIFI observations including detected $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines, $T_{\text{mb}}$ vs. LSR velocities, in IRAS 17436+5003 (HD 161796).

The case of OH 231.8+4.2 is different because it shows a very elongated CO-rich component with a very well-defined velocity gradient (Alcolea et al. 2001), in which the fossil AGB envelope is not clearly identified. In this nebula, we selected the LSR ranges $[+30.5, +35.5]$ (line core), $[0, +5]$ (blue wing), $[+61, +66]$ (red wing). The two high-velocity ranges correspond to regions clearly different from the central condensation (in clumps I2 and I4 in the nomenclature of Alcolea et al. 2001, which have been very probably accelerated along the nebular axis), but not very far from the center, to be sure that their emissions are intense and well within the telescope beamsize. The central velocity range we selected represents the central clump, which, in view of its structure, kinematics, and chemistry, does not seem to be affected by post-AGB shocks (Alcolea et al. 2001; Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997).

In NGC 6302 most of the molecular gas occupies an equatorial torus or disk, in moderate expansion ($\sim 15$ km s$^{-1}$) and perpendicular to the spectacular optical lobes, see maps in mm-wave CO by Peretto et al. (2007) and Dinh-V-Trung et al. (2008). These authors argue that the equatorial structure is probably the remnant of the (late) AGB mass loss, not strongly altered during the post-AGB phase. The two-horn dominant feature of our profiles appears as a result of the shape and relatively constant velocity of this component. There are also high-velocity clumps extending partially along the lobes; but they are very patchy and only those at relatively negative velocity (associated to the southeast part of the nebula) are intense enough to be analyzed here. Efficient photodissociation due to the UV radiation from the very hot central star is probably the reason for the distribution of molecule-rich gas found in NGC 6302. Therefore, in this source we just considered its blue wing, range $[-53, -48]$ km s$^{-1}$, and the line core, range $[-25, -20]$ km s$^{-1}$. We will assume that these velocity ranges represent the high-velocity molecular gas and the unaccelerated remnant of the AGB shell, respectively, but keeping in mind that the nature of both components in this source may be different than in other nebulae studied here and A8, page 7 of 25
Table 2. Characteristic intensities of the central core (or peak) and wings of CO lines in the sources in which they can easily be identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Central core</th>
<th>Blue wing</th>
<th>Red wing</th>
<th>Wing/core</th>
<th>Line-core/2–1-core</th>
<th>Line-wing/2–1-wing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>average $T_{mb}$(K)</td>
<td>av. $T_{mb}$(K)</td>
<td>av. $T_{mb}$(K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR velocity ranges (km s$^{-1}$):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRL 618</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>[−24.5, −19.5]</td>
<td>[−49, −44]</td>
<td>[0, +5]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRL 2688</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>~ 0.02</td>
<td>~ 0.04</td>
<td>~ 0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>~ 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH 231.8+4.2</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>≤ 0.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>≤ 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>≤ 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>≤ 0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>≤ 0.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>≤ 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>≤ 0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>≤ 0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6302</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 16–15$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>≤ 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>≤ 0.1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>≤ 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frosty Leo</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 17436+5003</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HD 161796)</td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 10–9$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 2–1$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$CO $J = 6–5$</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. See discussion on the respective velocity ranges in the text. Ratios wing/core and with respect to the $J = 2–1$ lines (from 30-m telescope data) are also indicated; in these cases, the average of both wings is taken.

the existence of large amounts of nebular gas not detected in molecular emission.

We have computed wing/core CO intensity ratios and ratios between the observed lines and previous data of the CO $J = 2–1$ transitions (for previous observations, see Table 1, Bujarrabal et al. 2001, and references therein). For the wings we considered the average of both line wings, except for NGC 6302, in which only the blue wing was considered. See results in Table 2 and in Figs. 15–17. Obviously, there is a wide variety of excitation conditions in the high-velocity (presumably shocked) gas. In Fig. 15 we can see the wing/core intensity ratios for three well-known sources. These variations are not caused by significant differences in the central core intensities, for which the ratio with respect to the $2–1$ transition varies very slightly from line to line and from source to source. The $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO line-wing ratios with respect to the $J = 2–1$ line are shown in Fig. 16, these ratios are also a measurement of the excitation state of the high-velocity gas. The fast increase of the wing/core ratio with the energy of the levels in CRL 618 shows that the temperature in its fast outflow must be high; the case of OH 231.8+4.2 is...
flow of CRL 618 is high, Bujarrabal et al. (2010) showed that the temperature of the fast CO data, which indeed confirms this preliminary interpretation. CRL 618 and OH 231.8$^+\text{a}$ a cool fast flow; CRL 2688 seems to be an intermediate case. For Herschel an intermediate case. Squares (continuous line) represent $^{12}$CO data and triangles (dashed lines) show $^{13}$CO data. Each rotational transition is represented by the energy (in K) of its upper level.

