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Abstract

Objective

The objective of this study was to investigate the performance of $k-t$ BLAST accelerated time-resolved 3D PC-MRI compared to SENSE acceleration in an in vitro and in vivo intracranial aneurysm.

Materials & Methods

SENSE and $k-t$ BLAST accelerated PC-MRI measurements were performed in vitro and in vivo. Additionally, non-accelerated measurements were performed in vitro. We analysed the consequences of various temporal resolutions in vitro.

Results

Both in vitro and in vivo measurements showed that the main effect of $k-t$ BLAST was underestimation of velocity during systole. In the phantom, temporal blurring decreased with increasing temporal resolution. Quantification of the differences between the non-accelerated and accelerated...
measurements confirmed that in systole SENSE performed better than \( k-t \) BLAST. In both in vitro and in vivo measurements, \( k-t \) BLAST had superior SNR compared to SENSE. Qualitative comparison between measurements showed good similarity.

**Conclusion**

The application of \( k-t \) BLAST in aneurysms is limited by the occurrence of temporal blurring, though some benefit may be obtained from the increased SNR in \( k-t \) BLAST compared to SENSE.
5.1 Introduction

Ruptured intracranial aneurysms constitute a major cause of subarachnoid haemorrhage, leading to a mortality rate up to 83% [1] or high morbidity. To prevent rupture of incidentally found aneurysms, endovascular coiling or surgical clipping are considered. However, both interventions have substantial mortality and morbidity risks, and are expensive [2]. An accurate estimation of risk of rupture is therefore needed to come to an optimal treatment decision. It is believed that apart from size and morphology of the aneurysm, hemodynamic parameters such as inflow jet size, impingement zone and wall shear stress contribute significantly to rupture risk assessment [3].

Estimations of hemodynamics within aneurysms are mostly obtained using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), an extensively validated simulation technique. However, this approach is hampered by long computational times and various assumptions such as the use of non-patient-specific boundary conditions [4].

Another, direct and non-invasive, technique for measurement of intracranial aneurysm hemodynamics is time-resolved three-dimensional phase contrast MRI (PC-MRI) [5]. It has been shown that PC-MRI can be used to assess hemodynamic properties in intracranial aneurysms (6-10). However, for a number of reasons long scanning times are needed. A spatial resolution is needed that is sufficient to estimate local velocity patterns. Temporal resolution needs to be high enough to record peak systolic velocities. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) needs to be as high as possible to maximize blood-flow direction certainty and the accuracy of blood flow quantification [6]. Finally, at least three flow-sensitized acquisitions are needed to resolve x, y and z components of the flow velocity, as well as one flow compensated acquisition to remove unwanted background phases due to main field inhomogeneities, eddy currents and other factors [7]. In order to reduce scanning times for PC-MRI to clinically feasible durations with these conditions, acceleration techniques are required.

PC-MRI imaging time can be reduced by accelerated parallel imaging and reconstruction techniques, e.g. SENSE [8]. In SENSE, the scan times decrease in proportion to the acceleration factor. However, SNR decreases in proportion to the square root of the SENSE acceleration factor times the coil geometry factor g. It has been shown by Thunberg et al. [9] that at high acceleration factors the SNR of the magnitude and phase images can be
drastically reduced, particularly in the center of the field of view. Intracranial aneurysms are mostly found at arterial branch points in the circle of Willis located in the subarachnoid space in the center of the brain [10]. PC-MRI image quality at this location may thus be compromised by a lower SNR due to parallel imaging acceleration.

An alternative acceleration technique is $k-t$ BLAST [11]. This technique is developed for dynamic imaging, and acceleration is achieved by exploiting correlations in k-space and time. By means of simulations, the feasibility of $k-t$ BLAST in combination with time-resolved three-dimensional PC-MRI has been shown by Marshall et al. [12]. Clinical studies using $k-t$ BLAST in the carotid bifurcation [12], the aorta [13-14], the myocardium [15] and the heart [16] showed that the technique leads to temporal blurring due to subtraction of a temporally averaged k-space from the data. However, other data showed minimal influence of temporal blurring [17-18]. Furthermore, compared with SENSE, SNR may be higher in $k-t$ BLAST accelerated PC-MRI as a consequence of limited temporal frequency content [19-20].

This study presents a comparison between $k-t$ BLAST and SENSE acceleration applied to time-resolved three-dimensional PC-MRI in an aneurysm as well as in a glass phantom of this aneurysm. Furthermore, we used the phantom for a comparison to non-accelerated PC-MRI, a procedure that could not be applied to the patient due to long scanning time. Finally, we analyzed the effect of varying temporal resolution in the phantom. Our comparison includes the SNR and differences in velocity magnitude and direction between these acceleration techniques.

