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ABSTRACT
From Nov. 2019 to May 2020, the red supergiant star Betelgeuse experienced an unprecedented drop of brightness in the visible domain called the Great Dimming event (GDE). Large atmospheric dust clouds and large photospheric convective features are suspected to be responsible for it. To better understand the dimming event, we used mid-infrared long-baseline spectro-interferometric measurements of Betelgeuse taken with the Very Large Telescope Interferometer/Multi AperTure mid-Infrared SpectroScopEic Experiment (VLTI/MATISSE) instrument before (Dec. 2018), during (Feb. 2020), and after (Dec. 2020) the GDE. We present data in the 3.98–4.15 μm range to cover SiO spectral features molecules as well as adjacent continuum. We have employed geometrical models, image reconstruction, as well as radiative transfer models to monitor the spatial distribution of SiO over the stellar surface. We find a strongly inhomogeneous spatial distribution of SiO that appears to be looking very different between our observing epochs, indicative of a vigorous activity in the stellar atmosphere. The contrast of our images is small in the pseudo-continuum for all epochs, implying that our MATISSE observations support both cold spot and dust cloud model.

Key words: techniques: image processing –techniques: interferometric –stars: imaging –stars: individual: Betelgeuse –stars: massive – stars: variables: general.

1 INTRODUCTION
1.1 The red supergiant star Betelgeuse
Betelgeuse (α Orionis) is an O-rich star (Perrin et al. 2007) with a Sun-like metallicity (Meynet et al. 2013) and a mass-loss rate of 1.2 × 10⁻⁶ M☉ yr⁻¹ (Le Bertre et al. 2012). This red supergiant (RSG) of spectral type classified as M2I by Levesque et al. (2005) has a mass estimated between 16.5 and 19 M☉ by Joyce et al. (2020). The same authors give a distance of 168⁺⁺⁺⁺⁻⁻⁻⁻ 18 pc using seismic analysis, while Harper et al. (2017) give 222⁺⁺⁺⁺⁻⁻⁻⁻ 14 pc using new radio data taken with enhanced Multi Element Remotely Linked Interferometer Network (e-MERLIN), and older Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) and Very Large Array (VLA) data combined with the Hipparcos catalogue (van Leeuwen 2007). Its effective temperature T eff is estimated to range from 3650 ± 25 K, using spectrophotometric observations (Levesque et al. 2005), to 3690 ± 54 K (Ohnaka 2014), using VLTI/Astronomical Multi BEam
Recombiner (AMBER) spectro-interferometric observations. It is also a semiregular variable star (SRe) with two main periods of \(\approx 400\) and \(\approx 2000\) d identified by Gray (2008).

1.2 The Great Dimming scenario

From Nov. 2019 up to May. 2020, Betelgeuse went through an unexpected \(V\)-magnitude increase up to a historical value of \(1.614 \pm 0.008\) (Guinan, Wasatonic & Calderwood 2020) reached between the 7th and the 13th of Feb. 2020. In this paper, we refer this 6 months event as the ‘Great Dimming event’ (GDE). The proposed steps of the scenario for the GDE are:

**Step I: Formation of a large plasma outflow [Feb. 2018–Jan. 2019]** – Deep successive shocks generate a huge convective outflow gradually bringing the material to the surface. Kravchenko et al. (2021) detect two shocks located beneath the stellar photosphere, the stronger one in Feb. 2018 and the weaker one in Jan. 2019, using high-resolution spectroscopic observations \((R \approx 86000)\) between 0.38 and 0.90 \(\mu m\) with the High-Efficiency and high-Resolution Mercator Echelle Spectrograph (HERMES, Raskin et al. 2011) at La Palma. The second shock, increasing the effect of the first one, contributes to the progressive formation of a plasma outflow at the surface of the photosphere;

**Step II: Hotspot formation [Sep.–Nov. 2019]** – The material growing up at the surface of the photosphere creates a hot bubble. Dupree et al. (2020) show an increase of the ultraviolet signal in the lines and in the continuum from Sept. to Nov. 2019 using Hubble observations. They interpret it as the sign of a hot, dense, luminous and high-temperature structure located in the Southern hemisphere of the star between the photosphere and the chromosphere;