Fig. 15. Line-wing over line-core main-beam temperature ratio of the observed CO lines in the well studied sources CRL 618, CRL 2688, and OH 231.8$^+\text{a}$; see Table 2. Note the different behavior of the relative line-wing intensity between CRL 618, which shows particularly hot high-velocity outflows, and OH 231.8$^+\text{a}$, in which the flows remain quite cold. CRL 2688 is an intermediate case. Squares (continuous line) represent $^{12}$CO data and triangles (dashed lines) show $^{13}$CO data. Each rotational transition is represented by the energy (in K) of its upper level.

Table 3. Characteristic intensities of the central core (or peak) and wings of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and OH lines in the cases in which they can be measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Central core average $T_{\text{mb}}$ (K)</th>
<th>Blue wing av. $T_{\text{mb}}$ (K)</th>
<th>Red wing av. $T_{\text{mb}}$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRL 618</td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{0,1}$--1$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>ISM?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{1,0}$--0$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 3$</em>{2,1}$--2$_{1,0}$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{OH}$ $^2\text{H}_2$ 3/2$^-1$/2$^+$</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRL 2688</td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{1,0}$--0$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH 231.8$^+\text{a}$</td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{0,1}$--1$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{1,0}$--0$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 3$</em>{2,1}$--2$_{1,0}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 4$</em>{2,2}$--4$_{1,1}$</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 0$</em>{3,3}$--6$_{2,4}$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 7$</em>{3,4}$--7$_{2,5}$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{OH}$ $^2\text{H}_2$ 3/2$^-1$/2$^+$</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6302</td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{0,1}$--1$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{1,0}$--0$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{OH}$ $^2\text{H}_2$ 3/2$^-1$/2$^+$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frosty Leo</td>
<td>$\text{H}<em>2\text{O}$ 1$</em>{0,1}$--1$_{0,0}$</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. See discussion on the respective velocity ranges in the text. ISM?: no value is given because of possible interstellar contamination.

Fig. 16. Wing intensity ratio between the observed lines and the CO $J$ = 2–1 transition (from previous works) in the well-studied sources CRL 618, CRL 2688, and OH 231.8$^+\text{a}$; see Table 2. A comparison between these ratios and estimates from our simple, general models reveals values of the characteristic temperature compatible with estimates from detailed models (see text). Squares (continuous line) represent $^{13}$CO data and triangles (dashed lines) show $^{12}$CO data. Each rotational transition is represented by the energy (in K) of its upper level.

3.1. Comparison with simplified calculations of CO line emission

Our line profiles must be compared with theoretical predictions to derive the physical properties of the nebular gas from the empirical data. Here we just present a simplified description of the analysis to be performed, mostly based on single-component, standard calculations. More detailed modeling of the nebulae will be performed in dedicated papers, where their complex structures will be taken into account.
A comparison of the wing intensity ratio between our lines and $J = 2–1$ with theoretical predictions can be used to perform a rough estimate of the physical conditions in the fast outflows in our sources, particularly the characteristic temperature. Results from plain rotational diagrams, which require optically thin and thermalized lines, are not accurate in our cases, because lines as high as $J = 16–15$ are only thermalized for densities $\gtrsim 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ and optically thin emission is not expected in $^{12}$CO lines (even $^{13}$CO lines seem often to present moderate values of the optical depth).