5.2 Materials & methods

5.2.1 In vivo aneurysm and phantom

A glass reproduction of an unruptured aneurysm located in the anterior communicating artery was manually blown by a glass-blower based on a 3D Rotational Angiography (3D-RA) dataset (figure 5.1a). Informed consent was given by this patient. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. The lumen of the patient aneurysm had a maximum length, maximum width and maximum height of approximately 8, 6 and 9 mm in x, y and z directions respectively (see figure 5.1a). The diameter of the neck of the aneurysm was approximately 2 mm.
The lumen of the phantom had maximum dimensions of approximately 6, 4 and 9 mm in x, y and z directions respectively (see figure 5.1b). The inner diameter of the in- and outflow vessels was 2.1 mm, and wall thickness was 0.2-0.6 mm in the inflow vessel, 0.4-0.6 mm in the outflow vessels and 0.8 mm in the phantom itself.

5.2.2 Phantom PC-MRI measurements

The flow loop consisted of the aneurysm phantom in a plastic box filled with agar gel, a reservoir, a centrifugal pump, a computer-controlled piston pump (pulse generator) and tubes, as displayed in figure 5.2. The dimensions of the agar block were: 7 cm in length, 5.5 cm in width and 3 cm in height. Pure water was used as a fluid. The combination of the centrifugal pump, delivering the steady mean flow, and the computer-controlled piston pump created the pulsatile flow used in the measurements. The MRI scanner used an artificial electrocardiogram signal to synchronize the PC-MRI acquisition with the flow pulses of the pump. The frequency of the pulses was set to 1 Hz to create a heart cycle duration of 1 second. Further information on this set-up can be found in [21] and Chapter 2.

Non-accelerated and SENSE accelerated scans were performed using a retrospectively gated [22] three-dimensional PC-MRI scan, whereas the $k$-$t$ BLAST accelerated measurements were prospectively gated. A one-dimensional SENSE acceleration factor of 3 was applied in right-left direction. An acceleration factor for the $k$-$t$ BLAST acquisitions of 5 was set, to be able to acquire a temporal resolution of ten cardiac phases. This means that $k$-space was undersampled by taking every fifth $k$-line in phase encoding direction. For each subsequent cardiac phase the undersampling scheme was shifted up by one $k$-line. This acceleration factor did not take into account the ac-
quisition of the training lines. Eleven training lines were acquired in the \( k-t \) BLAST measurements [23]. Therefore, the actual reduction in scan time was a factor of approximately 4 (see scan times in table 5.1). Note that a SENSE factor of 4 would result in equal scan time as the \( k-t \) BLAST measurements, but would further decrease SNR [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-accelerated</th>
<th>( k-t ) BLAST</th>
<th>SENSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE / TR (ms)</td>
<td>3.2 / 5.9</td>
<td>2.9 / 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan time 10 / 20 / 40 cardiac phases (min)</td>
<td>17 / 33 / 66</td>
<td>4 / 8 / 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three temporal resolutions were used: 10 cardiac phases or 100 ms, 20 cardiac phases or 50 ms and 40 cardiac phases or 25 ms. Sequence parameters for non-accelerated, \( k-t \) BLAST and SENSE accelerated measurements are summarized in table 5.1. Further sequence parameters were: flip angle: 15°; field of view: 100 x 100 x 20 mm³; 25 coronal slices; velocity encoding (VENC): 80 cm/s x 120 cm/s x 80 cm/s in the x, y and z-direction respectively (see figure 5.1b); NSA: 1. All PC-MRI scans were performed with two sided [25] four point encoding [7] on a 3T MR system (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) in an 8-channel head coil at an acquired (non interpolated) resolution of 0.78 x 0.78 x 0.8 mm³.

5.2.3 In vivo aneurysm

PC-MRI with SENSE and \( k-t \) BLAST acceleration was performed in the same patient of whom the 3D-RA data was used to create the phantom. For both the SENSE and \( k-t \) BLAST acquisition, imaging parameters were: TE/TR: 2.8/5.6 ms, flip angle: 15°, acquired resolution: 0.78

\( k-t \) BLAST and SENSE accelerated time-resolved three-dimensional phase contrast MRI in an intracranial aneurysm

Table 5.1 Measurement parameters for the full non-accelerated, \( k-t \) BLAST and SENSE measurements in the aneurysm phantom

Figure 5.2 The flow loop set-up.
x 0.78 x 0.8 mm³, 25 transversal slices, field of view: 200 x 200 x 20 mm³, velocity encoding: 100 cm/s x 100 cm/s x 100 cm/s in all three directions and NSA: 1. Ten cardiac phases were measured. The same 8-channel head coil as in the phantom scans was used. Scan time with a SENSE factor of 3 was 12 minutes. For the k-t BLAST acquisition with an acceleration factor of 5 and 11 training lines the scan time was 8 minutes, again an actual acceleration factor of 4. Non-accelerated measurements were not acquired.