**Step III: Cold spot and dust formation [Nov. 2019–Feb. 2020]** – The new material detached from the photosphere forms progressively a gas cloud above the surface. Because of the missing material, a colder area appears on the photosphere seen as a dark spot in the line of sight and it allows the formation of dust in part of the cloud located above it. Spectrophotometric observations between 0.4 and 0.68 \(\mu m\), made by Levesque & Massey (2020) to estimate the effective temperature gradient induced by the GDE. They show no temperature variation between Mar. 2004 and Feb. 2020, while Harper et al. (2020b) show a decrease of 125 K from Sept. 2019 to Feb. 2020 between 0.719 and 1.024 \(\mu m\). They explain these two apparently inconsistent results because of the presence of an opaque area made of cooler material covering at least more than half of the stellar surface. In addition, the presence of a dark spot at the surface of the star coupled with extinction of dust located above the surface agree with the VLTI/SPHERE visible observations made by Montargès et al. (2021) and VLTI/MATISSE \(N\)-band observations made by Cannon et al. (2023). It is also in good agreement with observations in polarized visible light of Cotton et al. (2020). They show a decrease of the polarization degree between Oct. 2019 and Feb. 2020, and explain it as a decrease of asymmetric illumination of the stellar surface and/or changes in the dust distribution.

For our study, we use \(L\)-band data taken with the VLTI/MATISSE instrument (Lopez et al. 2022) in medium spectral resolution \((R \approx 500)\) before, during, and after the GDE to probe and monitor the geometry of the close circumstellar environment of Betelgeuse. The present letter is organized as follows: (i) in Section 2, we present new VLTI/MATISSE observations of Betelgeuse in \(L\) band; (ii) in Section 3, we introduce the spectral analysis and the uniform disc (UD) fitting; (iii) in Section 4, we show and compare the first three observing epochs of Dec. 2018, Feb. 2020, and Dec. 2020 are located before, during, and after the GDE of Betelgeuse [The \(N\)-band data of the GDE already shown in Cannon et al. (2023)]. All the MATISSE observations are close to a light-curve photometric minimum of variability.

We reduced and calibrated the observation data using the MATISSE data reduction pipeline and additional PYTHON tools provided by the MATISSE consortium. The pipeline provides calibrated files of the science target comprising measurements of the spectral distributions of flux, squared visibility, differential and closure phases, in the \(LM\) and \(N\) bands of the mid-infrared. Finally, we reject each night contaminated by adverse instrumental or weather effects, not already identified by the MATISSE pipeline. In Table 1, we list only the remaining nights after our sorting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time span</th>
<th>Cals.</th>
<th>AT-config.</th>
<th>Seeing ((\prime))</th>
<th>(\tau_0)</th>
<th>Oibs.(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>04:35–07:55</td>
<td>1(^b), 2(^c)</td>
<td>A0B2J2C1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>03:49–04:14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A0B2J2C1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>01:45–07:29</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>A0B2D0C1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>03:30–07:53</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>K0B2D0J3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>03:27–07:52</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>K0G2D0J3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>04:30–06:16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A0G1D0J3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>04:04–07:23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A0G1J2J3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>04:02–05:50</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>A0G1J2K0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Number of observing blocks actually used for the reduction and calibration of the data.

\(^b\) \(\mu P\) Lep (K4III, \(\theta_L = 5.7 \pm 0.5\) mas)

\(^c\) \(\mu A\) CMa (A1V, \(\theta_L = 5.8 \pm 0.5\) mas)

reconstructed images of the SiO environment of Betelgeuse for the three epochs; and (iv) in Section 5, we present our interpretations and conclusions.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

The three observing epochs of Dec. 2018, Feb. 2020, and Dec. 2020 are located before, during, and after the GDE of Betelgeuse [The \(N\)-band data of the GDE already shown in Cannon et al. (2023)]. All the MATISSE observations are close to a light-curve photometric minimum of variability.