To perform a very simplified estimate of the excitation conditions in our nebulae, we carried out theoretical calculations of line ratios for a variety of values of the density and temperature ($n_{\text{tot}}$ and $T_k$), using the well known LVG (large velocity gradient) formalism. We assumed standard values for the rest of the parameters that enter the calculation: distance to the center $R = 6 \times 10^{16}$ cm, expansion velocity $V_{\text{exp}} = 30$ km s$^{-1}$, and relative abundances $X(^{12}\text{CO}) = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $X(^{13}\text{CO}) = 10^{-5}$. Obviously, those conditions cannot be applied to all nebulae, because we assume a single value for the product $n_{\text{tot}}R/V_{\text{exp}}$ ($n_{\text{tot}}R/V_{\text{exp}}$ represents the column density in the opacity under the LVG approximation). However, this approach is enough for our purpose of understanding the general properties of the line intensities from the theoretical point of view, particularly to give examples of the behavior of the line intensities and ratios depending on the temperature and density. Opacity effects are taken into account in the calculations under the usual assumptions of this formalism.

The LVG approximation itself is fully justified in our case, because it is well known that PPNe often show strong velocity gradients, with radial expansion velocities increasing more or less proportionally to the distance to the star. For this reason, we do not expect strong radiative interactions between points separated by a long distance that show very different physical conditions. This approximation is appropriate for our purposes also because it does not require that details on the large-scale nebula structure are included in the code, provided that no radiative interaction between distant points takes place, which allows a general description of the line excitation for a number of different objects. Moreover, in our bipolar flows, which are often elongated and show steep velocity gradients, the emission at each LSR velocity must come from a relatively compact region. In this way, the code gives characteristic values of the brightness for each line, from which we can readily calculate line intensity ratios. Line intensities at a given velocity can be also estimated if we assume an angular size for the emitting region.

Results of our calculations for $^{13}$CO and $^{12}$CO are shown in Figs. 18–21. In our analysis, we will focus on the line intensity ratios because they depend only slightly on the linear and
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\textit{emission strongly depends on the density (the intensity per unit
these low-energy transitions with high radiative decay rates, the
de-excitation is much less probable than radiative transitions.
However, its dependence on the temperature is in fact very moder-
ate because the intensity saturation level is low.
From the comparison of our \(^{12}\text{CO}\) observational results with
the model predictions in Fig. 19, we derive that the high-velocity
CO emission in CRL 618 essentially comes from a region at
T_k \sim 150 \text{ K} \textit{and with a density } n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ (as we will
see below, our }^{12}\text{CO data indicate that the temperature could
be somewhat higher). In OH 231.8+4.2 \text{ our data are compatible
with a temperature } \sim 30 \text{ K} \text{ and a lower density. Both results agree
well with detailed model estimates (see the above discussion and
Bujarrabal et al. 2010; Alcolea et al. 2011, in prep.).
Our \(^{12}\text{CO}\) observations of CRL 618 are compatible with the
predictions in Fig. 18 \textit{for a density of about }10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ and a tem-
perature of about }270 \text{ K}, \textit{which is higher than the value deduced
from }^{13}\text{CO data. This discrepancy may arise because, in sources
showing significant variations of the density within the region
emitting at a given velocity, the optically thick }^{12}\text{CO emission
selects very dense regions less efficiently than the }^{13}\text{CO lines
since those denser regions are probably cooler (see the discus-
sion on the line ratios predicted by two-component models be-
low). Bujarrabal et al. (2010) deduce from their detailed model
fitting that the temperature of the high-velocity outflow must
vary within this range, with typical values around }200 \text{ K}, \textit{prob-
bly higher in the base of the outflow. Sánchez Contreras et al.
(2004) deduce a density similar to that given here (which was
also assumed by Bujarrabal et al. 2010). For OH 231.8+4.2 \text{ we derive from the
}^{12}\text{CO lines } T_k \sim 30 \text{ K} \text{ and } n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \text{ which are again comparable to the values obtained from detailed
models (Alcolea et al. 2011, in prep.).
The }^{12}\text{CO line ratios of CRL 2688 are compatible with a tem-
perature of about }100 \text{ K} \text{ and } n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \text{ These conditions
are also roughly compatible with our }^{13}\text{CO data. It is probable
that in this case the complex nebula also harbors gas with vari-
able physical conditions.
Evidently, the values we derive are quite self-consistent and
consistent with other more accurate results from detailed model-
ing even if the procedure depicted here is simple.
We can also perform the same kind of analysis for the less well studied sources NGC 6302, Frosty Leo, and
IRAS 17436+5003. Obviously, fast winds in NGC 6302 and
Frosty Leo show a very low excitation. In Frosty Leo, Castro-
Carrizo et al. (2005) predicted a low temperature from low-J ob-
servations, but our data indicate a slightly warmer component

Fig. 20. \(^{12}\text{CO}\) line intensities from our simplified model calculations,
for several values of the temperature and density, see intensity normal-
ization procedure in Sect. 3.1.