5.2.4 Data analysis

Measured velocity encoded phase images were corrected for background phase by subtraction of the average phase in a region of interest in the agar gel close to the aneurysm phantom and the amygdala in the in vivo case. Phase correction was performed for every velocity encoding direction and cardiac phase individually [26]. The phantom lumen was segmented with the use of a level set evolution algorithm applied to the non-accelerated magnitude images at peak systole [27]. The in vivo aneurysm was segmented for every slice and cardiac phase individually. Pixels that suffered from velocity aliasing were manually corrected by adding two times VENC to aliased pixels with a velocity below zero and by subtracting two times VENC to aliased pixels with a velocity above zero. Signal to noise ratios were calculated in all PC-MRI acquisitions according to Price et al. [28], Dietrich et al. [29] and Plein et al. [30]. In short, let $S_1$ and $S_2$ represent magnitude signals in a ROI during different cardiac phases of similar mean velocity. These cardiac phases were chosen such that a subtraction of the images resulted in minimal signal. This was done for each dataset individually. SNR is then estimated from [31]:

$$ SNR = \frac{\text{mean}(S_1 + S_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \text{std}((S_1 - S_2))} \text{ROI}} $$

As ROI we chose the total aneurysm phantom and the aneurysm with connected arteries for the in vivo measurement. Since the SNRs of the magnitude and phase images are proportional [9, 32-33] we did not separately estimate SNR in the phase images. Velocity to noise ratio (VNR) equals SNR times velocity divided by VENC. VNR was not calculated separately.

The mean velocity at peak systole and diastole were defined as the maximum and minimum of the mean velocity magnitude curve, respectively. The means of the paired differences between the full non-accelerated acquisition
and the accelerated measurements were determined at peak systole and diastole by subtracting the velocity magnitude in corresponding voxels and subsequently averaging over the number of voxels. Standard deviations of the paired differences (SDp) at these cardiac phases were determined. Differences in flow direction were calculated from the angle difference between corresponding velocity vectors. Since these differences are positive by definition, median rather than mean values were calculated. Statistical comparison was done for paired groups (Wilcoxon-signed rank test) as data was not normally distributed. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. All post-processing steps and visualizations were performed with custom-built software in Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA).

5.3 Results

5.3.1 Phantom measurements

Figure 5.3 shows three phase contrast magnitude images for the non-accelerated, $k$-t BLAST and SENSE measurement at 10 cardiac phases. The SNR of the non-accelerated, $k$-t BLAST accelerated and SENSE measurement was 72, 100 and 31 respectively. The SNR degradation due to SENSE is clearly visible in figure 5.3c. Phase offsets close to the phantom (1 mm) before correction were low (< 1.4 cm/s).

Figure 5.4 shows the mean velocity curves in the phantom for the non-accelerated, $k$-t BLAST and SENSE measurement. Mean peak systolic, diastolic velocity and the pulsatility are given in table 5.2. Results of the statistical analysis are presented in table 5.3. Application of the acceleration techniques resulted in altered estimates of mean velocity magnitude as compared to the non-accelerated measurements (figure 5.4). Both acceleration techniques underestimated systolic velocity. This was particularly the case...
for k-t BLAST (table 5.2). The differences between both acceleration techniques were also significant (table 5.3). As a result of these deviations from non-accelerated measurements, systolic-diastolic pulsatility (last column in table 5.2) is underestimated by notably the k-t BLAST technique, which can be attributed to temporal blurring. Temporal blurring was higher at 10 cardiac phases than 20 and 40. At 40 cardiac phases (figure 5.4c) the mean velocity curve derived from the SENSE measurement became less smooth than the non-accelerated and k-t BLAST mean velocity curve. This behavior was reported previously in the aorta at similar SENSE acceleration factors [9].

The above analysis quantifies systematic deviations in velocity resulting from the acceleration techniques. Table 5.3 indicates that the standard deviations of the paired differences and the median angle were similar for k-t BLAST and SENSE accelerated measurements. Note that the median angle increased in diastole compared to systole for all measurements. Since in diastole the velocities were generally lower than in systole the VNR decreased, introducing more uncertainty in direction of local flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr of cardiac phases</th>
<th>Mean velocity systole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Mean velocity diastole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Difference (pulsatility)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accelerated</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k-t BLAST measurement</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSE measurement</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.4 Mean velocity curve in the phantom of the full non-accelerated, k-t BLAST and SENSE measurement at (a) 10 cardiac phases, (b) 20 cardiac phases and (c) 40 cardiac phases.