For our study, we use \(L\)-band data taken with the VLTI/MATISSE instrument (Lopez et al. 2022) in medium spectral resolution \((R \approx 500)\) before, during, and after the GDE to probe and monitor the geometry of the close circumstellar environment of Betelgeuse. The present letter is organized as follows: (i) in Section 2, we present new VLTI/MATISSE observations of Betelgeuse in \(L\) band; (ii) in Section 3, we introduce the spectral analysis and the uniform disc (UD) fitting; (iii) in Section 4, we show and compare the first three observing epochs of Dec. 2018, Feb. 2020, and Dec. 2020 are located before, during, and after the GDE of Betelgeuse [The \(N\)-band data of the GDE already shown in Cannon et al. (2023)]. All the MATISSE observations are close to a light-curve photometric minimum of variability.

We reduced and calibrated the observation data using the MATISSE data reduction pipeline and additional PYTHON tools provided by the MATISSE consortium. The pipeline provides calibrated files of the science target comprising measurements of the spectral distributions of flux, squared visibility, differential and closure phases, in the \(LM\) and \(N\) bands of the mid-infrared. Finally, we reject each night contaminated by adverse instrumental or weather effects, not already identified by the MATISSE pipeline. In Table 1, we list only the remaining nights after our sorting.

3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND UNIFORM DISC FITTING

Fig. 1 top panel shows a comparison between the three epochs of the Betelgeuse spectrum in the 3.98–4.15 \(\mu m\) range. The SiO lines are weak, \(\approx 20\)% of the pseudo-continuum, and are in absorption. We observe changes in the spectrum between the three epochs. The pseudo-continuum level defined in this work between 3.942–3.974 and 3.992–3.998 \(\mu m\) seems to be brighter than pre-dimming by \(\approx 10\)% per cent during the GDE, and by \(\approx 5\)% post-dimming. In the second panel of the figure, we remark that the normalized flux to the continuum show SiO absorption features located at 4.01, 4.05, 4.09, and 4.13 \(\mu m\) (Ariénger, Jorgensen & Langhoff 1997), which are

\(^1\)mat_tools v.0.1 freely available on Gitlab https://gitlab.oca.eu/MATISSE/tools/-/tree/master.
In fitted epochs, the visibility with Figure L90 corresponds the addition, an three after the event. The values reported in Table 2 are computed for this intensity contrast as defined by Wedemeyer-Böhm & Rouppe van der Voort (2009). The correlation between these visibilities and the angular diameter through the days is shown in the central-right panels of Fig. 2. In addition, we use the equations developed in Appendix B to estimate the optical depth map of SiO, shown in the centre-right panels of Fig. 2. This allows us to monitor the SiO gas distribution across the three epochs.

5 DISCUSSION

We comment here the 4 μm maps reconstructed from the VLTI/MATISSE instrument obtained before, during, and after the GDE in the SiO (2–0) absorption band and in the continuum.

5.1 Wavelength and time variations of the angular diameter

The UD angular diameter, obtained in Section 3, exhibits chromatic variations. These variations give hints to the geometry of the SiO dust seed formation region of Betelgeuse. Indeed, for example in Dec. 2018, while the apparent UD diameter is ≈42.5 mas in the pseudo-continuum, it is ≈46.0 mas for the SiO 2–0 transition and ≈48 mas for the remaining three transitions (SiO 2–0, SiO 3–1, SiO 4–2, and SiO 5–3), meaning that, qualitatively, the SiO layer extends 2 mas above the stellar photosphere, and spans 2 mas thick. Of course, such a qualitative analysis should be supplemented by more quantitative ones, using state-of-the-art molecular models of Betelgeuse atmosphere to constrain the vertical structure of the SiO distribution, but it is out of the scope of this letter.

The UD angular diameter shows also time variations: Fig. 1 indicates a global increase of 3% per cent of the visibility-fitted UD angular diameter between Dec. 2018 and Feb. 2020. From 42.5 mas to 43.8 mas in the continuum and 46.0 mas to 47.4 mas in the SiO (2–0) transition band, this small increase is about the same order of magnitude as the 1% per cent increase reported in K band (Montargès et al. 2021). However, as explained by Dharmawardena et al. (2020) and Montargès et al. (2021), such a small variation of the angular diameter is not sufficient to explain the GDE.