Fig. 21. \(^{13}\text{CO}\) line intensities from our simplified model calculations,
for several values of the temperature and density, see intensity normal-
ization procedure in Sect. 3.1.

angular extent of the source and are more easily described by
general models. Figures 18 and 19 show predicted line ratios for
several densities and temperatures within the ranges needed to
explain our data. In this case we assumed that the lines come
from a region significantly smaller than the telescope spatial res-
olution (which is justified in our case), so that the comparison of
the predicted line brightness ratios must be corrected for the
respective telescope beam widths (half-maximum beam widths of
the Herschel observations are given in Sect. 2; we adopted a
value of 12'' for the 30m-telescope observations of CO \textit{J} = 2–1).
In Figs. 20 and 21 we show predicted intensities; normalized
units are used to allow a better comparison between the intensi-
ities of the different lines and sets of physical conditions. The
chosen units correspond to \( T_{\text{mb}}(K) \) calculated assuming that the
model nebula with density \( n_{\text{tot}} = 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) occupies a region
1'' wide, and taking the different telescope beams into account
(\textit{which are again much larger than the emitting region}). To facil-
itate the comparison between predictions for other densities, we
have taken varying values of the emitting angular size, inversely
proportional to the density, such that the total emitting mass is
similar for the different model condensations. We can check that
the intensities are on the order of those observed: for instance a
model clump \sim 2'' wide, with \( T_k = 100 \text{ K} \text{ and } n_{\text{tot}} = 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)
would yield a typical brightness \( T_{\text{mb}}\left(^{12}\text{CO}\right) \textit{J} = 10–9) \sim 1 \text{ K},
similar to some of our observed line-wing intensities.
The dependence of the predicted high-\( J \) line intensity on the
temperature is clear, as expected, and can be seen both in line ratios (Figs. 18 and 19) and intensities (Figs. 20 and 21). The
intensity of the relevant lines increases with temperature, but
from a certain value of \( T_k \), it saturates and even decreases. Of
course, the temperature limit of intensity saturation increases for
higher values of \( J \), which imply higher level energies. We can
also see that the line intensity ratios tend to increase with the
volume density, \textit{particularly} for the highest \( J \)-values, but the de-
pendence is less clear than with respect to the temperature. The
general properties of the excitation of the high-\( J \) CO lines dis-
cussed here are therefore different from those of low-excitation transitions of high dipole moment species, such as \( \text{HC}^+ \), \( \text{SiO} \), etc., which are often observed with ground-based telescopes. In
these low-energy transitions with high radiative decay rates, the
emission strongly depends on the density (the intensity per unit
volume being roughly proportional to \( n_{\text{tot}}^2 \)), because collisional
de-excitation is much less probable than radiative transitions.
However, its dependence on the temperature is in fact very moder-
te because the intensity saturation level is low.

\begin{center}
\begin{figure}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig20.png}
\caption{Normalized line intensity \( (^{12}\text{CO}) \) vs. kinetic temperature.
Fig. 20. \(^{12}\text{CO}\) line intensities from our simplified model calculations,
for several values of the temperature and density, see intensity normal-
ization procedure in Sect. 3.1.}
\end{figure}
\end{center}
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\begin{figure}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig21.png}
\caption{Normalized line intensity \( (^{13}\text{CO}) \) vs. kinetic temperature.
Fig. 21. \(^{13}\text{CO}\) line intensities from our simplified model calculations,
for several values of the temperature and density, see intensity normal-
ization procedure in Sect. 3.1.}
\end{figure}
\end{center}
at high velocity, with a temperature $\sim$60 K (assuming $n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, following Castro-Carrizo et al.). In NGC 6302, the fast gas must present a low temperature $\sim$40 K, and the density must be of about a few $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$; both results are compatible with estimates by Dinh-V-Trung et al. (2008) from interferometric $J = 2-1$ mapping. The fast flow in the less well studied IRAS 17436+5003 must be more excited, with $T_k \approx 60$ K and $n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. In this object, the properties of the molecular component and the evolutionary stage seem to be similar to those of CRL 2688 (see e.g. Bujarrabal et al. 2001, note that the lines in IRAS 17436+5003 are weaker, mainly because it is more distant).