Table 5.2 Mean velocity at systole and the difference
Figure 5.5a, b and c show velocity field vectors in a sagittal plane through the phantom at peak systole for the non-accelerated measurement, k-t BLAST measurement and SENSE measurement, respectively, acquired at a temporal resolution of 100 ms. Figure 5.5d, e and f show the velocity vectors at diastole. Qualitative similarities in velocity patterns such as the large vortex in the centre of the phantom and the small vortex at the upper left side of the phantom can be appreciated in figure 5.5. In figure 5.6 systolic transversal and coronal characteristic slices are displayed. The vortex on the right side (arrow 1) of the phantom in the transversal slices and the vortex in the tip of the aneurysm in the coronal slices (arrow 2) were well resolved for all three measurements. Quantitative differences can be appreciated for the k-t BLAST measurements, notably in the inflow region in systole (5.5b, 5.6b and 5.6e). The lower velocity for k-t BLAST can be attributed to temporal blurring. In contrast, the velocity field vectors acquired with SENSE acceleration at systole (5.5c, 5.6c and 5.6f) showed good similarity with the ones derived from the non-accelerated acquisition (5.5a, 5.6a and 5.6d). In diastole the flow patterns were qualitatively and quantitatively similar for all methods.

Table 5.3: Performance of k-t BLAST and SENSE in the phantom: mean of the paired difference where * indicates a significant difference, standard deviation of the paired difference (SDp) and the median of the angle distribution between the non-accelerated measurement, the k-t BLAST measurement and the SENSE measurement at systole and diastole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr of cardiac phases</th>
<th>Mean paired difference systole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Mean paired difference diastole (cm/s)</th>
<th>SDp systole (cm/s)</th>
<th>SDp diastole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Median angle systole (°)</th>
<th>Median angle diastole (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
<td>10 20 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k-t BLAST measurement</td>
<td>6.4* 3.8* 2.4*</td>
<td>-0.1 -0.0 -0.3*</td>
<td>10.4 10.2 14.8</td>
<td>5.8 6.3 8.9</td>
<td>9.7 10.3 12.9</td>
<td>11.0 12.9 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSE measurement</td>
<td>2.7* 1.8* 0.5*</td>
<td>1.6* 1.3* 1.6*</td>
<td>10.1 11.5 18.3</td>
<td>5.9 6.0 9.8</td>
<td>7.9 10.2 12.2</td>
<td>10.9 10.9 13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

k-t BLAST measurement - SENSE:

-3.7* -2.1* -1.9* 1.6* 1.3* 1.9* 11.9 13.2 12.8 6.9 7.8 7.6 10.1 12.3 11.5 12.1 15.4 12.7

Figure 5.5: Velocity magnitude and direction at systole (top row) and diastole (bottom row) in a sagittal slice through the phantom of (a) the non-accelerated acquisition (b) the k-t BLAST measurement and (c) the SENSE measurement. All images are obtained at a temporal resolution of 100 ms.
5.3.2 In vivo aneurysm measurement

The SNR of the SENSE and \(k-t\) BLAST accelerated measurement was 12 and 21 respectively. Temporal blurring i.e. underestimation of the mean velocity in systole, similar to the phantom measurement at 10 cardiac phases, occurred in the \(k-t\) BLAST measurement (figure 5.7). Table 5.4 shows similar systematic and random differences between SENSE and \(k-t\) BLAST as was found for the phantom.

The directions of the velocity vectors in the SENSE and \(k-t\) BLAST accelerated measurement, displayed in figures 5.8a and b respectively, showed many similarities. The large vortex in the centre of the aneurysm was found in both measurements. The magnitude of the velocity vectors in the \(k-t\) BLAST measurement was lower than in the SENSE measurement.
This was seen earlier in the phantom measurements, and again resulted from temporal blurring. The SENSE measurement in diastole (figure 5.8c) suffers from low VNR, leading to irregularities in measured flow direction. Due to higher SNR, this effect was less pronounced in the \( k-t \) BLAST measurement (figure 5.8d).