5.2 Time variation of the pseudo-continuum

The intensity contrast gives important quantitative information about the distribution of the surface brightness intensity of the star. Its uncertainty value of 6 percent has been estimated by measuring the variation of the intensity contrast on reconstructed images from simulated interferometric data of a UD (see images in Fig. A1, and more details in Appendix A). A temporal intensity contrast comparison between different images allows us to probe the surface and atmospheric activities between the different periods. In Table 2, we report an increase of the intensity contrast over the surface in the

4 IMAGES

We use the image reconstruction software IRIS (Hofmann, Weigelt & Schertl 2014) to produce L-band maps at the three epochs of observation in the combined spectral ranges 3.942–3.974 and 3.992–3.998 μm (pseudo-continuum), and in the spectral range 4.004–4.012 μm (SiO 2–0 transition). After a cautious scan of the imaging parameter space, we found the following optimal parameters for the reconstruction: (i) initial image: a UD, (ii) prior: maximum entropy, and (iii) hyper-parameter: μ = 10. We show the obtained images in Fig. 2. Since the Feb. 2020 observation run has a sparse (u, v)-plane coverage, in order to compare the three epochs images together, we convolved them with the Feb. 2020 equivalent circular interferometric beam of an angular diameter of 4 mas.

In order to make a quantitative analysis between the reconstructed maps obtained at the three epochs, we compute the values of the photometric median, the median absolute deviation (MAD), and the intensity contrast as defined by Wedemeyer-Böhm & Rouppe van der Voort (2009). The correlation between these visibilities and the angular diameter through the days is shown in the central-right panels of Fig. 2. In addition, we use the equations developed in Appendix B to estimate the optical depth map of SiO, shown in the centre-right panels of Fig. 2. This allows us to monitor the SiO gas distribution across the three epochs.

Figure 1. Top panel: VLTI/MATISSE absolute spectra for the three epochs with the identification of the main features. The filled area close to the data point corresponds to the error bars associated to the given quantities. The red area represent the pseudo-continuum range used in this work. Second panel: Relative flux with respect to the continuum for the three epochs. Third panel: visibility squared plotted versus wavelengths for the various epochs of the observations. Bottom panel: fitted UD diameter versus wavelengths for the various epochs.
pseudo-continuum images around 4 μm of ~17 per cent between Dec. 2018 (before the GDE) and Feb. 2020 (during the GDE). Coupling those quantities with a slight increase of the median flux, this transcribe the appearance of a global brighter surface during the GDE which might be associated with recently formed dust in the line of sight. Fig. 1 also shows a global increase of the infrared emission in the continuum of ~13 per cent which is in agreement with the presence of dusty material recently formed close to the star as proposed by Montargès et al. (2021). The results of Harper et al. (2020a), who do not find any infrared excess around 25 μm, are not necessarily in disagreement with our results and might be complementary to constraint the nature of the chemical composition of the newly formed dust in the environment of the star during the GDE (but this last point is out of the scope of the current work).

### 5.3 Time variation of the SiO asymmetries

Since the quality and the robustness of the image reconstruction strongly depend on the completeness of the (u, v)-plane coverage, we need to be careful about our interpretation on the structures shown in the images, especially when the (u, v) plane is poor like the Feb. 2020 one as shown in Fig. 2. Indeed, the presence of artefacts in the images may impair our interpretation. They are flux which owns a poorly constraint shape due to the holes in the (u, v)-plane coverage.

Assuming that dust seeds precursors such as SiO molecule can be used as tracers for dust formation region, therefore asymmetries in the gas distribution can also be a way to probe asymmetries in the distribution of recently formed dust. In Dec. 2018 and Dec. 2020, we observe strong and different asymmetries in the SiO (2–0) gas distribution. This is in agreement with some episodic and non-homogeneous ejection of mass expected for an RSG (Toalá & Arthur 2011). Regarding the Dec. 2020 images, we cannot exclude
artefacts contamination induced by poor coverage of the \((\mu, \nu)\) plane. Therefore, we cannot make any strong conclusions about changes in the gas distribution during this epoch.