As mentioned, these estimates are crude in any case, particularly in view of the probably complex structure of the emitting regions. To investigate those effects in general terms, we must consider our predictions of the line intensities in Figs. 20 and 21. Let us take a two-component case. In general, we can see that when there is a massive component with $n_{\text{tot}} \lesssim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_k \lesssim 200$ K the $J = 2-1$ emission is always dominant in it, and even if a denser/warmer component exists, the total intensity ratios $J = 10-9/J = 2-1$ and $J = 16-15/J = 2-1$ can hardly be higher than $\sim$1/2. If there is a significant component with $T_k > 200$ K and $n_{\text{tot}} \gtrsim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, its $J = 10-9$ and $J = 16-15$ emission would always be dominant over that of a diffuse/cool component. This would explain the general trend of relatively low $J = 10-9/J = 2-1$ and $J = 16-15/J = 2-1$ ratios found in our data.

We can also suppose that relatively diffuse, accelerated components are systematically warmer if the cooling after shock passage is radiative (and, therefore, denser gas cools faster). This would yield regions with $n_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^2$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_k \sim 200$ K, whose emission is intense in $^{13}$CO lines, but relatively weak in $^{12}$CO lines (with respect to dense regions). After taking the emission of more cool/dense components into account, one would obtain relatively high $^{13}$CO $J = 10-9/J = 2-1$ and $J = 16-15/J = 2-1$ line ratios, higher than the corresponding $^{12}$CO ratios. Therefore, the presence of relatively weak line-wing emission in $^{13}$CO high-$J$ lines, as are often found in our observational data, could be caused by a significant relatively diffuse component, detectable in $^{12}$CO emission but practically not in $^{13}$CO lines.

The presence of self-absorption by outer, less excited layers (not included in our simple discussion) could also help to explain this trend. In this case, self-absorption would mostly affect low-$J$ $^{12}$CO lines, because they present the highest opacities in low-excitation regions. This would yield relatively low $^{12}$CO $J = 2-1$ intensities and then relatively high $^{12}$CO $J = 10-9/J = 2-1$ and $J = 16-15/J = 2-1$ ratios. The existence of self-absorption under these conditions is confirmed by the observed absorption features in mm-wave CO profiles.

As mentioned, we studied the case of CRL 618 by means of detailed modeling of its line emission based in our good knowledge of the structure of the nebulae from low-$J$ CO data (Bujarrabal et al. 2010), and we are analyzing in depth in other papers the high-$J$ lines of OH 231.8+4.2, CRL 2688, and NGC 7027, which are also well known.

We will also study in detail the CO emission from the Red Rectangle in a different paper, so here we just present a brief, simplified discussion. The Red Rectangle is the only PN or young PNe in which an equatorial disk in rotation around the central star has been properly detected (from mm-wave mapping, Bujarrabal et al. 2005). This disk is, as far as we know, the only molecule-rich component of the nebula. The structure of the line profiles in this source is accordingly peculiar, because the outer part of the profiles come from the inner disk regions in fast rotation (rotation is exactly Keplerian in them), which are relatively hot and very small. Meanwhile, the central part of the profiles mainly comes from the outer disk. Let us take representative LSR velocities around the systemic one $V_x = \pm 1.65$ km s$^{-1}$ (the LSR velocity of the center of gravity of this source is $\sim 0$ km s$^{-1}$). These velocities correspond to the rotation velocity at the point at which the dynamics change from pure Keplerian rotation to rotation plus expansion ($R_{\text{kep}}$, in the notation used by Bujarrabal et al. 2005), and there is a clear maximum of the CO lines in them. We can see in our spectra that $^{12}$CO $J = 6-5$ and $J = 10-9$ show very similar values of the main-beam brightness at $V_x$. This is true even for $J = 16-15$, in spite of the poor-quality profile at this frequency. $^{13}$CO $J = 6-5$ and $J = 10-9$ also show practically the same intensities at $V_x$. As we discussed above (Sect. 1), mm-wave CO data are adequate to measure densities and total masses, but often yield underestimates of the temperature because those lines tend to select the coolest components. So, if we assume the density estimated in these regions by Bujarrabal et al. (2005), $10^5-10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, we conclude from the comparison with our predicted intensities that the temperature in this representative region is $\gtrsim 150$ K, at least twice as high as the temperature deduced from mm-wave lines. This result has important consequences for the structure and dynamics of the equatorial disk in the Red Rectangle. In the framework of the standard theory of the passive rotating disks, the gas temperature directly controls the disk extent from the equator (but comparison with observations is difficult because the angular resolution of the existing maps is not high enough to properly measure the disk width). These high temperatures are also the most probable explanation of the detection of evaporation of gas in this source (i.e. of expansion from a certain radius $R_{\text{kep}}$); indeed, we can readily show that the thermal velocity dispersion becomes comparable to the rotation velocity at $R_{\text{kep}}$ for $T_k \sim 200$ K.