5.4 Discussion

In this study \( k-t \) BLAST and SENSE acceleration for PC-MRI in an intracranial aneurysm were compared, in vitro as well as in vivo. The main effect of \( k-t \) BLAST was temporal blurring. Acceleration using SENSE rendered more accurate velocity measurements in peak systole than \( k-t \) BLAST. Pulsatility of local flow and wall shear stress is considered to be an important causal factor in vascular pathology [34]. The diagnostic value of PC-MRI could therefore suffer from the underestimation of peak velocities due to temporal blurring. The effect of temporal blurring could be dimin-

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean paired difference systole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Mean paired difference diastole (cm/s)</th>
<th>SDp systole (cm/s)</th>
<th>SDp diastole (cm/s)</th>
<th>Median angle systole (°)</th>
<th>Median angle diastole (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k-t ) BLAST - SENSE</td>
<td>-5.7*</td>
<td>1.7*</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 5.8 Velocity magnitude and direction at systole (top row) and diastole (bottom row) in a sagittal slice through the in vivo aneurysm of (a,c) the SENSE measurement and (b,d) the \( k-t \) BLAST measurement.
ished by increasing the number of cardiac phases, as was shown in the phantom measurements. For both the in vitro and in vivo case, good qualitative agreement between all measurements was found. As a result of temporal blurring and the high SNR of training data used for $k$-$t$ BLAST reconstruction, a higher SNR was present in the $k$-$t$ BLAST accelerated measurements as compared to SENSE.

While the performance of $k$-$t$ BLAST in intracranial aneurysms has not been studied in detail elsewhere, PC-MRI in combination with $k$-$t$ BLAST in larger structures such as the carotid bifurcation [12], the aorta [13-14] and the heart [16] suffered from temporal blurring as well as cardiac cine imaging [35] and quantification of myocardial motion [15]. Temporal blurring can be attributed to the view-sharing nature of $k$-$t$ BLAST. However, temporal blurring was minimized in $k$-$t$ BLAST accelerated cardiac steady-state free precession [18] and in flow measurements accelerated with $k$-$t$ BLAST in aortic valve stenosis [17]. Therefore, since the training data contains low resolution information of the imaging geometries, the temporal blurring effect may be more severe in the case of small structures such as aneurysms than in applications visualizing larger organs. The observed decrease in blurring at increased temporal resolution can be understood from details of the $k$-$t$ BLAST algorithm. An increase in temporal resolution will result in larger x-f arrays for the training and undersampled data, and will therefore contain more information on the unaliasing process, resulting in a more reliable $k$-$t$ BLAST reconstruction [11].

A limitation of the study was the fact that different acceleration factors were used for SENSE and $k$-$t$ BLAST. The clinical protocol in our institution requires measurement of 10 cardiac phases. Therefore, a $k$-$t$ BLAST acceleration factor of 5 was used since a factor 3 or 4 is not possible without altering the number of measured cardiac phases. This would imply that a SENSE factor of 4 should have been used to account for equal acceleration factors, which is not feasible when using an eight channel coil.

Further quantitative differences between $k$-$t$ BLAST and SENSE in the in vivo setting can be attributed to the fact that the $k$-$t$ BLAST measurement was prospectively gated, whereas the non-accelerated and SENSE measurements were retrospectively gated. The combination of retrospective gating and $k$-$t$ BLAST acceleration was not available. By applying the shortest trigger delay timing in both the in vitro and in vivo measurements, differences between the two gating techniques in vitro, for the first and last (of
ten measured) cardiac phases were limited. In the in vivo case the timing
difference for the first and last cardiac phase was 16 ms and 37 ms respec-
tively. The time coverage of the total acquisition was therefore similar for
both retrospective and prospective gating.

In the phantom study, the SNR of the SENSE measurement was approxi-
mately a factor 2.3 lower than that of the non-accelerated measurement. This
number agrees well with the expected decrease in SNR with a factor of $g\sqrt{R} = 2.25$ [8], calculated using a g-factor of the 8-channel 3T coil array of 1.3 [36]
and an acceleration factor $R=3$.

The high SNR for $k$-$t$ BLAST may reflect a better reproducibility of $k$-$t$
BLAST acceleration than SENSE acceleration. This can be beneficial in var-
ious situations where the precision of the measurement is more important
than its accuracy, e.g. in longitudinal studies.

Only two acceleration techniques were studied. Other acceleration tech-
niques exist, e.g. PC-VIPR [37], compressed sensing [38] and
$k$-$t$ PCA [39].

These techniques are presently unavailable at our institution.

5.5 Conclusion

It was found that in systole, SENSE acceleration produces
more accurate result than $k$-$t$ BLAST acceleration as a result of temporal
blurring in an in vivo and in vitro aneurysm. Higher SNR levels in $k$-$t$ BLAST
than in SENSE may be beneficial depending on the application of the PC-
MRI measurement.
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