In the SiO (2–0) band, the intensity contrast increases during the GDE by 14 per cent with an increase of the median flux of 10 per cent with respect to Dec. 2018. Therefore, it seems that during the GDE we observe brighter structures in the line of sight. Next, we observe in Dec. 2020 a decrease at about 50 per cent of the intensity contrast with a decrease of the median flux of 7 per cent regarding Feb. 2020. The environment seems to be smoother compared to Feb. 2020 with a median value getting closer from the Dec. 2018 values.

Looking at the optical depth map of Dec. 2018 and Dec. 2020, that have a denser \((\mu, \nu)\)-plane coverage than Feb. 2020, we can identify opacity variations up to a factor of 5 and 3, far more than the estimated 6 per cent error (Section 5.2), respectively. The SiO (2–0) opacity depth map shows therefore strong temporal variations within 2 yr, indicative of vigorous changes in the star’s environment in this time span.

5.4 Dust clump and cold spot model

In addition, we checked the robustness of the cold spot and dust clump model used in Montargès et al. (2021) to explain the GDE with respect to our Feb. 2020 VLTI/MATISSE data. Both models are derived from radiative transfer code and own therefore wavelength-dependent structures. Here, we use the exact same models with the same parameters as in Montargès et al. (2021), the reader can refer to that paper to get the models parameters values), just in a different wavelength domain. Thus, the dust cloud and the cold spot model presented in this paper as seen by MATISSE in \(L\) band (4000 nm), may not exhibit the same dynamic range as in Montargès et al. (2021) in \(V\) band (≈650 nm).

Indeed, in the top panel of Fig. 3 showing the dust clump model, we can see that the dynamic range of the model image (inset) used to compute the \(L\)-band visibilities (blue dots) is insufficient to distinguish the models from a UD model (green dashed line). The observed data (orange dots) exhibit small deviation from both the UD model and the dust clump model. Concerning the closure phase, the dust clump model exhibits a slight deviation from zero or 180° closure phase (i.e. deviation from the centro-symmetric geometry), but not sufficient enough to reproduce the MATISSE data. The same conclusions can be drawn looking at Fig. C1 for the cold spot model. The visibilities are also consistent with the MATISSE data but the closure phase does not seem to show any deviation from zero or 180° closure phase. Thus, both cold spot and dust clump models in \(L\) band are consistent with the MATISSE visibilities but are not able to reproduce the closure phase.

6 CONCLUSION

Our observations suggest an optically thin molecular medium in the SiO (2–0) band absorption at the three epochs. They suggest also the presence of an infrared excess in the pseudo-continuum during the GDE, which has been interpreted as new hot dust formed. We also detect the presence of brighter structures in \(L\) band appearing during the GDE both in the continuum and in the SiO bands. The MATISSE visibilities observations of Feb. 2020 are both compatible with the presence of a spot on the surface of the star and that of a cloud of dust formed in the line of sight, but these two models struggle to explain the observed closure phases. The Dec. 2020 observations suggest that Betelgeuse seems to be returning to a gas and surface environment similar to the one observed in Dec.

Figure 3. Upper panel: Visibilities squared in the continuum of the MATISSE data from Feb. 2020 (orange), dust patch model (blue) with its image in the left corner, and a UD of angular diameter 42 mas (green). Lower panel: representation of their respective closure phase with respect to the spatial frequency of the longest baseline in the baselines triplet.