3.2. Comparison with previous estimates

As mentioned in Sect. 1, warm molecular gas in CRL 618 and CRL 2688 was studied in several previous papers. Justtanont et al. (2000) deduce the presence of warm gas from ISO data of $^{13}$CO emission for transitions between $J = 37$ and $J = 36$. These authors clearly detect the presence of gas at temperatures higher than the typical values found here, of $\sim 380$ K in CRL 2688 and $\sim 700$ K in CRL 618, using simple rotational diagrams. This result is not unexpected because higher-$J$ transitions tend to come from hotter regions. However, the lack of information on the profile shapes (ISO spectra did not resolve the line profiles) prevents any attempt to discern from which nebular components these emissions arise. In the case of CRL 618, this hot component could be very close to the inner HII region, but any conclusion on its properties remains very uncertain. We finally point out that it is well known that simple rotational diagrams can overestimate the characteristic rotational temperature, because lower transitions typically show higher opacities.

Martin-Pintado & Bachiller (1992) observed cm-wave inversion transitions of NH$_3$ up to $J, K = 7,7$, requiring several hundred K to be excited. They measured a typical temperature of about 150 K in CRL 2688, compatible with our results, but without a clear distinction between the nebular components. In CRL 618, they detected lines mostly in absorption against the central free-free continuum source. They were able to identify two independent components. One of them, in slow expansion and showing a temperature of about 270 K, is very probably...
the slow-expanding inner shell responsible for the narrow line core found from Herschel high-J CO data, see Bujarrabal et al. (2010). The other component corresponds to the fast outflow also discussed by Bujarrabal et al. (2010), and its temperature from NH$_3$ data is about 150 K. The temperature derived by Martin-Pintado & Bachiller (1992) for the slow component is very similar to that of the very inner central region in slow expansion studied by means of our CO data; but the NH$_3$ temperature of the fast outflow is slightly lower than the one found from our results. We note that because Martin-Pintado & Bachiller (1992) detected lines in absorption it is very difficult to identify which part of the fast outflow is actually absorbing the continuum, perhaps the warmest regions of the outflow are not placed in front of the very small continuum source and were not actually detected in ammonia lines.

Finally, we mention the observations by Pardo et al. (2004) of CRL 618 in a high number of rotational transitions of HC$_3$N in the ground and excited vibrational states. These authors deduce the presence of a central, molecule-rich region with $T_k \sim 250$–300 K. The excitation conditions and extent of this component are very similar to those deduced by Bujarrabal et al. (2010) for the inner nebula from the Herschel CO profiles.

4. Conclusions

We presented observations of FIR/sub-mm molecular lines in ten protoplanetary or young planetary nebulae (PPNe, PNes), see Table 1, obtained with the heterodyne spectrometer HIFI on board the Herschel Space Telescope. We focused on observing CO and H$_2$O rotational lines. We have detected $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO $J = 6-5$, $J = 10-9$, and $J = 16-15$ lines, and we also reported observations of H$_2$O $1_1,0_1$, $0_1,1_1$, $1_2,0_2$, $0_2,1_2$, $1_3,0_3$, $0_3,1_3$, $2_1,1_1$, $1_1,2_1$, $2_2,1_2$, $1_2,2_2$, $3_1,2_1$, $2_1,3_1$, $4_1,3_1$, $3_1,4_1$, $5_1,4_1$, $4_1,5_1$, $6_1,5_1$, and $7_1,6_1$ lines. $^{13}$CO was detected in all the sources. At least one H$_2$O line was detected from all but three objects, IRAS 22227+5435, the Red Rectangle, and IRAS 17436+5003. In the most intense emitters, we also found other lines within the (wide) receiver bands; the NH$_3$ $J = 1, 0-0, 0$, and OH $2\Pi_1/2$ $3/2–1/2$ rotational transitions are particularly interesting; we also detected lines of CI$^1$O, H$^3$$^1$O, vibrationally excited H$_2$O, SiO, HCN, and CN.

The obtained full-band spectra are presented in the appendix (in electronic form only). Selected lines are shown in Figs. 1 to 14.

Particularly rich are the spectra of CRL 618, CRL 2688, NGC 7027, and OH 231.8+4.2, which have been or will soon be discussed in dedicated papers. Several spectra of CRL 618 were presented in a preliminary paper (Bujarrabal et al. 2010); here we show those spectra again, after careful reanalysis and calibration, as well as new recent observations.

We have performed a simplified interpretation of the CO line intensity in terms of general excitation state of the two main components of the profiles: the line core and the line wings. It is known (Sects. 1, 3) that the line core comes from a slowly expanding component that can be identified with the remnant of the AGB shell. On the other hand, the line wings come from fast bipolar outflows, which are thought to be accelerated and heated by shocks during the post-AGB phase. We argued (Sects. 1 and 3.1) that those FIR/submm lines are the best tool to study intermediate-excitation regions in the temperature ranges 100 K $\leq T_k \leq 1000$ K, which are not adequately probed by mm-wave or optical/NIR observations.

In Table 2 we gave typical CO intensities of these features, as well as intensity ratios between them or between the observed lines and the $J = 2–1$ line (from IRAM 30-m telescope observations), both for $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO. Significant ratios are represented in Figs. 15 to 17, see full description of the parameters in Sect. 3. As we can see, in CRL 618 the line wings are particularly intense and relatively more intense for the highest-$J$ transitions, indicating particularly high temperatures in the fast gas in this source, as already found by Bujarrabal et al. (2010). In others, notably in OH 231.8+4.2 and in agreement with detailed models (Alcolea et al. 2011, in prep.), the fast gas seems significantly cooler.

We also presented theoretical predictions of FIR/submm line intensities for wide ranges of the kinetic temperature and density, performed for a quite general case, see details in Sect. 3.1 and results in Figs. 18 to 21. From comparison between these theoretical expectations and our data, we estimated characteristic physical conditions of the fast gas in six nebulae, focusing on the kinetic temperatures.

We must remember that this comparison is not straightforward, in particular because of the very probable complex structure and dynamics of the emitting regions. Indeed, in view of some general trends in the comparison of our observational and theoretical results, we argued (Sect. 3.1) that several components may be systematically present and that the densest parts of the fast gas may be systematically cooler (see below).