2018 but with smoother structures maybe due to unusual amount of dust recently formed during the GDE in the line of sight. Opacity maps also show strong spatial variations in Dec. 2018 and Dec. 2020 epochs by, respectively, a factor of 5 and 3, evidencing a clear inhogeneous formation of SiO in the stellar atmosphere, as well as vigorous changes in a time span of 2 yr. In addition to the opacity maps, the chromatic estimations of the equivalent UDs visibility fitted angular diameters give also hints to constrain the geometry of the SiO dust seed formation region.
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APPENDIX A: IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION OF A UNIFORM DISC WITH IRBIS

In order to identify possible artefacts in our images induced by the (u, v) plane, we used the ASPRO2 software to simulate (u, v) observations with a similar (u, v) plane as for our observations, and we used the

![Image](https://academic.oup.com/mnrasl/article-fig/527/1/L88/7284409)

**Figure A1.** Each row corresponds to a given epoch precised in the top-left corner of the first panel. From left to right: (1) model of the UD convolved with the same interferometric beam as used in Fig. 2, (2) reconstructed image using IRBIS of a simulated interferometric data of a UD with an angular diameter determined using the values fitted on the visibility squared showed in Fig. 1 for the SiO first overtone wavelength, (3) residuals between the model and the image reconstructed, (4) simulated (u, v)-plane coverage used for the image reconstruction of the simulated data.

MNRASL 527, L88–L94 (2024)
IRIS software to reconstruct images based on these simulated data. These images (Fig. A1) are made using the same reconstruction parameters as for the pictures in Fig. 2. All the structures identified in Fig. A1 which deviates from the UD model are considered as artefacts. Except from the X-shaped structure observed during the Feb. 2020 epoch, the symmetric structures seen in our simulation do not seem to be present in Fig. 2, hence we can assume that the variations observed in the reconstructed opacity map at the Dec. 2018 and Dec. 2020 epochs are real.

**APPENDIX B: OPTICAL DEPTH MAP ESTIMATION**

From these images, we derive the spectral distribution of the optical depth at the SiO absorption bands $\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda)$ assuming that:

(i) the stellar photosphere is the dominant source of the pseudo-continuum radiation, denoted hereafter as $S_\nu(\lambda)$;

(ii) the SiO material is responsible not only for the absorption but also for the emission of the light in the SiO absorption bands, denoted hereafter as $S_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda)$.

Under these assumptions, the radiative transfer equation becomes:

$$ I(\lambda) = S_\nu(\lambda) e^{-\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda)} + S_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda) \left[ 1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda)} \right], $$

where $I(\lambda)$ is the spectral distribution of surface brightness. Since the absorption feature in the spectrum is weak (c.a. 20 per cent of the pseudo-continuum), we assume that the SiO layer is optically thin, i.e. $\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda) < 1$.

In order to get an estimate of the optical depth, we make the following additional simplifying assumptions:

(i) the SiO contribution to the continuum wavelength range is negligible i.e. $\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_c) = 0$, hence:

$$ I(\lambda_c) = S_\nu(\lambda_c); \quad (B2) $$

(ii) in front of the stellar disc, the SiO emission is negligible compared to the stellar emission in the absorption band, so that:

$$ S_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_{\text{band}}) \ll S_\nu(\lambda_{\text{band}}), \quad (B3) $$

$$ S_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_{\text{band}}) \left[ 1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_{\text{band}})} \right] \approx 0, \quad (B4) $$

hence:

$$ I(\lambda_{\text{band}}) = S_\nu(\lambda_{\text{band}}) e^{-\tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_{\text{band}})}, \quad (B5) $$

(iii) the stellar source function is the same in the absorption band as in the continuum as long as the continuum level does not significantly change between both spectral channels, so that:

$$ S_\nu(\lambda_c) = S_\nu(\lambda_{\text{band}}). \quad (B6) $$

Substituting these assumptions into equations (B2) and (B5) leads to a rough estimate of the optical depth at the wavelength range of the SiO (2–0) absorption band:

$$ \tau_{\text{SiO}}(\lambda_{\text{band}}) = \log I(\lambda_c) - \log I(\lambda_{\text{band}}). \quad (B7) $$

**APPENDIX C: COLD SPOT MODEL**

![Figure C1](https://cr.oxfordacademic Ciudad.edu/10.1111/mnrasl.13530/fig/Standalone/0081.png)

**Figure C1.** Upper panel: Visibilities squared in the continuum of the MATISSE data of Feb. 2020 (orange), cold spot model (blue) with its image in the left corner, and a UD of angular diameter 42 mas (green). Lower panel: representation of their respective closure phase.
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