In the best-studied objects, information obtained from previous investigations helped us to understand the contributions of the various nebular components, and our discussion is more reliable. For CRL 618 and OH 231.8+4.2, we were able to compare our estimates with results from detailed modeling of the FIR/sub-mm line emission and we found that both results are quite consistent. Therefore, we believe that our estimates of the density and temperature, although simple, are good approximations to the average physical conditions in the fast outflows of the studied nebulae. We derived a relatively high temperature for the high-velocity gas in CRL 618, with typical values $T_k \sim 200$ K, probably higher in the base of its (relatively compact) bipolar outflow. However, the fast gas in OH 231.8+4.2 is quite cold, at around 30 K, and shows no signs of significantly higher temperatures in any part of its very elongated and extended outflow. In CRL 2688, a nebula that is also relatively well studied, the characteristic temperature of the high-velocity gas is intermediate, $T_k \sim 100$ K. Table 4 shows a summary of the derived characteristic temperatures, as well as the time elapsed since the acceleration of bipolar flows (or flow lifetime) and an estimate of the characteristic density in that component. (In the estimates of the lifetimes and densities, we take results from previous studies into account, see references in the table.) As we can see, there is a clear relation between the temperature of the fast gas and the time elapsed since its acceleration, with clear extreme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$T_k$</th>
<th>Flow lifetime</th>
<th>$n_{\text{col}}$</th>
<th>Refer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRL 618</td>
<td>200 K</td>
<td>$\leq 100$ yr</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRL 2688</td>
<td>100 K</td>
<td>200 yr</td>
<td>$\sim 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH 231.8+4.2</td>
<td>30 K</td>
<td>1000 yr</td>
<td>$-2 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6302</td>
<td>40 K</td>
<td>$\leq 800$ yr</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frosty Leo</td>
<td>60 K</td>
<td>$\sim 1700$ yr</td>
<td>$10^3$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 17436+5003</td>
<td>60 K</td>
<td>$\sim 500$ yr</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1, 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References
1 This paper (Sect. 3.1); 2 Sánchez Contreras et al. (2004); 3 Cox et al. (2000); 4 Alcolea et al. (2001); 5 from data in Dinh-V-Trung (2008); 6 Castro-Carrizo et al. (2005); 7 Hoogzaad et al. (2002); Castro-Carrizo et al. (2004).
values for OH 231.8+4.2 and CRL 618, and intermediate values for CRL 2688 and other nebulae. We interpret this result as showing that the gas was accelerated and heated by the passage of a shock and it is being cooled down since then. The density seems also to play a role, as expected, because radiative cooling increases with the gas density. For instance, the relatively diffuse gas in Frosty Leo and NGC 6302 is somewhat warmer than in OH 231.8+4.2, for comparable times.

We have also discussed briefly other cases of interest: NGC 7027 and the Red Rectangle (Sect. 3). NGC 7027 shows complex profiles corresponding to its well known very complex structure (Nakashima et al. 2010). The molecule-rich shell seems elongated and very inhomogeneous and shows a composite velocity field. Detailed modeling is absolutely necessary to understand the molecular emission from this nebula. The molecular gas in the Red Rectangle forms an equatorial disk in rotation (Bujarrabal et al. 2005), it is the only PPNe or PNe in which the molecular gas in the Red Rectangle forms an equatorial disk in rotation (Bujarrabal et al. 2005, it is the only PPNe or PNe in which the gas in rotation has been clearly detected). From a first-approach model (Bujarrabal et al. 2005, it is the only PPNe or PNe in which the gas in rotation has been clearly detected). From a first-approach model (Bujarrabal et al. 2005, it is the only PPNe or PNe in which the gas in rotation has been clearly detected).
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Appendix A: Observational results

In this appendix we show the full DSB spectra obtained in our program. The USB and LSB frequencies are indicated in the figures. We also show the frequencies of some remarkable molecular lines, which does not mean that these lines have been detected in the corresponding sources. Depending on the line widths and S/N ratio, the spectral resolutions were averaged to channel widths ranging between 0.5 and 1.5 km s$^{-1}$ (except for the narrow lines of the Red Rectangle, for which we adopted a resolution of 0.2 km s$^{-1}$). Selected lines are shown in more detail (and in LSR velocity scale) in Figs. 1 to 14.
Fig. A.1. Full-band spectra obtained in CRL 618. We show $T_{mb}$ vs. upper- and lower-side band frequencies. Frequencies of some relevant lines are indicated (which does not mean that they are detected in this source). All frequencies are corrected for Doppler shifts caused by the relative velocity of the source and therefore correspond to rest frequencies. Continuum was subtracted in all the spectra presented in this paper.
Fig. A.2. Full-band spectra obtained in CRL 2688; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.3. Full-band spectra obtained in NGC 7027; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.4. Full-band spectra obtained in the Red Rectangle; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.5. Full-band spectra obtained in IRAS 22272+5435; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.6. Full-band spectra obtained in OH 231.8+4.2; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.7. Full-band spectra obtained in the Boomerang Nebula; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.8. Full-band spectra obtained in Frosty Leo; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.9. Full-band spectra obtained in IRAS 17436+5003; see caption of Fig. A.1.
Fig. A.10. Full-band spectra obtained in NGC 6302; see caption of Fig. A.1.